أكاديمية طـويـق
TUWAIQ ACADEMY

Report no.
17

Summarization for meeting no : 17

Date of Report: 18 / 03 / 2023

SUMMARY:
The meeting discussed the criteria for the hackathon, emphasizing the importance of addressing local problems and having a convincing solution that is applicable to society. The evaluation process involves eight judges in twelve committees, with five levels of evaluation in each criterion. Sustainability and presentation also hold significant weight in the evaluation process. Teams will present their projects for three minutes, with each team having three to four experts in different fields. The committee will decide on the best solution, and the top ten teams out of 250 will be announced on a big screen outside the room. The final decision will be made by the committee and not solely based on personal opinions. All team members must have a hackathon ID to participate. If there are any issues, teams should have a plan B ready.

TASKS:
- There will be a 48-hour discussion with 12 rooms, each with 3-4 experts to evaluate criteria submitted. - Each team will have 3 minutes to present their solution to a committee of 8 experts in different fields. - Participants need to have a hackathon ID to participate and teams should have a good plan and an alternative plan B. - Criteria for evaluation includes the local problem (40%), convincing solution, feasibility, sustainability, and presentation. - There will be 10 winners announced on a big screen with seats prepared outside for the announcement. - The committee will be evaluated by different groups and scores reviewed. - Collaboration is important and the product presented is not only the committee's concern but also the government's concern.

EFFECTIVENESS:
There is no clear percentage provided for meeting effectiveness in this text. However, some areas of improvement that can be identified in bullet points include: - Making sure that criteria are explained thoroughly and clearly to all participants - Providing more structure and organization to the discussion, such as assigning participants to specific rooms - Clarifying details about control rooms and other logistics - Encouraging teams to have a solid backup plan (plan B) - Focusing on the local problem and how the solution can be implemented - Convincing judges of the solution's feasibility and sustainability - Ensuring that all team members have an opportunity to participate in the discussion and presentation - Encouraging collaboration and finding common ground instead of resorting to silencing some team members or involving outside intervention - Remembering that the final product is not just about impressing the judges, but also about its practicality for society as a whole.

Thank you!

NOTICE:
This is an auto generated report from a beta version, please be carefull.