Data Input:

用 panda 的 read_csv 讀取檔案

Data Preprocessing:

- 1. 移除有 missing value(?)的欄位: 'workclass', 'occupation', 'native-country',另外 因為我覺得 education-level 和 education-num 所代表的資訊是重複的,因此 也移除了'education-level'的欄位。
- 2. 打散所有 data
- 3. 將 data 以 7:3 分成 train 和 validation 兩組

Model construction:

Decision tree:

5 個主要的 function: tree_build, entropy, info_gain, remainder, find_threshold,在build_tree 時,會去找當下 information gain 最大的屬性來分割資料,計算 infromation gain 需要用到 entropy, remainder,此外若屬性是連續的,則會先用 find_threshold 找出最佳分割點,把 data 分成兩類,大於 threshold 和小於 thershold。

Random forest:

隨機從 data 中取 100 筆資料建 decision tree,總共建了 3 棵,驗證時把資料送進每一棵樹並取得結果,最多數的結果即為最後答案。

Results (number of data=500):

Decision tree:

	Predicted	Positive	Predicted	Negative
Target Postive		3		32
Target Negative		4		111
Accuracy: 0.76000				
Sensitivity: 0.08				
Precision: 0.4285	71			

Confusion matrix:

	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
--	--------------------	--------------------

Target Postive	3	32
Target Negative	4	111

Accuracy: 0.76

Sensitivity: 0.085714 Precision: 0.428571

Random forest:

	Predicted	Positive	Predicted	Negative
Target Postive		0		33
Target Negative		0		117
Accuracy: 0.7800				
Sensitivity: 0.0	00000			
Precision: 0.000	000			

	Predicted Positive	Predicted Negative
Target Postive	0	33
Target Negative	0	117

Accuracy: 0.78 Sensitivity: 0 Precision: 0

Kaggle Submission:

	w Data Notebooks Discussion Leaderboard Rules Team	II IVI	y Submissions		nit Prediction
31	mickU/13		0.80682	9	3n
32	Cheng-yi Lai	7	0.80477	4	2d
33	k6	F	0.80034	1	2d
34	AfrienTsai	A	0.78464	12	6h
35	YianTai	7	0.77713	1	3d
36	ALBERTOPERARO	A	0.76996	10	1h
37	toosyou.second		0.76518	1	23d
our B	Ching-Jui,Lee est Entry ♠ ubmission scored 0.74607, which is not an improvement of your best s	score. Keep trying!	0.76518	3	17h
our B	est Entry ♠		0.76518	3	17h
our B our st	est Entry 🛧 ubmission scored 0.74607, which is not an improvement of your best s	core. Keep trying!			
our Bour su	est Entry 🛧 ubmission scored 0.74607, which is not an improvement of your best s	core. Keep trying!	0.76518	1	2d
	est Entry ↑ ubmission scored 0.74607, which is not an improvement of your best s JeffLai Petertsai1998	core. Keep trying!	0.76518 0.76040	1 11	2d 2d
our B our st 39 40 41	est Entry ↑ ubmission scored 0.74607, which is not an improvement of your best s JeffLai Petertsai1998 Howard Roark 4u4m	core. Keep trying!	0.76518 0.76040 0.75563	1 11 1	2d 2d 2h
our B our st 39 40	est Entry ↑ ubmission scored 0.74607, which is not an improvement of your best s JeffLai Petertsai1998 Howard Roark 4u4m Mymi Sou	core. Keep trying!	0.76518 0.76040 0.75563 0.66348	1 11 1 1	2d 2d 2h 6h

上面顯示的最高成績,是預測結果全部皆為0的,因為我一開始程式有一些錯誤,導致預測結果皆為0,但後來改好後的正確率又沒辦法超越,因此0.74607應該才是比較接近我的 model 的正確率。

Comparison & Conclusion:

我覺得正確率沒辦法提高的原因是為 train 的 data 太少,但是因為算 threshold 非常花時間,要先把 data 排序,再算出連續兩個值的中點,再去算 information gain,我試過把所有 data 都餵進去,但是跑了好幾個小時,還是跑不出來,為了方便測試與得到結果,我只好把 data 量都縮小,因此正確率沒辦法提高。