## Finite fields and functional reconstructions

Ray D. Sameshima

 $2016/09/23 \sim 2016/10/24 \ 17{:}30$ 

# Contents

0	Preface 5						
	0.1	Refere	nces				
	0.2	Set the	eoretical gadgets				
		0.2.1	Numbers				
		0.2.2	Algebraic structures 6				
	0.3	Haskel	l language				
1	Basics 9						
	1.1	Finite	field				
		1.1.1	Rings				
		1.1.2	Fields				
		1.1.3	An example of finite rings $\mathbb{Z}_n$				
		1.1.4	Bézout's lemma				
		1.1.5	Greatest common divisor				
		1.1.6	Extended Euclidean algorithm				
		1.1.7	Coprime				
		1.1.8	Corollary (Inverses in $\mathbb{Z}_n$ )				
		1.1.9	Corollary (Finite field $\mathbb{Z}_p$ )				
		1.1.10	A map from $\mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p$				
		1.1.11	Reconstruction from $\mathbb{Z}_p$ to $\mathbb{Q}$				
		1.1.12	Chinese remainder theorem				
	1.2		omials and rational functions				
		1.2.1	Notations				
		1.2.2	Polynomials and rational functions 29				
		1.2.3	As data, coefficients list				
	1.3 Haskell implementation of univariate polynomials						
		1.3.1	l implementation of univariate polynomials				
		1.3.2	Difference analysis				

4 CONTENTS

2	Functional reconstruction over $\mathbb Q$					
	2.1	Univariate polynomials				
		2.1.1	Newtons' polynomial representation	37		
		2.1.2	Towards canonical representations	38		
		2.1.3	Simplification of our problem	39		
	2.2	Univariate polynomial reconstruction with Haskell				
		2.2.1	Newton interpolation formula with Haskell	41		
		2.2.2	Stirling numbers of the first kind	42		
		2.2.3	list2pol: from output list to canonical coefficients .	44		
	2.3	Univa	riate rational functions	45		
		2.3.1	Thiele's interpolation formula	46		
		2.3.2	Towards canonical representations	47		
	2.4	Univa	Univariate rational function reconstruction with Haskell $$			
		2.4.1	Reciprocal difference	47		
		2.4.2	tDegree for termination	48		
		2.4.3	thieleC	50		
		2.4.4	Haskell representation for rational functions	51		
		2.4.5	lists2rat: from output lists to canonical coefficients	55		
	2.5	Multi	variate polynomials	55		
		2.5.1	Foldings as recursive applications	56		
		2.5.2	Experiments, 2 variables case	56		
	2.6 Multivariate rational functions		variate rational functions	59		
		2.6.1	The canonical normalization	59		
		2.6.2	An auxiliary $t$	59		
		2.6.3	Experiments, 2 variables case	60		
3	TB.	A Fun	ctional reconstruction over finite fields	63		
4	Codes					
	4.1	Ffield.lhs				
	4.2	Polynomials.hs				
	4.3	Univariate.lhs				
	4.4	Multivariate.lhs				
	15	FROver7p lbs				

## Chapter 0

## Preface

#### 0.1 References

1. Scattering amplitudes over finite fields and multivariate functional reconstruction

(Tiziano Peraro)

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1608.01902.pdf

2. Haskell Language www.haskell.org

3. The Haskell Road to Logic, Maths and Programming (Kees Doets, Jan van Eijck) http://homepages.cwi.nl/~jve/HR/

4. Introduction to numerical analysis (Stoer Josef, Bulirsch Roland)

### 0.2 Set theoretical gadgets

#### 0.2.1 Numbers

Here is a list of what we assumed that the readers are familiar with:

- 1.  $\mathbb{N}$  (Peano axiom:  $\emptyset$ , suc)
- $2. \mathbb{Z}$
- $3. \mathbb{Q}$

- 4.  $\mathbb{R}$  (Dedekind cut)
- $5. \mathbb{C}$

#### 0.2.2 Algebraic structures

1. Monoid:  $(\mathbb{N}, +), (\mathbb{N}, \times)$ 

2. Group:  $(\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ 

3. Ring:  $\mathbb{Z}$ 

4. Field:  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  (continuous),  $\mathbb{C}$  (algebraic closed)

#### 0.3 Haskell language

From "A Brief, Incomplete and Mostly Wrong History of Programming Languages": 1

1990 - A committee formed by Simon Peyton-Jones, Paul Hudak, Philip Wadler, Ashton Kutcher, and People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals creates Haskell, a pure, non-strict, functional language. Haskell gets some resistance due to the complexity of using monads to control side effects. Wadler tries to appease critics by explaining that "a monad is a monoid in the category of endofunctors, what's the problem?"



Figure 1: Haskell's logo, the combinations of  $\lambda$  and monad's bind >>=.

Haskell language is a standardized purely functional declarative statically typed programming language.

In declarative languages, we describe "what" or "definition" in its codes, however imperative languages, like C/C++, "how" or "procedure".

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup> http://james-iry.blogspot.com/2009/05/brief-incomplete-and-mostly-wrong.html$ 

Functional languages can be seen as 'executable mathematics'; the notation was designed to be as close as possible to the mathematical way of writing. $^2$ 

Instead of loops, we use (implicit) recursions in functional language.  $^3$ 

```
> sum :: [Int] -> Int
> sum [] = 0
> sum (i:is) = i + sum is
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Algorithms: A Functional Programming Approach (Fethi A. Rabhi, Guy Lapalme)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Of course, as a best practice, we should use higher order function (in this case foldr or foldl) rather than explicit recursions.

## Chapter 1

## **Basics**

We have assumed living knowledge on (axiomatic, i.e., ZFC) set theory, algebraic structures.

#### 1.1 Finite field

Ffield.lhs

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1608.01902.pdf

- > module Ffield where
- > import Data.Ratio
- > import Data.Maybe
- > import Data.Numbers.Primes

#### 1.1.1 Rings

A ring (R, +, \*) is a structured set R with two binary operations

$$(+) :: R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R$$
 (1.1)

$$(*) :: R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R$$
 (1.2)

satisfying the following 3 (ring) axioms:

1. (R, +) is an abelian, i.e., commutative group, i.e.,

$$\forall a, b, c \in R, (a+b) + c = a + (b+c)$$
 (associativity for +) (1.3)

$$\forall a, b, \in R, a + b = b + a$$
 (commutativity) (1.4)

$$\exists 0 \in R, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in R, a + 0 = a \quad \text{(additive identity)} \quad (1.5)$$

$$\forall a \in R, \exists (-a) \in R \text{ s.t. } a + (-a) = 0$$
 (additive inverse) (1.6)

2. (R,\*) is a monoid, i.e.,

$$\forall a, b, c \in R, (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$$
 (associativity for \*) (1.7)

$$\exists 1 \in R, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in R, a * 1 = a = 1 * a \pmod{\text{multiplicative identity}} (1.8)$$

3. Multiplication is distributive w.r.t addition, i.e.,  $\forall a, b, c \in R$ ,

$$a*(b+c) = (a*b) + (a*c)$$
 (left distributivity) (1.9)

$$(a+b)*c = (a*c) + (b*c)$$
 (right distributivity) (1.10)

#### 1.1.2 Fields

A field is a ring  $(\mathbb{K}, +, *)$  whose non-zero elements form an abelian group under multiplication, i.e.,  $\forall r \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$r \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists r^{-1} \in \mathbb{K} \text{ s.t. } r * r^{-1} = 1 = r^{-1} * r.$$
 (1.11)

A field  $\mathbb{K}$  is a finite field iff the underlying set  $\mathbb{K}$  is finite. A field  $\mathbb{K}$  is called infinite field iff the underlying set is infinite.

#### 1.1.3 An example of finite rings $\mathbb{Z}_n$

Let  $n(>0) \in \mathbb{N}$  be a non-zero natural number. Then the quotient set

$$\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \tag{1.12}$$

$$\cong \{0, \cdots, (n-1)\} \tag{1.13}$$

with addition, subtraction and multiplication under modulo n is a ring.<sup>1</sup>

$$0 \le \forall k \le (n-1), [k] := \{k + n * z | z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
(1.14)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here we have taken an equivalence class,

#### 1.1.4 Bézout's lemma

Consider  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  be nonzero integers. Then there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.

$$a * x + b * y = \gcd(a, b),$$
 (1.19)

where gcd is the greatest common divisor (function), see  $\S 1.1.5$ . We will prove this statement in  $\S 1.1.6$ .

#### 1.1.5 Greatest common divisor

Before the proof, here is an implementation of gcd using Euclidean algorithm with Haskell language:

#### Example, by hands

Let us consider the gcd of 7 and 13. Since they are primes, the gcd should be 1. First it binds a with 7 and b with 13, and hit b > a.

$$myGCD 7 13 == myGCD 13 7$$
 (1.20)

Then it hits main line:

$$myGCD 13 7 == myGCD (13-7) 7$$
 (1.21)

with the following operations:

$$[k] + [l] := [k+l]$$
 (1.15)

$$[k] * [l] := [k * l]$$
 (1.16)

This is equivalent to take modular n:

$$(k \mod n) + (l \mod n) := (k+l \mod n) \tag{1.17}$$

$$(k \mod n) * (l \mod n) := (k * l \mod n). \tag{1.18}$$

In order to go to next step, Haskell evaluate (13-7),<sup>2</sup> and

Finally it ends with 1:

$$myGCD \ 1 \ 1 == 1$$
 (1.27)

As another example, consider 15 and 25:

#### Example, with Haskell

Let us check simple example using Haskell:

```
*Ffield> myGCD 7 13

1

*Ffield> myGCD 7 14

7

*Ffield> myGCD (-15) (20)

5

*Ffield> myGCD (-299) (-13)

13
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Since Haskell language adopts lazy evaluation, i.e., call by need, not call by name.

13

The final result is from

\*Ffield> 13\*23 299

#### 1.1.6 Extended Euclidean algorithm

Here we treat the extended Euclidean algorithm, this is a constructive solution for Bézout's lemma.

As intermediate steps, this algorithm makes sequences of integers  $\{r_i\}_i$ ,  $\{s_i\}_i$ ,  $\{t_i\}_i$  and quotients  $\{q_i\}_i$  as follows. The base cases are

$$(r_0, s_0, t_0) := (a, 1, 0)$$
 (1.38)

$$(r_1, s_1, t_1) := (b, 0, 1)$$
 (1.39)

and inductively, for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$q_i := \operatorname{quot}(r_{i-2}, r_{i-1})$$
 (1.40)

$$r_i := r_{i-2} - q_i * r_{i-1} \tag{1.41}$$

$$s_i := s_{i-2} - q_i * s_{i-1} \tag{1.42}$$

$$t_i := t_{i-2} - q_i * t_{i-1}. (1.43)$$

The termination condition<sup>3</sup> is

$$r_k = 0 (1.44)$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\gcd(a,b) = r_{k-1} \tag{1.45}$$

$$x = s_{k-1} \tag{1.46}$$

$$y = t_{k-1}. (1.47)$$

#### Proof

By definition,

$$\gcd(r_{i-1}, r_i) = \gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-2} - q_i * r_{i-1})$$
(1.48)

$$= \gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-2}) \tag{1.49}$$

This algorithm will terminate eventually, since the sequence  $\{r_i\}_i$  is non-negative by definition of  $q_i$ , but strictly decreasing. Therefore,  $\{r_i\}_i$  will meet 0 in finite step k.

and this implies

$$\gcd(a,b) =: \gcd(r_0, r_1) = \dots = \gcd(r_{k-1}, 0), \tag{1.50}$$

i.e.,

$$r_{k-1} = \gcd(a, b).$$
 (1.51)

Next, for i = 0, 1 observe

$$a * s_i + b * t_i = r_i. (1.52)$$

Let  $i \geq 2$ , then

$$r_i = r_{i-2} - q_i * r_{i-1} (1.53)$$

$$= a * s_{i-2} + b * t_{i-2} - q_i * (a * s_{i-1} + b * t_{i-1})$$
 (1.54)

$$= a * (s_{i-2} - q_i * s_{i-1}) + b * (t_{i-2} - q_i * t_{i-1})$$
 (1.55)

$$=: a * s_i + b * t_i.$$
 (1.56)

Therefore, inductively we get

$$\gcd(a,b) = r_{k-1} = a * s_{k-1} + b * t_{k-1} = a * s + b * t. \tag{1.57}$$

This prove Bézout's lemma.

#### Haskell implementation

Here I use lazy lists for intermediate lists of qs, rs, ss, ts, and pick up (second) last elements for the results.

Here we would like to implement the extended Euclidean algorithm. See the algorithm, examples, and pseudo code at:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extended\_Euclidean\_algorithm

```
> exGCD' :: (Integral n) => n -> n -> ([n], [n], [n], [n])
> exGCD' a b = (qs, rs, ss, ts)
> where
> qs = zipWith quot rs (tail rs)
> rs = takeUntil (==0) r'
> r' = steps a b
```

```
>
      ss = steps 1 0
>
      ts = steps 0 1
      steps a b = rr
>
        where
          rr@(_:rs) = a:b: zipWith (-) rr (zipWith (*) qs rs)
> takeUntil :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
> takeUntil p = foldr func []
    where
>
      func x xs
        | p x = []
>
        | otherwise = x : xs
```

Here we have used so called lazy lists, and higher order function<sup>4</sup>. The gcd of a and b should be the last element of second list rs, and our targets (s,t) are second last elements of last two lists ss and ts. The following example is from wikipedia:

```
*Ffield> exGCD' 240 46 ([5,4,1,1,2],[240,46,10,6,4,2],[1,0,1,-4,5,-9,23],[0,1,-5,21,-26,47,-120])
```

Look at the second lasts of [1,0,1,-4,5,-9,23], [0,1,-5,21,-26,47,-120], i.e., -9 and 47:

```
*Ffield> gcd 240 46
2
*Ffield> 240*(-9) + 46*(47)
2
```

It works, and we have other simpler examples:

```
*Ffield> exGCD' 15 25
([0,1,1,2],[15,25,15,10,5],[1,0,1,-1,2,-5],[0,1,0,1,-1,3])
*Ffield> 15 * 2 + 25*(-1)
5
*Ffield> exGCD' 15 26
([0,1,1,2,1,3],[15,26,15,11,4,3,1],[1,0,1,-1,2,-5,7,-26],[0,1,0,1,-1,3,-4,15])
*Ffield> 15*7 + (-4)*26
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Naively speaking, the function whose inputs and/or outputs are functions is called a higher order function.

Now what we should do is extract gcd of a and b, and (s,t) from the tuple of lists:

```
> -- a*x + b*y = gcd a b
> exGcd :: Integral t => t -> t -> (t, t, t)
> exGcd a b = (g, x, y)
> where
>    (_,r,s,t) = exGCD' a b
>    g = last r
>    x = last . init $ s
>    y = last . init $ t
```

where the underscore  $\_$  is a special symbol in Haskell that hits every pattern, since we do not need the quotient list. So, in order to get gcd and (s,t) we don't need quotients list.

```
*Ffield> exGcd 46 240
(2,47,-9)
*Ffield> 46*47 + 240*(-9)
2
*Ffield> gcd 46 240
```

#### 1.1.7 Coprime

Let us define a binary relation as follows:

```
coprime :: Integral a => a -> a -> Bool
coprime a b = (gcd a b) == 1
```

#### 1.1.8 Corollary (Inverses in $\mathbb{Z}_n$ )

For a non-zero element

$$a \in \mathbb{Z}_n,$$
 (1.58)

there is a unique number

$$b \in \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ s.t. } ((a * b) \mod n) = 1 \tag{1.59}$$

iff a and n are coprime.

#### **Proof**

From Bézout's lemma, a and n are coprime iff

$$\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, a * s + n * t = 1. \tag{1.60}$$

Therefore

$$a \text{ and } n \text{ are coprime} \iff \exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, a*s+n*t=1$$
 (1.61)

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists s, t' \in \mathbb{Z}, a * s = 1 + n * t'. \tag{1.62}$$

This s, by taking its modulo n is our  $b = a^{-1}$ :

$$a * s = 1 \mod n. \tag{1.63}$$

#### 1.1.9 Corollary (Finite field $\mathbb{Z}_p$ )

If p is prime, then

$$\mathbb{Z}_p := \{0, \cdots, (p-1)\} \tag{1.64}$$

with addition, subtraction and multiplication under modulo n is a field.

#### Proof

It suffices to show that

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists a^{-1} \in \mathbb{K} \text{ s.t. } a * a^{-1} = 1 = a^{-1} * a,$$
 (1.65)

but since p is prime, and

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow \gcd \ \text{a p == 1} \tag{1.66}$$

so all non-zero element has its inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

#### Example and implementation

Let us pick 11 as a prime and consider  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ :

Example Z\_{11}

```
*Ffield> isField 11
True

*Ffield> map (exGcd 11) [0..10]

[(11,1,0),(1,0,1),(1,1,-5),(1,-1,4),(1,-1,3),(1,1,-2),(1,-1,2),(1,2,-3),(1,3,-4),(1,-4,5),(1,1,-1)
]
```

This list of three-tuple let us know the candidate of inverse. Take the last one, (1,1,-1). This is the image of exGcd 11 10, and

$$1 = 10 * 1 + 11 * (-1) \tag{1.67}$$

holds. This suggests -1 is a candidate of the inverse of 10 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ :

$$10^{-1} = -1 \mod 11 \tag{1.68}$$

$$= 10 \mod 11 \tag{1.69}$$

In fact,

$$10 * 10 = 11 * 9 + 1. \tag{1.70}$$

So, picking up the third elements in tuple and zipping with nonzero elements, we have a list of inverses:

```
*Ffield> map (('mod' 11) . (\(_,_,x)->x) . exGcd 11) [1..10] [1,6,4,3,9,2,8,7,5,10] 

*Ffield> zip [1..10] it 

[(1,1),(2,6),(3,4),(4,3),(5,9),(6,2),(7,8),(8,7),(9,5),(10,10)]
```

Let us generalize these flow into a function<sup>5</sup>:

```
> inverses :: Integral a => a -> Maybe [(a,a)]
> inverses n
```

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  From https://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.9.0.0/docs/Data-Maybe.html:

The Maybe type encapsulates an optional value. A value of type Maybe a either contains a value of type a (represented as Just a), or it is empty (represented as Nothing). Using Maybe is a good way to deal with errors or exceptional cases without resorting to drastic measures such as error.

```
> | isPrime n = Just lst -- isPrime n
> | otherwise = Nothing
> where
> lst' = map (('mod' n) . (\(_,_,c)->c) . exGcd n) [1..(n-1)]
> lst = zip [1..] lst'
```

The function **inverses** returns a list of nonzero number with their inverses if p is prime.

Now we define inversep' whose 1st input is the base p of our ring(field) and 2nd input is an element in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

```
> inversep' :: Int -> Int -> Maybe Int
> inversep' p a = do
> 1 <- inverses p
> let a' = (a 'mod' p)
> return (snd $ 1 !! (a'-1))

*Ffield> inverses' 11
Just [(1,1),(2,6),(3,4),(4,3),(5,9),(6,2),(7,8),(8,7),(9,5),(10,10)]
```

However, this is not efficient, and we refactor it as follows:<sup>7</sup>

```
> inversep :: Integral a => a -> a -> Maybe a
> inversep p a = let (_,x,y) = exGcd p a in
> if isPrime p then Just (y 'mod' p)
> else Nothing
```

```
map (inversep' 10007) [1..10006] (12.99 secs, 17,194,752,504 bytes) map (inversep 10007) [1..10006] (1.74 secs, 771,586,416 bytes)
```

Monads in Haskell can be thought of as composable computation descriptions.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Here we have used do-notation, a syntactic sugar for use with monadic expressions. From  ${\tt https://wiki.haskell.org/Monad:}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Note that, here we use our Haskell code as a script, and we have not compile it. Hopefully after compile our code, it become much faster.

#### 1.1.10 A map from $\mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p$

Let p be a prime. Now we have a map

$$- \mod p : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_p; a \mapsto (a \mod p), \tag{1.71}$$

and a natural inclusion (or a forgetful map)<sup>8</sup>

$$\zeta: \mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$
(1.73)

Then we can define a map

$$- \mod p: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Z}_p \tag{1.74}$$

 $by^9$ 

$$q = \frac{a}{b} \mapsto (q \mod p) := ((a \times \ \ (b^{-1} \mod p)) \mod p). \tag{1.75}$$

#### Example and implementation

An easy implementation is the followings:<sup>10</sup>

A map from Q to  $Z_p$ .

> -- p should be prime.

> where

> (a,b) = (numerator q, denominator q)

Let us consider a rational number  $\frac{3}{7}$  on a finite field  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ :

$$\times : (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.72}$$

of normal product on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

<sup>9</sup> This is an example of operator overloadings.

add 1 2 == 1 'add' 2 
$$(1.76)$$

Similarly, use parenthesis we can use an infix binary operator to a function:

$$(+) 1 2 == 1 + 2 \tag{1.77}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> By introducing this forgetful map, we can use

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  The backquotes makes any binary function in fix operator. For example,

```
Example: on Z_{11}
Consider (3 % 7).

*Ffield Data.Ratio> let q = 3 % 7
 *Ffield Data.Ratio> 3 'mod' 11
3
 *Ffield Data.Ratio> 7 'mod' 11
7
 *Ffield Data.Ratio> inverses 11
Just [(1,1),(2,6),(3,4),(4,3),(5,9),(6,2),(7,8),(8,7),(9,5),(10,10)]
```

For example, pick 7:

Therefore, on  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ ,  $(7^{-1} \mod 11)$  is equal to  $(8 \mod 11)$  and

$$\frac{3}{7} \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \mapsto \quad (3 \times \cancel{\downarrow}(7^{-1} \mod 11) \mod 11) \tag{1.78}$$

$$= (3 \times 8) \mod 11 \tag{1.79}$$

$$= 24 \mod 11$$
 (1.80)

$$= 2 \mod 11.$$
 (1.81)

Haskell returns the same result

and consistent.

#### 1.1.11 Reconstruction from $\mathbb{Z}_p$ to $\mathbb{Q}$

Consider a rational number q and its image  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

$$a := q \mod p \tag{1.82}$$

The extended Euclidean algorithm can be used for guessing a rational number q from the images  $a := q \mod p$  of several primes p's.

At each step, the extended Euclidean algorithm satisfies eq.(1.52).

$$a * s_i + p * t_i = r_i \tag{1.83}$$

Therefore

$$r_i = a * s_i \mod p \Leftrightarrow \frac{r_i}{s_i} \mod p = a.$$
 (1.84)

Hence  $\frac{r_i}{s_i}$  is a possible guess for q. We take

$$r_i^2, s_i^2 < p$$
 (1.85)

as the termination condition for this reconstruction.

#### Haskell implementation

Let us first try to reconstruct from the image  $(\frac{1}{3} \mod p)$  of some prime p. Here we have chosen three primes

```
Reconstruction Z_p -> Q
 *Ffield> let q = (1%3)
 *Ffield> take 3 $ dropWhile (<100) primes
 [101,103,107]</pre>
```

The images are basically given by the first elements of second lists ( $s_0$ 's):

```
*Ffield> q 'modp' 101
34
*Ffield> let try x = exGCD' (q 'modp' x) x
*Ffield> try 101
([0,2,1,33],[34,101,34,33,1],[1,0,1,-2,3,-101],[0,1,0,1,-1,34])
*Ffield> try 103
([0,1,2,34],[69,103,69,34,1],[1,0,1,-1,3,-103],[0,1,0,1,-2,69])
*Ffield> try 107
([0,2,1,35],[36,107,36,35,1],[1,0,1,-2,3,-107],[0,1,0,1,-1,36])
```

Look at the first hit of termination condition eq.(1.85),  $r_4 = 1$  and  $s_4 = 3$ . They give us the same guess  $\frac{1}{3}$ , and that the reconstructed number.

From the above observations we can make a simple "guess" function:

```
> select :: Integral t => [t] -> [t] -> t -> Ratio t
> select [] _ _ = 0%1
> select (r:rs) (s:ss) p
> | s /= 0 && r^2 <= p && s^2 <= p = r%s
> | otherwise = select rs ss p
```

We have put a list of big primes as follows.

```
> -- Hard code of big primes.
> bigPrimes :: [Int]
> bigPrimes = dropWhile (< 897473) $ takeWhile (<978948) primes</pre>
```

We choose 3 times match as the termination condition.

Finally, we can check our gadgets.

What we know is a list of (q 'modp' p) and prime p for several (big) primes.

```
*Ffield> let q = 10%19
*Ffield> let knownData = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes) bigPrimes
*Ffield> matches3 $ map (fst . guess) knownData
10 % 19
```

The following is the function we need, its input is the list of tuple which first element is the image in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and second element is that prime p.

```
,869 % 232,778 % 123,331 % 739]
  > it == qs
  True
   As another example, we have slightly involved function:
> matches3' :: Eq a => [(a, t)] -> (a, t)
> matches3' (a0@(a,_):bb@((b,_):(c,_):cs))
    | a == b \&\& b == c = a0
    | otherwise
                        = matches3' bb
Let us see the first good guess, Haskell tells us that in order to reconstruct,
say \frac{331}{739}, we should take three primes start from 614693:
  *Ffield> let knowData q = zip (map (modp q) primes) primes
  *Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (331%739)
  (331 % 739,614693)
  (18.31 secs, 12,393,394,032 bytes)
  *Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (11%13)
  (11 % 13,311)
  (0.02 secs, 2,319,136 bytes)
  *Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (1%13)
  (1 \% 13,191)
  (0.01 secs, 1,443,704 bytes)
  *Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (1%3)
  (1 \% 3, 13)
  (0.01 secs, 268,592 bytes)
  *Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (11%31)
```

#### 1.1.12 Chinese remainder theorem

(0.03 secs, 8,516,568 bytes)

From wikipedia<sup>11</sup>

(11 % 31,1129)

(1 % 26,709)

There are certain things whose number is unknown. If we count them by threes, we have two left over; by fives, we have three left over; and by sevens, two are left over. How many things are there?

\*Ffield> matches3' \$ map guess \$ knowData (12%312)

<sup>11</sup> https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chinese\_remainder\_theorem

Here is a solution with Haskell:

\*Ffield> let lst = [n|n<-[0..], mod n 3==2, mod n 5==3, mod n 7==2]\*Ffield> head lst 23

We define an infinite list of natural numbers that satisfy

$$n \mod 3 = 2, n \mod 5 = 3, n \mod 7 = 2.$$
 (1.86)

Then take the first element, and this is the answer.

#### Claim

The statement for binary case is the following. Let  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  be coprime, then for arbitrary  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following a system of equations

$$x = a_1 \mod n_1 \tag{1.87}$$

$$x = a_2 \mod n_2 \tag{1.88}$$

have a unique solution modular  $n_1 * n_2^{12}$ .

#### Proof

(existence) With §1.1.6, there are  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.

$$n_1 * m_1 + n_2 * m_2 = 1. (1.90)$$

Now we have

$$n_1 * m_1 = 1 \mod n_2 \tag{1.91}$$

$$n_2 * m_2 = 1 \mod n_1 \tag{1.92}$$

that is

$$m_1 = n_1^{-1} \mod n_2 \tag{1.93}$$

$$m_1 = n_1^{-1} \mod n_2$$
 (1.93)  
 $m_2 = n_2^{-1} \mod n_1$ . (1.94)

$$0 \le a < n_1 \times n_2. \tag{1.89}$$

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  Note that, this is equivalent that there is a unique solution a in

Then

$$a := a_1 * n_2 * m_2 + a_2 * n_1 * m_1 \mod (n_1 * n_2)$$
(1.95)

is a solution.

(uniqueness) If a' is also a solution, then

$$a - a' = 0 \mod n_1 \tag{1.96}$$

$$a - a' = 0 \mod n_2. \tag{1.97}$$

Since  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are coprime, i.e., no common divisors, this difference is divisible by  $n_1 * n_2$ , and

$$a - a' = 0 \mod (n_1 * n_2).$$
 (1.98)

Therefore, the solution is unique modular  $n_1 * n_2$ .

#### Generalization

Given  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  of pairwise coprime numbers

$$n := n_1 * \dots * n_k, \tag{1.99}$$

a system of equations

$$a_i = a \mod n_i|_{i=1}^k$$
 (1.100)

have a unique solution

$$a = \sum_{i} m_i a_i \mod n, \tag{1.101}$$

where

$$m_i = \left(\frac{n_i}{n} \mod n_i\right) \frac{n}{n_i} \bigg|_{i=1}^k. \tag{1.102}$$

#### TBA: IMPLEMENTATION

Chinese Remeinder Theorem, and its usage

- > imagesAndPrimes :: Rational-> [(Integer, Integer)]
- > imagesAndPrimes q = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes) bigPrimes

```
*Ffield> let q = 895\%922
  *Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
  *Ffield> let [(a1,p1),(a2,p2)] = take 2 knownData
  *Ffield> take 2 knownData
  [(882873,897473),(365035,897497)]
  *Ffield> map guess it
  [((-854) % 123,897473),((-656) % 327,897497)]
  *Ffield> let q = 895\%922
  *Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
  *Ffield> let [(a1,p1),(a2,p2)] = take 2 knownData
  *Ffield> let m1 = fromJust (p2 'inversep' p1) * p2
  *Ffield> let m2 = fromJust (p1 'inversep' p2) * p1
  *Ffield> let p = p1*p2
  *Ffield> let a = (m1*a1 + m2*a2) 'mod' p
  *Ffield> a
  86488560937
  *Ffield> p
  805479325081
  *Ffield> guess (a,p)
  (86488560937 % 1,805479325081) -- overflow!
  *Ffield> guess (86488560937, 805479325081)
  (895 % 922,805479325081)
> crtRec' (a1,p1) (a2,p2) = (a,p)
  where
> -- a = (m1*a1 + m2*a2) 'mod' p
    a = (a1*p2*m2 + a2*p1*m1) 'mod' p
    m1 = fromJust (p1 'inversep' p2)
     m2 = fromJust (p2 'inversep' p1)
     p = p1*p2
> pile :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> [a]
> pile f [] = []
> pile f dd@(d:ds) = d : zipWith' f (pile f dd) ds
> -- Strict zipWith, from:
> -- http://d.hatena.ne.jp/kazu-yamamoto/touch/20100624/1277348961
```

```
> zipWith' :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
> zipWith' f (a:as) (b:bs) = (x 'seq' x) : zipWith' f as bs
> where x = f a b
> zipWith' _ _
                           = []
  *Ffield> let q = 895\%922
  *Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
  *Ffield> take 4 knownData
  [(882873,897473)
  , (365035, 897497)
  , (705735, 897499)
  ,(511060,897517)
  *Ffield> pile crtRec' it
  [(882873,897473)
  ,(86488560937,805479325081)
  ,(397525881357811624,722916888780872419)
  , (232931448259966259937614,648830197267942270883623)
  *Ffield> map guess it
  [((-854) % 123,897473)
  ,(895 % 922,805479325081)
  ,(895 % 922,722916888780872419)
  ,(895 % 922,648830197267942270883623)
```

## 1.2 Polynomials and rational functions

The following discussion on an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

#### 1.2.1 Notations

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be positive. We use multi-index notation:

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n. \tag{1.103}$$

A monomial is defined as

$$z^{\alpha} := \prod_{i} z_i^{\alpha_i}. \tag{1.104}$$

The total degree of this monomial is given by

$$|\alpha| := \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}. \tag{1.105}$$

#### 1.2.2 Polynomials and rational functions

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field. Consider a map

$$f: \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}; z \mapsto f(z) := \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha},$$
 (1.106)

where

$$c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{K}.$$
 (1.107)

We call the value f(z) at the dummy  $z \in \mathbb{K}^n$  a polynomial:

$$f(z) := \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}. \tag{1.108}$$

We denote

$$\mathbb{K}[z] := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \right\} \tag{1.109}$$

as the ring of all polynomial functions in the variable z with  $\mathbb{K}$ -coefficients. Similarly, a rational function can be expressed as a ratio of two polynomials  $p(z), q(z) \in \mathbb{K}[z]$ :

$$\frac{p(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}}.$$
 (1.110)

We denote

$$\mathbb{K}(z) := \left\{ \frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}} \right\}$$
 (1.111)

as the field of rational functions in the variable z with  $\mathbb{F}$ -coefficients. Similar to fractional numbers, there are several equivalent representation of a rational function, even if we simplify with gcd. However there still is an overall constant ambiguity. To have a unique representation, usually we put the lowest degree of term of the denominator to be 1.

#### 1.2.3 As data, coefficients list

We can identify a polynomial

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \tag{1.112}$$

as a set of coefficients

$$\{c_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}.\tag{1.113}$$

Similarly, for a rational function, we can identify

$$\frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}} \tag{1.114}$$

as an ordered pair of coefficients

$$(\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}, \{d_{\beta}\}_{\beta}). \tag{1.115}$$

However, there still is an overall factor ambiguity even after gcd simplifications.

# 1.3 Haskell implementation of univariate polynomials

Here we basically follows some part of §9 of ref.3, and its addendum<sup>13</sup>.

Univariate.lhs

- > module Univariate where
- > import Data.Ratio
- > import Polynomials

#### 1.3.1 A polynomial as a list of coefficients

Let us start instance declaration, which enable us to use basic arithmetics, e.g., addition and multiplication.

<sup>13</sup> See http://homepages.cwi.nl/~jve/HR/PolAddendum.pdf

```
-- Polynomials.hs
-- http://homepages.cwi.nl/~jve/rcrh/Polynomials.hs
module Polynomials where
default (Integer, Rational, Double)
-- polynomials, as coefficients lists
instance (Num a, Ord a) => Num [a] where
  fromInteger c = [fromInteger c]
  -- operator overloading
 negate []
            = []
  negate (f:fs) = (negate f) : (negate fs)
  signum [] = []
  signum gs
    | signum (last gs) < (fromInteger 0) = negate z
    | otherwise = z
  abs [] = []
  abs gs
   | signum gs == z = gs
    | otherwise = negate gs
        + []
  fs
                = fs
        + gs
                = gs
  (f:fs) + (g:gs) = f+g : fs+gs
  fs
        * []
                = []
                 = []
         * gs
  (f:fs) * gg@(g:gs) = f*g : (f .* gs + fs * gg)
delta :: (Num a, Ord a) => [a] -> [a]
delta = ([1,-1] *)
shift :: [a] -> [a]
shift = tail
p2fct :: Num a => [a] -> a -> a
p2fct [] x = 0
```

Note that the above operators are overloaded, say (\*), f\*g is a multiplication of two numbers but fs\*gg is a multiplication of two list of coefficients. We can not extend this overloading to scalar multiplication, since Haskell type system takes the operands of (\*) the same type

$$(*)$$
 :: Num a => a -> a -> a (1.116)

```
> -- scalar multiplication
> infixl 7 .*
> (.*) :: Num a => a -> [a] -> [a]
> c .* [] = []
> c .* (f:fs) = c*f : c .* fs
```

Let us see few examples. If we take a scalar multiplication, say

$$3 * (1 + 2z + 3z^2 + 4z^3) (1.117)$$

the result should be

$$3 * (1 + 2z + 3z^{2} + 4z^{3}) = 3 + 6z + 9z^{2} + 12z^{3}$$
 (1.118)

In Haskell

```
*Univariate> 3 .* [1,2,3,4] [3,6,9,12]
```

#### 1.3. HASKELL IMPLEMENTATION OF UNIVARIATE POLYNOMIALS 33

and this is exactly same as map with section:

When we multiply two polynomials, say

$$(1+2z)*(3+4z+5z^2+6z^3) (1.119)$$

the result should be

$$(1+2z)*(3+4z+5z^2+6z^3) = 1*(3+4z+5z^2+6z^3)+2z*(3+4z+5z^2+6z^3)$$
$$= 3+(4+2*3)z+(5+2*4)z^2+(6+2*5)z^3+2*6z^4$$
$$= 3+10z+13z^2+16z^3+12z^4$$
(1.120)

In Haskell,

Now the (dummy) variable is given as

A polynomial of degree R is given by a finite sum of the following form:

$$f(z) := \sum_{i=0}^{R} c_i z^i. \tag{1.121}$$

Therefore, it is natural to represent f(z) by a list of coefficient  $\{c_i\}_i$ . Here is the translator from the coefficient list to a polynomial function:

```
> p2fct :: Num a => [a] -> a -> a
> p2fct [] x = 0
> p2fct (a:as) x = a + (x * p2fct as x)
This gives us<sup>14</sup>
```

\*Univariate> take 10 \$ map (p2fct [1,2,3]) [0..] [1,6,17,34,57,86,121,162,209,262] \*Univariate> take 10 \$ map (\n -> 1+2\*n+3\*n^2) [0..] [1,6,17,34,57,86,121,162,209,262]

To make a lambda, we write a \((\)(because it kind of looks like the greek

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  Here we have used lambda, or so called a nonymous function. From http://learnyouahaskell.com/higher-order-functions

#### 1.3.2Difference analysis

We do not know in general this canonical form of the polynomial, nor the degree. That means, what we can access is the graph of f, i.e., the list of inputs and outputs. Without loss of generality, we can take

$$[0..]$$
 (1.124)

as the input data. Usually we take a finite sublist of this, but we assume it is sufficiently long. The outputs should be

map 
$$f[0..] = [f 0, f 1 ..]$$
 (1.125)

For example

Let us consider the difference sequence

$$\Delta(f)(n) := f(n+1) - f(n). \tag{1.126}$$

Its Haskell version is

```
> -- difference analysis
> difs :: (Num a) => [a] -> [a]
> difs [] = []
> difs [_] = []
> difs (i:jj@(j:js)) = j-i : difs jj
```

This gives

```
*Univariate> difs [1,4,9,16,25,36,49,64,81,100]
[3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19]
*Univariate> difs [3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19]
[2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
```

letter lambda if you squint hard enough) and then we write the parameters, separated by spaces.

For example,

$$f(x) := x^2 + 1$$
 (1.122)  
 $f := \lambda x \cdot x^2 + 1$  (1.123)

$$f := \lambda x \cdot x^2 + 1 \tag{1.123}$$

are the same definition.

#### 1.3. HASKELL IMPLEMENTATION OF UNIVARIATE POLYNOMIALS35

We claim that if f(z) is a polynomial of degree R, then  $\Delta(f)(z)$  is a polynomial of degree R-1. Since the degree is given, we can write f(z) in canonical form

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{R} c_i n^i \tag{1.127}$$

and

$$\Delta(f)(n) := f(n+1) - f(n)$$
 (1.128)

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{R} c_i \left\{ (n+1)^i - n^i \right\}$$
 (1.129)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{R} c_i \left\{ (n+1)^i - n^i \right\}$$
 (1.130)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{R} c_i \left\{ i * n^{i-1} + O(n^{i-2}) \right\}$$
 (1.131)

$$= c_R * R * n^{R-1} + O(n^{R-2}) (1.132)$$

where  $O(n^{i-2})$  is some polynomial(s) of degree i-2.

This guarantees the following function will terminate in finite steps<sup>15</sup>; difLists keeps generating difference lists until the difference get constant.

```
> difLists :: (Eq a, Num a) => [[a]] -> [[a]]
> difLists [] = []
> difLists xx@(xs:xss) =
    if isConst xs then xx
>
                  else difLists $ difs xs : xx
>
    where
      isConst (i:jj@(j:js)) = all (==i) jj
>
      isConst _ = error "difLists: lack of data, or not a polynomial"
Let us try:
  *Univariate> difLists [[-12,-11,6,45,112,213,354,541,780,1077]]
  [[6,6,6,6,6,6,6]
  ,[16,22,28,34,40,46,52,58]
  ,[1,17,39,67,101,141,187,239,297]
  ,[-12,-11,6,45,112,213,354,541,780,1077]
```

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$  If a given lists is generated by a polynomial.

The degree of the polynomial can be computed by difference analysis:

```
> degree' :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> Int
> degree' xs = length (difLists [xs]) -1
For example,
*Univariate> degree [1,4,9,16,25,36,49,64,81,100]
2
*Univariate> take 10 $ map (\n -> n^2+2*n+1) [0..]
[1,4,9,16,25,36,49,64,81,100]
*Univariate> degree $ take 10 $ map (\n -> n^5+4*n^3+1) [0..]
5
```

Above degree' function can only treat finite list, however, the following function can compute the degree of infinite list.

```
> degreeLazy :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> Int
> degreeLazy xs = helper xs 0
> where
> helper as@(a:b:c:_) n
> | a==b && b==c = n
> | otherwise = helper (difs as) (n+1)
```

Note that this lazy function only sees the first two elements of the list (of difference). So first take the lazy degreeLazy and guess the degree, take sufficient finite sublist of output and apply degree'. Here is the hybrid version:

```
> degree :: (Num a, Eq a) => [a] -> Int
> degree xs = let l = degreeLazy xs in
> degree' $ take (1+2) xs
```

## Chapter 2

# Functional reconstruction over $\mathbb{Q}$

The goal of a functional reconstruction algorithm is to identify the monomials appearing in their definition and the corresponding coefficients.

From here, we use  $\mathbb{Q}$  as our base field, but every algorithm can be computed on any field, e.g., finite field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## 2.1 Univariate polynomials

#### 2.1.1 Newtons' polynomial representation

Consider a univariate polynomial f(z). Given a sequence of distinct values  $y_n|_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , we evaluate the polynomial form f(z) sequentially:

$$f_0(z) = a_0 (2.1)$$

$$f_1(z) = a_0 + (z - y_0)a_1$$
 (2.2)

:

$$f_r(z) = a_0 + (z - y_0) (a_1 + (z - y_1)(\dots + (z - y_{r-1})a_r)$$
 (2.3)

$$= f_{r-1}(z) + (z - y_0)(z - y_1) \cdots (z - y_{r-1})a_r, \qquad (2.4)$$

where

$$a_0 = f(y_0) \tag{2.5}$$

$$a_1 = \frac{f(y_1) - a_0}{y_1 - y_0} \tag{2.6}$$

:

$$a_r = \left( \left( (f(y_r) - a_0) \frac{1}{y_r - y_0} - a_1 \right) \frac{1}{y_r - y_1} - \dots - a_{r-1} \right) \frac{1}{y_r - y_{r-1}} (2.7)$$

It is easy to see that,  $f_r(z)$  and the original f(z) match on the given data points, i.e.,

$$f_r(n) = f(n), 0 \le n \le r.$$
 (2.8)

When we have already known the total degree of f(z), say R, then we can terminate this sequential trial:

$$f(z) = f_R(z) (2.9)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{R} a_r \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (z - y_i). \tag{2.10}$$

In practice, a consecutive zero on the sequence  $a_r$  can be taken as the termination condition for this algorithm.<sup>1</sup>

#### 2.1.2 Towards canonical representations

Once we get the Newton's representation

$$\sum_{r=0}^{R} a_r \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (z - y_i) = a_0 + (z - y_0) \left( a_1 + (z - y_1)(\dots + (z - y_{R-1})a_R) \right)$$
 (2.11)

as the reconstructed polynomial, it is convenient to convert it into the canonical form:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{R} c_r z^r. \tag{2.12}$$

This conversion only requires addition and multiplication of univariate polynomials. These operations are reasonably cheap, especially on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

We have not proved, but higher power will be dominant when we take sufficiently big input, so we terminate this sequence when we get a consecutive zero in  $a_r$ .

#### 2.1.3 Simplification of our problem

Without loss of generality, we can put

$$[0..]$$
 (2.13)

as our input list. We usually take its finite part but we assume it has enough length. Corresponding to above input,

map f 
$$[0..]$$
 =  $[f 0, f 1, ...]$  (2.14)

of f :: Ratio Int -> Ratio Int is our output list.

Then we have slightly simpler forms of coefficients:

$$f_r(z) := a_0 + z * (a_1 + (z - 1) (a_2 + (z - 2) (a_3 + \dots + (z - r + 1) a_r)))$$
 (2.15)

$$a_0 = f(0)$$
 (2.16)

$$a_1 = f(y_1) - a_0 (2.17)$$

$$= f(1) - f(0) =: \Delta(f)(0)$$
(2.18)

$$a_2 = \frac{f(2) - a_0}{2} - a_1 \tag{2.19}$$

$$= \frac{f(2) - f(0)}{2} - (f(1) - f(0)) \tag{2.20}$$

$$= \frac{f(2) - 2f(1) - f(0)}{2} \tag{2.21}$$

$$= \frac{(f(2) - f(1)) - (f(1) - f(0))}{2} =: \frac{\Delta^2(f)(0)}{2}$$
 (2.22)

:

$$a_r = \frac{\Delta^r(f)(0)}{r!}, \tag{2.23}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the difference operator in eq.(1.126):

$$\Delta(f)(n) := f(n+1) - f(n). \tag{2.24}$$

In order to simplify our expression, we introduce a falling power:

$$(x)_0 := 1 (2.25)$$

$$(x)_n := x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$$
 (2.26)

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x-i). \tag{2.27}$$

Under these settings, we have

$$f(z) = f_R(z) (2.28)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{R} \frac{\Delta^{r}(f)(0)}{r!} (x)_{r}, \qquad (2.29)$$

where we have assume

$$\Delta^{R+1}(f) = [0, 0, \cdots]. \tag{2.30}$$

#### Example

Consider a polynomial

$$f(z) := 2 * z^3 + 3 * z, \tag{2.31}$$

and its out put list

$$[f(0), f(1), f(3), \cdots] = [0, 5, 22, 63, 140, 265, \cdots]$$
 (2.32)

This polynomial is 3rd degree, so we compute up to  $\Delta^3(f)(0)$ :

$$f(0) = 0 (2.33)$$

$$\Delta(f)(0) = f(1) - f(0) = 5 \tag{2.34}$$

$$\Delta^2(f)(0) = \Delta(f)(1) - \Delta(f)(0)$$

$$= f(2) - f(1) - 5 = 22 - 5 - 5 = 12$$
 (2.35)

$$\Delta^{3}(f)(0) = \Delta^{2}(f)(1) - \Delta^{2}(f)(0)$$

$$= f(3) - f(2) - \{f(2) - f(1)\} - 12 = 12$$
 (2.36)

so we get

$$[0, 5, 12, 12] \tag{2.37}$$

as the difference list. Therefore, we get the falling power representation of f:

$$f(z) = 5(x)_1 + \frac{12}{2}(x)_2 + \frac{12}{3!}(x)_3$$
 (2.38)

$$= 5(x)_1 + 6(x)_2 + 2(x)_3. (2.39)$$

### 2.2 Univariate polynomial reconstruction with Haskell

#### 2.2.1 Newton interpolation formula with Haskell

First, the falling power is naturally given by recursively:

```
> infixr 8 ^- -- falling power
> (^-) :: (Integral a) => a -> a -> a
> x ^- 0 = 1
> x ^- n = (x ^- (n-1)) * (x - n + 1)
```

Assume the differences are given in a list

$$xs = [f(0), \Delta(f)(0), \Delta^{2}(f)(0), \cdots].$$
 (2.40)

Then the implementation of the Newton interpolation formula is as follows:

```
> newtonC :: (Fractional t, Enum t) => [t] -> [t]
> newtonC xs = [x / factorial k | (x,k) <- zip xs [0..]]
> where
> factorial k = product [1..fromInteger k]
```

Consider a polynomial

$$f x = 2*x^3+3*x$$
 (2.41)

Let us try to reconstruct this polynomial from output list. In order to get the list  $[x_0, x_1, x_1, x_n]$ , take difLists and pick the first elements:

```
> let f x = 2*x^3+3*x
> take 10 $ map f [0..]
[0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
> difLists [it]
[[12,12,12,12,12,12]
,[12,24,36,48,60,72,84,96]
,[5,17,41,77,125,185,257,341,437]
,[0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
]
> reverse $ map head it
[0,5,12,12]
```

This list is the same as eq.(2.37) and we get the same expression as eq.(2.39)  $5(x)_1 + 6(x)_2 + 2(x)_3$ :

> newtonC it
[0 % 1,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]

The list of first differences, i.e.,

$$[f(0), \Delta(f)(0), \Delta^{2}(f)(0), \cdots]$$
 (2.42)

can be computed as follows:

```
> firstDifs :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> [a]
> firstDifs xs = reverse $ map head $ difLists [xs]
```

Mapping a list of integers to a Newton representation:

```
> list2npol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
> list2npol = newtonC . firstDifs

*NewtonInterpolation> take 10 $ map f [0..]
[0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
*NewtonInterpolation> list2npol it
[0 % 1,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
```

Therefore, we get the Newton coefficients from the output list.

#### 2.2.2 Stirling numbers of the first kind

We need to map Newton falling powers to standard powers to get the canonical representation. This is a matter of applying combinatorics, by means of a convention formula that uses the so-called Stirling cyclic numbers

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} n\\k \end{array}\right] \tag{2.43}$$

Its defining relation is,  $\forall n > 0$ ,

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k,$$
 (2.44)

and

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} 0\\0 \end{array}\right] := 1. \tag{2.45}$$

#### 2.2. UNIVARIATE POLYNOMIAL RECONSTRUCTION WITH HASKELL43

From the highest order,  $x^n$ , we get

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} n\\n \end{array}\right] = 1, \forall n > 0. \tag{2.46}$$

We also put

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \dots = 0, \tag{2.47}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \dots = 0. \tag{2.48}$$

The key equation is

$$(x)_n = (x)_{n-1} * (x - n + 1)$$
(2.49)

and we get

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k$$
 (2.50)

$$= x^{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{k}$$
 (2.51)

$$(x)_{n-1} * (x - n + 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-)^{n-1-k} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{k+1} - (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k \right\}$$
 (2.52)

$$= \sum_{l=2}^{n} (-)^{n-l} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ l-1 \end{bmatrix} x^{l} + (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{k}$$
 (2.53)

$$= x^n + (n-1)(-)^{n-1}x$$

$$+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (-)^{n-k} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \right\} x^k$$
 (2.54)

$$= x^{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-)^{n-k} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \right\} x^{k}$$
 (2.55)

Therefore,  $\forall n, k > 0$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2.56)

Now we have the following canonical, power representation of reconstructed polynomial

$$f(z) = f_R(z) (2.57)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{R} \frac{\Delta^{r}(f)(0)}{r!}(x)_{r}$$
 (2.58)

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{R} \frac{\Delta^{r}(f)(0)}{r!} \sum_{k=1}^{r} (-)^{r-k} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{k}, \qquad (2.59)$$

So, what shall we do is to sum up order by order.

Here is an implementation, first the Stirling numbers:

```
> stirlingC :: Integer -> Integer
> stirlingC 0 0 = 1
> stirlingC 0 _ = 0
> stirlingC n k = (n-1)*(stirlingC (n-1) k) + stirlingC (n-1) (k-1)
```

This definition can be used to convert from falling powers to standard powers.

We use fall2pol to convert Newton representations to standard polynomials in coefficients list representation. Here we have uses sum to collect same order terms in list representation.

#### 2.2.3 list2pol: from output list to canonical coefficients

Finally, here is the function for computing a polynomial from an output sequence:

```
> list2pol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
> list2pol = npol2pol . list2npol
```

Here are some checks on these functions:

```
Reconstruction as curve fitting
  *NewtonInterpolation> list2pol $ map (\n -> 7*n^2+3*n-4) [0..100]
  [(-4) % 1,3 % 1,7 % 1]

*NewtonInterpolation> list2pol [0,1,5,14,30]
  [0 % 1,1 % 6,1 % 2,1 % 3]
  *NewtonInterpolation> map (\n -> n%6 + n^2%2 + n^3%3) [0..4]
  [0 % 1,1 % 1,5 % 1,14 % 1,30 % 1]

*NewtonInterpolation> map (p2fct $ list2pol [0,1,5,14,30]) [0..8]
  [0 % 1,1 % 1,5 % 1,14 % 1,30 % 1,55 % 1,91 % 1,140 % 1,204 % 1]
```

First example shows that from the sufficiently long output list, we can reconstruct the list of coefficients. Second example shows that from a given outputs, we have a list coefficients. Then use these coefficients, we define the output list of the function, and they match. The last example shows that from a limited (but sufficient) output information, we reconstruct a function and get extra outputs outside from the given data.

#### 2.3 Univariate rational functions

We use the same notion, i.e., what we can know is the output-list of a univariate rational function, say f::Int -> Ratio Int:

map f 
$$[0..]$$
 ==  $[f 0, f 1..]$  (2.60)

#### 2.3.1Thiele's interpolation formula

We evaluate the polynomial form f(z) as a continued fraction:

$$f_0(z) = a_0 (2.61)$$

$$f_0(z) = a_0$$
 (2.61)  
 $f_1(z) = a_0 + \frac{z}{a_1}$  (2.62)

$$\vdots 
f_r(z) = a_0 + \frac{z}{a_1 + \frac{z - 1}{a_2 + \frac{z - 2}{a_{r-2} + \frac{z}{a_{r-1} + \frac{z - r + 1}{a_r}}}}, (2.63)$$

where

$$a_0 = f(0)$$
 (2.64)

$$a_0 = f(0)$$
 (2.64)  
 $a_1 = \frac{1}{f(1) - a_0}$  (2.65)

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{f(2) - a_0} - a_1} \tag{2.66}$$

$$a_{r} = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}} - a_{r-1}$$

$$\frac{3}{\frac{\vdots}{f(r) - a_{0}} - a_{1}}$$
(2.67)

$$f(r) - a_0$$

$$= \left( \left( (f(r) - a_0)^{-1} r - a_1 \right)^{-1} (r - 1) - \dots - a_{r-1} \right)^{-1} 1$$
 (2.68)

#### 2.4. UNIVARIATE RATIONAL FUNCTION RECONSTRUCTION WITH HASKELL47

#### 2.3.2 Towards canonical representations

In order to get a unique representation of canonical form

$$\frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}} \tag{2.69}$$

we put

$$d_{\min r'} = 1 \tag{2.70}$$

as a normalization, instead of  $d_0$ . However, if we meet 0 as a singular value, then we can shift s.t. the new  $d_0 \neq 0$ . So without loss of generality, we can assume f(0) is not singular, i.e., the denominator of f has a nonzero constant term:

$$d_0 = 1 (2.71)$$

$$f(z) = \frac{\sum_{i} n_{i} z^{i}}{1 + \sum_{j>0} d_{z}^{j}}.$$
 (2.72)

#### 2.4 Univariate rational function reconstruction with Haskell

Here we the same notion of

https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27s\_interpolation\_ formula

and especially

https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27s\_interpolation\_ formula#C

#### 2.4.1 Reciprocal difference

We claim, without proof<sup>2</sup>, that the Thiele coefficients are given by

$$a_0 := f(0)$$
 (2.73)

$$a_n := \rho_{n,0} - \rho_{n-2,0},$$
 (2.74)

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  See the ref.4, Theorem (2.2.2.5) in 2nd edition.

where  $\rho$  is so called the reciprocal difference:

$$\rho_{n,i} := 0, n < 0 \tag{2.75}$$

$$\rho_{0,i} := f(i), i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
(2.76)

$$\rho_{n,i} := \frac{n}{\rho_{n-1,i+1} - \rho_{n-1,i}} + \rho_{n-2,i+1} \tag{2.77}$$

These preparation helps us to write the following codes:

Thiele's interpolation formula

Reciprocal difference rho, using the same notation of https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27s\_interpolation\_formula#C

```
> rho :: [Ratio Int] -- A list of output of f :: Int -> Ratio Int
> -> Int -> Int -> Ratio Int
> rho fs 0 i = fs !! i
> rho fs n _
> | n < 0 = 0
> rho fs n i = (n*den)%num + rho fs (n-2) (i+1)
> where
> num = numerator next
> den = denominator next
> next = (rho fs (n-1) (i+1)) - (rho fs (n-1) i)

Note that (%) has the following type,
    (%) :: Integral a => a -> a -> Ratio a
> a fs 0 = fs !! 0
> a fs n = rho fs n 0 - rho fs (n-2) 0
```

#### 2.4.2 tDegree for termination

Now let us consider a simple example which is given by the following Thiele coefficients

$$a_0 = 1, a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 4.$$
 (2.78)

#### 2.4. UNIVARIATE RATIONAL FUNCTION RECONSTRUCTION WITH HASKELL49

The function is now

$$f(x) := 1 + \frac{x}{2 + \frac{x - 1}{3 + \frac{x - 2}{4}}}$$

$$= \frac{x^2 + 16x + 16}{16 + 6x}$$
(2.79)

$$= \frac{x^2 + 16x + 16}{16 + 6x} \tag{2.80}$$

Using Maxima<sup>3</sup>, we can verify this:

```
(%i25) f(x) := 1+(x/(2+(x-1)/(3+(x-2)/4)));
(\%025) f(x):=x/(2+(x-1)/(3+(x-2)/4))+1
(%i26) ratsimp(f(x));
(\%026) (x^2+16*x+16)/(16+6*x)
```

Let us come back Haskell, and try to get the Thiele coefficients of

```
*Univariate> let func x = (x^2 + 16*x + 16)\%(6*x + 16)
*Univariate> let fs = map func [0..]
*Univariate> map (a fs) [0..]
[1 % 1,2 % 1,3 % 1,4 % 1,*** Exception: Ratio has zero denominator
```

This is clearly unsafe, so let us think more carefully. Observe the reciprocal differences

```
*Univariate> let fs = map func [0..]
*Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 0) [0..]
[1 % 1,3 % 2,13 % 7,73 % 34,12 % 5]
*Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 1) [0..]
[2 % 1,14 % 5,238 % 69,170 % 43,230 % 53]
*Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 2) [0..]
[4 % 1,79 % 16,269 % 44,667 % 88,413 % 44]
*Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 3) [0..]
[6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1]
```

So, the constancy of the reciprocal differences can be used to get the depth of Thiele series:

```
> tDegree :: [Ratio Int] -> Int
> tDegree fs = helper fs 0
```

<sup>3</sup> http://maxima.sourceforge.net

```
> where
> helper fs n
> | isConstants fs' = n
> | otherwise = helper fs (n+1)
> where
> fs' = map (rho fs n) [0..]
> isConstants (i:j:_) = i==j -- 2 times match
> -- isConstants (i:j:k:_) = i==j && j==k
```

Using this tDegree function, we can safely take the (finite) Thiele sequence.

#### 2.4.3 thieleC

From the equation (3.26) of ref.1,

```
*Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
*Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]
*Univariate> tDegree hs
4
```

So we get the Thiele coefficients

```
*Univariate> map (a hs) [0..(tDegree hs)]
[3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
```

Plug these in the continued fraction, and simplify with Maxima

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \text{(\%i35)} & \text{h(t):=3+t/((-23/42)+(t-1)/((-28/13)+(t-2)/((767/14)+(t-3)/(7/130))));} \\ \text{(\%o35)} & \text{h(t):=t/((-23)/42+(t-1)/((-28)/13+(t-2)/(767/14+(t-3)/(7/130))))+3} \\ \text{(\%i36)} & \text{ratsimp(h(t));} \\ \text{(\%o36)} & \text{(18*t^2+6*t+3)/(1+2*t+20*t^2)} \end{array}
```

Finally we make a function thieleC that returns the Thiele coefficients:

```
> thieleC :: [Ratio Int] -> [Ratio Int]
> thieleC lst = map (a lst) [0..(tDegree lst)]

*Univariate> thieleC hs
[3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
```

We need a convertor from this Thiele sequence to continuous form of rational function.

```
> nextStep [a0,a1] (v:_) = a0 + v/a1
> nextStep (a:as) (v:vs) = a + (v / nextStep as vs)
> -- From thiele sequence to (rational) function.
> thiele2ratf :: Integral a => [Ratio a] -> (Ratio a -> Ratio a)
> thiele2ratf as x
    | x == 0 = head as
    | otherwise = nextStep as [x,x-1 ..]
The following example shows that, the given output lists hs, we can inter-
polate the value between our discrete data.
  *Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)\%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
  *Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]
  *Univariate> take 5 hs
  [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47]
  *Univariate> let as = thieleC hs
  *Univariate> as
  [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
  *Univariate> let th x = thiele2ratf as x
  *Univariate> map th [0..5]
  [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47,69 % 73]
  *Univariate> th 0.5
  3 % 2
2.4.4 Haskell representation for rational functions
We represent a rational function by a tuple of coefficient lists, like,
            (ns,ds) :: ([Ratio Int],[Ratio Int])
                                                             (2.81)
   Here is a translator from coefficients lists to rational function.
> lists2ratf :: (Integral a) =>
    ([Ratio a],[Ratio a]) -> (Ratio a -> Ratio a)
> lists2ratf (ns,ds) x = (p2fct ns x)/(p2fct ds x)
```

\*Univariate > let frac x = lists2ratf ([1,1%2,1%3], [2,2%3]) x

\*Univariate> let ffrac x =  $(1+(1\%2)*x+(1\%3)*x^2)/(2+(2\%3)*x)$ 

[1 % 2,11 % 16,1 % 1,11 % 8,25 % 14,71 % 32,8 % 3,25 % 8,79 % 22,65 % 16]

[1 % 2,11 % 16,1 % 1,11 % 8,25 % 14,71 % 32,8 % 3,25 % 8,79 % 22,65 % 16]

\*Univariate> take 10 \$ map frac [0..]

\*Univariate> take 10 \$ map ffrac [0..]

Simply taking numerator and denominator polynomials.

The following canonicalizer reduces the tuple-rep of rational function in canonical form, i.e., the coefficient of the lowest degree term of the denominator to be  $1^4$ .

```
> canonicalize :: (Integral a) =>
    ([Ratio a], [Ratio a]) -> ([Ratio a], [Ratio a])
 canonicalize rat@(ns,ds)
    | dMin == 1 = rat
    | otherwise = (map (/dMin) ns, map (/dMin) ds)
      dMin = firstNonzero ds
      firstNonzero [a] = a -- head
      firstNonzero (a:as)
        | a /= 0 = a
        | otherwise = firstNonzero as
  *Univariate > canonicalize ([1,1%2,1%3],[2,2%3])
  ([1 % 2,1 % 4,1 % 6],[1 % 1,1 % 3])
  *Univariate> canonicalize ([1,1%2,1%3],[0,0,2,2%3])
  ([1 % 2,1 % 4,1 % 6],[0 % 1,0 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 3])
  *Univariate> canonicalize ([1,1%2,1%3],[0,0,0,2%3])
  ([3 % 2,3 % 4,1 % 2],[0 % 1,0 % 1,0 % 1,1 % 1])
```

What we need is a translator from Thiele coefficients to this tuple-rep. Since the list of Thiele coefficients is finite, we can naturally think recursively.

Before we go to a general case, consider

$$f(x) := 1 + \frac{x}{2 + \frac{x-1}{3 + \frac{x-2}{4}}}$$
 (2.82)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Here our data point start from 0, i.e., the output data is given by map f [0..], 0 is not singular, i.e., the denominator should have constant term and that means non empty. Therefore, the function firstNonzero is actually head.

#### 2.4. UNIVARIATE RATIONAL FUNCTION RECONSTRUCTION WITH HASKELL53

When we simplify this expression, we should start from the bottom:

$$f(x) = 1 + \frac{x}{2 + \frac{x-1}{4*3 + x - 2}}$$
 (2.83)

$$= 1 + \frac{x}{2 + \frac{x-1}{x+10}} \tag{2.84}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{x}{\frac{2*(x+10)+4*(x-1)}{x+10}}$$
 (2.85)

$$= 1 + \frac{x}{\frac{6x+16}{x+10}} \tag{2.86}$$

$$= \frac{1*(6x+16) + x*(x+10)}{6x+16}$$
 (2.87)

$$= \frac{x^2 + 16x + 16}{6x + 16} \tag{2.88}$$

Finally, if we need, we take its canonical form:

$$f(x) = \frac{1 + x + \frac{1}{16}x^2}{1 + \frac{3}{8}x}$$
 (2.89)

In general, we have the following Thiele representation:

$$a_{0} + \frac{z}{a_{1} + \frac{z - 1}{a_{2} + \frac{z - 2}{\vdots}}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{n} + \frac{z - n}{a_{n+1}}$$
(2.90)

The base case should be

$$a_n + \frac{z-n}{a_{n+1}} = \frac{a_{n+1} * a_n - n + z}{a_{n+1}}$$
 (2.91)

and induction step  $0 \le r \le n$  should be

$$a_r(z) = a_r + \frac{z - r}{a_{r+1}(z)}$$
 (2.92)

$$= \frac{a_r a_{r+1}(z) + z - r}{a_{r+1}(z)} \tag{2.93}$$

$$= \frac{a_{r+1}(z)}{a_{r+1}(z) + z - r}$$

$$= \frac{a_r * \operatorname{num}(a_{r+1}(z)) + \operatorname{den}(a_{r+1}(z)) * (z - r)}{\operatorname{num}(a_{r+1}(z))}$$
(2.93)

where

$$a_{r+1}(z) = \frac{\operatorname{num}(a_{r+1}(z))}{\operatorname{den}(a_{r+1}(z))}$$
(2.95)

is a canonical representation of  $a_{n+1}(z)^5$ .

Thus, the implementation is the followings.

```
> thiele2coef :: (Integral a) =>
    [Ratio a] -> ([Ratio a], [Ratio a])
 thiele2coef as = canonicalize $ t2r as 0
      t2r [an,an'] n = ([an*an'-n,1],[an'])
      t2r (a:as) n = ((a .* num) + ([-n,1] * den), num)
        where
          (num, den) = t2r as (n+1)
  From the first example,
  *Univariate> let func x = (x^2+16*x+16)\%(6*x+16)
  *Univariate> let funcList = map func [0..]
  *Univariate> tDegree funcList
  *Univariate> take 5 funcList
  [1 % 1,3 % 2,13 % 7,73 % 34,12 % 5]
  *Univariate> let aFunc = thieleC funcList
  *Univariate> aFunc
  [1 % 1,2 % 1,3 % 1,4 % 1]
  *Univariate> thiele2coef aFunc
  ([1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 16],[1 % 1,3 % 8])
```

From the other example, equation (3.26) of ref.1,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Not necessary being a canonical representation, it suffices to express  $a_{n+1}(z)$  in a polynomial over polynomial form, that is, two lists in Haskell.

```
*Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
*Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]
*Univariate> take 5 hs
[3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47]
*Univariate> let th x = thiele2ratf as x
*Univariate> map th [0..5]
[3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47,69 % 73]
*Univariate> as
[3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
*Univariate> thiele2coef as
([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,2 % 1,20 % 1])
```

#### 2.4.5 lists2rat: from output lists to canonical coefficients

Finally, we get

```
> lists2rat :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> ([Ratio a], [Ratio a])
> lists2rat = thiele2Coef . thieleC

as the reconstruction function from the output sequence.

*Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
*Univariate> lists2rat $ map h [0..]
  ([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,2 % 1,20 % 1])
```

## 2.5 Multivariate polynomials

From now on, we will use only the following functions from univariate cases.

Multivariate.lhs

```
> module Multivariate
> where

> import Data.Ratio
> import Univariate
> ( degree, list2pol
> , thiele2ratf, lists2ratf, thiele2coef, lists2rat
> )
```

#### 2.5.1 Foldings as recursive applications

Consider an arbitrary multivariate polynomial

$$f(z_1, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{K}[z_1, \cdots, z_n]. \tag{2.96}$$

First, fix all the variable but 1st and apply the univariate Newton's reconstruction:

$$f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{r=0}^{R} a_r(z_2, \dots, z_n) \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (z_1 - y_i)$$
 (2.97)

Recursively, pick up one "coefficient" and apply the univariate Newton's reconstruction on  $z_2$ :

$$a_r(z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{s=0}^{S} b_s(z_3, \dots, z_n) \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} (z_2 - x_j)$$
 (2.98)

The terminate condition should be the univariate case.

#### 2.5.2 Experiments, 2 variables case

Let us take a polynomial from the denominator in eq.(3.23) of ref.1.

$$f(z_1, z_2) = 3 + 2z_1 + 4z_2 + 7z_1^2 + 5z_1z_2 + 6z_2^2$$
(2.99)

In Haskell, first, fix  $z_2 = 0, 1, 2$  and identify  $f(z_1, 0), f(z_1, 1), f(z_1, 2)$  as our univariate polynomials.

- \*Multivariate> let f z1 z2 =  $3+2*z1+4*z2+7*z1^2+5*z1*z2+6*z2^2$
- \*Multivariate> let fs z = map ('f' z) [0..]
- \*Multivariate> let llst = map fs [0,1,2]
- \*Multivariate> map degree llst

[2,2,2]

Fine, so the canonical form can be

$$f(z_1, z) = c_0(z) + c_1(z)z_1 + c_2(z)z_1^2.$$
(2.100)

Now our new target is three univariate polynomials  $c_0(z), c_1(z), c_2(z)$ .

\*Multivariate> list2pol \$ take 10 \$ fs 0

[3 % 1,2 % 1,7 % 1]

\*Multivariate> list2pol \$ take 10 \$ fs 1

[13 % 1,7 % 1,7 % 1]

\*Multivariate> list2pol \$ take 10 \$ fs 2

[35 % 1,12 % 1,7 % 1]

That is

$$f(z,0) = 3 + 2z + 7z^2 (2.101)$$

$$f(z,1) = 13 + 7z + 7z^2 (2.102)$$

$$f(z,2) = 35 + 12z + 7z^2. (2.103)$$

From these observation, we can determine  $c_2(z)$ , since it already a constant sequence.

$$c_2(z) = 7 (2.104)$$

Consider  $c_1(z)$ , the sequence is now enough to determine  $c_1(z)$ :

\*Multivariate> degree [2,7,12]

1

\*Multivariate> list2pol [2,7,12]

[2 % 1,5 % 1]

i.e.,

$$c_1(z) = 2 + 5z. (2.105)$$

However, for  $c_1(z)$ 

\*Multivariate> degree [3, 13, 35]

\*\*\* Exception: difLists: lack of data, or not a polynomial CallStack (from HasCallStack):

error, called at ./Univariate.lhs:61:19 in main:Univariate

so we need more numbers. Let us try one more:

\*Multivariate> list2pol \$ take 10 \$ map ('f' 3) [0..] [69 % 1,17 % 1,7 % 1] \*Multivariate> degree [3, 13, 35, 69] 2 \*Multivariate> list2pol [3,13,35,69] [3 % 1,4 % 1,6 % 1]

Thus we have

$$c_0(z) = 3 + 4z + 6z^2 (2.106)$$

and these fully determine our polynomial:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = (3 + 4z_2 + 6z_2^2) + (2 + 5z_2)z_1 + 7z_1^2.$$
 (2.107)

As another experiment, take the denominator.

```
*Multivariate> let g x y = 1+7*x + 8*y + 10*x^2 + x*y+9*y^2 *Multivariate> let gs x = map (g x) [0..] *Multivariate> map degree $ map gs [0..3] [2,2,2,2]
```

So the canonical form should be

$$g(x,y) = c_0(x) + c_1(x)y + c_2(x)y^2$$
(2.108)

Let us look at these coefficient polynomial:

```
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ gs 0 [1 % 1,8 % 1,9 % 1] 
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ gs 1 [18 % 1,9 % 1,9 % 1] 
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ gs 2 [55 % 1,10 % 1,9 % 1] 
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ gs 3 [112 % 1,11 % 1,9 % 1]
```

So we get

$$c_2(x) = 9 (2.109)$$

and

```
*Multivariate> map (list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4]

[[1 % 1,8 % 1,9 % 1]
,[18 % 1,9 % 1,9 % 1]
,[55 % 1,10 % 1,9 % 1]
,[112 % 1,11 % 1,9 % 1]
,[189 % 1,12 % 1,9 % 1]
]

*Multivariate> map head it
[1 % 1,18 % 1,55 % 1,112 % 1,189 % 1]

*Multivariate> list2pol it
[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1]

*Multivariate> list2pol $ map (head . list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4]
[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1]
```

Using index operator (!!),

\*Multivariate> list2pol \$ map ((!! 0) . list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4] [1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1] \*Multivariate> list2pol \$ map ((!! 1) . list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4] [8 % 1,1 % 1]

\*Multivariate> list2pol \$ map ((!! 2) . list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4] [9 % 1]

Finally we get

$$c_0(x) = 1 + 7x + 10x^2, c_1(x) = 8 + x, (c_2(x) = 9,)$$
 (2.110)

and

$$g(x,y) = (1+7x+10x^2) + (8+x)y + 9y^2$$
(2.111)

#### 2.6 Multivariate rational functions

#### 2.6.1 The canonical normalization

Our target is a pair of coefficients  $(\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}, \{d_{\beta}\}_{\beta})$  in

$$\frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}} \tag{2.112}$$

A canonical choice is

$$d_0 = d_{(0,\dots,0)} = 1. (2.113)$$

Accidentally we might face  $d_0 = 0$ , but we can shift our function and make

$$d_0' = d_s \neq 0. (2.114)$$

#### 2.6.2 An auxiliary t

Introducing an auxiliary variable t, let us define

$$h(z,t) := f(tz_1, \cdots, tz_n),$$
 (2.115)

and reconstruct h(t, z) as a univariate rational function of t:

$$h(z,t) = \frac{\sum_{r=0}^{R} p_r(z)t^r}{1 + \sum_{r'=1}^{R'} q_{r'}(z)t^{r'}}$$
(2.116)

where

$$p_r(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \tag{2.117}$$

$$p_r(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$$

$$q_{r'}(z) = \sum_{|\beta|=r'} n_{\beta} z^{\beta}$$

$$(2.117)$$

are homogeneous polynomials.

Thus, what we shall do is the (homogeneous) polynomial reconstructions of  $p_r(z)|_{0 \le r \le R}$ ,  $q_{r'}|_{1 \le r' \le R'}$ .

#### A simplification

Since our new targets are homogeneous polynomials, we can consider, say,

$$p_r(1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \tag{2.119}$$

instead of  $p_r(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ , reconstruct it using multivariate Newton's method, and homogenize with  $z_1$ .

#### 2.6.3 Experiments, 2 variables case

Consider the equation (3.23) in ref.1.

```
*Multivariate> let f x y = (3+2*x+4*y+7*x^2+5*x*y+6*y^2)
                            \% (1+7*x+8*y+10*x^2+x*y+9*y^2)
*Multivariate> :t f
f :: Integral a => a -> a -> Ratio a
*Multivariate> let h x y t = f (t*x) (t*y)
*Multivariate> let hs x y = map (h x y) [0...]
*Multivariate> take 5 $ hs 0 0
[3 % 1,3 % 1,3 % 1,3 % 1,3 % 1]
*Multivariate> take 5 $ hs 0 1
[3 % 1,13 % 18,35 % 53,69 % 106,115 % 177]
*Multivariate> take 5 $ hs 1 0
[3 % 1,2 % 3,7 % 11,9 % 14,41 % 63]
*Multivariate> take 5 $ hs 1 1
[3 % 1,3 % 4,29 % 37,183 % 226,105 % 127]
```

Here we have introduced the auxiliary t as third argument.

We take (x, y) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3) and reconstruct them<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Eq.(3.26) in ref.1 is different from our reconstruction.

```
*Multivariate> lists2rat $ hs 1 0
([3 % 1,2 % 1,7 % 1],[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1])
*Multivariate> lists2rat $ hs 1 1
([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,15 % 1,20 % 1])
*Multivariate> lists2rat $ hs 1 2
([3 % 1,10 % 1,41 % 1],[1 % 1,23 % 1,48 % 1])
*Multivariate> lists2rat $ hs 1 3
([3 % 1,14 % 1,76 % 1],[1 % 1,31 % 1,94 % 1])
```

So we have

$$h(1,0,t) = \frac{3+2t+7t^2}{1+7t+10t^2}$$
 (2.120)

$$h(1,1,t) = \frac{3+6t+18t^2}{1+15t+20t^2}$$
 (2.121)

$$h(1,2,t) = \frac{3+10t+41t^2}{1+23t+48t^2}$$
 (2.122)

$$h(1,0,t) = \frac{3+2t+7t^2}{1+7t+10t^2}$$

$$h(1,1,t) = \frac{3+6t+18t^2}{1+15t+20t^2}$$

$$h(1,2,t) = \frac{3+10t+41t^2}{1+23t+48t^2}$$

$$h(1,3,t) = \frac{3+14t+76t^2}{1+31t+94t^2}$$
(2.120)

Our next targets are the coefficients as polynomials in  $y^7$ .

Let us consider numerator first. This list is Haskell representation for eq.(2.120), eq.(2.121), eq.(2.122) and eq.(2.123).

```
*Multivariate> let list = map (lists2rat . (hs 1)) [0..4]
*Multivariate> let numf = map fst list
*Multivariate> list
[([3 % 1,2 % 1,7 % 1],[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1])
,([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,15 % 1,20 % 1])
,([3 % 1,10 % 1,41 % 1],[1 % 1,23 % 1,48 % 1])
,([3 % 1,14 % 1,76 % 1],[1 % 1,31 % 1,94 % 1])
,([3 % 1,18 % 1,123 % 1],[1 % 1,39 % 1,158 % 1])
*Multivariate> numf
[[3 % 1,2 % 1,7 % 1]
,[3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1]
,[3 % 1,10 % 1,41 % 1]
,[3 % 1,14 % 1,76 % 1]
,[3 % 1,18 % 1,123 % 1]
```

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  In our example, we take x=1 fixed and reproduce x-dependence using homogenization

From this information, we reconstruct each polynomials

```
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map head numf
[3 % 1]

*Multivariate> list2pol $ map (head . tail) numf
[2 % 1,4 % 1]

*Multivariate> list2pol $ map last numf
[7 % 1,5 % 1,6 % 1]
```

that is we have  $3, 2 + 4y, 7 + 5y + 6y^2$  as results. Similarly,

```
*Multivariate> let denf = map snd list

*Multivariate> denf

[[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1]
,[1 % 1,15 % 1,20 % 1]
,[1 % 1,23 % 1,48 % 1]
,[1 % 1,31 % 1,94 % 1]
,[1 % 1,39 % 1,158 % 1]
]

*Multivariate> list2pol $ map head denf
[1 % 1]

*Multivariate> list2pol $ map (head . tail) denf
[7 % 1,8 % 1]

*Multivariate> list2pol $ map last denf
[10 % 1,1 % 1,9 % 1]
```

So we get

$$h(1,y,t) = \frac{3 + (2+4y)t + (7+5y+6y^2)t^2}{1 + (7+8y)t + (10+y+9y^2)t^2}$$
(2.124)

Finally, we use the homogeneous property for each powers:

$$h(x,y,t) = \frac{3 + (2x + 4y)t + (7x^2 + 5xy + 6y^2)t^2}{1 + (7x + 8y)t + (10x^2 + xy + 9y^2)t^2}$$
(2.125)

Putting t = 1, we get

$$f(x,y) = h(x,y,1)$$

$$= \frac{3 + (2x + 4y) + (7x^2 + 5xy + 6y^2)}{1 + (7x + 8y) + (10x^2 + xy + 9y^2)}$$
(2.126)

# Chapter 3

TBA Functional reconstruction over finite fields

## 64CHAPTER 3. TBA FUNCTIONAL RECONSTRUCTION OVER FINITE FIELDS

# Chapter 4

# Codes

#### 4.1 Ffield.lhs

Listing 4.1: Ffield.lhs

```
1 Ffield.lhs
3 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1608.01902.pdf
5 > module Ffield where
7 > import Data.Ratio
8 > import Data.Maybe
9 \rightarrow \mathtt{import} \ \mathtt{Data.Numbers.Primes}
10 > import System.Random
12 > coprime :: Integral a => a -> a -> Bool
13 > coprime a b = gcd a b == 1
15 Consider a finite ring
     Z_n := [0..(n-1)]
17 If any non-zero element has its multiplication inverse,
      then the ring is a field:
18
19 > isField :: Integral a => a -> Bool
20 > isField = isPrime
21
22 Here we would like to implement the extended Euclidean
      algorithm.
23 See the algorithm, examples, and pseudo code at:
24
```

```
25
     https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/
        Extended_Euclidean_algorithm
26
     http://qiita.com/bra_cat_ket/items/205c19611e21f3d422b7
27
28 > exGCD' :: (Integral n) => n -> n -> ([n], [n], [n], [n])
      ])
29 > exGCD, a b = (qs, rs, ss, ts)
30 >
       where
31 >
         qs = zipWith quot rs (tail rs)
32 >
         rs = takeUntil (==0) r'
33 >
         r' = steps a b
34 >
         ss = steps 1 0
35 >
         ts = steps 0 1
36 >
         steps a b = rr
37 >
           where
38 >
             rr@(\_:rs) = a:b: zipWith (-) rr (zipWith (*) qs
       rs)
39 >
40 > takeUntil :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
41 > takeUntil p = foldr func []
42 >
       where
43 >
         func x xs
44 >
           | p x = []
45 >
           | otherwise = x : xs
47 This example is from wikipedia:
48
     *Ffield> exGCD' 240 46
49
50
     ([5,4,1,1,2]
51
     ,[240,46,10,6,4,2]
52
     ,[1,0,1,-4,5,-9,23]
53
     ,[0,1,-5,21,-26,47,-120]
54
55
     *Ffield> gcd 240 46
56
57
     *Ffield > 240*(-9) + 46*(47)
58
59
60 > -- a*x + b*y = gcd a b
61 > exGCD :: Integral t => t -> t -> (t, t, t)
62 > exGCD a b = (g, x, y)
63 >
       where
64 >
         (\_,r,s,t) = exGCD', a b
         g = last r
65 >
66 >
         x = last . init $ s
```

4.1. FFIELD.LHS 67

```
67 >
          y = last . init $ t
68
69 Example Z_{11}
70
71
      *Ffield> isField 11
72
      True
      *Ffield> map (exGCD 11) [0..10]
73
      [(11,1,0),(1,0,1),(1,1,-5),(1,-1,4),(1,-1,3)
75
      ,(1,1,-2),(1,-1,2),(1,2,-3),(1,3,-4),(1,-4,5),(1,1,-1)
76
77
78
      *Ffield> map (('mod' 11) . (\(_,_,x)->x) . exGCD 11)
         [1..10]
      [1,6,4,3,9,2,8,7,5,10]
79
80
81 We get non-zero elements with its inverse:
82
83
      *Ffield> zip [1..10] it
84
      [(1,1),(2,6),(3,4),(4,3),(5,9),(6,2),(7,8),(8,7),(9,5)
         ,(10,10)]
86 > -- a^{-1} (in Z_p) == a 'inversep' p
87 > inversep :: Integral a => a -> a -> Maybe a
88 > a 'inversep' p = let (g,x,_) = exGCD a p in
89 > -- if isPrime p then Just (x 'mod' p)
90 >
      if (g == 1) then Just (x 'mod' p)
91 >
                    else Nothing
92 >
93 > inversesp :: Integral a => a -> [Maybe a]
94 > inversesp p = map ('inversep' p) [1..(p-1)]
96 A map from Q to Z_p.
97
98 > -- p  should be prime.
99 > modp :: Integral a => Ratio a -> a -> a
100 > q 'modp' p = (a * (bi 'mod' p)) 'mod' p
101 >
        where
102 >
          (a,b) = (numerator q, denominator q)
103 >
          bi = fromJust (b 'inversep' p)
104
105 Example: on Z_{11}
106 Consider (3 % 7).
107
108
      *Ffield> let q = 3\%7
109
      *Ffield> 3 'mod' 11
```

```
110
      3
      *Ffield> 7 'inversep' 11
111
112
      Just 8
      *Ffield> fromJust it * 3 'mod' 11
113
114
115
116 On Z_{11}, (7^{-1}) 'mod' 11) is equal to (8 'mod' 11) and
117
      (3%7) |-> (3 * (7<sup>{-1</sup>} 'mod' 11) 'mod' 11)
118
                   == (3*8 'mod' 11)
119
120
                  == 2 ' mod 11
121
122
      *Ffield> (3%7) 'modp' 11
123
124
125 Example of reconstruction Z_p \rightarrow Q
126
127
      *Ffield > let q = (1\%3)
      *Ffield> take 3 $ dropWhile (<100) primes
128
129
      [101,103,107]
130
      *Ffield > q 'modp' 101
131
      34
      *Ffield > let rec x = exGCD', (q'modp', x) x
132
133
      *Ffield> rec 101
134
      ([0,2,1,33],[34,101,34,33,1],[1,0,1,-2,3,-101],[0,1,0,1,-1,34])
135
      *Ffield> rec 103
136
      ([0,1,2,34],[69,103,69,34,1],[1,0,1,-1,3,-103],[0,1,0,1,-2,69])
137
      *Ffield> rec 107
138
      ([0,2,1,35],[36,107,36,35,1],[1,0,1,-2,3,-107],[0,1,0,1,-1,36])
139
140 > -- This is guess function without Chinese Reminder
        Theorem.
141 > guess :: Integral t =>
                           -- (q 'modp' p, p)
142 >
                 (t, t)
143 >
             \rightarrow (Ratio t, t)
144 > guess (a, p) = let (\_, rs, ss, \_) = exGCD', a p in
145 >
        (select rs ss p, p)
146 >
           where
147 >
             select :: Integral t \Rightarrow [t] \rightarrow [t] \rightarrow t \rightarrow Ratio
        t
148 >
             select [] _ _ = 0%1
             select (r:rs) (s:ss) p
149 >
```

4.1. FFIELD.LHS 69

```
150 >
              | s /= 0 && r*r <= p && s*s <= p = r%s
151 >
              / otherwise = select rs ss p
152 >
153 > -- Hard code of big primes
154 > -- For chinese reminder theorem we declare it as [
       Integer].
155 > bigPrimes :: [Integer]
156 > bigPrimes = dropWhile (< 897473) $ takeWhile (< 978948)
        primes
157 >
158 > matches3 :: Eq a => [a] -> a
159 > matches3 (a:bb@(b:c:cs))
160 >
      / a == b && b == c = a
161 >
        / otherwise
                         = matches3 bb
162
163 What we know is a list of (q 'modp' p) and prime p.
164
165
     *Ffield > let q = 10\%19
166
      *Ffield> let knownData = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes)
         bigPrimes
167
      168
      10 % 19
169
170 > -- This function does not use CRT, so it can fail (0
       (10^3)%0(10^3)).
171 > reconstruct :: Integral a =>
172 >
                     [(a, a)] -- :: [(Z_p, primes)]
                  \rightarrow Ratio a
173 >
174 > reconstruct aps = matches3 $ map (fst . guess) aps
175
176 Here is a naive test:
177
     > let qs = [1 % 3, 10 % 19, 41 % 17, 30 % 311, 311 % 32
                 ,869 % 232, 778 % 123, 331 % 739
178
179
180
      > let func q = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes) bigPrimes
181
     > let longList = map func qs
182
     > map reconstruct longList
183
     [1 % 3,10 % 19,41 % 17,30 % 311,311 % 32
184
      ,869 % 232,778 % 123,331 % 739
185
     > it == qs
186
187
      True
188
189 > matches3' :: Eq a \Rightarrow [(a, t)] \rightarrow (a, t)
190 > matches3' (a0@(a,_):bb@((b,_):(c,_):cs))
```

```
| a == b \&\& b == c = a0
192 >
        / otherwise
                            = matches3 ' bb
193
      *Ffield > let q = (331\%739)
194
195
      (0.01 secs, 48,472 bytes)
196
      *Ffield > let knownData = zip (map (modp q) primes)
         primes
197
      (0.02 secs, 39,976 bytes)
198
      *Ffield> matches3' $ map guess knownData
199
      (331 % 739,614693)
200
      (19.92 secs, 12,290,852,136 bytes)
201
202 --
203 Test, we first tried to use QuickCheck, but it does not
       work.
204
205 > 1-
206 > trial = do
        n \leftarrow randomRIO (0,1000) :: IO Int
208 >
        d \leftarrow randomRIO (1,1000) :: IO Int
        putStrLn $ "input: " ++ show (n%d)
209 >
210 >
       let knownData = zip (map (modp (n%d)) bigPrimes)
       biqPrimes
       return $ matches3' $ map guess knownData
211 >
212 >
        putStrLn $ show $ (n%d) == fst (matches3', $ map guess
        knownData)
213
214 Our choice of bigPrimes are sometimes fail:
215
216
      *Ffield> trial
      input: 895 % 922
217
218
      ^{[[A^???***]} Exception: Ffield.lhs: (224,3)-(226,37): Non
         -exhaustive patterns in function matches3;
219
220 > trial' = do
        n \leftarrow randomRIO (0,1000) :: IO Int
222 >
        d \leftarrow randomRIO (1,1000) :: IO Int
223 >
        putStrLn $ "input: " ++ show (n%d)
        let knownData = zip (map (modp (n%d)) bigger) bigger
224 >
225 >
       return $ matches3' $ map guess knownData
        putStrLn $ show (matches3', $ map guess knownData)
226 >
227 >
        putStrLn $ show $ (n%d) == fst (matches3', $ map guess
        knownData)
228
229 > bigger = dropWhile (<897473) primes
```

4.1. FFIELD.LHS 71

```
230 > -}
231
232
      *Ffield> trial'
233
      input: 125 % 399
234
      (125 % 399,897473)
235
      True
236
      (0.25 secs, 310,621,352 bytes)
237
      *Ffield> trial'
238
      input: 112 % 939
239
      (112 % 939,909383)
240
      True
241
      (0.40 secs, 378,062,424 bytes)
242
      *Ffield> trial'
243
      input: 297 % 391
244
      (297 % 391,897473)
245
      True
246
      (0.01 secs, 2,101,240 bytes)
247
      *Ffield> trial'
248
      input: 17 % 16
      (17 % 16,897473)
249
250
      True
251
      (0.01 secs, 2,103,728 bytes)
252
      *Ffield> trial'
253
      input: 125 % 102
254
      (125 % 102,897473)
255
      True
256
      (0.01 secs, 2,103,848 bytes)
257
258
259 Chinese Remeinder Theorem, and its usage
260
261 > imagesAndPrimes :: Rational -> [(Integer, Integer)]
262 > imagesAndPrimes q = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes)
       biqPrimes
263
      *Ffield > let q = 895\%922
264
265
      *Ffield > let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
266
      *Ffield > let [(a1,p1),(a2,p2)] = take 2 knownData
267
      *Ffield> take 2 knownData
268
      [(882873,897473),(365035,897497)]
269
      *Ffield> map guess it
270
      [((-854) \% 123,897473),((-656) \% 327,897497)]
271
272
      *Ffield > let q = 895\%922
273
      *Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
```

```
*Ffield > let [(a1,p1),(a2,p2)] = take 2 knownData
274
275
      *Ffield> let m1 = fromJust (p2 'inversep' p1) * p2
276
      *Ffield> let m2 = fromJust (p1 'inversep' p2) * p1
277
      *Ffield > let p = p1*p2
278
      *Ffield > let a = (m1*a1 + m2*a2) 'mod' p
279
      *Ffield > a
280
      86488560937
281
      *Ffield > p
282
      805479325081
283
      *Ffield> guess (a,p)
284
      (86488560937 % 1,805479325081) -- overflow!
285
286
      *Ffield> guess (86488560937, 805479325081)
287
      (895 % 922,805479325081)
288
289 > crtRec', (a1, p1), (a2, p2) = (a, p)
290 >
        where
291 > -- a = (m1*a1 + m2*a2) 'mod' p
          a = (a1*p2*m2 + a2*p1*m1) 'mod' p
293 >
         m1 = fromJust (p1 'inversep' p2)
294 >
         m2 = fromJust (p2 'inversep' p1)
295 >
        p = p1*p2
296 >
297 > pile :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> [a]
298 > pile f [] = []
299 > pile f dd@(d:ds) = d : zipWith', f (pile f dd) ds
300 >
301 > -- Strict zipWith, from:
           http://d.hatena.ne.jp/kazu-yamamoto/touch
       /20100624/1277348961
303 > zipWith' :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
304 > zipWith', f(a:as)(b:bs) = (x 'seq' x) : zipWith', f as
       b.s
305 >
        where x = f a b
306 > zipWith, _ _
                                = []
307
308
      *Ffield > let q = 895\%922
309
      *Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
310
      *Ffield> take 4 knownData
311
      [(882873,897473)
312
      ,(365035,897497)
313
      ,(705735,897499)
314
      ,(511060,897517)
315
316
      *Ffield> pile crtRec' it
```

```
317
      [(882873,897473)
      ,(86488560937,805479325081)
318
319
      , (397525881357811624,722916888780872419)
320
      ,(232931448259966259937614,648830197267942270883623)
321
322
      *Ffield> map guess it
323
      [((-854) % 123,897473)
324
      ,(895 % 922,805479325081)
      ,(895 % 922,722916888780872419)
325
326
      ,(895 % 922,648830197267942270883623)
327
```

# 4.2 Polynomials.hs

Listing 4.2: Polynomials.hs

```
1 -- Polynomials.hs
2 -- http://homepages.cwi.nl/~jve/rcrh/Polynomials.hs
4 module Polynomials where
6 default (Integer, Rational, Double)
7
8 -- scalar multiplication
9 infix1 7 .*
10 (.*) :: Num a => a -> [a] -> [a]
11 c .* []
               = []
12 c .* (f:fs) = c*f : c .* fs
13
14 z :: Num a => [a]
15 z = [0,1]
16
17 -- polynomials, as coefficients lists
  instance (Num a, Ord a) => Num [a] where
     fromInteger c = [fromInteger c]
19
20
     -- operator overloading
21
     negate []
                   = []
22
     negate (f:fs) = (negate f) : (negate fs)
23
24
     signum [] = []
25
     signum gs
26
       | signum (last gs) < (fromInteger 0) = negate z
27
       | otherwise = z
28
29
     abs [] = []
```

```
30
     abs gs
31
       | signum gs == z = gs
32
       | otherwise = negate gs
33
34
     fs + []
                     = fs
             + gs
35
     []
                      = gs
36
     (f:fs) + (g:gs) = f+g : fs+gs
37
     fs * []
                  = []
38
39
     []
             * gs
                      = []
40
     (f:fs) * gg@(g:gs) = f*g : (f .* gs + fs * gg)
42 delta :: (Num a, Ord a) => [a] -> [a]
43 delta = ([1,-1] *)
44
45 shift :: [a] -> [a]
46 shift = tail
47
48 p2fct :: Num a => [a] -> a -> a
49 \text{ p2fct [] } x = 0
50 p2fct (a:as) x = a + (x * p2fct as x)
51
52 \text{ comp} :: (Eq a, Num a, Ord a) => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
53 \text{ comp} _ [] = error ".."
54 \text{ comp} []
55 \text{ comp (f:fs) g00(0:gs)} = f : gs * (comp fs g0)
56 \text{ comp } (f:fs) \text{ } gg@(g:gs) = ([f] + [g] * (comp fs gg))
57
                           + (0 : gs * (comp fs gg))
58
59 \text{ deriv} :: \text{Num a} => [a] -> [a]
60 \text{ deriv } [] = []
61 deriv (f:fs) = deriv1 fs 1
     where
63
       deriv1 [] _ = []
       deriv1 (g:gs) n = n*g : deriv1 gs (n+1)
64
```

### 4.3 Univariate.lhs

Listing 4.3: Univariate.lhs

```
1 Univariate.lhs
2
3 > module Univariate where
4 > import Data.Ratio
5 > import Polynomials
```

```
6
7 From the output list
     map f [0..]
9 of a polynomial
10
   f :: Int -> Ratio Int
11 we reconstrunct the canonical form of f.
12
13 > -- difference analysis
14 > difs :: (Num a) => [a] -> [a]
15 > difs [] = []
16 > difs [_] = []
17 > difs (i:jj@(j:js)) = j-i : difs jj
18 >
19 > difLists :: (Eq a, Num a) => [[a]] -> [[a]]
20 > difLists [] = []
21 > difLists xx@(xs:xss) =
       if isConst xs then xx
23 >
                     else difLists $ difs xs : xx
24 >
       where
25 >
         isConst (i:jj@(j:js)) = all (==i) jj
26 >
         isConst _ = error "difLists: _ lack_ of_ data, _ or_ not_ a
      ⊔polynomial"
27 >
28 > -- This degree function is "strict", so only take
      finite list.
29 > degree' :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> Int
30 > degree' xs = length (difLists [xs]) -1
31 >
32 > -- This degree function can compute the degree of
      infinite list.
33 > degreeLazy :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> Int
34 > degreeLazy xs = helper xs 0
35 >
       where
36 >
         helper as@(a:b:c:_) n
37 >
           | a==b \&\& b==c = n
38 >
           | otherwise
                        = helper (difs as) (n+1)
39 >
40 > -- This is a hyblid version, safe and lazy.
41 > degree :: (Num a, Eq a) => [a] -> Int
42 > degree xs = let 1 = degreeLazy xs in
43 >
       degree' $ take (1+2) xs
44
45 Newton interpolation formula
46 First we introduce a new infix symbol for the operation
      of taking a falling power.
```

```
47
48 > infixr 8 ^- -- falling power
49 > (^-) :: (Eq a, Num a) => a -> a -> a
50 > x ^- 0 = 1
51 > x ^- n = (x ^- (n-1)) * (x - n + 1)
52
53 Claim (Newton interpolation formula)
54\, A polynomial f of degree n is expressed as
     f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (diff^n(f)(0)/k!) * (x ^- n)
56 where diffn(f) is the n-th difference of f.
57
58 Example
59 Consider a polynomial f = 2*x^3+3*x.
60
61 In general, we have no prior knowledge of this form, but
      we know the sequences as a list of outputs:
62
63
     Univariate > let f x = 2*x^3+3*x
64
     Univariate > take 10 $ map f [0..]
     [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
65
66
     Univariate > degree $ take 10 $ map f [0..]
67
     3
68
69 Let us try to get differences:
70
71
     Univariate > difs $ take 10 $ map f [0..]
72
     [5,17,41,77,125,185,257,341,437]
     Univariate > difs it
73
74
     [12,24,36,48,60,72,84,96]
     Univariate > difs it
75
76
     [12,12,12,12,12,12,12]
77
78 Or more simply take difLists:
79
80
     Univariate > difLists [take 10 $ map f [0..]]
81
     [[12,12,12,12,12,12,12]]
82
     ,[12,24,36,48,60,72,84,96]
83
     ,[5,17,41,77,125,185,257,341,437]
84
     ,[0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
85
86
87 What we need is the heads of above lists.
88
89
     Univariate > map head it
90
     [12,12,5,0]
```

```
91
92 Newton interpolation formula gives
      f' x = 0*(x^-0) 'div' (0!) + 5*(x^-1) 'div' (1!) +
         12*(x^-2) 'div' (2!) + 12*(x^-3) 'div' (3!)
           = 5*(x^-1) + 6*(x^-2) + 2*(x^-3)
94
95 So
96
97
      Univariate > let f x = 2*x^3+3*x
      Univariate > let f' x = 5*(x^-1) + 6*(x^-2) + 2*(x^-1)
98
         ^- 3)
99
      Univariate > take 10 $ map f [0..]
      [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
100
      Univariate > take 10 $ map f' [0..]
101
102
      [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
103
104 Assume the differences are given in a list
105
      [x_0, x_1 ...]
106 where x_i = diff^k(f)(0).
107 Then the implementation of the Newton interpolation
       formula is as follows:
108
109 > \text{newtonC} :: (Fractional t, Enum t) => [t] -> [t]
110 > newtonC xs = [x / factorial k | (x,k) <- zip xs [0..]]
111 >
        where
112 >
          factorial k = product [1..fromInteger k]
113
      Univariate > let f x = 2*x^3+3*x
114
      Univariate > take 10 $ map f [0..]
115
      [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
116
117
      Univariate > difLists [it]
118
      [[12,12,12,12,12,12,12]]
119
      ,[12,24,36,48,60,72,84,96]
120
      , [5, 17, 41, 77, 125, 185, 257, 341, 437]
121
      ,[0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
122
123
      Univariate > reverse $ map head it
124
      [0,5,12,12]
125
      Univariate > newtonC it
126
      [0 % 1,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
127
128 The list of first differences can be computed as follows:
130 > firstDifs :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> [a]
131 > firstDifs xs = reverse $ map head $ difLists [xs]
132
```

```
133 Mapping a list of integers to a Newton representation:
134
135 > -- This implementation can take infinite list.
136 > list2npol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
137 > list2npol xs = newtonC . firstDifs $ take n xs
138 >
       where n = (degree xs) + 2
139
140
      *Univariate > let f x = 2*x^3 + 3*x + 1\%5
      *Univariate > take 10 $ map f [0..]
141
      [1 % 5,26 % 5,111 % 5,316 % 5,701 % 5,1326 % 5,2251 %
142
         5,3536 % 5,5241 % 5,7426 % 5]
143
      *Univariate > list2npol it
144
      [1 % 5,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
      *Univariate > list2npol $ map f [0..]
145
146
      [1 % 5,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
147
148 We need to map Newton falling powers to standard powers.
149 This is a matter of applying combinatorics, by means of a
        convention formula that uses the so-called Stirling
       cyclic numbers (of the first kind.)
150 Its defining relation is
      (x ^- n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (stirlingC n k) * (-1)^(n-k) *
151
         x^k.
152 The key equation is
153
   (x ^- n) = (x ^- (n-1)) * (x-n+1)
               = x*(x ^- (n-1)) - (n-1)*(x ^- (n-1))
154
155
156 Therefore, an implementation is as follows:
157
158 > stirlingC :: (Integral a) => a -> a -> a
159 > stirlingC 0 0 = 1
160 > stirlingC 0 _ = 0
161 > stirlingC n k = stirlingC (n-1) (k-1) + (n-1)*stirlingC
        (n-1) k
162
163 This definition can be used to convert from falling
       powers to standard powers.
164
165 > fall2pol :: (Integral a) => a -> [a]
166 > fall2pol 0 = [1]
167 > fall2pol n = 0 -- No constant term.
168 >
                 : [(-1)^{(n-k)} * stirlingC n k | k < -[1..n]]
169
170 We use this to convert Newton representations to standard
        polynomials in coefficients list representation.
```

```
171 Here we have uses sum to collect same order terms in list
        representation.
172
173 > -- For later convenience, we relax the type annotation.
174 > -- npol2pol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
175 > \text{npol2pol} :: (Ord t, Num t) => [t] -> [t]
176 > npol2pol xs = sum [ [x] * map fromInteger (fall2pol k)
177 >
                         | (x,k) < -zip xs [0..]
178 >
                         ]
179
180 Finally, here is the function for computing a polynomial
       from an output sequence:
181
182 > list2pol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
183 > list2pol = npol2pol . list2npol
184
185 Reconstruction as curve fitting
      *Univariate > let f x = 2*x^3 + 3*x + 1\%5
186
187
      *Univariate > take 10 $ map f [0..]
      [1 % 5,26 % 5,111 % 5,316 % 5,701 % 5,1326 % 5,2251 %
188
         5,3536 % 5,5241 % 5,7426 % 5]
189
      *Univariate > list2npol it
190
      [1 % 5,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
191
      *Univariate > list2npol $ map f [0..]
192
      [1 % 5,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
193
      *Univariate > list2pol $ map (n \rightarrow 1\%3 + (3\%5)*n +
         (5\%7)*n^2 [0..]
194
      [1 % 3,3 % 5,5 % 7]
195
      *Univariate > list2pol [0,1,5,14,30,55]
196
      [0 % 1,1 % 6,1 % 2,1 % 3]
197
      *Univariate > map (p2fct $ list2pol [0,1,5,14,30,55])
         [0..6]
      [0 % 1,1 % 1,5 % 1,14 % 1,30 % 1,55 % 1,91 % 1]
198
199
200
201
202 Thiele's interpolation formula
203 https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27
       s_interpolation_formula#Haskell
204 http://mathworld.wolfram.com/ThielesInterpolationFormula.
       html
205
206 reciprocal difference
207 Using the same notation of
208 https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27
```

```
s_interpolation_formula#C
209
210 > {\tt rho} :: ({\tt Integral a}) =>
             [Ratio a] -- A list of output of f :: a -> Ratio
212 >
          -> a -> Int -> Ratio a
213 > rho fs 0 i = fs !! i
214 > {\tt rho} {\tt fs} {\tt n}
215 >
      | n < 0 = 0
216 > rho fs n i = (n*den)%num + rho fs (n-2) (i+1)
217 >
       where
218 >
          num = numerator next
219 >
          den = denominator next
220 >
          next = rho fs (n-1) (i+1) - rho fs (n-1) i
221
222 Note that (%) has the following type,
    (%) :: Integral a => a -> a -> Ratio a
224
225 > a :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> a -> Ratio a
226 > a fs 0 = head fs
227 > a fs n = rho fs n 0 - rho fs (n-2) 0
228
229 Consider the following continuous fraction form.
      (\%i25) f(x) := 1+(x/(2+(x-1)/(3+(x-2)/4)));
230
231
      (\%025) f(x):=x/(2+(x-1)/(3+(x-2)/4))+1
232
      (%i26) ratsimp(f(x));
233
      (\%026) (x^2+16*x+16)/(16+6*x)
234
235
      *Univariate > map (a fs) [0..]
236
      [1 % 1,2 % 1,3 % 1,4 % 1,*** Exception: Ratio has zero
         denominator
237
238
      *Univariate > let func x = (x^2 + 16*x + 16)\%(6*x + 16)
239
      *Univariate > let fs = map func [0..]
240
      *Univariate > take 5 $ map (rho fs 0) [0..]
      [1 % 1,3 % 2,13 % 7,73 % 34,12 % 5]
241
242
      *Univariate > take 5 $ map (rho fs 1) [0..]
243
      [2 % 1,14 % 5,238 % 69,170 % 43,230 % 53]
244
      *Univariate > take 5 $ map (rho fs 2) [0..]
      [4 % 1,79 % 16,269 % 44,667 % 88,413 % 44]
245
246
      *Univariate > take 5 $ map (rho fs 3) [0..]
247
      [6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1]
248
249 > tDegree :: Integral a => [Ratio a] -> a
250 > tDegree fs = helper fs 0
```

```
251 >
      where
252 >
         helper fs n
253 >
          | isConstants fs' = n
254 >
           | otherwise
                        = helper fs (n+1)
255 >
            where
256 >
              fs' = map (rho fs n) [0..]
257 >
          isConstants (i:j:_) = i==j -- 2 times match
258 > -- isConstants (i:j:k_) = i==j 666 j==k -- 3 times
       match
259
260
      *Univariate > let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
261
      *Univariate > let hs = map h [0..]
262
      *Univariate > tDegree hs
263
264
      *Univariate > map (a hs) [0..(tDegree hs)]
265
      [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
266
267 With Maxima,
268
      (\%i35) h(t) := 3+t/((-23/42)+(t-1)/((-28/13)+(t-2))
         /((767/14)+(t-3)/(7/130)));
269
270
    (\% \circ 35) h(t) := t/((-23)/42+(t-1)/((-28)/13+(t-2))
         /(767/14+(t-3)/(7/130)))+3
     (%i36) ratsimp(h(t));
271
272
      (\% \circ 36) (18*t^2+6*t+3)/(1+2*t+20*t^2)
273
274
275 > thieleC :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
276 > thieleC lst = map (a lst) [0..(tDegree lst)]
277
278
      *Univariate > thieleC hs
279
      [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
280
281 We need a convertor from this thiele sequence to
       continuous form of rational function.
282
283 > nextStep [a0,a1] (v:_) = a0 + v/a1
284 > \text{nextStep (a:as)} (v:vs) = a + (v / nextStep as vs)
285 >
286 > -- From thiele sequence to (rational) function.
287 > thiele2ratf :: Integral a => [Ratio a] -> (Ratio a ->
       Ratio a)
288 > thiele2ratf as x
      | x == 0
                  = head as
        | otherwise = nextStep as [x,x-1..]
```

```
291
292
      *Univariate > let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
293
      *Univariate > let hs = map h [0..]
294
      *Univariate > let as = thieleC hs
295
      *Univariate > as
      [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
296
297
      *Univariate > let th x = thiele2ratf as x
298
      *Univariate > take 5 hs
299
      [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47]
300
      *Univariate > map th [0..5]
301
      [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47,69 % 73]
302
303 We represent a rational function by a tuple of
       coefficient lists:
304
      (ns,ds) :: ([Ratio Int],[Ratio Int])
305 where ns and ds are coef-list-rep of numerator polynomial
        and denominator polynomial.
306 Here is a translator from coefficients lists to rational
       function.
307
308 > -- similar to p2fct
309 > lists2ratf :: (Integral a) =>
310 >
                     ([Ratio a],[Ratio a]) -> (Ratio a ->
       Ratio a)
311 > lists2ratf (ns,ds) x = p2fct ns x / p2fct ds x
312
313
      *Univariate > let frac x = lists2ratf
         ([1,1\%2,1\%3],[2,2\%3]) x
314
      *Univariate > take 10 $ map frac [0..]
315
      [1 % 2,11 % 16,1 % 1,11 % 8,25 % 14,71 % 32,8 % 3,25 %
         8,79 % 22,65 % 16]
316
      *Univariate > let ffrac x = (1+(1\%2)*x+(1\%3)*x^2)
         /(2+(2\%3)*x)
317
      *Univariate > take 10 $ map ffrac [0..]
318
      [1 % 2,11 % 16,1 % 1,11 % 8,25 % 14,71 % 32,8 % 3,25 %
         8,79 % 22,65 % 16]
319
320 The following canonicalizer reduces the tuple-rep of
       rational function in canonical form
321 That is, the coefficien of the lowest degree term of the
       denominator to be 1.
322 However, since our input starts from 0 and this means
       firstNonzero is the same as head.
323
324 > canonicalize :: (Integral a) => ([Ratio a],[Ratio a])
```

```
-> ([Ratio a],[Ratio a])
325 > canonicalize rat@(ns,ds)
326 >
       | dMin == 1 = rat
327 >
      | otherwise = (map (/dMin) ns, map (/dMin) ds)
328 >
        where
329 >
          dMin = firstNonzero ds
330 >
          firstNonzero [a] = a -- head
331 >
          firstNonzero (a:as)
332 >
            | a /= 0
                        = a
333 >
            | otherwise = firstNonzero as
334
335 What we need is a translator from Thiele coefficients to
       this tuple-rep.
336
337 > thiele2coef :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> ([Ratio a
       ],[Ratio a])
338 > thiele2coef as = canonicalize $ t2r as 0
339 >
       where
340 >
          t2r [an,an'] n = ([an*an'-n,1],[an'])
341 >
          t2r (a:as)
                     n = ((a .* num) + ([-n,1] * den), num)
342 >
            where
343 >
              (num, den) = t2r as (n+1)
344 >
345 > lists2rat :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> ([Ratio a], [
       Ratio a])
346 > lists2rat = thiele2coef . thieleC
347
      *Univariate > let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)\%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
348
349
      *Univariate > let hs = map h [0..]
350
      *Univariate > take 5 hs
      [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47]
351
352
      *Univariate > let th x = thiele2ratf as x
353
      *Univariate > map th [0..5]
      [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47,69 % 73]
354
355
      *Univariate > as
      [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
356
357
      *Univariate> thiele2coef as
      ([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,2 % 1,20 % 1])
358
```

#### 4.4 Multivariate.lhs

Listing 4.4: Multivariate.lhs

```
1 Multivariate.lhs
```

```
3 > module Multivariate
4 > where
5
6 > import Data.Ratio
7 > import Univariate
8 > ( degree, list2pol
9 > , thiele2ratf, lists2ratf, thiele2coef, lists2rat
10 > )
```

# 4.5 FROverZp.lhs

### Listing 4.5: FROverZp.lhs

```
1 > module FROverZp where
3 Functional Reconstruction over finite field Z_p
4
5 > import Data.Ratio
6 > import Data. Numbers. Primes
7 >
8 > import Ffield (modp, guess, matches3, bigPrimes,
      reconstruct)
9 > import Univariate ((^-), stirlingC, fall2pol, npol2pol)
10
11 Univariate Polynomial case
12 Our target is a univariate polynomial
13 f :: (Integral a) =>
14
          Ratio a -> Ratio a -- Real?
15
16\, Let us consider
17
18
    *FROverZp> let f x = (1\%3) + (3\%5)*x + 7*x^2
19
     *FROverZp > let fs = map f [0..]
20
21\, So fs is our accessible data.
22 First, we should map ('modp' p) over this list, and take
      p elements from fs.
23
24
     *FROverZp > let fsp p = map ('modp' p) $ take p fs
     *FROverZp> take 10 $ fsp 101
25
26
     [34,82,43,18,7,10,27,58,2,61]
27
     *FROverZp> map ('mod' 101) $ difs it
28
     [48,62,76,90,3,17,31,45,59]
29
     *FROverZp> map ('mod' 101) $ difs it
     [14,14,14,14,14,14,14,14]
30
```

```
31
32 So, on Z_101, f is 2nd degree polynomial and is
    34*(x^-0) + 48*(x^-1) + 14/(2!) * (x^-2)
      == 34 + 48*x + 7*(x^{-2})
34
35
      == 34 + 48*x + 7*x*(x-1)
36
      == 34 + 41*x + 7*x^2 \pmod{101}
37
38 > -- Function-modular.
39 > fmodp :: Integral c => (a -> Ratio c) -> c -> a -> c
40 > f 'fmodp' p = ('modp' p) . f
41
42
     *FROverZp> let f x = (1\%3) + (3\%5)*x + 7*x^2
43
     *FROverZp> let fp = f 'fmodp' 101
44
     *FROverZp> :t fp
45
     fp :: Integral c => Ratio c -> c
46
     *FROverZp> take 10 $ map (f 'fmodp' 101) [0..]
     [34,82,43,18,7,10,27,58,2,61]
47
48
49 Difference analysis over Z_p
50
51 > accessibleData :: (Ratio Int -> Ratio Int) -> Int -> [
      Int]
52 > accessibleData f p = take p $ map (f 'fmodp' p) [0..]
54 > accessibleData' :: [Ratio Int] -> Int -> [Int]
55 > accessibleData' fs p = take p $ map ('modp' p) fs
56 >
57 > difsp :: Integral b => b -> [b] -> [b]
58 > difsp p xs = map ('mod' p) (zipWith (-) (tail xs) xs)
59
60
     *FROverZp> let f x = (1\%3) + (3\%5)*x + 7*x^2
61
     *FROverZp > let fs = map f [0..]
62
     *FROverZp> accessibleData' fs 101 == accessibleData f
        101
63
     True
     *FROverZp> take 5 $ accessibleData f 101
64
65
     [34,82,43,18,7]
66
     *FROverZp> difsp 101 it
67
     [48,62,76,90]
68
     *FROverZp> difsp 101 it
69
     [14,14,14]
70
71 > difListsp :: Integral b => b -> [[b]] -> [[b]]
72 > difListsp [] = []
73 > difListsp p xx@(xs:xxs) =
```

```
74 >
        if isConst xs then xx
75 >
                      else difListsp p $ difsp p xs : xx
76 >
        where
77 >
         isConst (i:jj@(j:js)) = all (==i) jj
78 >
          isConst _ = error "difListsp:_"
79
      *FROverZp> let f x = (1\%3) + (3\%5)*x + 7*x^2
80
      *FROverZp> map head $ difListsp 101 [(accessibleData f
         101)]
82
      [14,48,34]
83
84 Degree, eager and lazy versions
85
86 > degreep' p xs = length (difListsp p [xs]) -1
87 > degreep'Lazy p xs = helper xs 0
88 >
        where
89 >
          helper as@(a:b:c:_) n
90 >
            | a==b \&\& b==c = n -- two times matching
91 >
            | otherwise = helper (difsp p as) (n+1)
92 >
93 > degreep :: Integral b => b -> [b] -> Int
94 > degreep p xs = let 1 = degreep 'Lazy p xs in
95 >
       degreep' p $ take (1+2) xs
96
97
      *FROverZp > let f x = (1\%3) + (3\%5)*x + 7*x^2
98
      *FROverZp> let myDeg p = degreep p $ accessibleData f p
99
      *FROverZp> myDeg 101
100
101
     *FROverZp> myDeg 103
102
103
     *FROverZp> myDeg 107
104
105
106 > firstDifsp :: Integral a => a -> [a] -> [a]
107 > firstDifsp p xs = reverse $ map head $ difListsp p [xs]
108
109
      *FROverZp> let f x = (1\%3) + (3\%5)*x + 7*x^2
      *FROverZp> firstDifsp 101 $ accessibleData f 101
110
111
      [34,48,14]
112
113 > newtonCp :: (Integral a, Integral t) => a -> [t] -> [t]
114 > newtonCp p xs = [x 'div' factorial k | (x,k) <- zip xs
       [0..(p-1)]
115 >
        where
116 >
          factorial k = product [1.. fromIntegral k]
```

```
117
118
119
120
121 We guess these differences (at 0) then transform it as
                     canonical form.
122
123
124
125
126
127
128 We ready to guess these data:
129
130
                 *FROverZp> map (\p -> zip (npol2pol . fsp $ p) (repeat
                          p)) [101,103,107]
                  [[(34,101),(41,101),(7,101)],[(69,103),(83,103),(7,103)]
131
                          ],[(36,107),(22,107),(7,107)]]
132
                 *FROverZp> :t reconstruct
133
                 reconstruct :: Integral a => [(a, a)] -> Ratio a
134
                 *FROverZp> map head it
135
                  [(34,101),(69,103),(36,107)]
136
                 *FROverZp> reconstruct it
137
                 1 % 3
138
                 *FROverZp> map (\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\protect\pro
                          p)) [101,103,107]
139
                  [[(34,101),(41,101),(7,101)],[(69,103),(83,103),(7,103)
                          ],[(36,107),(22,107),(7,107)]]
140
141
                 *FROverZp> map (head . tail) it
142
                  [(41,101),(83,103),(22,107)]
143
                 *FROverZp> reconstruct it
144
                 3 % 5
145
                 *FROverZp> map (\p -> zip (npol2pol . fsp $ p) (repeat
                          p)) [101,103,107]
                  [[(34,101),(41,101),(7,101)],[(69,103),(83,103),(7,103)
146
                          ],[(36,107),(22,107),(7,107)]]
147
                 *FROverZp> map last it
148
                 [(7,101),(7,103),(7,107)]
149
                 *FROverZp> reconstruct it
150
                7 % 1
151
152 > wellOrd :: Eq a => [[a]] -> [[a]]
153 > wellOrd xs
154 > | head xs == [] = []
```

```
155 >
        | otherwise
                         = map head xs : wellOrd (map tail xs)
156
157
      *FROverZp> wellOrd [[(34,101),(41,101),(7,101)
         ],[(69,103),(83,103),(7,103)],[(36,107),(22,107)
          ,(7,107)]]
158
      [[(34,101),(69,103),(36,107)],[(41,101),(83,103)
          ,(22,107)],[(7,101),(7,103),(7,107)]]
159
      *FROverZp> map reconstruct it
      [1 % 3,3 % 5,7 % 1]
160
161
162 Here is the step-by-step usage of above functions:
163
164
      *FROverZp> let g x = (1\%3) + (3\%5)*x + (5\%7)*x^2 +
          (7\%9)*x^3
165
      *FROverZp > let gs = map g [0..]
166
      *FROverZp> let gsp p = list2npolp p $ accessibleData g
167
      *FROverZp> let gData = map (p \rightarrow zip (npol2pol . gsp $
          p) (repeat p)) bigPrimes
168
      *FROverZp> let gData' = wellOrd gData
      *FROverZp> map reconstruct gData'
169
170
      [1 % 3,1 % 10,19 % 7,7 % 9]
```