MATH 254 Fall 2016

## Solution to Arignment 2

Exercise 1.

Farany m EN, let Ambe the selof all subsets of N= 51-; m s.

Eist more the followings  $F(N) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \cup \S \varnothing \S$ .

The inclusion F(N) = UA\_USBS follows from the fact that N CN, &CN, and my subset of a finite set is finite (proved in dan). Conversely, the inclinion F(N) = UAnUSAS follows from the fact that any finite, ronempty subset of N has a maximal element

(prove this by includion).

Now we prove that An is finite by induction on M.

If n=1, Khin A = \$\$1\$, \$\$ which is finite with 2 elements.

Assume Khart An is finite.

Kemark that we can verile

This is the set Am.

Ant [ A & Ant : M+1 & A & U & A & Ant : M+1 & A &.

This set has same coordinality as An (to prove this, consider the bijection (AEA) and (A) ANS m+1 EAS -> An f: A -> f(A) = A\S m+1S.

Since Amin the union of two finite sets, it follows that Amin finite.

We have moven that A is finite for all mEN. Since F(N) is the countable union of finite rets, it follows that F(M is countable.

E	xencise	2
		danas .

Arrume by conhadiction that there essists a bijection  $E: N \longrightarrow P(N)$ Define  $A = \S n \in N$ ;  $n \notin E(n) \S = P(N)$ Since E is mirjedthe,  $\exists r_A \in N$ ,  $E(r_A) = A$ .

Then by definition of A, we have  $r_A \in E(r_A) \longrightarrow r_A \notin E(r_A)$ and  $r_A \notin E(r_A) \longrightarrow r_A \in E(r_A)$ Which is a conhadiction.

Exercise 3. For any  $n \in N$  and  $a_n, ..., a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_n \neq 0$ , we let  $A_{a_n,...,a_0}$  be the set of solutions of the equation  $a_n \times + a_n \times +$ 

By induction we prove that for any n, ht N, if R < m, then for any and and and EZ much that an # 0, the set

is countable

Bayan, 1992 = 01 - U A an, 1900
is countable

Since Z'is countable If h=1, then Ban, ..., an = Q = A ani..., a. is countable as a (proven in class), we obtain that Ban, ..., of countable union of finite sets. Amme that for some REN we have that if REM, then for all an, ,, and EZ mich that on \$0, the set B, an, ,, , ax is commterble. We want to prove that this is true for It 1. If k+1 < m, ile k< m, by definition Bannight got Bannight Since by our induction assumption thereto By, an, -, as are countable and since I is countable, we obtain that By any, has commable as a countable union of countable sets. We have proven that Bman, in a sometable for all kn EN much that an #0.

much that k Em and an, in a EZ much that an #0. In parkanlar this is true for k=m, marriely  $B_{m,q_m}$  is countable. Since Z. Eos isomntable as a subserof the countable set Z, it follows that U Bman is countable. Since moreover

on EZISOS Plat A= U U Bman is countable

Niscombable, it follows that A= new one 2505 as a countable union of countable sets.

(i) Fint we write

(ii) Armene that x<y<0, namely y+1-x)>0 and-y>0.  $- \times = O + (-x)$ by using (A3)
(A4) =((-y)+y)+(-x)\_\_\_\_ (A2) = (-y) + (y + (-x))Mince y+(-x)>0 and -y>0 Since -x>0 and -y>0 it follows from the trickolomy condition that x ≠0 and y ≠0, hence by using (M4), there exist \$\frac{1}{x}\$, \$\frac{1}{y}\$ ETR much that x1=1x=1 and y= = 7 y=1. We want to prove that \$<\frac{1}{x}<0, namely \frac{1}{x}+(\frac{1}{y})>0 and - 7>0. We begin with proving that - \$\frac{1}{7}\, 70 and - \$\frac{1}{8}\, 70 Armene by contractiction - \$\frac{1}{7} \le 0. Then it follows from the tricholomy condition that either \$\frac{1}{7} = 0 \text{ or } \frac{1}{7} > 0. We write y = 1.7 by using (M3) = $(y \frac{1}{2})y$  (M4)=(-y)(-\frac{1}{2}))y by using the result proven in (i) = (-4) ((-1)/) by using (M2) =(-y)(\frac{1}{2}(-y)) by using the result proven in (i) of \$>0, then y=(-y)(\$(-y))>0 since -y>0. This is a contradiction. If \$ =0, then 1= y = y.0=0 by using (M4) and since we proved "" in (i) Hat a. 0=0 VaER. Hence we also obtain a contradiction.

This proves War - 7 >0.

Similarly va obtin that -x>0 implies - 1>0 Now we more that \( \frac{1}{x} + (-\frac{1}{y}) > 0 We write  $\frac{1}{x} + (-\frac{1}{y}) = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{x} + (-\frac{1}{y}) \cdot 1$ by uning (M3) \_\_\_\_(M4)  $=(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})\cdot\frac{1}{2}+(-\frac{1}{2})\cdot(\frac{1}{2})$ by using the result proven in (i)  $=(+\frac{1}{y})(-y))\frac{1}{x}+(-\frac{1}{y})(+x+\frac{1}{x})$ = (-1)(-y) + (1)(-x)-2) by using (MZ)  $=(\frac{1}{y})(-y)\frac{1}{x}+(-x)(-\frac{1}{x})$ (D) by using the result proven in (i)
by using (D) =(一年)(火(文)+(-x)(文))  $= (-\frac{1}{y})((y+(-x))(-\frac{1}{x}))$ since -170, y+(-x)>0-1/x>0

Exercise 4.

(i) By applying the triangular inequality, we obtain  $2|x| = |2x| = |(x+y) + (x-y)| \le |x+y| + |x-y|$  and  $2|y| = |2y| = |(x+y) + (y-x)| \le |x+y| + |y-x| = |x+y| + (x-y)|$  By summing these two inequalities and dividing by 2, we obtain  $|x| + |y| \le |x+y| + |x-y|$ .

(ii) Let 
$$u = x-1$$
 and  $v = y-1$ .  
Observe that  $xy-1 = (x-1+1)(y-1+1)-1$   
 $= (x-1)(y-1)+(x-1)+(y-1)+1-1$   
 $= uv+u+v$ 

Apply the knangle inequality

1+|xy-1| < 1+|uv|+|u|+|v|=1+|u|-|v|+|u|+|v|= (1+|u|)(1+|v|)

=(1+|x+1)(1+|y-1|)

Exercise 6.

(i) 
$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \frac{1}{4} - x(1-x) = \frac{1}{4} - x + x^2 = (\frac{1}{2} - x)^2 \ge 0$$
  
=>  $x(1-x) \le \frac{1}{4}$ 

(ii) let x,y \in IR be meh that 0 \le x \le 1 and 0 \le y \le 1.

Armone by contractiction that xy> 1/4 and (1-x)(1-y)> 1/4.

By multiplying there to number, we obtain

xy(1-x)(1-y)> \frac{1}{6}

On the other found, it follows from (i) that x(1-x) \le 1/4

and y(1-y) \le 1/4. Since moreover x>0, 1-x>0, y>0, and 1-y>0,

we obtain

0 \le x (1-x) \le 1/4 and 0 \le y(1-y) \le 1/4.

By multiplying thre two numbers, we obtain

By mulkiphyly three two numbers, we obtain  $0 < xy(1-x)(1-y) < y_0$ .

This is in contradiction with what we proved above

(iii) Let x,y,z ER be meh that x,y,z>0. Assume by contradiction that x(1-y)>1/4, y(1-2)>1/4 and z(1-x)>/4. By mulkiplying these three number, we obtain xyz(1-x)(1-y)(1-2)> 1/84. On the other hand, since x>0 and x(1-y)>1/4>0, we obtain that 1-4>0. Similarly, 1-x>0 and 1-2>0. By using (i), we then obtain 0< x(1-x) < 1/4, 0< y(1-y) < 1/4, and 0 < z(1-z) < 1/4. By multiplying these three number, we obtain This is in contradiction with what we proved above.