

Finite fields and functional reconstructions

Ray D. Sameshima

2016/09/23 ~ 2016/10/27 13:40

Contents

0	Preface	7
0.1	References	7
0.2	Set theoretical gadgets	7
0.2.1	Numbers	7
0.2.2	Algebraic structures	8
0.3	Haskell language	8
1	Basics	11
1.1	Finite fields	11
1.1.1	Rings	11
1.1.2	Fields	12
1.1.3	An example of finite rings \mathbb{Z}_n	12
1.1.4	Bézout’s lemma	13
1.1.5	Greatest common divisor	13
1.1.6	Extended Euclidean algorithm	15
1.1.7	Coprime as a binary relation	18
1.1.8	Corollary (Inverses in \mathbb{Z}_n)	18
1.1.9	Corollary (Finite field \mathbb{Z}_p)	19
1.2	Rational number reconstruction	21
1.2.1	A map from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z}_p	21
1.2.2	Reconstruction from \mathbb{Z}_p to \mathbb{Q}	23
1.2.3	Chinese remainder theorem	27
1.2.4	<code>recCRT</code> : from image in \mathbb{Z}_p to rational number	30
1.3	Polynomials and rational functions	31
1.3.1	Notations	31
1.3.2	Polynomials and rational functions	32
1.3.3	As data, coefficients list	33
1.4	Haskell implementation of univariate polynomials	33
1.4.1	A polynomial as a list of coefficients	33

1.4.2	Difference analysis	37
2	Functional reconstruction over \mathbb{Q}	41
2.1	Univariate polynomials	41
2.1.1	Newtons' polynomial representation	41
2.1.2	Towards canonical representations	42
2.1.3	Simplification of our problem	43
2.2	Univariate polynomial reconstruction with Haskell	45
2.2.1	Newton interpolation formula with Haskell	45
2.2.2	Stirling numbers of the first kind	46
2.2.3	<code>list2pol</code> : from output list to canonical coefficients	48
2.3	Univariate rational functions	49
2.3.1	Thiele's interpolation formula	50
2.3.2	Towards canonical representations	51
2.4	Univariate rational function reconstruction with Haskell	51
2.4.1	Reciprocal difference	51
2.4.2	<code>tDegree</code> for termination	52
2.4.3	<code>thieleC</code> : from output list to Thiele coefficients	54
2.4.4	Haskell representation for rational functions	55
2.4.5	<code>list2rat</code> : from output list to canonical coefficients	59
2.5	Multivariate polynomials	59
2.5.1	Foldings as recursive applications	60
2.5.2	Experiments, 2 variables case	60
2.6	Multivariate rational functions	63
2.6.1	The canonical normalization	63
2.6.2	An auxiliary t	63
2.6.3	Experiments, 2 variables case	64
3	Functional reconstruction over finite fields	67
3.1	Univariate polynomials	67
3.1.1	Pre-cook	67
3.1.2	Difference analysis on \mathbb{Z}_p	68
3.1.3	Eager and lazy degree	69
3.1.4	Term by term reconstruction	70
3.1.5	<code>list2polZp</code> : from the output list to coefficient lists	71
3.2	TBA Univariate rational functions	72
4	Codes	73
4.1	<code>Ffield.lhs</code>	73
4.2	<code>Polynomials.hs</code>	80

CONTENTS

5

4.3	Univariate.lhs	82
4.4	Multivariate.lhs	91
4.5	FROverZp.lhs	91

Chapter 0

Preface

0.1 References

1. Scattering amplitudes over finite fields and multivariate functional reconstruction
(Tiziano Peraro)
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1608.01902.pdf>
2. Haskell Language
www.haskell.org
3. The Haskell Road to Logic, Maths and Programming
(Kees Doets, Jan van Eijck)
<http://homepages.cwi.nl/~jve/HR/>
4. Introduction to numerical analysis
(Stoer Josef, Bulirsch Roland)

0.2 Set theoretical gadgets

0.2.1 Numbers

Here is a list of what we assumed that the readers are familiar with:

1. \mathbb{N} (Peano axiom: \emptyset, suc)
2. \mathbb{Z}
3. \mathbb{Q}

4. \mathbb{R} (Dedekind cut)
5. \mathbb{C}

0.2.2 Algebraic structures

1. Monoid: $(\mathbb{N}, +), (\mathbb{N}, \times)$
2. Group: $(\mathbb{Z}, +), (\mathbb{Z}, \times)$
3. Ring: \mathbb{Z}
4. Field: \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R} (continuous), \mathbb{C} (algebraic closed)

0.3 Haskell language

From "A Brief, Incomplete and Mostly Wrong History of Programming Languages":¹

1990 - A committee formed by Simon Peyton-Jones, Paul Hudak, Philip Wadler, Ashton Kutcher, and People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals creates Haskell, a pure, non-strict, functional language. Haskell gets some resistance due to the complexity of using monads to control side effects. Wadler tries to appease critics by explaining that "a monad is a monoid in the category of endofunctors, what's the problem?"



Figure 1: Haskell's logo, the combinations of λ and monad's bind $>>=$.

Haskell language is a standardized purely functional declarative statically typed programming language.

In declarative languages, we describe "what" or "definition" in its codes, however imperative languages, like C/C++, "how" or "procedure".

¹ <http://james-iry.blogspot.com/2009/05/brief-incomplete-and-mostly-wrong.html>

Functional languages can be seen as 'executable mathematics'; the notation was designed to be as close as possible to the mathematical way of writing.²

Instead of loops, we use (implicit) recursions in functional language.³

```
> sum :: [Int] -> Int
> sum []      = 0
> sum (i:is) = i + sum is
```

² Algorithms: A Functional Programming Approach (Fethi A. Rabhi, Guy Lapalme)

³Of course, as a best practice, we should use higher order function (in this case **foldr** or **foldl**) rather than explicit recursions.

Chapter 1

Basics

We have assumed living knowledge on (axiomatic, i.e., ZFC) set theory, algebraic structures.

1.1 Finite fields

Ffield.lhs

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1608.01902.pdf>

```
> module Ffield where  
  
> import Data.Ratio  
> import Data.Maybe  
> import Data.Numbers.Primes
```

1.1.1 Rings

A ring $(R, +, *)$ is a structured set R with two binary operations

$$(+)\ ::\ R\ \rightarrow\ R\ \rightarrow\ R \tag{1.1}$$

$$(*)\ ::\ R\ \rightarrow\ R\ \rightarrow\ R \tag{1.2}$$

satisfying the following 3 (ring) axioms:

1. $(R, +)$ is an abelian, i.e., commutative group, i.e.,

$$\forall a, b, c \in R, (a + b) + c = a + (b + c) \quad (\text{associativity for } +) \quad (1.3)$$

$$\forall a, b \in R, a + b = b + a \quad (\text{commutativity}) \quad (1.4)$$

$$\exists 0 \in R, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in R, a + 0 = a \quad (\text{additive identity}) \quad (1.5)$$

$$\forall a \in R, \exists (-a) \in R \text{ s.t. } a + (-a) = 0 \quad (\text{additive inverse}) \quad (1.6)$$

2. $(R, *)$ is a monoid, i.e.,

$$\forall a, b, c \in R, (a * b) * c = a * (b * c) \quad (\text{associativity for } *) \quad (1.7)$$

$$\exists 1 \in R, \text{ s.t. } \forall a \in R, a * 1 = a = 1 * a \quad (\text{multiplicative identity}) \quad (1.8)$$

3. Multiplication is distributive w.r.t addition, i.e., $\forall a, b, c \in R$,

$$a * (b + c) = (a * b) + (a * c) \quad (\text{left distributivity}) \quad (1.9)$$

$$(a + b) * c = (a * c) + (b * c) \quad (\text{right distributivity}) \quad (1.10)$$

1.1.2 Fields

A field is a ring $(\mathbb{K}, +, *)$ whose non-zero elements form an abelian group under multiplication, i.e., $\forall r \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$r \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists r^{-1} \in \mathbb{K} \text{ s.t. } r * r^{-1} = 1 = r^{-1} * r. \quad (1.11)$$

A field \mathbb{K} is a finite field iff the underlying set \mathbb{K} is finite. A field \mathbb{K} is called infinite field iff the underlying set is infinite.

1.1.3 An example of finite rings \mathbb{Z}_n

Let $n(> 0) \in \mathbb{N}$ be a non-zero natural number. Then the quotient set

$$\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\cong \{0, \dots, (n-1)\} \quad (1.13)$$

with addition, subtraction and multiplication under modulo n is a ring.¹

¹ Here we have taken an equivalence class,

$$0 \leq k \leq (n-1), [k] := \{k + n * z | z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad (1.14)$$

1.1.4 Bézout's lemma

Consider $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be nonzero integers. Then there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$a * x + b * y = \gcd(a, b), \quad (1.19)$$

where \gcd is the greatest common divisor (function), see §1.1.5. We will prove this statement in §1.1.6.

1.1.5 Greatest common divisor

Before the proof, here is an implementation of \gcd using Euclidean algorithm with Haskell language:

```
> -- Euclidian algorithm.
> myGCD :: Integral a => a -> a -> a
> myGCD a b
>   | b < 0 = myGCD a (-b)
> myGCD a b
>   | a == b = a
>   | b > a = myGCD b a
>   | b < a = myGCD (a-b) b
```

Example, by hands

Let us consider the \gcd of 7 and 13. Since they are primes, the \gcd should be 1. First it binds a with 7 and b with 13, and hit $b > a$.

$$\text{myGCD } 7 \ 13 == \text{myGCD } 13 \ 7 \quad (1.20)$$

Then it hits main line:

$$\text{myGCD } 13 \ 7 == \text{myGCD } (13-7) \ 7 \quad (1.21)$$

with the following operations:

$$[k] + [l] := [k + l] \quad (1.15)$$

$$[k] * [l] := [k * l] \quad (1.16)$$

This is equivalent to take modular n :

$$(k \bmod n) + (l \bmod n) := (k + l \bmod n) \quad (1.17)$$

$$(k \bmod n) * (l \bmod n) := (k * l \bmod n). \quad (1.18)$$

In order to go to next step, Haskell evaluate $(13 - 7)$,² and

$$\text{myGCD } (13-7) \ 7 \ == \ \text{myGCD } 6 \ 7 \quad (1.22)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } 7 \ 6 \quad (1.23)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } (7-6) \ 6 \quad (1.24)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } 1 \ 6 \quad (1.25)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } 6 \ 1 \quad (1.26)$$

Finally it ends with 1:

$$\text{myGCD } 1 \ 1 \ == \ 1 \quad (1.27)$$

As another example, consider 15 and 25:

$$\text{myGCD } 15 \ 25 \ == \ \text{myGCD } 25 \ 15 \quad (1.28)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } (25-15) \ 15 \quad (1.29)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } 10 \ 15 \quad (1.30)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } 15 \ 10 \quad (1.31)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } (15-10) \ 10 \quad (1.32)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } 5 \ 10 \quad (1.33)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } 10 \ 5 \quad (1.34)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } (10-5) \ 5 \quad (1.35)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ \text{myGCD } 5 \ 5 \quad (1.36)$$

$$\quad \quad \quad == \ 5 \quad (1.37)$$

Example, with Haskell

Let us check simple example using Haskell:

```
*Ffield> myGCD 7 13
1
*Ffield> myGCD 7 14
7
*Ffield> myGCD (-15) (20)
5
*Ffield> myGCD (-299) (-13)
13
```

² Since Haskell language adopts lazy evaluation, i.e., call by need, not call by name.

The final result is from

```
*Ffield> 13*23
299
```

1.1.6 Extended Euclidean algorithm

Here we treat the extended Euclidean algorithm, this is a constructive solution for Bézout's lemma.

As intermediate steps, this algorithm makes sequences of integers $\{r_i\}_i$, $\{s_i\}_i$, $\{t_i\}_i$ and quotients $\{q_i\}_i$ as follows. The base cases are

$$(r_0, s_0, t_0) := (a, 1, 0) \quad (1.38)$$

$$(r_1, s_1, t_1) := (b, 0, 1) \quad (1.39)$$

and inductively, for $i \geq 2$,

$$q_i := \text{quot}(r_{i-2}, r_{i-1}) \quad (1.40)$$

$$r_i := r_{i-2} - q_i * r_{i-1} \quad (1.41)$$

$$s_i := s_{i-2} - q_i * s_{i-1} \quad (1.42)$$

$$t_i := t_{i-2} - q_i * t_{i-1}. \quad (1.43)$$

The termination condition³ is

$$r_k = 0 \quad (1.44)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\gcd(a, b) = r_{k-1} \quad (1.45)$$

$$x = s_{k-1} \quad (1.46)$$

$$y = t_{k-1}. \quad (1.47)$$

Proof

By definition,

$$\gcd(r_{i-1}, r_i) = \gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-2} - q_i * r_{i-1}) \quad (1.48)$$

$$= \gcd(r_{i-1}, r_{i-2}) \quad (1.49)$$

³ This algorithm will terminate eventually, since the sequence $\{r_i\}_i$ is non-negative by definition of q_i , but strictly decreasing. Therefore, $\{r_i\}_i$ will meet 0 in finite step k .

and this implies

$$\gcd(a, b) =: \gcd(r_0, r_1) = \cdots = \gcd(r_{k-1}, 0), \quad (1.50)$$

i.e.,

$$r_{k-1} = \gcd(a, b). \quad (1.51)$$

Next, for $i = 0, 1$ observe

$$a * s_i + b * t_i = r_i. \quad (1.52)$$

Let $i \geq 2$, then

$$r_i = r_{i-2} - q_i * r_{i-1} \quad (1.53)$$

$$= a * s_{i-2} + b * t_{i-2} - q_i * (a * s_{i-1} + b * t_{i-1}) \quad (1.54)$$

$$= a * (s_{i-2} - q_i * s_{i-1}) + b * (t_{i-2} - q_i * t_{i-1}) \quad (1.55)$$

$$=: a * s_i + b * t_i. \quad (1.56)$$

Therefore, inductively we get

$$\gcd(a, b) = r_{k-1} = a * s_{k-1} + b * t_{k-1} =: a * x + b * y. \quad (1.57)$$

This prove Bézout's lemma.

■

Haskell implementation

Here I use lazy lists for intermediate lists of qs, rs, ss, ts , and pick up (second) last elements for the results.

Here we would like to implement the extended Euclidean algorithm. See the algorithm, examples, and pseudo code at:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extended_Euclidean_algorithm

```
> exGCD' :: (Integral n) => n -> n -> ([n], [n], [n], [n])
> exGCD' a b = (qs, rs, ss, ts)
>   where
>     qs = zipWith quot rs (tail rs)
>     rs = takeUntil (==0) r'
>     r' = steps a b
```



```

> ss = steps 1 0
> ts = steps 0 1
> steps a b = rr
>   where
>       rr@(_:rs) = a:b: zipWith (-) rr (zipWith (*) qs rs)
>
> takeUntil :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
> takeUntil p = foldr func []
>   where
>       func x xs
>         | p x = []
>         | otherwise = x : xs

```

Here we have used so called lazy lists, and higher order function⁴. The gcd of a and b should be the last element of second list rs , and our targets (s, t) are second last elements of last two lists ss and ts . The following example is from wikipedia:

```

*Ffield> exGCD' 240 46
([5,4,1,1,2],[240,46,10,6,4,2],[1,0,1,-4,5,-9,23],[0,1,-5,21,-26,47,-120])

```

Look at the second lasts of $[1,0,1,-4,5,-9,23]$, $[0,1,-5,21,-26,47,-120]$, i.e., -9 and 47:

```

*Ffield> gcd 240 46
2
*Ffield> 240*(-9) + 46*(47)
2

```

It works, and we have other simpler examples:

```

*Ffield> exGCD' 15 25
([0,1,1,2],[15,25,15,10,5],[1,0,1,-1,2,-5],[0,1,0,1,-1,3])
*Ffield> 15 * 2 + 25*(-1)
5
*Ffield> exGCD' 15 26
([0,1,1,2,1,3],[15,26,15,11,4,3,1],[1,0,1,-1,2,-5,7,-26],[0,1,0,1,-1,3,-4,15])
*Ffield> 15*7 + (-4)*26
1

```

⁴ Naively speaking, the function whose inputs and/or outputs are functions is called a higher order function.

Now what we should do is extract gcd of a and b , and (x, y) from the tuple of lists:

```
> -- a*x + b*y = gcd a b
> exGCD :: Integral t => t -> t -> (t, t, t)
> exGCD a b = (g, x, y)
>   where
>     (_,r,s,t) = exGCD' a b
>     g = last r
>     x = last . init $ s
>     y = last . init $ t
```

where the underscore $_$ is a special symbol in Haskell that hits every pattern, since we do not need the quotient list. So, in order to get gcd and (x, y) we don't need quotients list.

```
*Ffield> exGCD 46 240
(2,47,-9)
*Ffield> 46*47 + 240*(-9)
2
*Ffield> gcd 46 240
2
```

1.1.7 Coprime as a binary relation

Let us define a binary relation as follows:

```
coprime :: Integral a => a -> a -> Bool
coprime a b = (gcd a b) == 1
```

1.1.8 Corollary (Inverses in \mathbb{Z}_n)

For a non-zero element

$$a \in \mathbb{Z}_n, \tag{1.58}$$

there is a unique number

$$b \in \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ s.t. } ((a * b) \bmod n) = 1 \tag{1.59}$$

iff a and n are coprime.

Proof

From Bézout's lemma, a and n are coprime iff

$$\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, a * s + n * t = 1. \quad (1.60)$$

Therefore

$$a \text{ and } n \text{ are coprime} \Leftrightarrow \exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, a * s + n * t = 1 \quad (1.61)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists s, t' \in \mathbb{Z}, a * s = 1 + n * t'. \quad (1.62)$$

This s , by taking its modulo n is our $b = a^{-1}$:

$$a * s = 1 \pmod{n}. \quad (1.63)$$

We will make a Haskell implementation in §1.1.9.

■

1.1.9 Corollary (Finite field \mathbb{Z}_p)

If p is prime, then

$$\mathbb{Z}_p := \{0, \dots, (p-1)\} \quad (1.64)$$

with addition, subtraction and multiplication under modulo n is a field.

Proof

It suffices to show that

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists a^{-1} \in \mathbb{K} \text{ s.t. } a * a^{-1} = 1 = a^{-1} * a, \quad (1.65)$$

but since p is prime, and

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{gcd } a \text{ } p == 1 \quad (1.66)$$

so all non-zero element has its inverse in \mathbb{Z}_p .

■

Example and implementation

Let us pick 11 as a prime and consider \mathbb{Z}_{11} :

Example \mathbb{Z}_{11}

```
*Ffield> isField 11
True
*ffield> map (exGCD 11) [0..10]
[(11,1,0),(1,0,1),(1,1,-5),(1,-1,4),(1,-1,3)
,(1,1,-2),(1,-1,2),(1,2,-3),(1,3,-4),(1,-4,5),(1,1,-1)
]
```

This list of three-tuple let us know the candidate of inverse. Take the last one, $(1,1,-1)$. This is the image of `exGcd 11 10`, and

$$1 = 10 * 1 + 11 * (-1) \quad (1.67)$$

holds. This suggests -1 is a candidate of the inverse of 10 in \mathbb{Z}_{11} :

$$10^{-1} = -1 \pmod{11} \quad (1.68)$$

$$= 10 \pmod{11} \quad (1.69)$$

In fact,

$$10 * 10 = 11 * 9 + 1. \quad (1.70)$$

So, picking up the third elements in tuple and zipping with nonzero elements, we have a list of inverses:

```
*Ffield> map (('mod' 11) . (\(_,_,x)->x) . exGCD 11) [1..10]
[1,6,4,3,9,2,8,7,5,10]
```

We get non-zero elements with its inverse:

```
*Ffield> zip [1..10] it
[(1,1),(2,6),(3,4),(4,3),(5,9),(6,2),(7,8),(8,7),(9,5),(10,10)]
```

Let us generalize these flow into a function⁵:

⁵ From <https://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.9.0.0/docs/Data-Maybe.html>:

The Maybe type encapsulates an optional value. A value of type Maybe a either contains a value of type a (represented as Just a), or it is empty (represented as Nothing). Using Maybe is a good way to deal with errors or exceptional cases without resorting to drastic measures such as error.

```

> -- a^{-1} (in Z_p) == a 'inversep' p
> inversep :: Integral a => a -> a -> Maybe a
> a 'inversep' p = let (g,x,_) = exGCD a p in
>   if (g == 1) then Just (x 'mod' p)
>   else Nothing

```

This `inversep` function returns the inverse with respect to second argument, if they are coprime, i.e. gcd is 1. So the second argument should not be prime.

```

> inversesp :: Integral a => a -> [Maybe a]
> inversesp p = map ('inversep' p) [1..(p-1)]

*Ffield> inversesp 11
[Just 1,Just 6,Just 4,Just 3,Just 9,Just 2,Just 8,Just 7,Just 5,Just 10]
*Ffield> inversesp 9
[Just 1,Just 5,Nothing,Just 7,Just 2,Nothing,Just 4,Just 8]

```

1.2 Rational number reconstruction

1.2.1 A map from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z}_p

Let p be a prime. Now we have a map

$$- \text{ mod } p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p; a \mapsto (a \text{ mod } p), \quad (1.71)$$

and a natural inclusion (or a forgetful map)⁶

$$\iota : \mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.73)$$

Then we can define a map

$$- \text{ mod } p : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \quad (1.74)$$

by⁷

$$q = \frac{a}{b} \mapsto (q \text{ mod } p) := ((a \times \iota(b^{-1} \text{ mod } p)) \text{ mod } p). \quad (1.75)$$

⁶ By introducing this forgetful map, we can use

$$\times : (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (1.72)$$

of normal product on \mathbb{Z} .

⁷ This is an example of operator overloadings.

Example and implementation

An easy implementation is the followings:⁸

A map from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z}_p .

```
> -- p should be prime.
> modp :: Integral a => Ratio a -> a -> a
> q 'modp' p = (a * (bi 'mod' p)) 'mod' p
>   where
>     (a,b) = (numerator q, denominator q)
>     bi = fromJust (b 'inversep' p)
```

Let us consider a rational number $\frac{3}{7}$ on a finite field \mathbb{Z}_{11} :

Example: on \mathbb{Z}_{11}

Consider $(3 \% 7)$.

```
*Ffield> let q = 3%7
*Ffield> 3 'mod' 11
3
*Ffield> 7 'inversep' 11
Just 8
*Ffield> (3*8) 'mod' 11
2
```

For example, pick 7:

```
*Ffield> 7*8 == 11*5+1
True
```

Therefore, on \mathbb{Z}_{11} , $(7^{-1} \bmod 11)$ is equal to $(8 \bmod 11)$ and

$$\frac{3}{7} \in \mathbb{Q} \mapsto (3 \times (7^{-1} \bmod 11) \bmod 11) \quad (1.78)$$

$$= (3 \times 8) \bmod 11 \quad (1.79)$$

$$= 24 \bmod 11 \quad (1.80)$$

$$= 2 \bmod 11. \quad (1.81)$$

⁸ The backquotes makes any binary function infix operator. For example,

$$\text{add } 1 \ 2 == 1 \text{ 'add' } 2 \quad (1.76)$$

Similarly, use parenthesis we can use an infix binary operator to a function:

$$(+) \ 1 \ 2 == 1 + 2 \quad (1.77)$$

Haskell returns the same result

```
*Ffield> q `modp` 11
2
```

and consistent.

1.2.2 Reconstruction from \mathbb{Z}_p to \mathbb{Q}

Consider a rational number q and its image $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

$$a := q \mod p \quad (1.82)$$

The extended Euclidean algorithm can be used for guessing a rational number q from the images $a := q \mod p$ of several primes p 's.

At each step, the extended Euclidean algorithm satisfies eq.(1.52).

$$a * s_i + p * t_i = r_i \quad (1.83)$$

Therefore

$$r_i = a * s_i \mod p. \quad (1.84)$$

Hence $\frac{r_i}{s_i}$ is a possible guess for q . We take

$$r_i^2, s_i^2 < p \quad (1.85)$$

as the termination condition for this reconstruction.

Haskell implementation

Let us first try to reconstruct from the image $(\frac{1}{3} \mod p)$ of some prime p . Here we have chosen three primes

```
Reconstruction Z_p -> Q
*Ffield> let q = (1%3)
*Ffield> take 3 $ dropWhile (<100) primes
[101,103,107]
```

The images are basically given by the first elements of second lists (s_0 's):

```

*Ffield> q 'modp' 101
34
*Ffield> let try x = exGCD' (q 'modp' x) x
*Ffield> try 101
([0,2,1,33],[34,101,34,33,1],[1,0,1,-2,3,-101],[0,1,0,1,-1,34])
*Ffield> try 103
([0,1,2,34],[69,103,69,34,1],[1,0,1,-1,3,-103],[0,1,0,1,-2,69])
*Ffield> try 107
([0,2,1,35],[36,107,36,35,1],[1,0,1,-2,3,-107],[0,1,0,1,-1,36])

```

Look at the first hit of termination condition eq.(1.85), $r_4 = 1$ and $s_4 = 3$. They give us the same guess $\frac{1}{3}$, and that the reconstructed number.

From the above observations we can make a simple "guess" function:

```

> guess :: Integral t =>
>   (t, t)          -- (q 'modp' p, p)
>   -> (Ratio t, t)
> guess (a, p) = let (_,rs,ss,_) = exGCD' a p in
>   (select rs ss p, p)
>   where
>     select :: Integral t => [t] -> [t] -> t -> Ratio t
>     select [] _ _ = 0%1
>     select (r:rs) (s:ss) p
>       | s /= 0 && r^2 <= p && s^2 <= p = r% s
>       | otherwise = select rs ss p

```

We have put a list of big primes as follows.

```

> -- Hard code of big primes
> -- For chinese reminder theorem we declare it as [Integer].
> bigPrimes :: [Integer]
> bigPrimes = dropWhile (< 897473) $ takeWhile (< 978948) primes

```

We choose 3 times match as the termination condition.

```

> matches3 :: Eq a => [a] -> a
> matches3 (a:bb@(b:c:cs))
>   | a == b && b == c = a
>   | otherwise       = matches3 bb

```

Finally, we can check our gadgets.

What we know is a list of $(q \text{ 'modp' } p)$ and prime p for several (big) primes.


```

*Ffield> let q = 10%19
*Ffield> let knownData = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes) bigPrimes
*Ffield> take 3 knownData
[(614061,897473),(377894,897497),(566842,897499)]
*Ffield> matches3 $ map (fst . guess) knownData
10 % 19

```

The following is the function we need, its input is the list of tuple which first element is the image in \mathbb{Z}_p and second element is that prime p .

```

> reconstruct :: Integral a =>
>      [(a, a)] -- :: [(Z_p, primes)]
>      -> Ratio a
> reconstruct aps = matches3 $ map (fst . guess) aps

```

Here is a naive test:

```

> let qs = [1 % 3, 10 % 19, 41 % 17, 30 % 311, 311 % 32
>           , 869 % 232, 778 % 123, 331 % 739]
> let modmap q = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes) bigPrimes
> let longList = map modmap qs
> map reconstruct longList
[1 % 3, 10 % 19, 41 % 17, 30 % 311, 311 % 32
, 869 % 232, 778 % 123, 331 % 739]
> it == qs
True

```

For later use, let us define

```

> imagesAndPrimes :: Rational -> [(Integer, Integer)]
> imagesAndPrimes q = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes) bigPrimes

```

to generate a list of images (of our target rational number) in \mathbb{Z}_p and the base primes.

As another example, we have slightly involved function:

```

> matches3' :: Eq a => [(a, t)] -> (a, t)
> matches3' (a0@(a,_):bb@((b,_):(c,_):cs))
>   | a == b && b == c = a0
>   | otherwise       = matches3' bb

```

Let us see the first good guess, Haskell tells us that in order to reconstruct, say $\frac{331}{739}$, we should take three primes start from 614693:

```

*Ffield> let knowData q = zip (map (modp q) primes) primes
*Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (331%739)
(331 % 739,614693)
(18.31 secs, 12,393,394,032 bytes)

*Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (11%13)
(11 % 13,311)
(0.02 secs, 2,319,136 bytes)
*Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (1%13)
(1 % 13,191)
(0.01 secs, 1,443,704 bytes)
*Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (1%3)
(1 % 3,13)
(0.01 secs, 268,592 bytes)
*Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (11%31)
(11 % 31,1129)
(0.03 secs, 8,516,568 bytes)
*Ffield> matches3' $ map guess $ knowData (12%312)
(1 % 26,709)

```

A problem

Since our choice of `bigPrimes` are order 10^6 , our reconstruction can fail for rational numbers of

$$\frac{O(10^3)}{O(10^3)}, \quad (1.86)$$

say

```

*Ffield> let q = 895%922
*Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
*Ffield> take 4 knownData
[(882873,897473)
,(365035,897497)
,(705735,897499)
,(511060,897517)
]
*Ffield> map guess it
[((-854) % 123,897473)
,((-656) % 327,897497)
,((-192) % 805,897499)
]

```

```
,((-491) % 497,897517)
]
```

We can solve this by introducing the following theorem.

1.2.3 Chinese remainder theorem

From wikipedia⁹

There are certain things whose number is unknown. If we count them by threes, we have two left over; by fives, we have three left over; and by sevens, two are left over. How many things are there?

Here is a solution with Haskell:

```
*Ffield> let lst = [n|n<-[0..], mod n 3==2, mod n 5==3, mod n 7==2]
*Ffield> head lst
23
```

We define an infinite list of natural numbers that satisfy

$$n \bmod 3 = 2, n \bmod 5 = 3, n \bmod 7 = 2. \quad (1.87)$$

Then take the first element, and this is the answer.

Claim

The statement for binary case is the following. Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be coprime, then for arbitrary $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following a system of equations

$$x = a_1 \bmod n_1 \quad (1.88)$$

$$x = a_2 \bmod n_2 \quad (1.89)$$

have a unique solution modular $n_1 * n_2$ ¹⁰.

⁹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chinese_remainder_theorem

¹⁰ Note that, this is equivalent that there is a unique solution a in

$$0 \leq a < n_1 \times n_2. \quad (1.90)$$

Proof

(existence) With §1.1.6, there are $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$n_1 * m_1 + n_2 * m_2 = 1. \quad (1.91)$$

Now we have

$$n_1 * m_1 = 1 \pmod{n_2} \quad (1.92)$$

$$n_2 * m_2 = 1 \pmod{n_1} \quad (1.93)$$

that is¹¹

$$m_1 = n_1^{-1} \pmod{n_2} \quad (1.94)$$

$$m_2 = n_2^{-1} \pmod{n_1}. \quad (1.95)$$

Then

$$a := a_1 * n_2 * m_2 + a_2 * n_1 * m_1 \pmod{n_1 * n_2} \quad (1.96)$$

is a solution.

(uniqueness) If a' is also a solution, then

$$a - a' = 0 \pmod{n_1} \quad (1.97)$$

$$a - a' = 0 \pmod{n_2}. \quad (1.98)$$

Since n_1 and n_2 are coprime, i.e., no common divisors, this difference is divisible by $n_1 * n_2$, and

$$a - a' = 0 \pmod{n_1 * n_2}. \quad (1.99)$$

Therefore, the solution is unique modular $n_1 * n_2$.

■

Haskell implementation

Let us see how our naive `guess` function fail one more time:

Chinese Remainder Theorem, and its usage

```
*Ffield> let q = 895%922
*Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
```

¹¹ Here we have used slightly different notions from 1. m_1 in 1 is our m_2 times our n_2 .

```

*Ffield> let [(a1,p1),(a2,p2)] = take 2 knownData
*Ffield> take 2 knownData
[(882873,897473),(365035,897497)]
*Ffield> map guess it
[((-854) % 123,897473),((-656) % 327,897497)]

```

It suffices to make a binary version of Chinese Remainder theorem in Haskell:

```

> crtRec' :: Integral t => (t, t) -> (t, t) -> (t, t)
> crtRec' (a1,p1) (a2,p2) = (a,p)
>   where
>     a = (a1*p2*m2 + a2*p1*m1) 'mod' p
>     m1 = fromJust (p1 'inverse' p2)
>     m2 = fromJust (p2 'inverse' p1)
>     p = p1*p2

```

`crtRec'` function takes two tuples of image in \mathbb{Z}_p and primes, and returns these combination. Now let us fold.

```

> pile :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> [a]
> pile f [] = []
> pile f dd@(d:ds) = d : zipWith' f (pile f dd) ds

```

Schematically, this `pile f` function takes

$$[d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots] \quad (1.100)$$

and returns

$$[d_0, f(d_0, d_1), f(f(d_0, d_1), d_2), f(f(f(d_0, d_1), d_2), d_3), \dots] \quad (1.101)$$

We have used another higher order function which is slightly modified from standard definition:

```

> -- Strict zipWith, from:
> --   http://d.hatena.ne.jp/kazu-yamamoto/touch/20100624/1277348961
> zipWith' :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
> zipWith' f (a:as) (b:bs) = (x 'seq' x) : zipWith' f as bs
>   where x = f a b
> zipWith' _ _ _ = []

```

Let us check our implementation.

```

*Ffield> let q = 895%922
*Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
*Ffield> take 4 knownData
[(882873,897473)
,(365035,897497)
,(705735,897499)
,(511060,897517)
]
*Ffield> pile crtRec' it
[(882873,897473)
,(86488560937,805479325081)
,(397525881357811624,722916888780872419)
,(232931448259966259937614,648830197267942270883623)
]
*Ffield> map guess it
[((-854) % 123,897473)
,(895 % 922,805479325081)
,(895 % 922,722916888780872419)
,(895 % 922,648830197267942270883623)
]

```

So on a product ring $\mathbb{Z}_{805479325081}$, we get the right answer.

1.2.4 recCRT: from image in \mathbb{Z}_p to rational number

From above discussion, here we can define a function which takes a list of images in \mathbb{Z}_p and returns the rational number. What we do is, basically, to take a list of image (of our target rational number) and primes, then applying Chinese Remainder theorem recursively, return several guess of rational number.

```

> recCRT :: Integral a => [(a,a)] -> Ratio a
> recCRT = reconstruct . pile crtRec'

> recCRT' = matches3' . map guess . pile crtRec'

*Ffield> let q = 895%922
*Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
*Ffield> recCRT knownData
895 % 922
*Ffield> recCRT' knownData
(895 % 922,805479325081)

```

Here is some random checks and results.

```

todo: use QuickCheck

> trial = do
>   n <- randomRIO (0,10000) :: IO Integer
>   d <- randomRIO (1,10000) :: IO Integer
>   let q = (n%d)
>   putStrLn $ "input: " ++ show q
>   return $ recCRT' . imagesAndPrimes $ q

*Ffield> trial
input: 1080 % 6931
(1080 % 6931,805479325081)
*Ffield> trial
input: 2323 % 1248
(2323 % 1248,805479325081)
*Ffield> trial
input: 6583 % 1528
(6583 % 1528,805479325081)
*Ffield> trial
input: 721 % 423
(721 % 423,897473)
*Ffield> trial
input: 9967 % 7410
(9967 % 7410,805479325081)

```

1.3 Polynomials and rational functions

The following discussion on an arbitrary field \mathbb{K} .

1.3.1 Notations

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be positive. We use multi-index notation:

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n. \quad (1.102)$$

A monomial is defined as

$$z^\alpha := \prod_i z_i^{\alpha_i}. \quad (1.103)$$

The total degree of this monomial is given by

$$|\alpha| := \sum_i \alpha_i. \quad (1.104)$$

1.3.2 Polynomials and rational functions

Let \mathbb{K} be a field. Consider a map

$$f : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}; z \mapsto f(z) := \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, \quad (1.105)$$

where

$$c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{K}. \quad (1.106)$$

We call the value $f(z)$ at the dummy $z \in \mathbb{K}^n$ a polynomial:

$$f(z) := \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}. \quad (1.107)$$

We denote

$$\mathbb{K}[z] := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \right\} \quad (1.108)$$

as the ring of all polynomial functions in the variable z with \mathbb{K} -coefficients.

Similarly, a rational function can be expressed as a ratio of two polynomials $p(z), q(z) \in \mathbb{K}[z]$:

$$\frac{p(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}}. \quad (1.109)$$

We denote

$$\mathbb{K}(z) := \left\{ \frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}} \right\} \quad (1.110)$$

as the field of rational functions in the variable z with \mathbb{F} -coefficients. Similar to fractional numbers, there are several equivalent representation of a rational function, even if we simplify with gcd. However there still is an overall constant ambiguity. To have a unique representation, usually we put the lowest degree of term of the denominator to be 1.

1.3.3 As data, coefficients list

We can identify a polynomial

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \quad (1.111)$$

as a set of coefficients

$$\{c_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}. \quad (1.112)$$

Similarly, for a rational function, we can identify

$$\frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}} \quad (1.113)$$

as an ordered pair of coefficients

$$(\{n_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}, \{d_{\beta}\}_{\beta}). \quad (1.114)$$

However, there still is an overall factor ambiguity even after gcd simplifications.

1.4 Haskell implementation of univariate polynomials

Here we basically follow some part of §9 of ref.3, and its addendum¹².

`Univariate.lhs`

```
> module Univariate where
> import Data.Ratio
> import Polynomials
```

1.4.1 A polynomial as a list of coefficients

Let us start `instance` declaration, which enable us to use basic arithmetics, e.g., addition and multiplication.

¹² See <http://homepages.cwi.nl/~jve/HR/PolAddendum.pdf>

```

-- Polynomials.hs
-- http://homepages.cwi.nl/~jve/rcrh/Polynomials.hs

module Polynomials where

default (Integer, Rational, Double)

-- polynomials, as coefficients lists
instance (Num a, Ord a) => Num [a] where
  fromInteger c = [fromInteger c]
  -- operator overloading
  negate []      = []
  negate (f:fs) = (negate f) : (negate fs)

  signum [] = []
  signum gs
    | signum (last gs) < (fromInteger 0) = negate z
    | otherwise = z

  abs [] = []
  abs gs
    | signum gs == z = gs
    | otherwise      = negate gs

  fs    + []      = fs
  []    + gs      = gs
  (f:fs) + (g:gs) = f+g : fs+gs

  fs    * []      = []
  []    * gs      = []
  (f:fs) * gg@(g:gs) = f*g : (f .* gs + fs * gg)

delta :: (Num a, Ord a) => [a] -> [a]
delta = ([1,-1] *)

shift :: [a] -> [a]
shift = tail

p2fct :: Num a => [a] -> a -> a
p2fct [] x = 0

```

1.4. HASKELL IMPLEMENTATION OF UNIVARIATE POLYNOMIALS 35

```

p2fct (a:as) x = a + (x * p2fct as x)

comp :: (Eq a, Num a, Ord a) => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
comp _      []      = error ".."
comp []     _       = []
comp (f:fs) g0@(0:gs) = f : gs * (comp fs g0)
comp (f:fs) gg@(g:gs) = ([f] + [g] * (comp fs gg))
                      + (0 : gs * (comp fs gg))

deriv :: Num a => [a] -> [a]
deriv []      = []
deriv (f:fs) = deriv1 fs 1
  where
    deriv1 [] _ = []
    deriv1 (g:gs) n = n*g : deriv1 gs (n+1)

```

Note that the above operators are overloaded, say $(*)$, $f*g$ is a multiplication of two numbers but $fs*gg$ is a multiplication of two list of coefficients. We can not extend this overloading to scalar multiplication, since Haskell type system takes the operands of $(*)$ the same type

$$(*) :: \text{Num } a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a \quad (1.115)$$

```

> -- scalar multiplication
> infixl 7 .*
> (.*) :: Num a => a -> [a] -> [a]
> c .* []      = []
> c .* (f:fs) = c*f : c .* fs

```

Let us see few examples. If we take a scalar multiplication, say

$$3 * (1 + 2z + 3z^2 + 4z^3) \quad (1.116)$$

the result should be

$$3 * (1 + 2z + 3z^2 + 4z^3) = 3 + 6z + 9z^2 + 12z^3 \quad (1.117)$$

In Haskell

```

*Univariate> 3 .* [1,2,3,4]
[3,6,9,12]

```

and this is exactly same as map with section:

```
*Univariate> map (3*) [1,2,3,4]
[3,6,9,12]
```

When we multiply two polynomials, say

$$(1 + 2z) * (3 + 4z + 5z^2 + 6z^3) \quad (1.118)$$

the result should be

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 2z) * (3 + 4z + 5z^2 + 6z^3) &= 1 * (3 + 4z + 5z^2 + 6z^3) + 2z * (3 + 4z + 5z^2 + 6z^3) \\ &= 3 + (4 + 2 * 3)z + (5 + 2 * 4)z^2 + (6 + 2 * 5)z^3 + 2 * 6z^4 \\ &= 3 + 10z + 13z^2 + 16z^3 + 12z^4 \end{aligned} \quad (1.119)$$

In Haskell,

```
*Univariate> [1,2] * [3,4,5,6]
[3,10,13,16,12]
```

Now the (dummy) variable is given as

```
> -- z of f(z), variable
> z :: Num a => [a]
> z = [0,1]
```

A polynomial of degree R is given by a finite sum of the following form:

$$f(z) := \sum_{i=0}^R c_i z^i. \quad (1.120)$$

Therefore, it is natural to represent $f(z)$ by a list of coefficient $\{c_i\}_i$. Here is the translator from the coefficient list to a polynomial function:

```
> p2fct :: Num a => [a] -> a -> a
> p2fct [] x = 0
> p2fct (a:as) x = a + (x * p2fct as x)
```

This gives us¹³

```
*Univariate> take 10 $ map (p2fct [1,2,3]) [0..]
[1,6,17,34,57,86,121,162,209,262]
*Univariate> take 10 $ map (\n -> 1+2*n+3*n^2) [0..]
[1,6,17,34,57,86,121,162,209,262]
```

¹³ Here we have used lambda, or so called anonymous function. From <http://learnyouahaskell.com/higher-order-functions>

To make a lambda, we write a `\` (because it kind of looks like the greek

1.4.2 Difference analysis

We do not know in general this canonical form of the polynomial, nor the degree. That means, what we can access is the graph of f , i.e., the list of inputs and outputs. Without loss of generality, we can take

$$[0..] \quad (1.123)$$

as the input data. Usually we take a finite sublist of this, but we assume it is sufficiently long. The outputs should be

$$\text{map } f \text{ } [0..] = [f \ 0, f \ 1 \ \dots] \quad (1.124)$$

For example

```
*Univariate> take 10 $ map (\n -> n^2+2*n+1) [0..]
[1,4,9,16,25,36,49,64,81,100]
```

Let us consider the difference sequence

$$\Delta(f)(n) := f(n+1) - f(n). \quad (1.125)$$

Its Haskell version is

```
> -- difference analysis
> difs :: (Num a) => [a] -> [a]
> difs [] = []
> difs [_] = []
> difs (i:jj@(j:js)) = j-i : difs jj
```

This gives

```
*Univariate> difs [1,4,9,16,25,36,49,64,81,100]
[3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19]
*Univariate> difs [3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19]
[2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2]
```

letter lambda if you squint hard enough) and then we write the parameters, separated by spaces.

For example,

$$f(x) := x^2 + 1 \quad (1.121)$$

$$f := \lambda x. x^2 + 1 \quad (1.122)$$

are the same definition.

We claim that if $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree R , then $\Delta(f)(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $R - 1$. Since the degree is given, we can write $f(z)$ in canonical form

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^R c_i n^i \quad (1.126)$$

and

$$\Delta(f)(n) := f(n+1) - f(n) \quad (1.127)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^R c_i \{(n+1)^i - n^i\} \quad (1.128)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^R c_i \{(n+1)^i - n^i\} \quad (1.129)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^R c_i \{i * n^{i-1} + O(n^{i-2})\} \quad (1.130)$$

$$= c_R * R * n^{R-1} + O(n^{R-2}) \quad (1.131)$$

where $O(n^{i-2})$ is some polynomial(s) of degree $i - 2$.

This guarantees the following function will terminate in finite steps¹⁴; `difLists` keeps generating difference lists until the difference get constant.

```
> difLists :: (Eq a, Num a) => [[a]] -> [[a]]
> difLists [] = []
> difLists xx@(xs:xss) =
>   if isConst xs then xx
>   else difLists $ difs xs : xx
>   where
>     isConst (i:jj@(j:js)) = all (==i) jj
>     isConst _ = error "difLists: lack of data, or not a polynomial"
```

Let us try:

```
*Univariate> difLists [[-12,-11,6,45,112,213,354,541,780,1077]]
[[6,6,6,6,6,6,6]
,[16,22,28,34,40,46,52,58]
,[1,17,39,67,101,141,187,239,297]
,[-12,-11,6,45,112,213,354,541,780,1077]
]
```

¹⁴ If a given lists is generated by a polynomial.

1.4. HASKELL IMPLEMENTATION OF UNIVARIATE POLYNOMIALS39

The degree of the polynomial can be computed by difference analysis:

```
> degree' :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> Int
> degree' xs = length (difLists [xs]) -1
```

For example,

```
*Univariate> degree [1,4,9,16,25,36,49,64,81,100]
2
*Univariate> take 10 $ map (\n -> n^2+2*n+1) [0..]
[1,4,9,16,25,36,49,64,81,100]
*Univariate> degree $ take 10 $ map (\n -> n^5+4*n^3+1) [0..]
5
```

Above `degree'` function can only treat finite list, however, the following function can compute the degree of infinite list.

```
> degreeLazy :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> Int
> degreeLazy xs = helper xs 0
>   where
>     helper as@(a:b:c:_) n
>       | a==b && b==c = n
>       | otherwise   = helper (difs as) (n+1)
```

Note that this lazy function only sees the first two elements of the list (of difference). So first take the lazy `degreeLazy` and guess the degree, take sufficient finite sublist of output and apply `degree'`. Here is the hybrid version:

```
> degree :: (Num a, Eq a) => [a] -> Int
> degree xs = let l = degreeLazy xs in
>   degree' $ take (l+2) xs
```


Chapter 2

Functional reconstruction over \mathbb{Q}

The goal of a functional reconstruction algorithm is to identify the monomials appearing in their definition and the corresponding coefficients.

From here, we use \mathbb{Q} as our base field, but every algorithm can be computed on any field, e.g., finite field \mathbb{Z}_p .

2.1 Univariate polynomials

2.1.1 Newtons' polynomial representation

Consider a univariate polynomial $f(z)$. Given a sequence of distinct values $y_n|_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we evaluate the polynomial form $f(z)$ sequentially:

$$f_0(z) = a_0 \tag{2.1}$$

$$f_1(z) = a_0 + (z - y_0)a_1 \tag{2.2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_r(z) = a_0 + (z - y_0)(a_1 + (z - y_1)(\cdots + (z - y_{r-1})a_r)) \tag{2.3}$$

$$= f_{r-1}(z) + (z - y_0)(z - y_1) \cdots (z - y_{r-1})a_r, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$a_0 = f(y_0) \quad (2.5)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{f(y_1) - a_0}{y_1 - y_0} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_r = \left(\left((f(y_r) - a_0) \frac{1}{y_r - y_0} - a_1 \right) \frac{1}{y_r - y_1} - \cdots - a_{r-1} \right) \frac{1}{y_r - y_{r-1}} \quad (2.7)$$

It is easy to see that, $f_r(z)$ and the original $f(z)$ match on the given data points, i.e.,

$$f_r(n) = f(n), 0 \leq n \leq r. \quad (2.8)$$

When we have already known the total degree of $f(z)$, say R , then we can terminate this sequential trial:

$$f(z) = f_R(z) \quad (2.9)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^R a_r \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (z - y_i). \quad (2.10)$$

In practice, a consecutive zero on the sequence a_r can be taken as the termination condition for this algorithm.¹

2.1.2 Towards canonical representations

Once we get the Newton's representation

$$\sum_{r=0}^R a_r \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (z - y_i) = a_0 + (z - y_0) (a_1 + (z - y_1) (\cdots + (z - y_{R-1}) a_R)) \quad (2.11)$$

as the reconstructed polynomial, it is convenient to convert it into the canonical form:

$$\sum_{r=0}^R c_r z^r. \quad (2.12)$$

This conversion only requires addition and multiplication of univariate polynomials. These operations are reasonably cheap, especially on \mathbb{Z}_p .

¹ We have not proved, but higher power will be dominant when we take sufficiently big input, so we terminate this sequence when we get a consecutive zero in a_r .

2.1.3 Simplification of our problem

Without loss of generality, we can put

$$[0..] \quad (2.13)$$

as our input list. We usually take its finite part but we assume it has enough length. Corresponding to above input,

$$\text{map } f \text{ } [0..] = [f \ 0, f \ 1, ..] \quad (2.14)$$

of $f :: \text{Ratio Int} \rightarrow \text{Ratio Int}$ is our output list.

Then we have slightly simpler forms of coefficients:

$$f_r(z) := a_0 + z * (a_1 + (z - 1) (a_2 + (z - 2) (a_3 + \dots + (z - r + 1)a_r))) \quad (2.15)$$

$$a_0 = f(0) \quad (2.16)$$

$$a_1 = f(y_1) - a_0 \quad (2.17)$$

$$= f(1) - f(0) =: \Delta(f)(0) \quad (2.18)$$

$$a_2 = \frac{f(2) - a_0}{2} - a_1 \quad (2.19)$$

$$= \frac{f(2) - f(0)}{2} - (f(1) - f(0)) \quad (2.20)$$

$$= \frac{f(2) - 2f(1) - f(0)}{2} \quad (2.21)$$

$$= \frac{(f(2) - f(1)) - (f(1) - f(0))}{2} =: \frac{\Delta^2(f)(0)}{2} \quad (2.22)$$

\vdots

$$a_r = \frac{\Delta^r(f)(0)}{r!}, \quad (2.23)$$

where Δ is the difference operator in eq.(1.125):

$$\Delta(f)(n) := f(n + 1) - f(n). \quad (2.24)$$

In order to simplify our expression, we introduce a falling power:

$$(x)_0 := 1 \quad (2.25)$$

$$(x)_n := x(x - 1) \cdots (x - n + 1) \quad (2.26)$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - i). \quad (2.27)$$

Under these settings, we have

$$f(z) = f_R(z) \quad (2.28)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^R \frac{\Delta^r(f)(0)}{r!} (x)_r, \quad (2.29)$$

where we have assume

$$\Delta^{R+1}(f) = [0, 0, \dots]. \quad (2.30)$$

Example

Consider a polynomial

$$f(z) := 2 * z^3 + 3 * z, \quad (2.31)$$

and its out put list

$$[f(0), f(1), f(3), \dots] = [0, 5, 22, 63, 140, 265, \dots] \quad (2.32)$$

This polynomial is 3rd degree, so we compute up to $\Delta^3(f)(0)$:

$$f(0) = 0 \quad (2.33)$$

$$\Delta(f)(0) = f(1) - f(0) = 5 \quad (2.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2(f)(0) &= \Delta(f)(1) - \Delta(f)(0) \\ &= f(2) - f(1) - 5 = 22 - 5 - 5 = 12 \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^3(f)(0) &= \Delta^2(f)(1) - \Delta^2(f)(0) \\ &= f(3) - f(2) - \{f(2) - f(1)\} - 12 = 12 \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

so we get

$$[0, 5, 12, 12] \quad (2.37)$$

as the first difference list. Therefore, we get the falling power representation of f :

$$f(z) = 5(x)_1 + \frac{12}{2}(x)_2 + \frac{12}{3!}(x)_3 \quad (2.38)$$

$$= 5(x)_1 + 6(x)_2 + 2(x)_3. \quad (2.39)$$

2.2 Univariate polynomial reconstruction with Haskell

2.2.1 Newton interpolation formula with Haskell

First, the falling power is naturally given by recursively:

```
> infixr 8 ^- -- falling power
> (^-) :: (Integral a) => a -> a -> a
> x ^- 0 = 1
> x ^- n = (x ^- (n-1)) * (x - n + 1)
```

Assume the differences are given in a list

$$\mathbf{xs} = [f(0), \Delta(f)(0), \Delta^2(f)(0), \dots]. \quad (2.40)$$

Then the implementation of the Newton interpolation formula is as follows:

```
> newtonC :: (Fractional t, Enum t) => [t] -> [t]
> newtonC xs = [x / factorial k | (x,k) <- zip xs [0..]]
>   where
>     factorial k = product [1..fromInteger k]
```

Consider a polynomial

$$f \ x = 2*x^3+3*x \quad (2.41)$$

Let us try to reconstruct this polynomial from output list. In order to get the list $[x_0, x_1 \dots]$, take `difLists` and pick the first elements:

```
> let f x = 2*x^3+3*x
> take 10 $ map f [0..]
[0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
> difLists [it]
[[12,12,12,12,12,12,12]
, [12,24,36,48,60,72,84,96]
, [5,17,41,77,125,185,257,341,437]
, [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
]
> reverse $ map head it
[0,5,12,12]
```

This list is the same as eq.(2.37) and we get the same expression as eq.(2.39)
 $5(x)_1 + 6(x)_2 + 2(x)_3$:

```
> newtonC it
[0 % 1,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
```

The list of first differences, i.e.,

$$[f(0), \Delta(f)(0), \Delta^2(f)(0), \dots] \quad (2.42)$$

can be computed as follows:

```
> firstDifs :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> [a]
> firstDifs xs = reverse $ map head $ difLists [xs]
```

Mapping a list of integers to a Newton representation:

```
> list2npol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
> list2npol = newtonC . firstDifs
```

```
*NewtonInterpolation> take 10 $ map f [0..]
[0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
*NewtonInterpolation> list2npol it
[0 % 1,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
```

Therefore, we get the Newton coefficients from the output list.

2.2.2 Stirling numbers of the first kind

We need to map Newton falling powers to standard powers to get the canonical representation. This is a matter of applying combinatorics, by means of a convention formula that uses the so-called Stirling cyclic numbers

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.43)$$

Its defining relation is, $\forall n > 0$,

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k, \quad (2.44)$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} := 1. \quad (2.45)$$

2.2. UNIVARIATE POLYNOMIAL RECONSTRUCTION WITH HASKELL47

From the highest order, x^n , we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix} = 1, \forall n > 0. \quad (2.46)$$

We also put

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \dots = 0, \quad (2.47)$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \dots = 0. \quad (2.48)$$

The key equation is

$$(x)_n = (x)_{n-1} * (x - n + 1) \quad (2.49)$$

and we get

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k \quad (2.50)$$

$$= x^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k \quad (2.51)$$

$$(x)_{n-1} * (x - n + 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-)^{n-1-k} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^{k+1} - (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k \right\} \quad (2.52)$$

$$= \sum_{l=2}^n (-)^{n-l} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ l-1 \end{bmatrix} x^l + (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k \quad (2.53)$$

$$= x^n + (n-1)(-)^{n-1}x + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (-)^{n-k} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \right\} x^k \quad (2.54)$$

$$= x^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-)^{n-k} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \right\} x^k \quad (2.55)$$

Therefore, $\forall n, k > 0$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.56)$$

Now we have the following canonical, power representation of reconstructed polynomial

$$f(z) = f_R(z) \quad (2.57)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^R \frac{\Delta^r(f)(0)}{r!} (x)_r \quad (2.58)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^R \frac{\Delta^r(f)(0)}{r!} \sum_{k=1}^r (-)^{r-k} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k, \quad (2.59)$$

So, what shall we do is to sum up order by order.

Here is an implementation, first the Stirling numbers:

```
> stirlingC :: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
> stirlingC 0 0 = 1
> stirlingC 0 _ = 0
> stirlingC n k = (n-1)*(stirlingC (n-1) k) + stirlingC (n-1) (k-1)
```

This definition can be used to convert from falling powers to standard powers.

```
> fall2pol :: (Integral a) => a -> [a]
> fall2pol 0 = [1]
> fall2pol n = 0 -- No constant term.
> : [(-1)^(n-k) * stirlingC n k | k<-[1..n]]
```

We use `fall2pol` to convert Newton representations to standard polynomials in coefficients list representation. Here we have uses `sum` to collect same order terms in list representation.

```
> npol2pol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
> npol2pol xs = sum [ [x] * map fromInteger (fall2pol k)
>                     | (x,k) <- zip xs [0..]
>                     ]
```

2.2.3 list2pol: from output list to canonical coefficients

Finally, here is the function for computing a polynomial from an output sequence:

```
> list2pol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
> list2pol = npol2pol . list2npol
```


Here are some checks on these functions:

Reconstruction as curve fitting

```
*NewtonInterpolation> list2pol $ map (\n -> 7*n^2+3*n-4) [0..100]
[(-4) % 1,3 % 1,7 % 1]

*NewtonInterpolation> list2pol [0,1,5,14,30]
[0 % 1,1 % 6,1 % 2,1 % 3]
*NewtonInterpolation> map (\n -> n%6 + n^2%2 + n^3%3) [0..4]
[0 % 1,1 % 1,5 % 1,14 % 1,30 % 1]

*NewtonInterpolation> map (p2fct $ list2pol [0,1,5,14,30]) [0..8]
[0 % 1,1 % 1,5 % 1,14 % 1,30 % 1,55 % 1,91 % 1,140 % 1,204 % 1]
```

First example shows that from the sufficiently long output list, we can reconstruct the list of coefficients. Second example shows that from a given outputs, we have a list coefficients. Then use these coefficients, we define the output list of the function, and they match. The last example shows that from a limited (but sufficient) output information, we reconstruct a function and get extra outputs outside from the given data.

2.3 Univariate rational functions

We use the same notion, i.e., what we can know is the output-list of a univariate rational function, say $f :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Ratio Int}$:

$$\text{map } f \text{ [0..]} == [f \ 0, f \ 1 \ ..] \quad (2.60)$$

2.3.1 Thiele's interpolation formula

We evaluate the polynomial form $f(z)$ as a continued fraction:

$$f_0(z) = a_0 \quad (2.61)$$

$$f_1(z) = a_0 + \frac{z}{a_1} \quad (2.62)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_r(z) = a_0 + \frac{z}{a_1 + \frac{z-1}{a_2 + \frac{z-2}{a_{r-2} + \frac{\vdots}{a_{r-1} + \frac{z-r+1}{a_r}}}}}, \quad (2.63)$$

where

$$a_0 = f(0) \quad (2.64)$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{f(1) - a_0} \quad (2.65)$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{f(2) - a_0} - a_1} \quad (2.66)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_r = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{\frac{3}{\frac{\vdots}{\frac{r}{f(r) - a_0} - a_1} - a_2} - a_{r-1}} - a_{r-2}} \quad (2.67)$$

$$= \left(\left((f(r) - a_0)^{-1} r - a_1 \right)^{-1} (r-1) - \cdots - a_{r-1} \right)^{-1} 1 \quad (2.68)$$

2.3.2 Towards canonical representations

In order to get a unique representation of canonical form

$$\frac{\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}}{\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} z^{\beta}} \quad (2.69)$$

we put

$$d_{\min r'} = 1 \quad (2.70)$$

as a normalization, instead of d_0 . However, if we meet 0 as a singular value, then we can shift s.t. the new $d_0 \neq 0$. So without loss of generality, we can assume $f(0)$ is not singular, i.e., the denominator of f has a nonzero constant term:

$$d_0 = 1 \quad (2.71)$$

$$f(z) = \frac{\sum_i n_i z^i}{1 + \sum_{j>0} d_z^j}. \quad (2.72)$$

2.4 Univariate rational function reconstruction with Haskell

Here we the same notion of

`https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27s_interpolation_formula`

and especially

`https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27s_interpolation_formula#C`

2.4.1 Reciprocal difference

We claim, without proof², that the Thiele coefficients are given by

$$a_0 := f(0) \quad (2.73)$$

$$a_n := \rho_{n,0} - \rho_{n-2,0}, \quad (2.74)$$

² See the ref.4, Theorem (2.2.2.5) in 2nd edition.

where ρ is so called the reciprocal difference:

$$\rho_{n,i} := 0, n < 0 \quad (2.75)$$

$$\rho_{0,i} := f(i), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.76)$$

$$\rho_{n,i} := \frac{n}{\rho_{n-1,i+1} - \rho_{n-1,i}} + \rho_{n-2,i+1} \quad (2.77)$$

These preparation helps us to write the following codes:

Thiele's interpolation formula

Reciprocal difference rho, using the same notation of

https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27s_interpolation_formula#C

```
> rho :: [Ratio Int] -- A list of output of f :: Int -> Ratio Int
>      -> Int -> Int -> Ratio Int
> rho fs 0 i = fs !! i
> rho fs n _
>   | n < 0 = 0
> rho fs n i = (n*den)%num + rho fs (n-2) (i+1)
>   where
>     num = numerator next
>     den = denominator next
>     next = (rho fs (n-1) (i+1)) - (rho fs (n-1) i)
```

Note that (%) has the following type,

```
(%) :: Integral a => a -> a -> Ratio a
```

```
> a fs 0 = fs !! 0
> a fs n = rho fs n 0 - rho fs (n-2) 0
```

2.4.2 tDegree for termination

Now let us consider a simple example which is given by the following Thiele coefficients

$$a_0 = 1, a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 4. \quad (2.78)$$

2.4. UNIVARIATE RATIONAL FUNCTION RECONSTRUCTION WITH HASKELL53

The function is now

$$f(x) := 1 + \frac{x}{2 + \frac{x-1}{3 + \frac{x-2}{4}}} \quad (2.79)$$

$$= \frac{x^2 + 16x + 16}{16 + 6x} \quad (2.80)$$

Using Maxima³, we can verify this:

```
(%i25) f(x) := 1+(x/(2+(x-1)/(3+(x-2)/4)));
(%o25) f(x):=x/(2+(x-1)/(3+(x-2)/4))+1
(%i26) ratsimp(f(x));
(%o26) (x^2+16*x+16)/(16+6*x)
```

Let us come back Haskell, and try to get the Thiele coefficients of

```
*Univariate> let func x = (x^2 + 16*x + 16)%(6*x + 16)
*Univariate> let fs = map func [0..]
*Univariate> map (a fs) [0..]
[1 % 1,2 % 1,3 % 1,4 % 1,*** Exception: Ratio has zero denominator]
```

This is clearly unsafe, so let us think more carefully. Observe the reciprocal differences

```
*Univariate> let fs = map func [0..]
*Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 0) [0..]
[1 % 1,3 % 2,13 % 7,73 % 34,12 % 5]
*Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 1) [0..]
[2 % 1,14 % 5,238 % 69,170 % 43,230 % 53]
*Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 2) [0..]
[4 % 1,79 % 16,269 % 44,667 % 88,413 % 44]
*Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 3) [0..]
[6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1]
```

So, the constancy of the reciprocal differences can be used to get the depth of Thiele series:

```
> tDegree :: [Ratio Int] -> Int
> tDegree fs = helper fs 0
```

³ <http://maxima.sourceforge.net>

```

> where
>   helper fs n
>     | isConstants fs' = n
>     | otherwise      = helper fs (n+1)
>   where
>     fs' = map (rho fs n) [0..]
>     isConstants (i:j:_) = i==j -- 2 times match
> -- isConstants (i:j:k:_) = i==j && j==k

```

Using this `tDegree` function, we can safely take the (finite) Thiele sequence.

2.4.3 thieleC: from output list to Thiele coefficients

From the equation (3.26) of ref.1,

```

*Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
*Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]
*Univariate> tDegree hs
4

```

So we get the Thiele coefficients

```

*Univariate> map (a hs) [0..(tDegree hs)]
[3 % 1, (-23) % 42, (-28) % 13, 767 % 14, 7 % 130]

```

Plug these in the continued fraction, and simplify with Maxima

```

(%i35) h(t):=3+t/((-23/42)+(t-1)/((-28/13)+(t-2)/((767/14)+(t-3)/(7/130))));
(%o35) h(t):=t/((-23)/42+(t-1)/((-28)/13+(t-2)/(767/14+(t-3)/(7/130)))+3
(%i36) ratsimp(h(t));
(%o36) (18*t^2+6*t+3)/(1+2*t+20*t^2)

```

Finally we make a function `thieleC` that returns the Thiele coefficients:

```

> thieleC :: [Ratio Int] -> [Ratio Int]
> thieleC lst = map (a lst) [0..(tDegree lst)]

*Univariate> thieleC hs
[3 % 1, (-23) % 42, (-28) % 13, 767 % 14, 7 % 130]

```

We need a convertor from this Thiele sequence to continuous form of rational function.

2.4. UNIVARIATE RATIONAL FUNCTION RECONSTRUCTION WITH HASKELL55

```
> nextStep [a0,a1] (v:_) = a0 + v/a1
> nextStep (a:as) (v:vs) = a + (v / nextStep as vs)
>
> -- From thiele sequence to (rational) function.
> thiele2ratf :: Integral a => [Ratio a] -> (Ratio a -> Ratio a)
> thiele2ratf as x
>   | x == 0 = head as
>   | otherwise = nextStep as [x,x-1 ..]
```

The following example shows that, the given output lists `hs`, we can interpolate the value between our discrete data.

```
*Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
*Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]
*Univariate> take 5 hs
[3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47]
*Univariate> let as = thieleC hs
*Univariate> as
[3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
*Univariate> let th x = thiele2ratf as x
*Univariate> map th [0..5]
[3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47,69 % 73]
*Univariate> th 0.5
3 % 2
```

2.4.4 Haskell representation for rational functions

We represent a rational function by a tuple of coefficient lists, like,

$$(ns,ds) :: ([Ratio Int],[Ratio Int]) \quad (2.81)$$

Here is a translator from coefficients lists to rational function.

```
> lists2ratf :: (Integral a) =>
>   ([Ratio a],[Ratio a]) -> (Ratio a -> Ratio a)
> lists2ratf (ns,ds) x = (p2fct ns x)/(p2fct ds x)

*Univariate> let frac x = lists2ratf ([1,1%2,1%3],[2,2%3]) x
*Univariate> take 10 $ map frac [0..]
[1 % 2,11 % 16,1 % 1,11 % 8,25 % 14,71 % 32,8 % 3,25 % 8,79 % 22,65 % 16]
*Univariate> let ffrac x = (1+(1%2)*x+(1%3)*x^2)/(2+(2%3)*x)
*Univariate> take 10 $ map ffrac [0..]
[1 % 2,11 % 16,1 % 1,11 % 8,25 % 14,71 % 32,8 % 3,25 % 8,79 % 22,65 % 16]
```

Simply taking numerator and denominator polynomials.

The following `canonicalizer` reduces the tuple-rep of rational function in canonical form, i.e., the coefficient of the lowest degree term of the denominator to be 1⁴.

```
> canonicalize :: (Integral a) =>
>   ([Ratio a],[Ratio a]) -> ([Ratio a],[Ratio a])
> canonicalize rat@(ns,ds)
>   | dMin == 1 = rat
>   | otherwise = (map (/dMin) ns, map (/dMin) ds)
>   where
>     dMin = firstNonzero ds
>     firstNonzero [a] = a -- head
>     firstNonzero (a:as)
>       | a /= 0 = a
>       | otherwise = firstNonzero as

*Univariate> canonicalize ([1,1%2,1%3],[2,2%3])
([1 % 2,1 % 4,1 % 6],[1 % 1,1 % 3])
*Univariate> canonicalize ([1,1%2,1%3],[0,0,2,2%3])
([1 % 2,1 % 4,1 % 6],[0 % 1,0 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 3])
*Univariate> canonicalize ([1,1%2,1%3],[0,0,0,2%3])
([3 % 2,3 % 4,1 % 2],[0 % 1,0 % 1,0 % 1,1 % 1])
```

What we need is a translator from Thiele coefficients to this tuple-rep. Since the list of Thiele coefficients is finite, we can naturally think recursively.

Before we go to a general case, consider

$$f(x) := 1 + \frac{x}{2 + \frac{x-1}{3 + \frac{x-2}{4}}} \quad (2.82)$$

⁴ Here our data point start from 0, i.e., the output data is given by `map f [0..]`, 0 is not singular, i.e., the denominator should have constant term and that means non empty. Therefore, the function `firstNonzero` is actually `head`.

When we simplify this expression, we should start from the bottom:

$$f(x) = 1 + \frac{x}{2 + \frac{x-1}{4 * 3 + x - 2}} \quad (2.83)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{x}{2 + \frac{x-1}{x+10}} \quad (2.84)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{x}{\frac{2 * (x+10) + 4 * (x-1)}{x+10}} \quad (2.85)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{x}{\frac{6x+16}{x+10}} \quad (2.86)$$

$$= \frac{1 * (6x+16) + x * (x+10)}{6x+16} \quad (2.87)$$

$$= \frac{x^2 + 16x + 16}{6x+16} \quad (2.88)$$

Finally, if we need, we take its canonical form:

$$f(x) = \frac{1 + x + \frac{1}{16}x^2}{1 + \frac{3}{8}x} \quad (2.89)$$

In general, we have the following Thiele representation:

$$a_0 + \frac{z}{a_1 + \frac{z-1}{a_2 + \frac{z-2}{\vdots \frac{z-n}{a_n + \frac{z-n}{a_{n+1}}}}} \quad (2.90)$$

The base case should be

$$a_n + \frac{z-n}{a_{n+1}} = \frac{a_{n+1} * a_n - n + z}{a_{n+1}} \quad (2.91)$$

and induction step $0 \leq r \leq n$ should be

$$a_r(z) = a_r + \frac{z - r}{a_{r+1}(z)} \quad (2.92)$$

$$= \frac{a_r a_{r+1}(z) + z - r}{a_{r+1}(z)} \quad (2.93)$$

$$= \frac{a_r * \text{num}(a_{r+1}(z)) + \text{den}(a_{r+1}(z)) * (z - r)}{\text{num}(a_{r+1}(z))} \quad (2.94)$$

where

$$a_{r+1}(z) = \frac{\text{num}(a_{r+1}(z))}{\text{den}(a_{r+1}(z))} \quad (2.95)$$

is a canonical representation of $a_{n+1}(z)$ ⁵.

Thus, the implementation is the followings.

```
> thiele2coef :: (Integral a) =>
>   [Ratio a] -> ([Ratio a],[Ratio a])
> thiele2coef as = canonicalize $ t2r as 0
>   where
>     t2r [an,an'] n = ([an*an'-n,1],[an'])
>     t2r (a:as)    n = ((a .* num) + ([-n,1] * den), num)
>     where
>       (num, den) = t2r as (n+1)
```

From the first example,

```
*Univariate> let func x = (x^2+16*x+16)%(6*x+16)
*Univariate> let funcList = map func [0..]
*Univariate> tDegree funcList
3
*Univariate> take 5 funcList
[1 % 1,3 % 2,13 % 7,73 % 34,12 % 5]
*Univariate> let aFunc = thieleC funcList
*Univariate> aFunc
[1 % 1,2 % 1,3 % 1,4 % 1]
*Univariate> thiele2coef aFunc
([1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 16],[1 % 1,3 % 8])
```

From the other example, equation (3.26) of ref.1,

⁵ Not necessary being a canonical representation, it suffices to express $a_{n+1}(z)$ in a polynomial over polynomial form, that is, two lists in Haskell.

```

*Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
*Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]
*Univariate> take 5 hs
[3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47]
*Univariate> let th x = thiele2ratf as x
*Univariate> map th [0..5]
[3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47,69 % 73]
*Univariate> as
[3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
*Univariate> thiele2coef as
([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,2 % 1,20 % 1])

```

2.4.5 list2rat: from output list to canonical coefficients

Finally, we get

```

> list2rat :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> ([Ratio a], [Ratio a])
> list2rat = thiele2Coef . thieleC

```

as the reconstruction function from the output sequence.

```

*Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
*Univariate> list2rat $ map h [0..]
([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,2 % 1,20 % 1])

```

2.5 Multivariate polynomials

From now on, we will use only the following functions from univariate cases.

Multivariate.lhs

```

> module Multivariate
>   where

> import Data.Ratio
> import Univariate
>   ( degree, list2pol
>     , thiele2ratf, lists2ratf, thiele2coef, lists2rat
>   )

```

2.5.1 Foldings as recursive applications

Consider an arbitrary multivariate polynomial

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{K}[z_1, \dots, z_n]. \quad (2.96)$$

First, fix all the variable but 1st and apply the univariate Newton's reconstruction:

$$f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{r=0}^R a_r(z_2, \dots, z_n) \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (z_1 - y_i) \quad (2.97)$$

Recursively, pick up one "coefficient" and apply the univariate Newton's reconstruction on z_2 :

$$a_r(z_2, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{s=0}^S b_s(z_3, \dots, z_n) \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} (z_2 - x_j) \quad (2.98)$$

The terminate condition should be the univariate case.

2.5.2 Experiments, 2 variables case

Let us take a polynomial from the denominator in eq.(3.23) of ref.1.

$$f(z_1, z_2) = 3 + 2z_1 + 4z_2 + 7z_1^2 + 5z_1z_2 + 6z_2^2 \quad (2.99)$$

In Haskell, first, fix $z_2 = 0, 1, 2$ and identify $f(z_1, 0), f(z_1, 1), f(z_1, 2)$ as our univariate polynomials.

```
*Multivariate> let f z1 z2 = 3+2*z1+4*z2+7*z1^2+5*z1*z2+6*z2^2
*Multivariate> let fs z = map ('f' z) [0..]
*Multivariate> let llst = map fs [0,1,2]
*Multivariate> map degree llst
[2,2,2]
```

Fine, so the canonical form can be

$$f(z_1, z) = c_0(z) + c_1(z)z_1 + c_2(z)z_1^2. \quad (2.100)$$

Now our new target is three univariate polynomials $c_0(z), c_1(z), c_2(z)$.

```
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ fs 0
[3 % 1,2 % 1,7 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ fs 1
[13 % 1,7 % 1,7 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ fs 2
[35 % 1,12 % 1,7 % 1]
```

That is

$$f(z, 0) = 3 + 2z + 7z^2 \quad (2.101)$$

$$f(z, 1) = 13 + 7z + 7z^2 \quad (2.102)$$

$$f(z, 2) = 35 + 12z + 7z^2. \quad (2.103)$$

From these observation, we can determine $c_2(z)$, since it already a constant sequence.

$$c_2(z) = 7 \quad (2.104)$$

Consider $c_1(z)$, the sequence is now enough to determine $c_1(z)$:

```
*Multivariate> degree [2,7,12]
1
*Multivariate> list2pol [2,7,12]
[2 % 1,5 % 1]
```

i.e.,

$$c_1(z) = 2 + 5z. \quad (2.105)$$

However, for $c_1(z)$

```
*Multivariate> degree [3, 13, 35]
*** Exception: difLists: lack of data, or not a polynomial
CallStack (from HasCallStack):
  error, called at ./Univariate.lhs:61:19 in main:Univariate
```

so we need more numbers. Let us try one more:

```
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ map ('f' 3) [0..]
[69 % 1,17 % 1,7 % 1]
*Multivariate> degree [3, 13, 35, 69]
2
*Multivariate> list2pol [3,13,35,69]
[3 % 1,4 % 1,6 % 1]
```

Thus we have

$$c_0(z) = 3 + 4z + 6z^2 \quad (2.106)$$

and these fully determine our polynomial:

$$f(z_1, z_2) = (3 + 4z_2 + 6z_2^2) + (2 + 5z_2)z_1 + 7z_1^2. \quad (2.107)$$

As another experiment, take the denominator.

```

*Multivariate> let g x y = 1+7*x + 8*y + 10*x^2 + x*y+9*y^2
*Multivariate> let gs x = map (g x) [0..]
*Multivariate> map degree $ map gs [0..3]
[2,2,2,2]

```

So the canonical form should be

$$g(x, y) = c_0(x) + c_1(x)y + c_2(x)y^2 \quad (2.108)$$

Let us look at these coefficient polynomial:

```

*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ gs 0
[1 % 1,8 % 1,9 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ gs 1
[18 % 1,9 % 1,9 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ gs 2
[55 % 1,10 % 1,9 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ take 10 $ gs 3
[112 % 1,11 % 1,9 % 1]

```

So we get

$$c_2(x) = 9 \quad (2.109)$$

and

```

*Multivariate> map (list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4]
[[1 % 1,8 % 1,9 % 1]
,[18 % 1,9 % 1,9 % 1]
,[55 % 1,10 % 1,9 % 1]
,[112 % 1,11 % 1,9 % 1]
,[189 % 1,12 % 1,9 % 1]
]
*Multivariate> map head it
[1 % 1,18 % 1,55 % 1,112 % 1,189 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol it
[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map (head . list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4]
[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1]

```

Using index operator (!!),

```

*Multivariate> list2pol $ map ((!! 0) . list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4]
[1 % 1, 7 % 1, 10 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map ((!! 1) . list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4]
[8 % 1, 1 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map ((!! 2) . list2pol . (take 10) . gs) [0..4]
[9 % 1]

```

Finally we get

$$c_0(x) = 1 + 7x + 10x^2, c_1(x) = 8 + x, (c_2(x) = 9,) \quad (2.110)$$

and

$$g(x, y) = (1 + 7x + 10x^2) + (8 + x)y + 9y^2 \quad (2.111)$$

2.6 Multivariate rational functions

2.6.1 The canonical normalization

Our target is a pair of coefficients $(\{n_\alpha\}_\alpha, \{d_\beta\}_\beta)$ in

$$\frac{\sum_\alpha n_\alpha z^\alpha}{\sum_\beta d_\beta z^\beta} \quad (2.112)$$

A canonical choice is

$$d_0 = d_{(0, \dots, 0)} = 1. \quad (2.113)$$

Accidentally we might face $d_0 = 0$, but we can shift our function and make

$$d'_0 = d_s \neq 0. \quad (2.114)$$

2.6.2 An auxiliary t

Introducing an auxiliary variable t , let us define

$$h(z, t) := f(tz_1, \dots, tz_n), \quad (2.115)$$

and reconstruct $h(t, z)$ as a univariate rational function of t :

$$h(z, t) = \frac{\sum_{r=0}^R p_r(z) t^r}{1 + \sum_{r'=1}^{R'} q_{r'}(z) t^{r'}} \quad (2.116)$$

where

$$p_r(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=r} n_\alpha z^\alpha \quad (2.117)$$

$$q_{r'}(z) = \sum_{|\beta|=r'} n_\beta z^\beta \quad (2.118)$$

are homogeneous polynomials.

Thus, what we shall do is the (homogeneous) polynomial reconstructions of $p_r(z)|_{0 \leq r \leq R}$, $q_{r'}(z)|_{1 \leq r' \leq R'}$.

A simplification

Since our new targets are homogeneous polynomials, we can consider, say,

$$p_r(1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \quad (2.119)$$

instead of $p_r(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$, reconstruct it using multivariate Newton's method, and homogenize with z_1 .

2.6.3 Experiments, 2 variables case

Consider the equation (3.23) in ref.1.

```
*Multivariate> let f x y = (3+2*x+4*y+7*x^2+5*x*y+6*y^2)
                                % (1+7*x+8*y+10*x^2+x*y+9*y^2)

*Multivariate> :t f
f :: Integral a => a -> a -> Ratio a
*Multivariate> let h x y t = f (t*x) (t*y)
*Multivariate> let hs x y = map (h x y) [0..]
*Multivariate> take 5 $ hs 0 0
[3 % 1,3 % 1,3 % 1,3 % 1,3 % 1]
*Multivariate> take 5 $ hs 0 1
[3 % 1,13 % 18,35 % 53,69 % 106,115 % 177]
*Multivariate> take 5 $ hs 1 0
[3 % 1,2 % 3,7 % 11,9 % 14,41 % 63]
*Multivariate> take 5 $ hs 1 1
[3 % 1,3 % 4,29 % 37,183 % 226,105 % 127]
```

Here we have introduced the auxiliary t as third argument.

We take $(x, y) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3)$ and reconstruct them⁶.

⁶Eq.(3.26) in ref.1 is different from our reconstruction.


```

*Multivariate> lists2rat $ hs 1 0
([3 % 1,2 % 1,7 % 1],[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1])
*Multivariate> lists2rat $ hs 1 1
([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,15 % 1,20 % 1])
*Multivariate> lists2rat $ hs 1 2
([3 % 1,10 % 1,41 % 1],[1 % 1,23 % 1,48 % 1])
*Multivariate> lists2rat $ hs 1 3
([3 % 1,14 % 1,76 % 1],[1 % 1,31 % 1,94 % 1])

```

So we have

$$h(1, 0, t) = \frac{3 + 2t + 7t^2}{1 + 7t + 10t^2} \quad (2.120)$$

$$h(1, 1, t) = \frac{3 + 6t + 18t^2}{1 + 15t + 20t^2} \quad (2.121)$$

$$h(1, 2, t) = \frac{3 + 10t + 41t^2}{1 + 23t + 48t^2} \quad (2.122)$$

$$h(1, 3, t) = \frac{3 + 14t + 76t^2}{1 + 31t + 94t^2} \quad (2.123)$$

Our next targets are the coefficients as polynomials in y ⁷.

Let us consider numerator first. This list is Haskell representation for eq.(2.120), eq.(2.121), eq.(2.122) and eq.(2.123).

```

*Multivariate> let list = map (lists2rat . (hs 1)) [0..4]
*Multivariate> let numf = map fst list
*Multivariate> list
([([3 % 1,2 % 1,7 % 1],[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1])
,([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,15 % 1,20 % 1])
,([3 % 1,10 % 1,41 % 1],[1 % 1,23 % 1,48 % 1])
,([3 % 1,14 % 1,76 % 1],[1 % 1,31 % 1,94 % 1])
,([3 % 1,18 % 1,123 % 1],[1 % 1,39 % 1,158 % 1])
]
*Multivariate> numf
[[3 % 1,2 % 1,7 % 1]
,[3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1]
,[3 % 1,10 % 1,41 % 1]
,[3 % 1,14 % 1,76 % 1]
,[3 % 1,18 % 1,123 % 1]
]

```

⁷ In our example, we take $x = 1$ fixed and reproduce x -dependence using homogenization

From this information, we reconstruct each polynomials

```
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map head numf
[3 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map (head . tail) numf
[2 % 1,4 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map last numf
[7 % 1,5 % 1,6 % 1]
```

that is we have $3, 2 + 4y, 7 + 5y + 6y^2$ as results. Similarly,

```
*Multivariate> let denf = map snd list
*Multivariate> denf
[[1 % 1,7 % 1,10 % 1]
,[1 % 1,15 % 1,20 % 1]
,[1 % 1,23 % 1,48 % 1]
,[1 % 1,31 % 1,94 % 1]
,[1 % 1,39 % 1,158 % 1]
]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map head denf
[1 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map (head . tail) denf
[7 % 1,8 % 1]
*Multivariate> list2pol $ map last denf
[10 % 1,1 % 1,9 % 1]
```

So we get

$$h(1, y, t) = \frac{3 + (2 + 4y)t + (7 + 5y + 6y^2)t^2}{1 + (7 + 8y)t + (10 + y + 9y^2)t^2} \quad (2.124)$$

Finally, we use the homogeneous property for each powers:

$$h(x, y, t) = \frac{3 + (2x + 4y)t + (7x^2 + 5xy + 6y^2)t^2}{1 + (7x + 8y)t + (10x^2 + xy + 9y^2)t^2} \quad (2.125)$$

Putting $t = 1$, we get

$$f(x, y) = h(x, y, 1) \quad (2.126)$$

$$= \frac{3 + (2x + 4y) + (7x^2 + 5xy + 6y^2)}{1 + (7x + 8y) + (10x^2 + xy + 9y^2)} \quad (2.127)$$

Chapter 3

Functional reconstruction over finite fields

3.1 Univariate polynomials

We choose our new target the first differences, since once we get it, to reconstruct polynomial is an easy task. Once we get the first differences of a polynomial, we get the coefficient list by applying `npol2pol . newtonC` on it.

3.1.1 Pre-cook

We need a convertor, or function-modular which takes function and prime, and returns a function on \mathbb{Z}_p .

```
> -- Function-modular.
> fmodp :: Integral c => (a -> Ratio c) -> c -> a -> c
> f 'fmodp' p = ('modp' p) . f

*FR0verZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
*FR0verZp> let fs = map f [0..]
*FR0verZp> take 5 $ map (f 'fmodp' 101) [0..]
[34,93,87,16,82]
*FR0verZp> take 5 $ map ('modp' 101) fs
[34,93,87,16,82]
```

What we can access is the output list of our target polynomial. On \mathbb{Z}_p ,

our input is a finite list

$$[0, 1, 2 \dots (p-1)] \quad (3.1)$$

so as the output list.

```
> accessibleData :: (Ratio Int -> Ratio Int) -> Int -> [Int]
> accessibleData f p = take p $ map (f 'fmodp' p) [0..]
>
> accessibleData' :: [Ratio Int] -> Int -> [Int]
> accessibleData' fs p = take p $ map ('modp' p) fs
```

3.1.2 Difference analysis on \mathbb{Z}_p

Play the same game over prime field \mathbb{Z}_p , i.e., every arithmetic in under mod p .

Difference analysis over \mathbb{Z}_p

Every arithmetic should be on \mathbb{Z}_p , i.e., ('mod' p).

```
> difsp :: Integral b => b -> [b] -> [b]
> difsp p xs = map ('mod' p) (zipWith (-) (tail xs) xs)

*FR0verZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
*FR0verZp> take 5 $ accessibleData f 101
[34,93,87,16,82]
*FR0verZp> difsp 101 it
[59,95,30,66]
*FR0verZp> difsp 101 it
[36,36,36]
*FR0verZp> difsp 101 it
[0,0]
```

Here what we do is, first to take the differences (`zipWith (-) (tail xs) xs`) and take modular p for all element (`map ('mod' p)`). Now we can recursively apply this `difsp` over our data.

```
> difListsp :: Integral b => b -> [[b]] -> [[b]]
> difListsp _ [] = []
> difListsp p xx@(xs:xxs) =
>   if isConst xs then xx
>   else difListsp p $ difsp p xs : xx
```

```

> where
>   isConst (i:jj@(j:js)) = all (==i) jj
>   isConst _ = error "difListsp: "

*FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
*FROverZp> map head $ difListsp 101 [accessibleData f 101]
[36,59,34]

```

3.1.3 Eager and lazy degree

From the above difference analysis on \mathbb{Z}_p , we get degree of the polynomial. Here we have a combination of two degree functions, one is eager and the other lazy:

Degree, eager and lazy versions

```

> degreeep' p xs = length (difListsp p [xs]) -1
> degreeep'Lazy p xs = helper xs 0
> where
>   helper as@(a:b:c:_) n
>     | a==b && b==c = n -- two times matching
>     | otherwise   = helper (difsp p as) (n+1)
>
> degreeep :: Integral b => b -> [b] -> Int
> degreeep p xs = let l = degreeep'Lazy p xs in
>   degreeep' p $ take (l+2) xs

*FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
*FROverZp> let myDeg p = degreeep p $ accessibleData f p
*FROverZp> myDeg 101
2
*FROverZp> myDeg 103
2
*FROverZp> myDeg 107
2
*FROverZp> degreeep 101 $ accessibleData
  (\n -> (1%2)+(2%3)*n+(3%4)*n^2+(6%7)*n^7) 101
7

```

Now we can take first differences.

```

> firstDifsp :: Integral a => a -> [a] -> [a]
> firstDifsp p xs = reverse $ map head $ difListsp p [xs']
>   where
>     xs' = take n xs
>     n   = 2+ degreep p xs

```

```

*FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
*FROverZp> firstDifsp 101 $ accessibleData f 101
[34,59,36]
*FROverZp> firstDifsp 101 $ accessibleData
  (\n -> (1%2)+(2%3)*n+(3%4)*n^2+(6%7)*n^7) 101
[51,66,59,33,29,58,32,78]

```

3.1.4 Term by term reconstruction

The output list of `firstDifsp` are basically the coefficients of Newton representation on \mathbb{Z}_p . So we zip it with our base prime p and map these pair over several primes.

```

> well0rd :: [[a]] -> [[a]]
> well0rd xss
>   | null (head xss) = []
>   | otherwise       = map head xss : well0rd (map tail xss)

*FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
*FROverZp> let fps p = accessibleData f p
*FROverZp> let ourData p = firstDifsp p (fps p)
*FROverZp> let fivePrimes = take 5 bigPrimes
*FROverZp> map (\p -> zip (ourData p) (repeat p)) fivePrimes
[[ (299158,897473), (867559,897473), (299160,897473) ]
, [ (299166,897497), (329084,897497), (299168,897497) ]
, [ (598333,897499), (388918,897499), (598335,897499) ]
, [ (598345,897517), (29919,897517), (598347,897517) ]
, [ (299176,897527), (329095,897527), (299178,897527) ]
]
*FROverZp> well0rd it
[[ (299158,897473), (299166,897497), (598333,897499)
, (598345,897517), (299176,897527) ]
, [ (867559,897473), (329084,897497), (388918,897499)
, (29919,897517), (329095,897527) ]
]

```

```
,[(299160,897473),(299168,897497),(598335,897499)
,(598347,897517),(299178,897527)]
]
*FROverZp> :t it
it :: [(Int, Int)]
```

Finally we get the images of first differences over prime fields.

One minor issue is to change the data type, since our tools (say the functions of Chinese Remainder Theorem) use limit-less integer **Integer**.

```
> toInteger2 :: (Integral a1, Integral a) => (a, a1) -> (Integer, Integer)
> toInteger2 (a,b) = (toInteger a, toInteger b)
```

Let us take an example:

```
*FROverZp> let f x = (895 % 922) + (1080 % 6931)*x + (2323 % 1248)*x^2
*FROverZp> let fps p = accessibleData f p
*FROverZp> let longList = map (map toInteger2) $ wellOrd $
  map (\p -> zip (firstDifsp p (fps p)) (repeat p)) bigPrimes
*FROverZp> map recCRT' longList
[(895 % 922,805479325081)
,(17448553 % 8649888,722916888780872419)
,(2323 % 624,805479325081)
]
```

This result is consistent to that of on \mathbb{Q} :

```
*FROverZp> :l Univariate
[1 of 2] Compiling Polynomials      ( Polynomials.hs, interpreted )
[2 of 2] Compiling Univariate      ( Univariate.lhs, interpreted )
Ok, modules loaded: Univariate, Polynomials.
*Univariate> let f x = (895 % 922) + (1080 % 6931)*x + (2323 % 1248)*x^2
*Univariate> firstDifs (map f [0..20])
[895 % 922,17448553 % 8649888,2323 % 624]
```

3.1.5 list2polZp: from the output list to coefficient lists

Finally we get the function which takes an output list of our unknown univariate polynomial and returns the coefficient.

```
> list2firstDifZp' fs =
>   map recCRT' $ map (map toInteger2) $ wellOrd $ map helper bigPrimes
```

```

> where helper p = zip (firstDifsp p (accessibleData' fs p)) (repeat p)

*FR0verZp> let f x = (895 % 922) + (1080 % 6931)*x + (2323 % 1248)*x^2
*FR0verZp> let fs = map f [0..]
*FR0verZp> list2firstDifZp' fs
[(895 % 922,805479325081)
,(17448553 % 8649888,722916888780872419)
,(2323 % 624,805479325081)
]
*FR0verZp> map fst it
[895 % 922,17448553 % 8649888,2323 % 624]
*FR0verZp> newtonC it
[895 % 922,17448553 % 8649888,2323 % 1248]
*FR0verZp> npol2pol it
[895 % 922,1080 % 6931,2323 % 1248]

> list2polZp :: [Ratio Int] -> [Ratio Integer]
> list2polZp = npol2pol . newtonC . (map fst) . list2firstDifZp'

```

3.2 TBA Univariate rational functions

Chapter 4

Codes

4.1 Ffield.lhs

Listing 4.1: Ffield.lhs

```
1 Ffield.lhs
2
3 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1608.01902.pdf
4
5 > module Ffield where
6
7 > import Data.Ratio
8 > import Data.Maybe
9 > import Data.Numbers.Primes
10 >
11 > import System.Random
12 > import Test.QuickCheck
13
14 > coprime :: Integral a => a -> a -> Bool
15 > coprime a b = gcd a b == 1
16
17 Consider a finite ring
18   Z_n := [0..(n-1)]
19 If any non-zero element has its multiplication inverse,
   then the ring is a field:
20
21 > isField :: Integral a => a -> Bool
22 > isField = isPrime
23
24 Here we would like to implement the extended Euclidean
   algorithm.
```

```

25 See the algorithm, examples, and pseudo code at:
26
27   https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/
      Extended_Euclidean_algorithm
28   http://qiita.com/bra_cat_ket/items/205c19611e21f3d422b7
29
30 > exGCD' :: (Integral n) => n -> n -> ([n], [n], [n], [n]
      ])
31 > exGCD' a b = (qs, rs, ss, ts)
32 >   where
33 >     qs = zipWith quot rs (tail rs)
34 >     rs = takeUntil (==0) r'
35 >     r' = steps a b
36 >     ss = steps 1 0
37 >     ts = steps 0 1
38 >     steps a b = rr
39 >     where
40 >       rr@(_:rs) = a:b: zipWith (-) rr (zipWith (*) qs
      rs)
41 >
42 > takeUntil :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
43 > takeUntil p = foldr func []
44 >   where
45 >     func x xs
46 >       | p x = []
47 >       | otherwise = x : xs
48
49 This example is from wikipedia:
50
51 *Ffield> exGCD' 240 46
52 ([5,4,1,1,2]
53 ,[240,46,10,6,4,2]
54 ,[1,0,1,-4,5,-9,23]
55 ,[0,1,-5,21,-26,47,-120]
56 )
57 *Ffield> gcd 240 46
58 2
59 *Ffield> 240*(-9) + 46*(47)
60 2
61
62 > -- a*x + b*y = gcd a b
63 > exGCD :: Integral t => t -> t -> (t, t, t)
64 > exGCD a b = (g, x, y)
65 >   where
66 >     (_,r,s,t) = exGCD' a b

```

```

67 > g = last r
68 > x = last . init $ s
69 > y = last . init $ t
70
71 Example Z_{11}
72
73 *Ffield> isField 11
74 True
75 *Ffield> map (exGCD 11) [0..10]
76 [(11,1,0),(1,0,1),(1,1,-5),(1,-1,4),(1,-1,3)
77  ,(1,1,-2),(1,-1,2),(1,2,-3),(1,3,-4),(1,-4,5),(1,1,-1)
78  ]
79
80 *Ffield> map (('mod' 11) . (\(_,_,x)->x) . exGCD 11)
81 [1..10]
82
83 We get non-zero elements with its inverse:
84
85 *Ffield> zip [1..10] it
86 [(1,1),(2,6),(3,4),(4,3),(5,9),(6,2),(7,8),(8,7),(9,5)
87  ,(10,10)]
88
89 > -- a^{-1} (in Z_p) == a 'inversep' p
90 > inversep :: Integral a => a -> a -> Maybe a
91 > a 'inversep' p = let (g,x,_) = exGCD a p in
92 >   if (g == 1) then Just (x 'mod' p) -- g==1 <=> coprime
93 >   else Nothing
94 >
95 > inversesp :: Integral a => a -> [Maybe a]
96 > inversesp p = map ('inversep' p) [1..(p-1)]
97
98 A map from Q to Z_p.
99 > -- p should be prime.
100 > modp :: Integral a => Ratio a -> a -> a
101 > q 'modp' p = (a * (bi 'mod' p)) 'mod' p
102 >   where
103 >     (a,b) = (numerator q, denominator q)
104 >     bi    = fromJust (b 'inversep' p)
105
106 Example: on Z_{11}
107 Consider (3 % 7).
108

```

```

109  *Ffield> let q = 3%7
110  *Ffield> 3 'mod' 11
111  3
112  *Ffield> 7 'inversep' 11
113  Just 8
114  *Ffield> fromJust it * 3 'mod' 11
115  2
116
117  On  $Z_{11}$ ,  $(7^{-1} \text{ 'mod' } 11)$  is equal to  $(8 \text{ 'mod' } 11)$  and
118
119   $(3\%7) \mapsto (3 * (7^{-1} \text{ 'mod' } 11) \text{ 'mod' } 11)$ 
120  ==  $(3*8 \text{ 'mod' } 11)$ 
121  ==  $2 \text{ 'mod' } 11$ 
122
123  *Ffield>  $(3\%7) \text{ 'modp' } 11$ 
124  2
125
126  Example of reconstruction  $Z_p \rightarrow Q$ 
127
128  *Ffield> let q = (1%3)
129  *Ffield> take 3 $ dropWhile (<100) primes
130  [101,103,107]
131  *Ffield> q 'modp' 101
132  34
133  *Ffield> let rec x = exGCD' (q 'modp' x) x
134  *Ffield> rec 101
135  ([0,2,1,33],[34,101,34,33,1],[1,0,1,-2,3,-101],[0,1,0,1,-1,34])
136
137  *Ffield> rec 103
138  ([0,1,2,34],[69,103,69,34,1],[1,0,1,-1,3,-103],[0,1,0,1,-2,69])
139
140  *Ffield> rec 107
141  ([0,2,1,35],[36,107,36,35,1],[1,0,1,-2,3,-107],[0,1,0,1,-1,36])
142
143  > -- This is guess function without Chinese Reminder
144  Theorem.
145  > guess :: Integral t =>
146  >   (t, t) -- (q 'modp' p, p)
147  >   -> (Ratio t, t)
148  > guess (a, p) = let (_,rs,ss,_) = exGCD' a p in
149  >   (select rs ss p, p)
150  >   where
151  >     select :: Integral t => [t] -> [t] -> t -> Ratio
152  >     t

```

```

149 >      select [] _ _ = 0%1
150 >      select (r:rs) (s:ss) p
151 >      | s /= 0 && r*r <= p && s*s <= p = r%s
152 >      | otherwise = select rs ss p
153 >
154 > -- Hard code of big primes
155 > -- For chinese remainder theorem we declare it as [
      Integer].
156 > bigPrimes :: (Integral a) => [a]
157 > bigPrimes = dropWhile (< 897473) $ takeWhile (< 978948)
      primes
158 >
159 > matches3 :: Eq a => [a] -> a
160 > matches3 (a:bb@(b:c:cs))
161 >   | a == b && b == c = a
162 >   | otherwise       = matches3 bb
163
164 What we know is a list of (q 'modp' p) and prime p.
165
166 *Ffield> let q = 10%19
167 *Ffield> let knownData = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes)
      bigPrimes
168 *Ffield> matches3 $ map (fst . guess) knownData
169 10 % 19
170
171 > -- This function does not use CRT, so it can fail (0
      (10^3)%0(10^3)).
172 > reconstruct :: Integral a =>
173 >      [(a, a)] -- :: [(Z_p, primes)]
174 >      -> Ratio a
175 > reconstruct aps = matches3 $ map (fst . guess) aps
176
177 Here is a naive test:
178 > let qs = [1 % 3, 10 % 19, 41 % 17, 30 % 311, 311 % 32
179 >      ,869 % 232, 778 % 123, 331 % 739
180 >      ]
181 > let func q = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes) bigPrimes
182 > let longList = map func qs
183 > map reconstruct longList
184 [1 % 3,10 % 19,41 % 17,30 % 311,311 % 32
185 ,869 % 232,778 % 123,331 % 739
186 ]
187 > it == qs
188 True
189

```

```

190 > matches3' :: Eq a => [(a, t)] -> (a, t)
191 > matches3' (a0@(a, _):bb@((b, _):(c, _):cs))
192 >   | a == b && b == c = a0
193 >   | otherwise       = matches3' bb
194
195 *Ffield> let q = (331%739)
196 (0.01 secs, 48,472 bytes)
197 *Ffield> let knownData = zip (map (modp q) primes)
    primes
198 (0.02 secs, 39,976 bytes)
199 *Ffield> matches3' $ map guess knownData
200 (331 % 739,614693)
201 (19.92 secs, 12,290,852,136 bytes)
202
203 --
204 Chinese Remainder Theorem, and its usage
205
206 > imagesAndPrimes :: (Integral b, Integral a) => Ratio a
    -> [(a, b)]
207 > imagesAndPrimes q = zip (map (modp q) bigPrimes)
    bigPrimes
208
209 *Ffield> let q = 895%922
210 *Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
211 *Ffield> let [(a1,p1),(a2,p2)] = take 2 knownData
212 *Ffield> take 2 knownData
213 [(882873,897473),(365035,897497)]
214 *Ffield> map guess it
215 [((-854) % 123,897473),((-656) % 327,897497)]
216
217 > crtRec' :: Integral t => (t, t) -> (t, t) -> (t, t)
218 > crtRec' (a1,p1) (a2,p2) = (a,p)
219 >   where
220 >     a = (a1*p2*m2 + a2*p1*m1) 'mod' p
221 >     m1 = fromJust (p1 'inversep' p2)
222 >     m2 = fromJust (p2 'inversep' p1)
223 >     p = p1*p2
224 >
225 > pile :: (a -> a -> a) -> [a] -> [a]
226 > pile f [] = []
227 > pile f dd@(d:ds) = d : zipWith' f (pile f dd) ds
228 > -- pile f [d0,d1,d2 ..] == [d0, (f d0 d1), (f (f d0 d1)
    d2) ..]
229 >
230 > -- Strict zipWith, from:

```

```

231 > -- http://d.hatena.ne.jp/kazu-yamamoto/touch
      /20100624/1277348961
232 > zipWith' :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [c]
233 > zipWith' f (a:as) (b:bs) = (x 'seq' x) : zipWith' f as
      bs
234 > where x = f a b
235 > zipWith' _ _ _ = []
236
237 *Ffield> let q = 895%922
238 *Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
239 *Ffield> take 4 knownData
240 [(882873,897473)
241  ,(365035,897497)
242  ,(705735,897499)
243  ,(511060,897517)
244  ]
245 *Ffield> pile crtRec' it
246 [(882873,897473)
247  ,(86488560937,805479325081)
248  ,(397525881357811624,722916888780872419)
249  ,(232931448259966259937614,648830197267942270883623)
250  ]
251 *Ffield> map guess it
252 [((-854) % 123,897473)
253  ,(895 % 922,805479325081)
254  ,(895 % 922,722916888780872419)
255  ,(895 % 922,648830197267942270883623)
256  ]
257
258 *Ffield> reconstruct knownData'
259 895 % 922
260 *Ffield> let knownData' = pile crtRec' knownData
261 *Ffield> matches3' $ map guess knownData'
262 (895 % 922,805479325081)
263
264 > recCRT :: Integral a => [(a,a)] -> Ratio a
265 > recCRT = reconstruct . pile crtRec'
266
267 > recCRT' = matches3' . map guess . pile crtRec'
268
269 *Ffield> let q = 895%922
270 *Ffield> let knownData = imagesAndPrimes q
271 *Ffield> recCRT knownData
272 895 % 922
273 *Ffield> recCRT' knownData

```

```

274     (895 % 922,805479325081)
275
276 --
277 todo: use QuickCheck
278
279 > trial = do
280 >   n <- randomRIO (0,10000) :: IO Integer
281 >   d <- randomRIO (1,10000) :: IO Integer
282 >   let q = (n%d)
283 >   putStrLn $ "input: " ++ show q
284 >   return $ recCRT' . imagesAndPrimes $ q
285
286 *Ffield> trial
287 input: 1080 % 6931
288 (1080 % 6931,805479325081)
289 *Ffield> trial
290 input: 2323 % 1248
291 (2323 % 1248,805479325081)
292 *Ffield> trial
293 input: 6583 % 1528
294 (6583 % 1528,805479325081)
295 *Ffield> trial
296 input: 721 % 423
297 (721 % 423,897473)
298 *Ffield> trial
299 input: 9967 % 7410
300 (9967 % 7410,805479325081)

```

4.2 Polynomials.hs

Listing 4.2: Polynomials.hs

```

1  -- Polynomials.hs
2  -- http://homepages.cwi.nl/~jve/rcrh/Polynomials.hs
3
4  module Polynomials where
5
6  default (Integer, Rational, Double)
7
8  -- scalar multiplication
9  infixl 7 .*
10 (.* ) :: Num a => a -> [a] -> [a]
11 c .* []      = []
12 c .* (f:fs) = c*f : c .* fs
13

```



```

14 z :: Num a => [a]
15 z = [0,1]
16
17 -- polynomials, as coefficients lists
18 instance (Num a, Ord a) => Num [a] where
19   fromInteger c = [fromInteger c]
20   -- operator overloading
21   negate []      = []
22   negate (f:fs) = (negate f) : (negate fs)
23
24   signum [] = []
25   signum gs
26     | signum (last gs) < (fromInteger 0) = negate z
27     | otherwise = z
28
29   abs [] = []
30   abs gs
31     | signum gs == z = gs
32     | otherwise      = negate gs
33
34   fs      + []      = fs
35   []      + gs      = gs
36   (f:fs) + (g:gs) = f+g : fs+gs
37
38   fs      * []      = []
39   []      * gs      = []
40   (f:fs) * gg@(g:gs) = f*g : (f .* gs + fs * gg)
41
42 delta :: (Num a, Ord a) => [a] -> [a]
43 delta = ([1,-1] *)
44
45 shift :: [a] -> [a]
46 shift = tail
47
48 p2fct :: Num a => [a] -> a -> a
49 p2fct [] x = 0
50 p2fct (a:as) x = a + (x * p2fct as x)
51
52 comp :: (Eq a, Num a, Ord a) => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
53 comp _ [] = error ".."
54 comp [] _ = []
55 comp (f:fs) g0@(0:gs) = f : gs * (comp fs g0)
56 comp (f:fs) gg@(g:gs) = ([f] + [g] * (comp fs gg))
57                        + (0 : gs * (comp fs gg))
58

```

```

59 deriv :: Num a => [a] -> [a]
60 deriv []      = []
61 deriv (f:fs) = deriv1 fs 1
62   where
63     deriv1 []      _ = []
64     deriv1 (g:gs) n = n*g : deriv1 gs (n+1)

```

4.3 Univariate.lhs

Listing 4.3: Univariate.lhs

```

1  Univariate.lhs
2
3  > module Univariate where
4  > import Data.Ratio
5  > import Polynomials
6
7  From the output list
8  map f [0..]
9  of a polynomial
10 f :: Int -> Ratio Int
11 we reconstruct the canonical form of f.
12
13 > -- difference analysis
14 > difs :: (Num a) => [a] -> [a]
15 > difs [] = []
16 > difs [_] = []
17 > difs (i:jj@(j:js)) = j-i : difs jj
18 >
19 > difLists :: (Eq a, Num a) => [[a]] -> [[a]]
20 > difLists [] = []
21 > difLists xx@(xs:xss) =
22 >   if isConst xs then xx
23 >   else difLists $ difs xs : xx
24 >   where
25 >     isConst (i:jj@(j:js)) = all (==i) jj
26 >     isConst _ = error "difLists: lack of data, or not a
    polynomial"
27 >
28 > -- This degree function is "strict", so only take
    finite list.
29 > degree' :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> Int
30 > degree' xs = length (difLists [xs]) -1
31 >
32 > -- This degree function can compute the degree of

```

```

    infinite list.
33 > degreeLazy :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> Int
34 > degreeLazy xs = helper xs 0
35 >   where
36 >     helper as@(a:b:c:_) n
37 >       | a==b && b==c = n
38 >       | otherwise   = helper (difs as) (n+1)
39 >
40 > -- This is a hybrid version, safe and lazy.
41 > degree :: (Num a, Eq a) => [a] -> Int
42 > degree xs = let l = degreeLazy xs in
43 >   degree' $ take (l+2) xs
44
45 Newton interpolation formula
46 First we introduce a new infix symbol for the operation
    of taking a falling power.
47
48 > infixr 8 ^- -- falling power
49 > (^-) :: (Eq a, Num a) => a -> a -> a
50 > x ^- 0 = 1
51 > x ^- n = (x ^- (n-1)) * (x - n + 1)
52
53 Claim (Newton interpolation formula)
54 A polynomial f of degree n is expressed as
55  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (\text{diff}^n(f)(0)/k!) * (x \text{ } ^- \text{ } n)$ 
56 where  $\text{diff}^n(f)$  is the n-th difference of f.
57
58 Example
59 Consider a polynomial  $f = 2*x^3+3*x$ .
60
61 In general, we have no prior knowledge of this form, but
    we know the sequences as a list of outputs:
62
63 Univariate> let f x = 2*x^3+3*x
64 Univariate> take 10 $ map f [0..]
65 [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
66 Univariate> degree $ take 10 $ map f [0..]
67 3
68
69 Let us try to get differences:
70
71 Univariate> difs $ take 10 $ map f [0..]
72 [5,17,41,77,125,185,257,341,437]
73 Univariate> difs it
74 [12,24,36,48,60,72,84,96]

```

```

75  Univariate> difs it
76  [12,12,12,12,12,12,12]
77
78  Or more simply take difLists:
79
80  Univariate> difLists [take 10 $ map f [0..]]
81  [[12,12,12,12,12,12,12]
82   , [12,24,36,48,60,72,84,96]
83   , [5,17,41,77,125,185,257,341,437]
84   , [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
85   ]
86
87  What we need is the heads of above lists.
88
89  Univariate> map head it
90  [12,12,5,0]
91
92  Newton interpolation formula gives
93  f' x = 0*(x ^- 0) 'div' (0!) + 5*(x ^- 1) 'div' (1!) +
          12*(x ^- 2) 'div' (2!) + 12*(x ^- 3) 'div' (3!)
94        = 5*(x ^- 1) + 6*(x ^- 2) + 2*(x ^- 3)
95  So
96
97  Univariate> let f x = 2*x^3+3*x
98  Univariate> let f' x = 5*(x ^- 1) + 6*(x ^- 2) + 2*(x
          ^- 3)
99  Univariate> take 10 $ map f [0..]
100 [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
101 Univariate> take 10 $ map f' [0..]
102 [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
103
104 Assume the differences are given in a list
105 [x_0, x_1 ..]
106 where x_i = diff^k(f)(0).
107 Then the implementation of the Newton interpolation
    formula is as follows:
108
109 > newtonC :: (Fractional t, Enum t) => [t] -> [t]
110 > newtonC xs = [x / factorial k | (x,k) <- zip xs [0..]]
111 >   where
112 >     factorial k = product [1..fromInteger k]
113
114 Univariate> let f x = 2*x^3+3*x
115 Univariate> take 10 $ map f [0..]
116 [0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]

```

```

117  Univariate> difLists [it]
118  [[12,12,12,12,12,12,12]
119  ,[12,24,36,48,60,72,84,96]
120  ,[5,17,41,77,125,185,257,341,437]
121  ,[0,5,22,63,140,265,450,707,1048,1485]
122  ]
123  Univariate> reverse $ map head it
124  [0,5,12,12]
125  Univariate> newtonC it
126  [0 % 1,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
127
128  The list of first differences can be computed as follows:
129
130  > firstDifs :: (Eq a, Num a) => [a] -> [a]
131  > firstDifs xs = reverse $ map head $ difLists [xs]
132
133  Mapping a list of integers to a Newton representation:
134
135  > -- This implementation can take infinite list.
136  > list2npol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
137  > list2npol xs = newtonC . firstDifs $ take n xs
138  >   where n = (degree xs) + 2
139
140  *Univariate> let f x = 2*x^3 + 3*x + 1%5
141  *Univariate> take 10 $ map f [0..]
142  [1 % 5,26 % 5,111 % 5,316 % 5,701 % 5,1326 % 5,2251 %
143   5,3536 % 5,5241 % 5,7426 % 5]
144  *Univariate> list2npol it
145  [1 % 5,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
146  *Univariate> list2npol $ map f [0..]
147  [1 % 5,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
148
149  We need to map Newton falling powers to standard powers.
150  This is a matter of applying combinatorics, by means of a
151  convention formula that uses the so-called Stirling
152  cyclic numbers (of the first kind.)
153  Its defining relation is
154  
$$(x \hat{-} n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\text{stirlingC } n \ k) * (-1)^{(n-k)} * x^k.$$

155  The key equation is
156  
$$(x \hat{-} n) = (x \hat{-} (n-1)) * (x-n+1)$$

157  
$$= x*(x \hat{-} (n-1)) - (n-1)*(x \hat{-} (n-1))$$

158  Therefore, an implementation is as follows:

```

```

158 > stirlingC :: (Integral a) => a -> a -> a
159 > stirlingC 0 0 = 1
160 > stirlingC 0 _ = 0
161 > stirlingC n k = stirlingC (n-1) (k-1) + (n-1)*stirlingC
      (n-1) k
162
163 This definition can be used to convert from falling
      powers to standard powers.
164
165 > fall2pol :: (Integral a) => a -> [a]
166 > fall2pol 0 = [1]
167 > fall2pol n = 0    -- No constant term.
168 >              : [(-1)^(n-k) * stirlingC n k | k<-[1..n]]
169
170 We use this to convert Newton representations to standard
      polynomials in coefficients list representation.
171 Here we have uses sum to collect same order terms in list
      representation.
172
173 > -- For later convenience, we relax the type annotation.
174 > -- npol2pol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
175 > npol2pol :: (Ord t, Num t) => [t] -> [t]
176 > npol2pol xs = sum [ [x] * map fromInteger (fall2pol k)
177 >                      | (x,k) <- zip xs [0..]
178 >                      ]
179
180 Finally, here is the function for computing a polynomial
      from an output sequence:
181
182 > list2pol :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
183 > list2pol = npol2pol . list2npol
184
185 Reconstruction as curve fitting
186 *Univariate> let f x = 2*x^3 + 3*x + 1%5
187 *Univariate> take 10 $ map f [0..]
188 [1 % 5,26 % 5,111 % 5,316 % 5,701 % 5,1326 % 5,2251 %
      5,3536 % 5,5241 % 5,7426 % 5]
189 *Univariate> list2npol it
190 [1 % 5,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
191 *Univariate> list2npol $ map f [0..]
192 [1 % 5,5 % 1,6 % 1,2 % 1]
193 *Univariate> list2pol $ map (\n -> 1%3 + (3%5)*n +
      (5%7)*n^2) [0..]
194 [1 % 3,3 % 5,5 % 7]
195 *Univariate> list2pol [0,1,5,14,30,55]

```

```

196     [0 % 1,1 % 6,1 % 2,1 % 3]
197     *Univariate> map (p2fct $ list2pol [0,1,5,14,30,55])
198         [0..6]
199
200     [0 % 1,1 % 1,5 % 1,14 % 1,30 % 1,55 % 1,91 % 1]
201
202     --
203     Thiele's interpolation formula
204     https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27
205         s_interpolation_formula#Haskell
206     http://mathworld.wolfram.com/ThielesInterpolationFormula.
207         html
208
209     reciprocal difference
210     Using the same notation of
211     https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Thiele%27
212         s_interpolation_formula#C
213
214     > rho :: (Integral a) =>
215         [Ratio a] -- A list of output of f :: a -> Ratio
216             a
217     >     -> a -> Int -> Ratio a
218     > rho fs 0 i = fs !! i
219     > rho fs n _
220     >     | n < 0 = 0
221     > rho fs n i = (n*den)%num + rho fs (n-2) (i+1)
222     >     where
223     >         num = numerator next
224     >         den = denominator next
225     >         next = rho fs (n-1) (i+1) - rho fs (n-1) i
226
227     Note that (%) has the following type,
228     (%) :: Integral a => a -> a -> Ratio a
229
230     > a :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> a -> Ratio a
231     > a fs 0 = head fs
232     > a fs n = rho fs n 0 - rho fs (n-2) 0
233
234     Consider the following continuous fraction form.
235     (%i25) f(x) := 1+(x/(2+(x-1)/(3+(x-2)/4)));
236     (%o25) f(x):=x/(2+(x-1)/(3+(x-2)/4))+1
237     (%i26) ratsimp(f(x));
238     (%o26) (x^2+16*x+16)/(16+6*x)
239
240     *Univariate> map (a fs) [0..]

```

```

236 [1 % 1,2 % 1,3 % 1,4 % 1,*** Exception: Ratio has zero
      denominator
237
238 *Univariate> let func x = (x^2 + 16*x + 16)%(6*x + 16)
239 *Univariate> let fs = map func [0..]
240 *Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 0) [0..]
241 [1 % 1,3 % 2,13 % 7,73 % 34,12 % 5]
242 *Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 1) [0..]
243 [2 % 1,14 % 5,238 % 69,170 % 43,230 % 53]
244 *Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 2) [0..]
245 [4 % 1,79 % 16,269 % 44,667 % 88,413 % 44]
246 *Univariate> take 5 $ map (rho fs 3) [0..]
247 [6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1,6 % 1]
248
249 > tDegree :: Integral a => [Ratio a] -> a
250 > tDegree fs = helper fs 0
251 >   where
252 >     helper fs n
253 >       | isConstants fs' = n
254 >       | otherwise      = helper fs (n+1)
255 >       where
256 >         fs' = map (rho fs n) [0..]
257 >         isConstants (i:j:_) = i==j -- 2 times match
258 >         -- isConstants (i:j:k_) = i==j and j==k -- 3 times
           match
259
260 *Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
261 *Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]
262 *Univariate> tDegree hs
263 4
264 *Univariate> map (a hs) [0..(tDegree hs)]
265 [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
266
267 With Maxima,
268 (%i35) h(t) := 3+t/((-23/42)+(t-1)/((-28/13)+(t-2)
      /((767/14)+(t-3)/(7/130))));
269
270 (%o35) h(t):=t/((-23)/42+(t-1)/((-28)/13+(t-2)
      /(767/14+(t-3)/(7/130)))+3
271 (%i36) ratsimp(h(t));
272
273 (%o36) (18*t^2+6*t+3)/(1+2*t+20*t^2)
274
275 > thieleC :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> [Ratio a]
276 > thieleC lst = map (a lst) [0..(tDegree lst)]

```



```

277
278 *Univariate> thieleC hs
279 [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
280
281 We need a convertor from this thiele sequence to
    continuous form of rational function.
282
283 > nextStep [a0,a1] (v:_) = a0 + v/a1
284 > nextStep (a:as) (v:vs) = a + (v / nextStep as vs)
285 >
286 > -- From thiele sequence to (rational) function.
287 > thiele2ratf :: Integral a => [Ratio a] -> (Ratio a ->
    Ratio a)
288 > thiele2ratf as x
289 > | x == 0      = head as
290 > | otherwise = nextStep as [x,x-1 ..]
291
292 *Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
293 *Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]
294 *Univariate> let as = thieleC hs
295 *Univariate> as
296 [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
297 *Univariate> let th x = thiele2ratf as x
298 *Univariate> take 5 hs
299 [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47]
300 *Univariate> map th [0..5]
301 [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47,69 % 73]
302
303 We represent a rational function by a tuple of
    coefficient lists:
304 (ns,ds) :: ([Ratio Int],[Ratio Int])
305 where ns and ds are coef-list-rep of numerator polynomial
    and denominator polynomial.
306 Here is a translator from coefficients lists to rational
    function.
307
308 > -- similar to p2fct
309 > lists2ratf :: (Integral a) =>
310 > ([Ratio a],[Ratio a]) -> (Ratio a ->
    Ratio a)
311 > lists2ratf (ns,ds) x = p2fct ns x / p2fct ds x
312
313 *Univariate> let frac x = lists2ratf
    ([1,1%2,1%3],[2,2%3]) x
314 *Univariate> take 10 $ map frac [0..]

```

```

315  [1 % 2,11 % 16,1 % 1,11 % 8,25 % 14,71 % 32,8 % 3,25 %
      8,79 % 22,65 % 16]
316  *Univariate> let ffrac x = (1+(1%2)*x+(1%3)*x^2)
      /(2+(2%3)*x)
317  *Univariate> take 10 $ map ffrac [0..]
318  [1 % 2,11 % 16,1 % 1,11 % 8,25 % 14,71 % 32,8 % 3,25 %
      8,79 % 22,65 % 16]
319
320  The following canonicalizer reduces the tuple-rep of
      rational function in canonical form
321  That is, the coefficient of the lowest degree term of the
      denominator to be 1.
322  However, since our input starts from 0 and this means
      firstNonzero is the same as head.
323
324  > canonicalize :: (Integral a) => ([Ratio a],[Ratio a])
      -> ([Ratio a],[Ratio a])
325  > canonicalize rat@(ns,ds)
326  >   | dMin == 1 = rat
327  >   | otherwise = (map (/dMin) ns, map (/dMin) ds)
328  >   where
329  >     dMin = firstNonzero ds
330  >     firstNonzero [a] = a -- head
331  >     firstNonzero (a:as)
332  >       | a /= 0     = a
333  >       | otherwise = firstNonzero as
334
335  What we need is a translator from Thiele coefficients to
      this tuple-rep.
336
337  > thiele2coef :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> ([Ratio a]
      ,[Ratio a])
338  > thiele2coef as = canonicalize $ t2r as 0
339  >   where
340  >     t2r [an,an'] n = ([an*an'-n,1],[an'])
341  >     t2r (a:as)    n = ((a .* num) + ([-n,1] * den), num)
342  >     where
343  >       (num, den) = t2r as (n+1)
344  >
345  > list2rat :: (Integral a) => [Ratio a] -> ([Ratio a], [
      Ratio a])
346  > list2rat = thiele2coef . thieleC
347
348  *Univariate> let h t = (3+6*t+18*t^2)%(1+2*t+20*t^2)
349  *Univariate> let hs = map h [0..]

```

```

350 *Univariate> take 5 hs
351 [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47]
352 *Univariate> let th x = thiele2ratf as x
353 *Univariate> map th [0..5]
354 [3 % 1,27 % 23,87 % 85,183 % 187,45 % 47,69 % 73]
355 *Univariate> as
356 [3 % 1,(-23) % 42,(-28) % 13,767 % 14,7 % 130]
357 *Univariate> thiele2coef as
358 ([3 % 1,6 % 1,18 % 1],[1 % 1,2 % 1,20 % 1])

```

4.4 Multivariate.lhs

Listing 4.4: Multivariate.lhs

```

1 Multivariate.lhs
2
3 > module Multivariate
4 >   where
5
6 > import Data.Ratio
7 > import Univariate
8 >   ( degree, list2pol
9 >     , thiele2ratf, lists2ratf, thiele2coef, lists2rat
10 >   )

```

4.5 FROverZp.lhs

Listing 4.5: FROverZp.lhs

```

1 FROverZp.lhs
2
3 > module FROverZp where
4
5 Functional Reconstruction over finite field Z_p
6
7 > import Data.Ratio
8 > import Data.Maybe
9 > import Data.Numbers.Primes
10 > import Data.List (null)
11 >
12 > import Ffield (modp, inversep, bigPrimes, recCRT,
13   recCRT')
13 > import Univariate (npol2pol, newtonC)
14
15 Univariate Polynomial case

```

```

16 Our target is a univariate polynomial
17   f :: (Integral a) =>
18       Ratio a -> Ratio a -- Real?
19
20 > -- Function-modular.
21 > fmodp :: Integral c => (a -> Ratio c) -> c -> a -> c
22 > f 'fmodp' p = ('modp' p) . f
23
24 *FR0verZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
25 *FR0verZp> let fs = map f [0..]
26 *FR0verZp> take 5 $ map (f 'fmodp' 101) [0..]
27 [34,93,87,16,82]
28 *FR0verZp> take 5 $ map ('modp' 101) fs
29 [34,93,87,16,82]
30
31 Difference analysis over Z_p
32 Every arithmetic should be on Z_p, i.e., ('mod' p).
33
34 > accessibleData :: (Ratio Int -> Ratio Int) -> Int -> [
    Int]
35 > accessibleData f p = take p $ map (f 'fmodp' p) [0..]
36 >
37 > accessibleData' :: [Ratio Int] -> Int -> [Int]
38 > accessibleData' fs p = take p $ map ('modp' p) fs
39 >
40 > difsp :: Integral b => b -> [b] -> [b]
41 > difsp p xs = map ('mod' p) (zipWith (-) (tail xs) xs)
42 > -- Might be need to upgrade zipWith by strict zipWith
    '
43
44 *FR0verZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
45 *FR0verZp> take 5 $ accessibleData f 101
46 [34,93,87,16,82]
47 *FR0verZp> difsp 101 it
48 [59,95,30,66]
49 *FR0verZp> difsp 101 it
50 [36,36,36]
51 *FR0verZp> difsp 101 it
52 [0,0]
53
54 > difListsp :: Integral b => b -> [[b]] -> [[b]]
55 > difListsp _ [] = []
56 > difListsp p xx@(xs:xxs) =
57 >   if isConst xs then xx
58 >   else difListsp p $ difsp p xs : xx

```

```

59 > where
60 >   isConst (i:jj@(j:js)) = all (==i) jj
61 >   isConst _ = error "difListsp:␣"
62
63 *FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
64 *FROverZp> map head $ difListsp 101 [accessibleData f
65   [36,59,34]
66
67 Degree, eager and lazy versions
68
69 > degreeep' p xs = length (difListsp p [xs]) -1
70 > degreeepLazy p xs = helper xs 0
71 > where
72 >   helper as@(a:b:c:_) n
73 >     | a==b && b==c = n -- two times matching
74 >     | otherwise   = helper (difsp p as) (n+1)
75 >
76 > degreeep :: Integral b => b -> [b] -> Int
77 > degreeep p xs = let l = degreeepLazy p xs in
78 >   degreeep' p $ take (l+2) xs
79
80 *FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
81 *FROverZp> let myDeg p = degreeep p $ accessibleData f p
82 *FROverZp> myDeg 101
83 2
84 *FROverZp> myDeg 103
85 2
86 *FROverZp> myDeg 107
87 2
88 *FROverZp> degreeep 101 $ accessibleData (\n -> (1%2)
89   +(2%3)*n+(3%4)*n^2+(6%7)*n^7) 101
90 7
91 > firstDifsp :: Integral a => a -> [a] -> [a]
92 > firstDifsp p xs = reverse $ map head $ difListsp p [xs
93   ']
94 > where
95 >   xs' = take n xs
96 >   n   = 2+ degreeep p xs
97
98 *FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
99 *FROverZp> firstDifsp 101 $ accessibleData f 101
100 [34,59,36]
101 *FROverZp> firstDifsp 101 $ accessibleData (\n -> (1%2)

```

```

      +(2%3)*n+(3%4)*n^2+(6%7)*n^7) 101
101  [51,66,59,33,29,58,32,78]
102
103  Our target is this diff-list, since once we reconstruct
      the difflists from several prime fields to rational
      field, we can fully convert it to canonical form in Q
      , by applying Univariate.npol2pol.
104
105  > well0rd :: [[a]] -> [[a]]
106  > well0rd xss
107  >   | null (head xss) = []
108  >   | otherwise      = map head xss : well0rd (map tail
      xss)
109
110  *FR0verZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
111  *FR0verZp> let fps p = accessibleData f p
112  *FR0verZp> let ourData p = firstDifsp p (fps p)
113  *FR0verZp> let fivePrimes = take 5 bigPrimes
114  *FR0verZp> map (\p -> zip (ourData p) (repeat p))
      fivePrimes
115  [[(299158,897473),(867559,897473),(299160,897473)]
116   ,[(299166,897497),(329084,897497),(299168,897497)]
117   ,[(598333,897499),(388918,897499),(598335,897499)]
118   ,[(598345,897517),(29919,897517),(598347,897517)]
119   ,[(299176,897527),(329095,897527),(299178,897527)]
120  ]
121  *FR0verZp> well0rd it
122  [[(299158,897473),(299166,897497),(598333,897499)
123   ,(598345,897517),(299176,897527)]
124   ,[(867559,897473),(329084,897497),(388918,897499)
125   ,(29919,897517),(329095,897527)]
126   ,[(299160,897473),(299168,897497),(598335,897499)
127   ,(598347,897517),(299178,897527)]
128  ]
129  *FR0verZp> :t it
130  it :: [(Int, Int)]
131
132  We need to transform
133  Int -> Integer
134  to use recCRT :: Integral a => [(a, a)] -> Ratio a
135
136  *FR0verZp> let impCasted =
137  [[(299158,897473),(299166,897497),(598333,897499)
138   ,(598345,897517),(299176,897527)]
139   ,[(867559,897473),(329084,897497),(388918,897499)

```

```

140     ,(29919,897517),(329095,897527)]
141     ,[(299160,897473),(299168,897497),(598335,897499)
142     ,(598347,897517),(299178,897527)]
143 ]
144 *FROverZp> :t impCasted
145 impCasted :: (Num t1, Num t) => [[(t, t1)]]
146 *FROverZp> map recCRT impCasted
147 [1 % 3,53 % 30,7 % 3]
148 *FROverZp> map recCRT' impCasted
149 [(1 % 3,897473),(53 % 30,897473),(7 % 3,897473)]
150
151 This result is consistent:
152 *Univariate> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
153 *Univariate> firstDifs (map f [0..10])
154 [1 % 3,53 % 30,7 % 3]
155
156 Let us define above casting function
157
158 > toInteger2 :: (Integral a1, Integral a) => (a, a1) -> (
159     Integer, Integer)
160
161 > toInteger2 (a,b) = (toInteger a, toInteger b)
162
163 *FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
164 *FROverZp> let fps p = accessibleData f p
165 *FROverZp> let ourData p = firstDifsp p (fps p)
166 *FROverZp> let longList' = map (\p -> zip (ourData p) (
167     repeat p)) bigPrimes
168 *FROverZp> let longList = wellOrd longList'
169 *FROverZp> :t longList
170 longList :: [[(Int, Int)]]
171 *FROverZp> map recCRT longList
172 [1 % 3,53 % 30,7 % 3]
173 *FROverZp> map recCRT' longList
174 [(1 % 3,897473),(53 % 30,897473),(7 % 3,897473)]
175 *FROverZp> let f x = (1%3) + (3%5)*x + (7%6)*x^2
176 *FROverZp> let fps p = accessibleData f p
177 *FROverZp> let ourData p = firstDifsp p (fps p)
178 *FROverZp> let longList' = map (\p -> zip (ourData p) (
179     repeat p)) bigPrimes
180 *FROverZp> let longList = wellOrd longList'
181 *FROverZp> :t longList

```

```

181 longList :: [(Int, Int)]
182 *FR0verZp> let longList'' = map (map toInteger2)
      longList
183 *FR0verZp> :t longList''
184 longList'' :: [(Integer, Integer)]
185 *FR0verZp> map recCRT longList''
186 [1 % 3, 53 % 30, 7 % 3]
187 *FR0verZp> map recCRT' longList''
188 [(1 % 3, 897473), (53 % 30, 897473), (7 % 3, 897473)]
189
190 Let us try another example:
191
192 *FR0verZp> let f x = (895 % 922) + (1080 % 6931)*x +
      (2323 % 1248)*x^2
193 *FR0verZp> let fps p = accessibleData f p
194 *FR0verZp> let longList = map (map toInteger2) $
      wellOrd $ map (\p -> zip (firstDifsp p (fps p)) (
      repeat p)) bigPrimes
195 *FR0verZp> map recCRT' longList
196 [(895 % 922, 805479325081)
197  ,(17448553 % 8649888, 722916888780872419)
198  ,(2323 % 624, 805479325081)
199  ]
200
201 This result is consistent to that of on Q:
202
203 *FR0verZp> :l Univariate
204 [1 of 2] Compiling Polynomials      ( Polynomials.hs,
      interpreted )
205 [2 of 2] Compiling Univariate      ( Univariate.lhs,
      interpreted )
206 Ok, modules loaded: Univariate, Polynomials.
207 *Univariate> let f x = (895 % 922) + (1080 % 6931)*x +
      (2323 % 1248)*x^2
208 *Univariate> firstDifs (map f [0..20])
209 [895 % 922, 17448553 % 8649888, 2323 % 624]
210
211 > list2firstDifZp' fs = map (recCRT' . map toInteger2) $
      wellOrd $ map helper bigPrimes
212 >   where
213 >     helper p = zip (firstDifsp p (accessibleData' fs p)
      ) (repeat p)
214
215 *FR0verZp> let f x = (895 % 922) + (1080 % 6931)*x +
      (2323 % 1248)*x^2

```



```
216 *FR0verZp> let fs = map f [0..]
217 *FR0verZp> list2firstDifZp' fs
218 [(895 % 922,805479325081)
219 ,(17448553 % 8649888,722916888780872419)
220 ,(2323 % 624,805479325081)
221 ]
222 *FR0verZp> map fst it
223 [895 % 922,17448553 % 8649888,2323 % 624]
224 *FR0verZp> newtonC it
225 [895 % 922,17448553 % 8649888,2323 % 1248]
226 *FR0verZp> npol2pol it
227 [895 % 922,1080 % 6931,2323 % 1248]
228
229 > list2polZp :: [Ratio Int] -> [Ratio Integer]
230 > list2polZp = npol2pol . newtonC . (map fst) .
    list2firstDifZp'
```