Main Features of OpenMP

Computational Science II (CAAM 520)

Christopher Thiele Rice University, Spring 2020

Suppose we want to integrate a function

$$f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$$

numerically using the composite trapezoidal rule

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \approx h \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{f(x_{i}) + f(x_{i+1})}{2},$$

where $x_0 = a$, $x_n = b$, and

$$x_{i+1} - x_i = h$$

for
$$i = 0, ..., n - 1$$
.

Our goal is to implement a function quad_trapezoidal() that approximates the integral *in parallel* using OpenMP.

What signature should the function have?

Our goal is to implement a function quad_trapezoidal() that approximates the integral *in parallel* using OpenMP.

What signature should the function have?

→ Let us try to implement the function with our current knowledge of OpenMP.

As a reasonably challenging test, we will approximate

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(x) + x \, dx = \frac{\pi^2}{8} + 1.$$

Did our first attempt work?

No, we get random results.

→ There is a **data race** in the code!

If multiple threads modify the same variable (here: sum), their updates can interfere!

Variables in an OpenMP application can be **shared** between threads or **private** to each thread.

By default, variables are

- · private if declared within a parallel region, and
- shared if declared before a parallel region.

```
int shared_var;

#pragma omp parallel
{
  int private_var;
}
```

Alternatively, variables can be declared as shared or private for a parallel region.

Note:

- The shared clause is redundant in this case.
- The private clause is necessary unless C99 is used.

Caution: If declared outside a parallel region, the value of a private variable is **undefined** inside the parallel region.

```
int private_var = 123;

#pragma omp parallel private(private_var)
{
    // Value of private_var is undefined!
}
```

→ Consider using firstprivate instead of private.

Caution: If declared outside a parallel loop, the value of a private variable is **undefined** after the parallel loop.

```
int private_var;

#pragma omp parallel for private(private_var)
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // ...
}
// Value of private_var is undefined!</pre>
```

→ Consider using lastprivate instead of private.

Caution: The code below does **not** create a private array for each thread.

```
int *array = malloc(8*sizeof(int));

#pragma omp parallel firstprivate(array)
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // Each thread has its own private pointer
    // to the *same* array!
}</pre>
```

Data races

Data races can occur when a shared resource, e.g., a variable, is modified.

Data races can be hard to fix, because they can easily go unnoticed.

→ Whether the *race condition* occurs is random!

Data races

Example: Multiple threads write to a shared variable, causing a data race.

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
   sum += omp_get_thread_num();
}
```

→ Note that += involves both reading and writing!

Data races

To avoid data races, we must ensure that when a thread modifies a shared resource, no other thread reads from or writes to it concurrently.

→ Mutual exclusion (mutex)

Locks

Mutexes are called *locks* in OpenMP:

```
omp_lock_t lock;
omp init lock(&lock);
#pragma omp parallel
  // ...
  omp_set_lock(&lock);
  // Only one thread can be have the lock
  // set at any given time.
  omp_unset_lock(&lock);
omp_destroy_lock(&lock);
```

Deadlocks

When locks are used, deadlocks can occur!

```
void transfer(account_t a, account_t b, int amount)
{
   omp_set_lock(&a.lock);  // Lock account A.
   withdraw(a, amount);
   omp_set_lock(&b.lock);  // Lock account B.
   deposit(b, amount);
   omp_unset_lock(&b.lock);  // Release account B.
   omp_unset_lock(&a.lock);  // Release account A.
}
```

→ What happens if one thread calls transfer(a, b, 100) while another thread is processing transfer(b, a, 50)?
-15-

The critical directive

Locks/mutexes are cumbersome. Is there a simpler way?

Yes, the critical directive:

```
#pragma omp parallel
  #pragma omp critical
    // Only one thread can be inside the
    // critical block at any given time.
```

Distributing loop iterations among threads is cumbersome.

Again, there is a simpler way to do it:

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
    #pragma omp for
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        // The n loop iterations will be distributed
        // among threads automatically.
    }
}</pre>
```

A parallel region which only contains a single for loop can be simplified as follows:

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // The n loop iterations will be distributed
    // among threads automatically.
}</pre>
```

The for directive is not only more convenient than manual distribution of loop iterations. It is also a generalization:

```
#pragma omp parallel for schedule(SCHEDULE)
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
   // ...
}</pre>
```

Possible values for SCHEDULE are static, dynamic, guided, and auto.

Caution: Not every loop can be parallelized, as dependencies between iterations can lead to data races.

→ The above code will compile without warning!

Is there anything left to simplify?

Yes, results from all iterations of a parallel loop can be combined using the reduction clause:

```
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(OP:VAR)
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // Each thread has a private instance of VAR.
    // At the end of the loop, all values of VAR
    // are combined using the operator OP.
}</pre>
```

Possible values for OP are +, -, *, min, max, &, &&, |, ||, $\hat{}$. Custom operators can also be defined.

Example: Compute the Euclidean norm of a vector using reductions.

```
double sum;
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum)
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
   sum += x[i]*x[i];
}
return sqrt(sum);</pre>
```

Note: Each threads copy of the reduction variable is initialized with the neutral element of the reduction operator, e.g., zero for +, one for *, etc.

If we need to synchronize all threads, we can use a **barrier**:

No thread can get past the barrier before **all** threads have reached it.

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
    // ...

    // Wait for other threads.
    #pragma omp barrier

    // ...
}
```

Example: Ensure that other threads have finished their work before we use their results.

```
#pragma omp parallel
  const int id = omp_get_thread_num();
  results[id] = do work(id);
  #pragma omp barrier
  // Do something with results from other threads.
  do more work((id + 1)%omp get num threads());
```

The end of a parallel region or a parallel for loop is an *implicit barrier*.

```
#pragma omp parallel
  #pragma omp for
  for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
  // ...
  } // Implicit barrier!
  // No thread gets here before all
  // threads have finished the loop.
```

Barriers tend to make it easier to write correct code without data races, but they cause idling and synchronization.

ightarrow Avoid unnecessary barriers to improve performance!

Implicit barriers can be avoided using the nowait clause:

```
#pragma omp parallel for nowait
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // ...
}

#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    // ...
}</pre>
```

→ Caution: This is a data race if the second loop depends on results from the first.

If used improperly, barriers can also cause deadlocks.

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    #pragma omp barrier
}</pre>
```

→ Causes a deadlock unless each thread performs exactly the same number of loop iterations.

The single and master directives

Use the single directive if part of a parallel region is to be executed by only one thread.

```
#pragma omp parallel
  #pragma omp single
    shared_data = malloc(size);
  #pragma omp barrier
```

The single and master directives

If only the master thread should execute part of a parallel region, use the master directive.

The sections directive

If multiple, independent blocks of code are to be executed in parallel, use sections.

```
#pragma omp parallel
  #pragma omp sections
    #pragma omp section
      // 1st block
    #pragma omp section
      // 2nd block
```