Let Prog be the set of all programs in some Turing-complete programming language. The set of such programs can be enumerated effectively. For simplicity, assume all programs take a natural number as input and produce a natural number as output. Every PE Prog clefines a CE set (or a Turing-recognizable set) of pairs $[P] = \{(x,y) \mid P(x) = y\}$

P(x)=y means: Pexecuted with input x terminates with output y. Two different programs may compute exactly the same set: $P_1 \neq P_2$ but $\llbracket P_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket$ may occur. We say $P_1 \sim P_2$ if $\llbracket P_1 \rrbracket = \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket$: clearly an equivalence relation. In terms of Turing machines viewed as acceptors we can say $M_1 \sim M_2$ if $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$.

We say $Q: P_{00} \rightarrow \{T, F\}$ is a property of programs.

We say Q is an extensional property of programs if $P_1 \sim P_2 \implies Q(P_1) \Leftrightarrow Q(P_2)$ for TM's $M_1 \sim M_2 \implies Q(M_1) \Leftrightarrow Q(M_2)$

Examples (i) This program runs in $O(n^2)$: NOT extensional (ii) This program sorts its input: extensional (iii) This program is look lines of code: NOT extensional.

An extensional property is only sensitive to Io behaviour. It ignores the actual text or running time or any performance characteristics. In terms of CE sets we sony it is a property of the CE set 2 not of the TM. In software engineering we call it a functional specification.

Two TRIVIAL PROPERTIES: $Q_F(P) = F$ for every P and $Q_T(P) = T$ for every P.

THM (RICE) Every non-trivial extensional property (i.e. a property of CE sets) is undecidable.

PROOF Let Q be a non-trivial property of CE sets i.e. $\exists P \text{ s.t. } Q(P) = T \text{ k } \exists P' \text{ s.t. } Q(P') = F.$

ASSUME EMPTY = {M}/L(M)= of does not satisfy Qi.e. YM L(M) = \$ => 7 Q(M). [HARMLESS ASSUMPTION] Let Mobe such that Q(MD = T. Of course L(MD + Ø.

I will show ATM ≤m La = { <M> | Q(M) = T }.

> INPUT: (M, W), HAVE GADGET to SOLVE XELQ?

CONSTRUCT M' from (M, w) as follows

M' on input x:

1. Simulate Mon w

2. If Maccepts wo then Simulate Mo on X.

FEED (M') to Lo? GADGET.

If Maccepts w L (M') = L (Mo)

otherwise L(M') = \$

Since Q is an extensional property $L(M')=L(M_0') \implies Q(M')=T$, $L(M')=\phi \implies Q(M')=F$ So my La gadget decides whether Maccepts ω .

HTM < La?

Thus La must be undécidable. END OF PROOF