

## Math 488, Assignment 6

1. Suppose  $M$  is a ctm and  $\mathbb{P} \in M$  is a forcing notion. Show that if  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M$ , then  $G$  is an ultrafilter.
2. Let  $M$  be a ctm and  $\mathbb{P} \in M$  be a forcing notion. Show that  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M$  if and only if  $G$  is a filter and for every maximal antichain  $A$  in  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $A \in M$  we have  $G \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .
3. (Maximal Principle) Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion. Suppose  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\varphi(x)$  is a formula in the forcing language. Show that if  $p \Vdash \exists x \varphi(x)$ , then there is a name  $\dot{x}$  such that  $p \Vdash \varphi(\dot{x})$ .
4. Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable cardinal. Write  $\text{Coll}(\omega, \kappa)$  for the set of all finite partial functions from  $\omega$  to  $\kappa$ , ordered by reverse inclusion. Show that  $\kappa$  is countable in  $V[G]$  and all cardinals from  $V$  which are bigger than  $\kappa$  remain cardinals in  $V[G]$ .
5. A forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed if for any sequence  $p_n$  in  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $p_{n+1} \leq p_n$  there exists  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  with  $p \leq p_n$  for all  $n$ . Show that if  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed then  $\mathbb{P}$  does not add reals, i.e.  $V \cap 2^\omega = V[G] \cap 2^\omega$  for any  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic  $G$ .
6. (0-1 law) A forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  is *weakly homogeneous* if for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$  there is an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $\varphi(p)$  and  $q$  are compatible. Show that if  $\mathbb{P}$  is weakly homogeneous and  $\sigma$  is a sentence in the forcing language, then either  $\mathbb{1} \Vdash \sigma$  or  $\mathbb{1} \Vdash \neg \sigma$ .
7. Given two forcing notions  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  in a ctm  $M$  satisfying ZFC\*, consider  $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q} \in M$  ordered coordinatewise. Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  and  $H \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . Show that the following are equivalent:
  - (i)  $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $M$  and  $H$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic over  $M[G]$ ,
  - (ii)  $G \times H$  is  $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{Q}$ -generic over  $M$ .