

Report no.
17

Summarization for meeting no : 17

Date of Report: 18 / 03 / 2023

SUMMARY:

The meeting discusses the final stages of a 48-hour long discussion amongst 250 teams to evaluate each team's solution to local challenges or opportunities. The evaluation criteria include how well the solution can solve a local problem, the simplicity of the product, the ability to increase its impact, the feasibility of economic benefits, and the quality of the presentation. The judges are selected based on expertise in different fields, and the committee guarantees the fairness of the evaluation process. The teams need to work together and present as a unified team, and the best ten teams will be presented in a big screen in front of more than 650 people with the top three teams receiving awards.

TASKS:

Key takeaways and tasks: - The criteria for judging projects will be explained in full. - The discussion will start immediately and last for 48 hours. - There will be 12 rooms with 3-4 experts in each to evaluate the criteria. - Each team will have 3 minutes to present their project to the judges. - The team name, project title, problem/challenge, and solution should be included in the presentation. - The criteria for evaluation are: localization of problem (40%), simplicity of solution, ability to increase, economic benefits, and presentation (10%). - Teams should work together and speak as a team during the presentation. - Everyone is expected to attend the final party where the top 10 teams will be announced. - Each winning team will have more than 650 people present during their presentation. Tasks: - Prepare the team name, project title, problem/challenge, and solution for the presentation. - Work with the team to ensure a cohesive and effective presentation. - Keep in mind the criteria for evaluation when preparing the presentation. - Attend the final party and show support for all teams.

EFFECTIVENESS:

There is no clear percentage for meeting effectiveness provided in the given text. However, here are some points on how the meeting could be improved: 1. The speaker could use simpler language to make their points clearer. 2. The meeting could be better organized with clear agendas and timelines. 3. The criteria for evaluation could be explained in a more straightforward manner. 4. There should be a focus on teamwork, rather than individual efforts. 5. Presentations could be improved by focusing on the practical applications of the product. 6. The judges should evaluate products based on a unified set of criteria rather than personal opinions. 7. The solution should be simple and not unnecessarily complicated. 8. There should be a stronger emphasis on the economy and benefits of the project. 9. Communication between team members should be encouraged. 10. Overall, the meeting should be more streamlined and efficient.

Thank you!

NOTICE:

This is an auto generated report from a beta version, please be carefull.