

# HOUSE BILL 132

E2

6lr1105

(PRE-FILED)

---

By: Delegates Grammer and Bartlett

Requested: October 7, 2025

Introduced and read first time: January 14, 2026

Assigned to: Judiciary

---

## A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2 **Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance – Intercepted Communications –**  
3 **Admissibility of Evidence**

4 FOR the purpose of providing that the contents of a certain intercepted communication and  
5 evidence derived from the communication may be received in evidence in a certain  
6 criminal proceeding under certain circumstances; and generally relating to the  
7 admissibility of intercepted communications.

8 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,  
9 Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings  
10 Section 10–405  
11 Annotated Code of Maryland  
12 (2020 Replacement Volume and 2025 Supplement)

13 SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,  
14 That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

15 **Article – Courts and Judicial Proceedings**

16 10–405.

17 (a) Except as provided in [subsection] **SUBSECTIONS (b) AND (C)** of this section,  
18 whenever any wire, oral, or electronic communication has been intercepted, no part of the  
19 contents of the communication and no evidence derived therefrom may be received in  
20 evidence in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or before any court, grand jury,  
21 department, officer, agency, regulatory body, legislative committee, or other authority of  
22 this State, or a political subdivision thereof if the disclosure of that information would be  
23 in violation of this subtitle.

---

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1               (b) If any wire, oral, or electronic communication is intercepted in any state or  
2 any political subdivision of a state, the United States or any territory, protectorate, or  
3 possession of the United States, including the District of Columbia in accordance with the  
4 law of that jurisdiction, but that would be in violation of this subtitle if the interception was  
5 made in this State, the contents of the communication and evidence derived from the  
6 communication may be received in evidence in any trial, hearing, or other proceeding in or  
7 before any court, grand jury, department, officer, agency, regulatory body, legislative  
8 committee, or other authority of this State, or any political subdivision of this State if:

9                     (1) At least one of the parties to the communication was outside the State  
10 during the communication;

11                     (2) The interception was not made as part of or in furtherance of an  
12 investigation conducted by or on behalf of law enforcement officials of this State; and

13                     (3) All parties to the communication were co-conspirators in a crime of  
14 violence as defined in § 14–101 of the Criminal Law Article.

15                (c) (1) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, IF ANY WIRE,  
16 ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION HAS BEEN INTERCEPTED, THE CONTENTS  
17 OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION  
18 MAY BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE IN A CRIMINAL TRIAL OR HEARING BEFORE THE  
19 DISTRICT COURT OR CIRCUIT COURT IF THE COURT DETERMINES THAT:

20                     (I) THE CASE IN WHICH THE COMMUNICATION IS OFFERED  
21 INVOLVES A CRIME COMMITTED AGAINST AT LEAST ONE INDIVIDUAL;

22                     (II) THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE  
23 DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION ARE OFFERED AS EVIDENCE OF A MATERIAL  
24 FACT;

25                     (III) THE INTERCEPTION WAS NOT MADE AS PART OF OR IN  
26 FURTHERANCE OF AN INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY OR ON BEHALF OF LAW  
27 ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS OF THIS STATE;

28                     (IV) THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE  
29 DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION ARE MORE PROBATIVE ON THE POINT FOR  
30 WHICH THEY ARE OFFERED THAN ANY OTHER EVIDENCE THAT THE PROPONENT CAN  
31 PROCURE THROUGH REASONABLE EFFORTS; AND

32                     (V) THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE WILL BE BEST SERVED BY THE  
33 ADMISSION INTO EVIDENCE OF THE CONTENTS OF THE COMMUNICATION AND  
34 EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION.

1                   **(2) THE CONTENTS OF A COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED**  
2   **FROM THE COMMUNICATION MAY NOT BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE UNDER**  
3   **PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION UNLESS THE PROPONENT PROVIDES THE**  
4   **ADVERSE PARTY, SUFFICIENTLY IN ADVANCE OF THE TRIAL OR HEARING TO ALLOW**  
5   **THE ADVERSE PARTY A FAIR OPPORTUNITY TO PREPARE BUT NOT LATER THAN 14**  
6   **CALENDAR DAYS BEFORE THE TRIAL OR HEARING, WITH:**

7                   **(I) NOTICE OF THE INTENTION TO OFFER THE CONTENTS OF**  
8   **THE COMMUNICATION AND EVIDENCE DERIVED FROM THE COMMUNICATION; AND**

9                   **(II) THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY WHOSE**  
10   **COMMUNICATION WAS INTERCEPTED.**

11                 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect  
12   October 1, 2026.