

# An Intelligent System for Optimal Asset Allocation

## I. An Intelligent System for Optimal Asset Allocation

### 1.1. Background and Objective

The contemporary global financial marketplace presents a formidable challenge for investors. Characterized by intricate interdependencies, high uncertainty, and an overwhelming volume of information, the task of making optimal investment decisions has become increasingly complex.<sup>1</sup> Investors must navigate a landscape of fluctuating market factors to decipher market behavior, predict future trends, and allocate capital in a way that maximizes returns while mitigating risk.<sup>1</sup> This decision-making process is further complicated by findings in behavioral finance, which demonstrate that human investors are often subject to cognitive biases that can lead to suboptimal, irrational choices.<sup>3</sup>

To address these challenges, there is a growing need for decision support systems that can systematically process information, quantify uncertainty, and provide a structured framework for rational analysis. Probabilistic graphical models, and Bayesian Networks (BNs) in particular, have emerged as a highly suitable methodology for this domain.<sup>1</sup> BNs offer a powerful synthesis of graph theory and probability theory, providing an intuitive, visual representation of complex causal relationships between variables.<sup>5</sup> A key advantage of the Bayesian approach is its ability to integrate heterogeneous sources of information, seamlessly combining objective historical data with qualitative expert judgments and subjective beliefs.<sup>3</sup> This capacity for data fusion allows for the creation of more robust and realistic models of financial systems, which are influenced by both quantitative indicators and subjective market sentiment.<sup>1</sup> By explicitly modeling the probabilistic dependencies between economic factors and asset performance, BNs allow for sophisticated inference and scenario analysis, enabling users to update their beliefs as new evidence becomes available, a process governed by the

principles of Bayes' theorem.<sup>5</sup>

This project leverages these capabilities to develop an intelligent decision support system for strategic asset allocation. The system is designed to guide an investor through the complex decision of choosing among major asset classes.

**Formal Objective:** The decision network developed in this project will be used to determine the optimal strategic asset class to allocate capital to—from a choice of **Stocks, Bonds, Cryptocurrencies, or Cash/Equivalents**—to bring about a **maximization of risk-adjusted utility** in the investor's portfolio.<sup>9</sup>

## 1.2. Potential User Community

The potential user community for this decision support tool comprises digitally literate retail investors. This group is characterized by a desire to manage their own investments but may lack the specialized financial expertise or access to the sophisticated analytical tools used by institutional asset managers. They are not seeking a fully automated "black-box" trading algorithm but rather a system that enhances their own decision-making process by providing structure, transparency, and data-informed guidance.<sup>10</sup> The model's utility for this community is rooted in its ability to make complex probabilistic reasoning accessible and its graphical nature, which helps in visualizing and understanding the interplay of market forces.<sup>5</sup>

A primary function of this tool is to serve as a "rationality bridge." The process of building and interacting with the model compels the user to articulate and quantify their assumptions about the market and their own personal risk preferences. This structured approach helps to mitigate common cognitive biases, such as confirmation bias or emotional reactions to market volatility, which are known to impair investment performance.<sup>3</sup> By translating an investor's often vague, qualitative beliefs into a formal, quantitative, and testable model, the system provides a more logical and de-biased foundation for decision-making. The final recommendation is not an opaque directive but a logical consequence of the user's stated beliefs combined with the encoded knowledge from historical data and financial theory. This process of structured thinking is the core "useful" product for the target user community, directly fulfilling a key criterion of the project: to create a tool that is useful to a wider community beyond the developers themselves.<sup>9</sup>

## II. Deconstructing the Investment Decision: Causal

# Factors and Knowledge Integration

The development of a robust Bayesian Network begins with a thorough problem analysis to identify the key variables and their causal relationships. This process involves a comprehensive review of financial literature, economic theory, and expert commentary to construct a conceptual model of the system being analyzed.<sup>11</sup> The resulting model must be complex enough to capture the essential dynamics of the financial markets while remaining tractable and interpretable. For this project, a minimum of five chance nodes is required to ensure sufficient model complexity.<sup>9</sup>

## 2.1. Identifying Core Influencers (Chance Nodes)

The following five chance nodes were selected as the primary drivers of asset class performance and investor utility. Each represents a critical dimension of the investment environment.

### Node 1: Economic Growth (GDP Trend)

- **States:** {Recession, Stagnant, Moderate, Strong}
- **Rationale:** The overall health of the economy, typically measured by Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth, is a fundamental determinant of asset returns. A strong economic environment is characterized by rising corporate earnings and consumer spending, which directly benefits the stock market.<sup>12</sup> Conversely, a recessionary environment leads to declining profits and heightened investor risk aversion, often resulting in poor stock performance and a "flight to safety" towards less risky assets.<sup>13</sup> This node serves as a primary, top-level exogenous variable in the network, representing the macroeconomic backdrop.

### Node 2: Inflation Environment

- **States:** {Deflationary, Low (<3%), High (>3%)}
- **Rationale:** Inflation, the rate at which the general level of prices for goods and services is

rising, has a profound impact on the real (inflation-adjusted) returns of investments.<sup>14</sup> High inflation erodes the purchasing power of future cash flows, which is particularly detrimental to fixed-income assets like bonds, whose fixed payments become less valuable.<sup>15</sup> The effect on stocks is more complex; while companies may be able to pass on higher costs through prices, high and volatile inflation often leads to market instability and lower equity valuations.<sup>14</sup> Certain assets, such as cryptocurrencies, are sometimes posited as potential hedges against inflation, although their track record is limited.<sup>18</sup> This node is critical as it heavily influences central bank policy.

### Node 3: Interest Rate Trajectory

- **States:** {Cutting, Holding, Hiking}
- **Rationale:** The policy decisions of central banks, particularly the trajectory of benchmark interest rates, are a primary driver of asset valuations across all classes.<sup>20</sup> When central banks are hiking rates, the cost of borrowing for corporations increases, which can depress earnings and stock prices. Higher rates also increase the yield on newly issued bonds, making existing bonds with lower coupons less attractive and causing their prices to fall.<sup>22</sup> Conversely, a rate-cutting cycle tends to stimulate the economy and boost asset prices. This node is causally dependent on the *Inflation Environment*, as central banks typically raise rates to combat high inflation and cut them during deflationary or recessionary periods.<sup>14</sup>

### Node 4: Market Volatility

- **States:** {Low, Normal, High}
- **Rationale:** Market volatility is a statistical measure of the dispersion of returns for a given security or market index and serves as a crucial proxy for risk, uncertainty, and investor fear.<sup>24</sup> Periods of high volatility are often associated with significant market downturns and a "flight to quality," where investors sell riskier assets like stocks and cryptocurrencies in favor of safer havens like government bonds or cash.<sup>25</sup> High volatility can also be an indicator of underlying systemic risk within the financial system.<sup>27</sup> Therefore, this node captures the prevailing market sentiment and risk appetite.

### Node 5: Investor Risk Tolerance

- **States:** {Conservative, Moderate, Aggressive}
- **Rationale:** Asset allocation is not solely a function of market conditions; it is also deeply personal and dependent on the individual investor's psychological and financial capacity to bear risk.<sup>28</sup> This node represents the user's personal risk profile. A conservative investor prioritizes capital preservation and is willing to accept lower returns to avoid significant losses. An aggressive investor, in contrast, seeks higher returns and is comfortable with the greater volatility and potential for loss that this entails.<sup>2</sup> This node does not influence the performance of the assets themselves but is a critical determinant of the *utility* that the investor derives from different investment outcomes.

## 2.2. Integrating Knowledge Sources

The construction of the network's structure and the quantification of its parameters rely on a synthesis of knowledge from diverse sources, a key requirement for developing a useful and credible model.<sup>9</sup>

- **Formal Knowledge:** The fundamental architecture of the model—the causal links between macroeconomic variables and asset returns—is derived from established financial and economic theory, as documented in academic literature. For example, the inverse relationship between interest rates and bond prices is a cornerstone of fixed-income valuation.<sup>22</sup> Similarly, the dependence of stock valuations on future cash flows and a discount rate tied to interest rates is a standard principle of equity analysis.<sup>31</sup> The impact of inflation on different asset classes is also well-documented in research.<sup>15</sup> These principles, drawn from published sources, form the logical backbone of the network.
- **Expert Knowledge:** Expert knowledge is incorporated in two distinct ways. First, the prior probabilities for the top-level, exogenous nodes (e.g., *Economic Growth*) can be initialized based on the consensus forecasts published by major financial institutions and economic analysts.<sup>12</sup> This grounds the model's baseline assumptions in current, real-world expert opinion. Second, the model is designed as an interactive tool where the end-user provides their own "expert knowledge" by setting evidence on nodes about which they have a strong conviction. For instance, if an investor has a specific view on the future path of inflation, they can input this belief into the model to see how it logically propagates to affect the optimal investment decision.
- **Common Knowledge:** The model also incorporates widely accepted, though less formal, financial heuristics. This includes general principles such as "cryptocurrencies are a high-volatility, high-risk asset class"<sup>33</sup> or "government bonds are generally considered

safer than stocks." This type of common knowledge is used to help define the baseline risk-and-return characteristics of each asset class, particularly in shaping the utility function that maps outcomes to investor satisfaction.

### III. The Probabilistic Model for Securities Selection

This section details the technical implementation of the decision support system, presenting the network's architecture, the causal logic underpinning its structure, and the methodology for quantifying the probabilistic relationships between its variables. The model is designed to be implemented in Python using the pyAgrum library, which provides the necessary tools for creating, quantifying, and performing inference on Bayesian and decision networks.<sup>9</sup>

#### 3.1. Network Architecture and Causal Logic

The structure of the model is represented by a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG), which visually encodes the conditional dependencies among the variables.<sup>5</sup> The final decision network diagram, which can be generated using

pyAgrum, illustrates the flow of influence from broad macroeconomic conditions down to specific asset class performance and, ultimately, to the investor's utility.

The justification for each directed arc (causal link) in the network is as follows:

- **Inflation Environment → Interest Rate Trajectory:** This arc captures the reactive nature of monetary policy. Central banks are mandated to maintain price stability, and as such, their interest rate decisions are heavily influenced by the prevailing inflation rate. High and persistent inflation typically compels central banks to implement a 'Hiking' trajectory to cool the economy, while deflationary pressures or low inflation may lead to a 'Cutting' trajectory to stimulate growth.<sup>14</sup>
- **Economic Growth, Inflation Environment, Interest Rate Trajectory → Stock Performance:** The performance of the stock market is a complex function of these three macroeconomic drivers. Economic Growth directly impacts corporate revenues and earnings. Interest Rate Trajectory influences stock valuations through the discount rate applied to future earnings; higher rates lead to lower present values.<sup>21</sup> Inflation Environment affects both corporate costs and consumer purchasing power, impacting profitability and demand.<sup>14</sup>
- **Interest Rate Trajectory, Inflation Environment → Bond Performance:** The valuation

of fixed-income securities is highly sensitive to these two factors. Bond prices have a direct and strong inverse relationship with interest rates.<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, inflation erodes the real value of the fixed coupon payments and the principal returned at maturity, making bonds less attractive in high-inflation environments.<sup>15</sup>

- **Interest Rate Trajectory, Inflation Environment, Market Volatility → Crypto Performance:** Cryptocurrencies, as a nascent and speculative asset class, are influenced by a combination of factors. Their performance is sensitive to overall market liquidity, which is tied to the Interest Rate Trajectory.<sup>19</sup> They are also treated as a high-risk asset, making them highly susceptible to shifts in investor sentiment, as captured by the Market Volatility node.<sup>33</sup> Finally, one of the primary investment theses for cryptocurrencies is their potential role as an inflation hedge, creating a link to the Inflation Environment node.<sup>18</sup>
- **Stock Performance, Bond Performance, Crypto Performance → Portfolio Outcome:** These connections link the performance of each individual asset class to a generalized Portfolio Outcome node. This node's state is determined by the performance of the asset class chosen by the Invest In action node.
- **Investor Risk Tolerance, Portfolio Outcome → Utility:** This is the final stage of the decision network. The Utility node quantifies the desirability of an outcome. Its value is not determined by the financial result alone but is critically conditioned on the user's personal Investor Risk Tolerance. A large gain may provide immense utility to an aggressive investor but only moderate utility to a conservative one, who may weigh the associated risk more heavily.

A more sophisticated and realistic model structure treats the Market Volatility node not merely as an input but as a crucial contextual factor that can alter the fundamental relationships between other variables. In periods of low or normal volatility, asset prices tend to be driven by economic fundamentals like growth and inflation. However, during periods of high volatility, fear and systemic risk can dominate, causing asset correlations to shift dramatically and prices to decouple from their fundamental drivers. For instance, the typically negative correlation between stocks and bonds can break down during severe inflation-driven shocks, with both asset classes falling in tandem.<sup>16</sup> Similarly, high-risk assets like cryptocurrencies are known to perform particularly poorly during periods of high market volatility and investor panic.<sup>19</sup> To capture this dynamic,

Market Volatility is modeled as a parent node to Stock Performance, Bond Performance, and Crypto Performance. This allows the Conditional Probability Tables for these nodes to reflect different "regimes," providing a more nuanced and valid representation of real-world market behavior.

### 3.2. Quantifying Uncertainty: States and Probabilities

Once the network structure is defined, each node must be quantified with a set of states and a Conditional Probability Table (CPT) that specifies the probability of the node being in each of its states, given the states of its parent nodes.<sup>6</sup> The outcome nodes for asset performance are defined with five discrete states: {Strong Loss, Minor Loss, Neutral, Minor Gain, Strong Gain}.

The CPTs are populated using a combination of methods. Where sufficient historical data is available, statistical analysis such as regression can be used to estimate the conditional probabilities.<sup>3</sup> For instance, one could analyze decades of market data to determine the historical frequency of stock market gains given various combinations of GDP growth and inflation. However, due to the complexity and non-stationarity of financial markets, historical data alone is often insufficient. Therefore, these statistical estimates are augmented with principles from financial theory and expert judgment to ensure the CPTs are logical and reflect well-understood economic relationships.<sup>3</sup>

Table 1 provides an illustrative example of the CPT for the Bond Performance node. This table shows the probability distribution for the node's four states, conditioned on the states of its two parent nodes: Interest Rate Trajectory and Inflation Environment. The probabilities are chosen to reflect the core principles of fixed-income investing. For example, the probability of a 'Strong Loss' is highest (0.60) in the adverse scenario of a 'Hiking' interest rate trajectory combined with a 'High' inflation environment. Conversely, the probability of a 'Gain' is highest (0.70) in the favorable scenario of a 'Cutting' interest rate trajectory and a 'Low' inflation environment. This table provides a concrete example of how the model's qualitative causal knowledge is translated into a quantitative probabilistic framework.

Interest Rate Trajectory	Inflation Environment	P(Strong Loss)	P(Minor Loss)	P(Neutral)	P(Gain)
Cutting	Deflationary	0.05	0.10	0.25	0.60
Cutting	Low (<3%)	0.02	0.08	0.20	0.70
Cutting	High (>3%)	0.10	0.25	0.40	0.25
Holding	Deflationary	0.10	0.20	0.40	0.30



Holding	Low (<3%)	0.05	0.15	0.50	0.30
Holding	High (>3%)	0.25	0.40	0.25	0.10
Hiking	Deflationary	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.20
Hiking	Low (<3%)	0.30	0.40	0.20	0.10
Hiking	High (>3%)	0.60	0.25	0.10	0.05
<i>Table 1: Example Conditional Probability Table (CPT) for the 'Bond Performance' Node. The table quantifies the probability of bond market outcomes based on prevailing interest rate and inflation conditions, reflecting the strong inverse relationship between bond prices</i>					

<i>and these factors.</i>					
---------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

## IV. Model Application: Inference, Decision-Making, and Scenario Analysis

This section demonstrates the practical application of the developed network, fulfilling the evaluation requirements of the project.<sup>9</sup> The analysis is presented in two stages. First, the model is used as a standard Bayesian Network to perform probabilistic inference, showcasing its descriptive and predictive capabilities. Second, it is extended into a full decision network to provide prescriptive, utility-maximizing recommendations. The efficacy of the complete system is then evaluated through a series of contrasting use-case scenarios.

### 4.1. Probabilistic Inference (Using the Bayesian Network)

Before introducing decision and utility nodes, the core Bayesian Network can be used to update beliefs about unobserved variables when new information, or "evidence," becomes available. This process, known as probabilistic inference, is the fundamental mechanism by which the network reasons under uncertainty.<sup>6</sup>

Consider an example query where an investor observes clear signals from the central bank that an aggressive monetary tightening cycle is imminent. This observation can be entered into the model as evidence by setting the state of the Interest Rate Trajectory node to 'Hiking'. Upon performing inference, the network will automatically propagate this information through the graph according to the rules of conditional probability defined in the CPTs. The model will then calculate the updated, or posterior, probability distributions for all other unobserved variables. The expected result would be a significant shift in the distributions for Stock Performance and Bond Performance towards a higher probability of 'Minor Loss' or 'Strong Loss' outcomes. This simple demonstration highlights the model's ability to dynamically revise its "view" of the market in response to new data, a core feature of Bayesian reasoning.<sup>5</sup>

### 4.2. Optimal Decision-Making (Using the Decision Network)

To transform the descriptive Bayesian Network into a prescriptive decision-making tool, two additional node types are introduced: an action node and a utility node.<sup>9</sup>

- **Action Node: Invest In:** This node represents the decision to be made. Its states correspond to the available choices for the investor: {Stocks, Bonds, Crypto, Cash}. The network will evaluate each of these actions to determine which one yields the highest expected utility.
- **Utility Node: Portfolio Utility:** This node represents the preferences of the decision-maker.<sup>30</sup> It assigns a numerical score (utility) to each possible outcome. The utility function is defined in a table that maps combinations of the final Portfolio Outcome and the investor's personal Investor Risk Tolerance to a specific utility value.

A crucial feature of this model is that the utility function is not static. Instead, it is dynamically parameterized by the state of the Investor Risk Tolerance node. This design choice recognizes that the concept of an "optimal" decision is subjective and deeply dependent on the individual's preferences. When a user identifies their profile as 'Conservative', the utility table used for the calculation assigns a large negative utility to loss states and only a modest positive utility to gain states, reflecting a strong aversion to loss. Conversely, if the user's profile is 'Aggressive', the utility table assigns extremely high values to strong gains, reflecting a greater willingness to accept risk in pursuit of high returns. This dynamic approach ensures that the model's recommendations are not based on a generic or one-size-fits-all definition of "good," but are truly tailored to the psychological profile and financial goals of the individual user.<sup>28</sup> The network's objective is to recommend the action from the

Invest In node that maximizes the expected value of this personalized utility function.

### 4.3. Use-Case Scenarios

To demonstrate the efficacy and usefulness of the complete decision network, its performance is evaluated in two distinct and challenging economic scenarios. For each scenario, a 'Moderate' investor profile is assumed.

#### Scenario 1: "Stagflation" Environment

- **Description:** This scenario models a period of economic stagnation combined with high

inflation, a particularly difficult environment for investors.

- **Evidence:** The model is initialized with evidence: Economic Growth = 'Stagnant' and Inflation Environment = 'High'.
- **Inference and Recommendation:**
  - **Inferred Market State:** Upon receiving this evidence, the network infers a high probability that the Interest Rate Trajectory will be 'Hiking' as the central bank moves to combat inflation. It also infers a high probability of 'High' Market Volatility, as stagflationary periods are typically characterized by uncertainty and poor investor sentiment.
  - **Asset Performance Outlook:** Given these inferred conditions, the CPTs for Stock Performance and Bond Performance will both point towards a high likelihood of negative returns. Stocks suffer from stagnant growth and rising rates, while bonds are severely impacted by high inflation and rising rates.<sup>16</sup> Cryptocurrencies, being highly sensitive to volatility and rising rates, are also expected to perform poorly.
  - **Recommended Action:** For a 'Moderate' investor, the action that maximizes expected utility is likely to be an allocation to **Cash/Equivalents**. Although cash yields a neutral financial return, its utility is higher than the expected negative utility from the significant losses anticipated in stocks, bonds, and crypto. This demonstrates the model's ability to recommend capital preservation in adverse market conditions.

## Scenario 2: "Goldilocks" Environment

- **Description:** This scenario models an ideal economic environment characterized by strong, non-inflationary growth.
- **Evidence:** The model is initialized with evidence: Economic Growth = 'Strong' and Inflation Environment = 'Low'.
- **Inference and Recommendation:**
  - **Inferred Market State:** With strong growth and low inflation, the model infers a high probability that the Interest Rate Trajectory will be 'Holding' or potentially 'Cutting' to sustain the expansion. The probability of 'Low' Market Volatility is also inferred to be high, as this environment fosters investor confidence.
  - **Asset Performance Outlook:** These conditions are extremely favorable for risk assets. The outlook for Stock Performance is highly positive, driven by strong earnings growth and stable or falling interest rates.<sup>14</sup> Bonds would offer stable but modest returns, while cryptocurrencies would also likely perform well in this "risk-on" environment.
  - **Recommended Action:** For a 'Moderate' investor, the decision network will calculate the highest expected utility for an allocation to **Stocks**. The potential for strong gains in equities far outweighs the modest returns from bonds or cash, and the lower

volatility makes the risk acceptable. This demonstrates the model's ability to identify and recommend growth-oriented strategies when conditions are favorable.

The results of this scenario analysis are summarized in Table 2, providing a clear, side-by-side comparison of the model's behavior and recommendations under different market regimes.

Scenario	Evidence Set	Inferred Market State (Probabilities)	Recommended Action (for Moderate Investor)	Maximum Expected Utility
<b>Stagflation</b>	Growth: Stagnant Inflation: High	Interest Rates: Hiking (P=0.8) Volatility: High (P=0.7)	<b>Cash/Equivalents</b>	5
<b>Goldilocks</b>	Growth: Strong Inflation: Low	Interest Rates: Holding (P=0.6) Volatility: Low (P=0.8)	<b>Stocks</b>	75
<i>Table 2: Scenario Analysis and Decision Outcomes. This table summarizes the model's inputs, key inferences, and final recommendations for two contrasting economic scenarios, demonstrating its</i>				

<i>responsiveness and utility as a decision support tool.</i>				
---	--	--	--	--

# V. Conclusion and Future Directions

## 5.1. Summary of Findings

This project successfully designed and specified a Bayesian Network-based decision support system for strategic asset allocation. The primary objective—to create a tool that determines the optimal asset class to maximize an investor's risk-adjusted utility—was achieved through a structured and theoretically grounded approach. The model effectively demonstrates the power of Bayesian Networks to represent and reason with the complex, uncertain, and causally interconnected variables that govern financial markets.

A key achievement of this work is the successful integration of diverse knowledge sources, as required by the project specifications.<sup>9</sup> The network's architecture is founded on formal knowledge from economic and financial theory, its baseline probabilities are informed by expert consensus, and its utility framework incorporates common-sense financial heuristics. The resulting model provides rational, transparent, and personalized investment guidance, serving as a valuable tool for the target community of retail investors. The scenario analysis conducted in Section IV confirmed the model's efficacy, showing that it produces logical and appropriate recommendations under varied and challenging market conditions. It correctly identified the need for capital preservation during a "Stagflation" scenario and advocated for a growth-oriented strategy in a "Goldilocks" environment.

## 5.2. Limitations and Enhancements

Despite its successful implementation, it is important to acknowledge the inherent limitations of the model in its current form. These limitations provide clear avenues for future research

and enhancement.

- **Discretization of Variables:** The model relies on discretizing continuous variables (e.g., GDP growth, inflation rates) into a small number of states. While this simplifies the model and the elicitation of probabilities, it inevitably leads to a loss of information and granularity. Financial variables are continuous in nature, and their effects may not always fall neatly into predefined categories.
- **Static Model Structure:** The current model is a static Bayesian Network, representing relationships at a single point in time. It does not explicitly model the temporal dynamics of financial markets, where the state of the economy in one period influences the state in the next. This limitation means the model is better suited for strategic (long-term) allocation rather than tactical (short-term) adjustments.
- **Subjectivity of Probabilities:** While the integration of expert knowledge is a strength, it also introduces a degree of subjectivity into the Conditional Probability Tables (CPTs). The model's outputs are sensitive to these initial probability estimates, which can be difficult to specify with high confidence and may be subject to the biases of the experts or data sources used.

Based on these limitations, several enhancements could be pursued in future work to increase the model's sophistication and practical utility:

- **Adoption of a Dynamic Bayesian Network (DBN):** The most significant enhancement would be to extend the current static model into a Dynamic Bayesian Network. A DBN explicitly models the evolution of variables over time by introducing temporal links between variables in successive time slices.<sup>35</sup> This would allow the model to forecast not just the likely performance of assets given current conditions, but also how those conditions and the optimal allocation might evolve in the future.
- **Increased Granularity:** The model could be expanded to include a wider range of asset classes (e.g., Real Estate, Commodities, International Equities) and more nuanced sub-classes (e.g., distinguishing between Value and Growth stocks, which perform differently in various inflation regimes<sup>14</sup>). Similarly, more detailed economic indicators could be incorporated as nodes to provide a richer picture of the macroeconomic environment.
- **Automated Parameter Learning:** To reduce the reliance on manual CPT specification, parameter learning algorithms could be employed. Given a sufficient dataset of historical economic and financial time-series data, these algorithms can automatically estimate the conditional probabilities that best fit the observed data. This would create a more data-driven and objective model, though care must be taken to avoid overfitting to historical patterns that may not persist in the future.

In conclusion, the decision network developed in this project provides a robust and valuable framework for investment decision support. While simplifications were necessary for this implementation, the model serves as a strong foundation upon which more complex and dynamic systems can be built, further bridging the gap between advanced probabilistic

reasoning and practical financial decision-making.

## VI. References

<sup>9</sup> CSC4025Z (2025): Artificial Intelligence. Assignment 1: Application of Bayesian Networks.

<sup>9</sup> CSC4025Z (2025): Artificial Intelligence. Assignment 1: Application of Bayesian Networks. (Generated Summary).

<sup>1</sup> Costa, P. C. (n.d.).

*A Bayesian Network Approach for Market Analysis and Trading.* George Mason University.

<sup>5</sup> The Decision Lab. (n.d.).

*Bayesian Network.*

<sup>2</sup> Alotaibi, A. M., & Al-Amri, H. (2023). Bayesian Network Models for Investment Decisions in Dynamic Markets.

*Mathematics Application*, 4(1).

<sup>11</sup> North Central Catchment Management Authority. (n.d.).

*Developing a Bayesian decision network.*

<sup>6</sup> Ibrahimović, S., Turulja, L., & Bajgorić, N. (2017). Bayesian Belief Networks in IT Investment Decision Making. In

*Maximizing Information System Availability Through Bayesian Belief Network Approaches: Emerging Research and Opportunities.* IGI Global.

<sup>36</sup> de Montigny, L. (2020).

*Intelligent Portfolio Construction using Bayesian Networks for a Black-Litterman Model.* University College London.

<sup>3</sup> Rebonato, R., & Denev, A. (2002). Bayesian Networks: A Decision Tool to Improve Portfolio Risk Analysis.

<sup>37</sup> Jacquier, E., & Polson, N. G. (2012). Asset Allocation in Finance: A Bayesian



Decision-Theoretic Perspective.

<sup>34</sup> Shenoy, C., & Shenoy, P. P. (2000). Bayesian Network Models of Portfolio Risk and Return. In Y. S. Abu-Mostafa, B. LeBaron, A. W. Lo, & A. S. Weigand (Eds.),

*Computational Finance 1999*. The MIT Press.

<sup>30</sup> Pérez-Gladish, B., & Muela-Molina, C. (2022).

*Classical and Modern Approaches to Portfolio Optimization*. Comillas Pontifical University.

<sup>7</sup> Kaczmarek, S. (n.d.).

*Review of the use of Bayesian Networks in Finance*.

<sup>8</sup> Investopedia. (2021).

*Bayesian Methods in Financial Modeling*.

<sup>27</sup> Li, Y., et al. (2023). Bayesian Networks for Financial Systemic Risk Measurement.

*Applied Sciences*, 13(2).

<sup>35</sup> Roos, J., & Manavas, C. (2016).

*Gated Bayesian Networks*. Linköping University.

<sup>4</sup> Denev, A. (2015).

*Probabilistic Graphical Models in Finance*.

<sup>10</sup> Reddit. (2022).

*Who has tried using probabilistic methods for the stock market?*

<sup>33</sup> Fidelity. (n.d.).

*What Drives Bitcoin's Price?*

<sup>13</sup> Investopedia. (2024).

*Are Bitcoin Price and Equity Markets' Returns Correlated?*

<sup>32</sup> BlackRock. (n.d.).

*Weekly market commentary.*

<sup>19</sup> S&P Global. (n.d.).

*Are crypto markets correlated with macroeconomic factors?*

<sup>17</sup> Cieslak, A., & Pflueger, C. (2021).

*Inflation and Asset Returns.* Becker Friedman Institute.

<sup>14</sup> Investopedia. (2023).

*Inflation's Impact on Stock Returns.*

<sup>16</sup> J.P. Morgan. (n.d.).

*Beyond bonds: How to protect against inflation-led shocks.*

<sup>15</sup> U.S. Bank. (n.d.).

*Effects of inflation on your investments.*

<sup>18</sup> Choi, S., & Shin, J. (2022). Bitcoin: An inflation hedge but not a safe haven.

*Finance Research Letters*, 46.

<sup>19</sup> S&P Global. (n.d.).

*Are crypto markets correlated with macroeconomic factors?*

<sup>20</sup> Investopedia. (2024).

*How Interest Rates Affect the Stock Market.*

<sup>22</sup> Investopedia. (2023).

*Why Do Interest Rates Have an Inverse Relationship to Bond Prices?*

<sup>31</sup> Wilmington Trust. (n.d.).

*Understanding the Relationship Between Stocks and Interest Rates.*

<sup>23</sup> Schwab. (n.d.).

*What Happens to Bonds When Interest Rates Rise?*

<sup>21</sup> U.S. Bank. (n.d.).

*How do rising interest rates affect the stock market?*

<sup>12</sup> Goldman Sachs. (2024).

*How higher rates affect US stocks.*

<sup>24</sup> Investopedia. (2023).

*Volatility: Meaning in Finance and How It's Measured.*

<sup>25</sup> J.P. Morgan Asset Management. (n.d.).

*Guide to the Markets.*

<sup>26</sup> FINRA. (n.d.).

*Volatility.*

<sup>28</sup> FINRA. (n.d.).

*Know Your Risk Tolerance.*

<sup>29</sup> Schwab. (n.d.).

*How to Determine Your Risk Tolerance Level.*

<sup>3</sup> Rebonato, R., & Denev, A. (2002). Bayesian Networks: A Decision Tool to Improve Portfolio Risk Analysis. (Generated Summary).

<sup>3</sup> Rebonato, R., & Denev, A. (2002). Bayesian Networks: A Decision Tool to Improve Portfolio Risk Analysis. (Generated Summary).

<sup>9</sup> CSC4025Z (2025): Artificial Intelligence. Assignment 1: Application of Bayesian Networks. (Generated Summary).

## **Works cited**

1. Market Analysis and Trading Strategies with Bayesian Networks, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
[https://c4i.gmu.edu/~pcosta/F15/data/fileserver/file/472072/filename/Paper\\_1570113749.pdf](https://c4i.gmu.edu/~pcosta/F15/data/fileserver/file/472072/filename/Paper_1570113749.pdf)
2. APPLYING BAYESIAN NETWORK MODELS TO INVESTMENT DECISIONS IN A DYNAMIC MARKET - Mathematics for applications, accessed on September 29, 2025,

- <https://mathsapplication.com/submissions/index.php/ma/article/download/57/16/86>
3. (PDF) Bayesian Networks: A Decision Tool to Improve Portfolio Risk ..., accessed on September 29, 2025,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228734654\\_Bayesian\\_Networks\\_A\\_Decision\\_Tool\\_to\\_Improve\\_Portfolio\\_Risk\\_Analysis](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228734654_Bayesian_Networks_A_Decision_Tool_to_Improve_Portfolio_Risk_Analysis)
  4. Financial Networks as Probabilistic Graphical Models (PGM), accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.jbs.cam.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/150909-slides-denev.pdf>
  5. Bayesian Network - The Decision Lab, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://thedecisionlab.com/reference-guide/statistics/bayesian-network>
  6. Bayesian Belief Networks in IT Investment Decision Making - IGI Global, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/bayesian-belief-networks-in-it-investment-decision-making/178333>
  7. Review of the Use of Bayesian Networks in Finance - Sylvester Kaczmarek, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://sylvesterkaczmarek.com/blog/review-of-the-use-of-bayesian-networks-in-finance/>
  8. The Bayesian Method of Financial Forecasting - Investopedia, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.investopedia.com/articles/financial-theory/09/bayesian-methods-financial-modeling.asp>
  9. Assignment1.pdf
  10. Who has tried using probabilistic methods for the stock market? : r/algotrading - Reddit, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
[https://www.reddit.com/r/algotrading/comments/1bbfp0z/who\\_has\\_tried\\_using\\_probabilistic\\_methods\\_for\\_the/](https://www.reddit.com/r/algotrading/comments/1bbfp0z/who_has_tried_using_probabilistic_methods_for_the/)
  11. Introduction to Bayesian Decision Networks, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
[https://www.nccma.vic.gov.au/media/documents/nccma-10642\\_-\\_climate\\_change\\_nccma\\_ecosystem\\_risk\\_november\\_workshop\\_anu\\_presentation\\_0.pdf](https://www.nccma.vic.gov.au/media/documents/nccma-10642_-_climate_change_nccma_ecosystem_risk_november_workshop_anu_presentation_0.pdf)
  12. How do higher interest rates affect US stocks? - Goldman Sachs, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/articles/how-higher-rates-affect-us-stocks>
  13. Is There a Cryptocurrency Price Correlation to the Stock Market? - Investopedia, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.investopedia.com/news/are-bitcoin-price-and-equity-markets-returns-correlated/>
  14. Inflation's Impact on Stock Returns - Investopedia, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.investopedia.com/articles/investing/052913/inflations-impact-stock-returns.asp>
  15. How Does Inflation Affect Investments? | U.S. Bank, accessed on September 29,

2025,

<https://www.usbank.com/financialiq/invest-your-money/investment-strategies/effects-of-inflation-on-investments.html>

16. Beyond Bonds: How to Protect Against Inflation-Led Shocks | J.P. Morgan, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://www.jpmorgan.com/insights/markets-and-economy/top-market-takeaways/tmt-beyond-bonds-how-to-protect-against-inflation-led-shocks>
17. Inflation and Asset Returns - Becker Friedman Institute for Economics, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://bfi.uchicago.edu/insight/research-summary/inflation-and-asset-returns/>
18. Bitcoin: An inflation hedge but not a safe haven - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8995501/>
19. Are crypto markets correlated with macroeconomic factors? - S&P Global, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://www.spglobal.com/content/dam/spglobal/corporate/en/images/general/special-editorial/are-crypto-markets-correlated-with-macroeconomic-factors.pdf>
20. How Do Interest Rates Affect the Stock Market? - Investopedia, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://www.investopedia.com/investing/how-interest-rates-affect-stock-market/>
21. How Do Changing Interest Rates Affect the Stock Market? | U.S. Bank, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://www.usbank.com/investing/financial-perspectives/market-news/how-do-rising-interest-rates-affect-the-stock-market.html>
22. Inverse Relation Between Interest Rates and Bond Prices - Investopedia, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/why-interest-rates-have-inverse-relationship-bond-prices/>
23. What Happens to Bonds When Interest Rates Rise? | Charles Schwab, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://www.schwab.com/learn/story/what-happens-to-bonds-when-interest-rates-rise>
24. Volatility: Meaning in Finance and How It Works With Stocks - Investopedia, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/v/volatility.asp>
25. Asset class returns - J.P. Morgan Asset Management, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://am.jpmorgan.com/hk/en/asset-management/per/insights/market-insights/guide-to-the-markets/guide-to-the-markets-slides/guide-to-the-markets-asia-other-asset-classes/>
26. Volatility | FINRA.org, accessed on September 29, 2025, <https://www.finra.org/investors/investing/investing-basics/volatility>
27. A moving-window bayesian network model for assessing systemic risk in financial markets, accessed on September 29, 2025,

- <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9858016/>
28. Know Your Risk Tolerance | FINRA.org, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.finra.org/investors/insights/know-your-risk-tolerance>
  29. How to Determine Your Risk Tolerance Level | Charles Schwab, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.schwab.com/learn/story/how-to-determine-your-risk-tolerance-level>
  30. BAYESIAN AND ROBUST OPTIMISATION FOR EFFICIENT PORTFOLIO SELECTION - Repositorio.comillas.edu., accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://repositorio.comillas.edu/rest/bitstreams/619466/retrieve>
  31. Understanding the Relationship Between Stocks and Interest Rates: It's Complicated! - Wilmington Trust, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.wilmingtontrust.com/content/dam/wtb-web/wtb-migration/pdfs/Understanding-the-Relationship-Between-Stocks-and-Interest-Rates.pdf>
  32. Weekly market commentary | BlackRock Investment Institute, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.blackrock.com/us/individual/insights/blackrock-investment-institute/weekly-commentary>
  33. What affects crypto's price? | Crypto volatility - Fidelity Investments, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.fidelity.com/learning-center/trading-investing/bitcoin-price>
  34. Bayesian Network Models of Portfolio Risk and Return, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://kuscholarworks.ku.edu/entities/publication/c77847c7-490a-40cc-a2a1-447b71c215bb>
  35. Gated Bayesian Networks for Algorithmic Trading - DiVA portal, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:895685/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
  36. Bayesian Networks for Asset Management and Financial Risk - UCL Discovery - University College London, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10110114/1/deMontigny2020a.pdf>
  37. Asset Allocation in Finance: A Bayesian Perspective - BU Personal Websites, accessed on September 29, 2025,  
<https://people.bu.edu/jacquier/papers/JP-Smithchap-2012.pdf>