

OASIS Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) Version 1.0

OASIS Standard 29 October 2012

Specification URIs

This version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-overview-v1.0-os.xml (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-overview-v1.0-os.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-complete-v1.0-os.pdf

Previous version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/csprd01/amqp-core-overview-v1.0-csprd01.xml (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/csprd01/amqp-core-overview-v1.0-csprd01.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/csprd01/amqp-core-complete-v1.0-csprd01.pdf

Latest version:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/amqp-core-overview-v1.0.xml (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/amqp-core-overview-v1.0.html http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/amqp-core-complete-v1.0.pdf

Technical Committee:

OASIS Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) TC

Chairs:

Ram Jeyaraman (Ram.Jeyaraman@microsoft.com), Microsoft Angus Telfer (angus.telfer@inetco.com), INETCO Systems

Editors:

Robert Godfrey (robert.godfrey@jpmorgan.com), JPMorgan Chase & Co. David Ingham (David.Ingham@microsoft.com), Microsoft Rafael Schloming (rafaels@redhat.com), Red Hat

Additional artifacts:

This specification consists of the following documents:

- Part 0: Overview Overview of the AMQP specification http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-overview-v1.0-os.xml (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-overview-v1.0-os.html
- Part 1: Types AMQP type system and encoding http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-types-v1.0-os.xml (Authoritative) http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-types-v1.0-os.html
- Part 2: Transport AMQP transport layer
 http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-transport-v1.0-os.xml (Authoritative)
 http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-transport-v1.0-os.html
- Part 3: Messaging AMQP Messaging Layer
 http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-messaging-v1.0-os.xml (Authoritative)
 http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-messaging-v1.0-os.html
- Part 4: Transactions AMQP Transactions Layer
 http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-transactions-v1.0-os.xml (Authoritative)
 http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-transactions-v1.0-os.html
- Part 5: Security AMQP Security Layers
 http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-security-v1.0-os.xml (Authoritative)
 http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-security-v1.0-os.html
- XML Document Type Definition (DTD) http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp.dtd (Authoritative)

Related work:

This specification replaces or supersedes:

AMQP v1.0 Final, 07 October 2011. http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/amqp-org-download

Abstract:

The Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) is an open internet protocol for business messaging. It defines a binary wire-level protocol that allows for the reliable exchange of business messages between two parties. AMQP has a layered architecture and the specification is organized as a set of parts that reflects that architecture. Part 1 defines the AMQP type system and encoding. Part 2 defines the AMQP transport layer, an efficient, binary, peer-to-peer protocol for transporting messages between two processes over a network. Part 3 defines the AMQP message format, with a concrete encoding. Part 4 defines how interactions can be grouped within atomic transactions. Part 5 defines the AMQP security layers.

Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the membership of OASIS on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/amqp/.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/amqp/ipr.php).

Citation format:

When referencing this specification the following citation format should be used:

[amqp-core-complete-v1.0]

OASIS Advanced Message Queuing Protocol (AMQP) Version 1.0. 29 October 2012. OASIS Standard. http://docs.oasis-open.org/amqp/core/v1.0/os/amqp-core-complete-v1.0-os.pdf.

Notices:

Copyright © OASIS Open 2012. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The name "OASIS" is a trademark of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see http://www.oasis-open.org/policies-guidelines/trademark for above guidance.

Contents

0	Ove	view	7
	0.1	Introduction	7
		0.1.1 Terminology	7
		0.1.2 Normative References	7
		0.1.3 Non-normative References	9
	0.2	Conformance	0
	0.3	Acknowledgements	1
	0.4	Revision History	3
1	Тур		
	1.1	Type System	
		1.1.1 Primitive Types	
		1.1.2 Described Types	
		1.1.3 Composite Types	
		1.1.4 Restricted Types	
	1.2	Type Encodings	
		1.2.1 Fixed Width	9
		1.2.2 Variable Width	0
		1.2.3 Compound	
		1.2.4 Array	0
	1.3	Type Notation	1
		1.3.1 Primitive Type Notation	1
		1.3.2 Composite Type Notation	2
		1.3.3 Descriptor Notation	2
		1.3.4 Field Notation	3
		1.3.5 Restricted Type Notation	3
	1.4	Composite Type Representation	4
	1.5	Descriptor Values	
	1.6	Primitive Type Definitions	
		1.6.1 null	
		1.6.2 boolean	
		1.6.3 ubyte	
		1.6.4 ushort	
		1.6.5 uint	
		1.6.6 ulong	
		1.6.7 byte	
		1.6.8 short	
		1.6.9 int	
		1.6.10 long	
		1.6.11 float	
		1.6.12 double	
		1.6.13 decimal32	
		1.6.14 decimal64	
		1.6.15 decimal 128	
		1.6.16 char	
		1.6.17 timestamp	
		1.6.18 uuid	
		1.6.19 binary	
		1.6.20 string	9

			symbol	
		1.6.22	list	Э
		1.6.23	map	0
		1.6.24	array	0
2	Tran	sport	3.	
	2.1	Transp	port	
		2.1.1	Conceptual Model	1
		2.1.2	Communication Endpoints	2
		2.1.3	Protocol Frames	
	2.2	Versio	n Negotiation	
	2.3		ng	
		2.3.1	Frame Layout	
		2.3.2	AMQP Frames	
	2.4	Conne	ections	
		2.4.1	Opening A Connection	
		2.4.2	Pipelined Open	
		2.4.3	Closing A Connection	
		2.4.4	Simultaneous Close	
		2.4.5	Idle Timeout Of A Connection	
		2.4.6	Connection States	
		2.4.7	Connection State Diagram	
	2.5	Sessio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	0	2.5.1	Establishing A Session	
		2.5.2	Ending A Session	
		2.5.3	Simultaneous End	
		2.5.4	Session Errors	
		2.5.5	Session States	
		2.5.6	Session Flow Control	
	2.6			
	2.0	2.6.1	Naming A Link	
		2.6.2	Link Handles	
		2.6.3	Establishing Or Resuming A Link	
		2.6.4	Detaching And Reattaching A Link	
		2.6.5	Link Errors	
		2.6.6	Closing A Link	
		2.6.7	Flow Control	
		2.6.7		
			Synchronous Get	
		2.6.9	Stopping A Link	
			Messages	
			<u> </u>	
			Transferring A Message	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	2.7		Transferring Large Messages	
	2.1			
		2.7.1	Open	
		2.7.2	Begin	
		2.7.3	Attach	
		2.7.4	Flow	-
		2.7.5	Transfer	
		2.7.6	Disposition	-
		2.7.7	Detach	
		2.7.8	End	
		2.7.9	Close	
	28	Definit	ione 7'	2

		2.8.1	Role	73
		2.8.2	Sender Settle Mode	73
		2.8.3	Receiver Settle Mode	74
		2.8.4	Handle	74
		2.8.5	Seconds	
		2.8.6	Milliseconds	
		2.8.7	Delivery Tag	
		2.8.8	Delivery Number	
		2.8.9	Transfer Number	
			Sequence No	
			· ·	
			Message Format	
			IETF Language Tag	
			Fields	
			Error	
			AMQP Error	
		2.8.16	Connection Error	77
		2.8.17	Session Error	78
		2.8.18	Link Error	78
		2.8.19	Constant Definitions	79
3	Mes	saging		81
	3.1	Introdu	uction	81
	3.2	Messa	ge Format	81
		3.2.1	Header	82
		3.2.2	Delivery Annotations	83
		3.2.3	Message Annotations	
		3.2.4	Properties	
		3.2.5	Application Properties	
		3.2.6	Data	
		3.2.7	AMQP Sequence	
		3.2.8	AMQP Value	
		3.2.9	Footer	
			Annotations	
			Message ID ULong	
			Message ID UUID	
			Message ID Binary	
			Message ID String	
		3.2.15	5	87
			Constant Definitions	
	3.3		ution Nodes	
	3.4	Delive	ry State	
		3.4.1	Received	89
		3.4.2	Accepted	89
		3.4.3	Rejected	90
		3.4.4	Released	90
		3.4.5	Modified	90
		3.4.6	Resuming Deliveries Using Delivery States	
	3.5		es and Targets	
	0.0	3.5.1	Filtering Messages	
		3.5.2	Distribution Modes	
		3.5.3	Source	
		3.5.4	Target	
		3.5.4	Terminus Durability	
		3.5.6	Terminus Expiry Policy	99 aa
		5 7 /	3(3)((3)()) ((3)() ((1)()) ((1)() ((1)() ((1)() ((1)()() ((1)()) ((1)() ((1)()() ((1)()()((1)()()((1)()()((1)()()((1)()()((1)()()((1)()()((1)()()((1)()((1)()((1)()()((1	

		3.5.11 3.5.12	Filter Set 100 Node Properties 100 Delete On Close 100 Delete On No Links 100 Delete On No Messages 101 B Delete On No Links Or Messages 101
4	Tran	sactio	ns 102
	4.1	Transa	actional Messaging
	4.2	Decla	ring a Transaction
	4.3	Discha	arging a Transaction
	4.4	Transa	actional Work
		4.4.1	Transactional Posting
		4.4.2	Transactional Retirement
		4.4.3	Transactional Acquisition
		4.4.4	Interaction Of Settlement With Transactions
			4.4.4.1 Transactional Posting
			4.4.4.2 Transactional Retirement
			4.4.4.3 Transactional Acquisition
	4.5		ination
		4.5.1	Coordinator
		4.5.2	Declare
		4.5.3	Discharge
		4.5.4	Transaction ID
		4.5.5	Declared
		4.5.6	Transactional State
		4.5.7	Transaction Capability
		4.5.8	Transaction Error
5	Sec	urity	112
	5.1		ity Layers
	5.2	TLS	
		5.2.1	Alternative Establishment
		5.2.2	Constant Definitions
	5.3	SASL	-
		5.3.1	SASL Frames
		5.3.2	SASL Negotiation
		5.3.3	Security Frame Bodies
			5.3.3.1 SASL Mechanisms
			5.3.3.2 SASL Init
			5.3.3.3 SASL Challenge
			5.3.3.4 SASL Response
			5.3.3.5 SASL Outcome
			5.3.3.6 SASL Code
		5.3.4	Constant Definitions
	XML	. Docu	ment Type Definition (DTD)

Part 0: Overview

0.1 Introduction

The Advanced Message Queuing Protocol is an open internet protocol for business messaging.

AMQP is comprised of several layers. The lowest level defines an efficient, binary, peer-to-peer protocol for transporting messages between two processes over a network. Above this, the messaging layer defines an abstract message format, with concrete standard encoding. Every compliant AMQP process MUST be able to send and receive messages in this standard encoding.

0.1.1 Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in IETF RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

The authoritative form of the AMQP specification consists of a set of XML source documents. These documents are transformed into PDF and HTML representations for readability. The machine readable version of the AMQP DTD describes the XML used for the authoritative source documents. This DTD includes the definition of the syntax used in the excerpts of XML presented in the PDF and HTML representations.

0.1.2 Normative References

[ASCII]

American National Standards Institute, Inc., American National Standard for Information Systems, Coded Character Sets - 7-Bit American National Standard Code for Information Interchange (7-Bit ASCII), ANSI X3.4-1986, March 26, 1986.

[BCP47]

A. Phillips, Ed., M. Davis, Ed., *Tags for Identifying Languages*. IETF BCP: 47, September 2009. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/bcp/bcp47.txt

[IANAHTTPPARAMS]

IANA (Internet Assigned Numbers Authority), *Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) Parameters*. http://www.iana.org/assignments/http-parameters/http-parameters.xml

[IANAPEN]

IANA (Internet Assigned Numbers Authority), Private Enterprise Numbers.

http://www.iana.org/assignments/enterprise-numbers

[IANASUBTAG]

IANA (Internet Assigned Numbers Authority), Language Subtag Registry. http://www.iana.org/assignments/language-subtag-registry

[IEEE754]

Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic. IEEE 754-2008, August 2008. http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/servlet/opac?punumber=4610933

[IEEE1003]

The Single UNIX Specification, Version 4. IEEE Std 1003.1-2008, December 2008. http://www.unix.org/version4/

PART 0. OVERVIEW 0.1 Introduction

[RFC1982]

R. Elz, R. Bush, Serial Number Arithmetic. IETF RFC 1982, August 1996.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1982.txt

[RFC2046]

N. Freed, N. Borenstein, *Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types.* IETF RFC 2046, November 1996.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2046.txt

[RFC2119]

S. Bradner, Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels. IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt

[RFC22341

D. Crocker, Ed., P. Overell, *Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF*. IETF RFC 2234, November 1997. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2234.txt

[RFC2616]

R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Frystyk, L. Masinter, P. Leach, T. Berners-Lee, *Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1*. IETF RFC 2616, June 1999.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt

[RFC4122]

P. Leach, M. Mealling, R. Salz, A Universally Unique IDentifier (UUID) URN Namespace. IETF RFC 4122, July 2005.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4122.txt

[RFC4366]

S. Blake-Wilson, M. Nystrom, D. Hopwood, J. Mikkelsen, T. Wright, *Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extensions*. IETF RFC 4366, April 2006.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4366.txt

[RFC4422]

A. Melnikov, Ed., K. Zeilenga, Ed., Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL). IETF RFC 4422, June 2006. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/4422.txt

[RFC4616]

K. Zeilenga, Ed., *The PLAIN Simple Authentication and Security Layer (SASL) Mechanism.* IETF RFC 4616, August 2006.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4616.txt

IRFC52461

T. Dierks, E. Rescorla., *The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2.* IETF RFC 5246, August 2008. http://www.ietf.org/rfc5246.txt

[RFC5646]

A. Phillips, Ed., M. Davis, Ed., Tags for Identifying Languages. IETF RFC 5646, September 2009.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5646.txt

[RFC5802]

C. Newman, A. Menon-Sen, A. Melnikov, N. Williams, *Salted Challenge Response Authentication Mechanism (SCRAM) SASL and GSS-API Mechanisms*. IETF RFC 5802, July 2010.

http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc5802.txt

[UNICODE6]

The Unicode Consortium. The Unicode Standard, Version 6.0.0, (Mountain View, CA: The Unicode Consortium, 2011. ISBN 978-1-936213-01-6)

http://www.unicode.org/versions/Unicode6.0.0/

PART 0. OVERVIEW 0.1 Introduction

0.1.3 Non-normative References

[AMQPCONNCAP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Connection Capabilities

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/connection-capabilities

[AMQPCONNPROP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Connection Properties

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/connection-properties

[AMQPDELANN]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Delivery Annotations

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/delivery-annotations

[AMQPDISTMODE]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Distribution Modes

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/distribution-modes

[AMQPFILTERS]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Filters

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/filters

[AMQPFOOTER]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Footer

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/footer

[AMQPLINKCAP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Link Capabilities

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/link-capabilities

[AMQPLINKPROP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Link Properties

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/link-properties

[AMQPLINKSTATEPROP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Link State Properties

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/link-state-properties

[AMQPMESSANN]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Message Annotations

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/message-annotations

[AMQPNODEPROP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Node Properties

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/node-properties

[AMQPSESSCAP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Session Capabilities

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/session-capabilities

[AMQPSESSPROP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Session Properties

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/session-properties

[AMQPSOURCECAP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Source Capabilities

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/source-capabilities

[AMQPTARGETCAP]

AMQP Capabilities Registry: Target Capabilities

http://www.amqp.org/specification/1.0/target-capabilities

PART 0. OVERVIEW 0.2 Conformance

0.2 Conformance

AMQP defines a wire level protocol for business messaging. The definition allows for all common business messaging behaviors. AMQP does not define a wire-level distinction between "clients" and "brokers", the protocol is symmetric. It is expected and encouraged that implementations of AMQP will have different capabilities. Expectations of the capabilities of a "client library" are different from expectations of a "broker" which are themselves different from the capabilities of a "router". As relevant profiles emerge (where appropriate and applicable) these will be formalised.

A conformant implementation MUST perform protocol negotiation (see Part 2: section 2.2), and then parse, process, and produce frames in accordance with the format and semantics defined in parts 1 through 5 of this specification.

Conformant implementations MUST NOT require the use of any extensions defined outside this document in order to interoperate with any other conformant implementation.

Part 1 of this document defines the type system and type encodings that every conformant implementation MUST implement.

Part 2 defines the peer-to-peer transport protocol which operates over TCP. Every conformant implementation of AMQP over TCP MUST implement Part 2. Future standards mapping AMQP to protocols other than TCP MAY modify or replace Part 2 when AMQP is being used over that protocol. A conformant implementation MUST implement Part 2 or a mapping of AMQP to some non-TCP protocol.

Part 2 admits behaviors that might not be appropriate for every implementation. For example a "client library" might not allow for its communication partner to spontaneously attempt to initiate a connection and request messages. Where an implementation does not allow for a behavior the implementation MUST respond according to the rules defined within Part 2 of the specification.

Part 3 of this document defines the AMQP Messaging Layer. Every conformant implementation which processes messages MUST implement this part of the specification.

Some implementations might not process messages (for example, an implementation acting as a "router" which looks only at the routing information carried by the AMQP Transport layer). Such implementations do not actively implement Part 3, but MUST NOT act in ways which violate the rules of this part of the specification.

The Messaging layer admits behaviors that might not be appropriate for all implementations (and within an implementation all behaviors might not be available for all configurations). Where a behavior is not admitted, the implementation MUST respond according to the rules defined within this specification.

Part 4 defines the requirements for transactional messaging. Transactional messaging defines two roles, that of the *transactional resource* and that of the *transaction controller*. A conformant implementation SHOULD be capable of operating in one of these roles but MAY be unable to operate in either (for instance a simplistic client library might have no ability to act as a transaction controller and would not be expected to act as a transactional resource).

It is RECOMMENDED that implementations designed to act as messaging intermediaries support the ability to act as a transactional resource. It is RECOMMENDED that implementations or re-usable libraries provide Application Programming Interfaces to enable them to act as transactional controllers.

Where a behavior is not admitted, the rules defined in part 4 regarding responses to non-admitted behaviors MUST be followed.

Part 5 defines Security Layers to provide an authenticated and/or encrypted transport. Implementations SHOULD allow the configuration of appropriate levels of security for the domain in which they are to be deployed.

Conformant implementations acting in the TCP server role are strongly RECOMMENDED to implement Part 5: section 5.2 (or Part 5: 5.2.1 Alternative Establishment). Implementations acting in the TCP server role are strongly RECOMMENDED to implement Part 5: section 5.3 and to support commonly used SASL mechanisms. In particular such implementations SHOULD support the PLAIN [RFC4616] and SCRAM-SHA1 [RFC5802] mechanisms.

PART 0. OVERVIEW 0.3 Acknowledgements

Conformant implementations acting in the TCP client role SHOULD be capable of being configured to connect to an implementation in the TCP server role that is following the recommendations above.

0.3 Acknowledgements

The following individuals have contributed significantly towards the creation of this specification and are gratefully acknowledged:

- Michael Bridgen (VMware)
- · Xin Chen (Microsoft)
- Raphael Cohn (Individual)
- Allan Cornish (INETCO Systems)
- Robert Godfrey (JPMorgan Chase & Co.)
- David Ingham (Microsoft)
- Andreas Moravec (Deutsche Boerse)
- Andreas Mueller (IIT Software)
- Simon MacMullen (VMware)
- John O'Hara (Individual)
- Rafael Schloming (Red Hat)
- Gordon Sim (Red Hat)
- Angus Telfer (INETCO Systems)

The following individuals were members of the OASIS AMQP Technical Committee during the creation of this specification and their contributions are gratefully acknowledged:

- Sanjay Aiyagari (VMware)
- Brian Albers (Kaazing)
- Matthew Arrott (Individual)
- Roger Bass (Traxian)
- Allan Beck (JPMorgan Chase & Co.)
- Mark Blair (Credit Suisse)
- Paul Blanz (Goldman Sachs)
- Laurie Bryson (JPMorgan Chase & Co.)
- Raphael Cohn (Individual)
- Allan Cornish (INETCO Systems)
- Robin Cover (OASIS)
- Raphael Delaporte (Zenika)
- Brad Drysdale (Kaazing)
- Helena Edelson (VMware)
- Chet Ensign (OASIS)
- John Fallows (Kaazing)

- Paul Fremantle (WSO2)
- Robert Gemmell (JPMorgan Chase & Co.)
- Rob Godfrey (JPMorgan Chase & Co.)
- Steve Hodge (Bank of America)
- Steve Huston (Individual)
- David Ingham (Microsoft)
- Stavros Isaiadis (Bank of America)
- Ram Jeyaraman (Microsoft)
- Bill Kahlert (HCL America)
- James Kirkland (Red Hat)
- Hanno Klein (Deutsche Boerse)
- Theo Kramer (Flame Computing Enterprises)
- Alex Kritikos (Software AG)
- Stanley Lewis (Progress Software)
- Mark Little (Red Hat)
- Colin MacNaughton (Progress Software)
- Mansour Mazinani (SITA SC)
- Shawn McAllister (Solace Systems)
- Donald McGarry (Mitre Corporation)
- Dale Moberg (Axway Software)
- Andreas Moravec (Deutsche Boerse)
- Andreas Mueller (IIT Software)
- Suryanarayanan Nagarajan (Software AG)
- Bob Natale (Mitre Corporation)
- John O'Hara (Individual)
- Kimberly Palko (Red Hat)
- Kenneth Peeples (Red Hat)
- Pierre Queinnec (Zenika)
- Makesh Rao (Cisco Systems)
- Alexis Richardson (VMware)
- Rafael Schloming (Red Hat)
- Dee Schur (OASIS)
- Gordon Sim (Red Hat)
- Sunjeet Singh (INETCO Systems)
- Bruce Snyder (VMware)
- Angus Telfer (INETCO Systems)
- Carl Trieloff (Red Hat)

PART 0. OVERVIEW 0.4 Revision History

- Asir Vedamuthu (Microsoft)
- Eamon Walshe (StormMQ)
- Denis Weerasiri (WSO2)
- Jeffrey Wheeler (Huawei Technologies Co.)
- Wang Xuan (Primeton Technologies)
- Prasad Yendluri (Software AG)

0.4 Revision History

2012-10-29 : OASIS Standard

2012-08-07: Candidate OASIS Standard 01

AMQP-74: HTML Rendering contains broken cross reference links for fields of primitive types

2012-07-18: Committee Specification 1

AMQP-75: Minor corrections to the front matter

2012-05-29 : Committee Specification Public Review Draft 2

2012-05-29: Committee Specification Draft 2

2012-05-28 : Working Draft 9

AMQP-71: Update acknowledgements to reflect current TC member list

AMQP-72: In the PDF, add explicit links to all Parts of the document (including DTD) and state authoritative

version

AMQP-73: add clarity to document composition and XML excerpts

2012-05-15 : Working Draft 8

AMQP-62: Better explain the role of the XML notation used in the specification and its relation to the wire

format

AMQP-63: Improve the conformance section

AMQP-64: Fix typo in 2.1, second sentence needs initial capital letter

AMQP-65: Better explain the relationship between links, connections, channels and sessions

AMQP-66: Remove non-normative use of RFC2119 keywords

AMQP-67: Improve presentation of the Revision History of the document

AMQP-69: Fix rendering of sub- and superscript in HTML

2012-02-21: Committee Specification Public Review Draft 1

PART 0. OVERVIEW 0.4 Revision History

2012-02-21: Committee Specification Draft 1

2012-02-20 : Working Draft 7

AMQP-56: Fix links to non-normative AMQP Capabilities and Related Work

AMQP-61: Fixes to front-matter presentation

2012-02-15 : Working Draft 6

AMQP-58: Fix capitalization of headings on front page material

AMQP-59: Add missing acknowledgments AMQP-60: Correct PDF ToC entry for DTD

2012-02-13 : Working Draft 5

AMQP-50: Section 3.2.16 is rendered differently in the html and pdf versions of the draft.

AMQP-51: Add missing acknowledgments

AMQP-52: Appendix A DTD is missing in the PDF document.

AMQP-53: Add Revision History

AMQP-54: Fix capitalization differences between HTML and PDF on front page

AMQP-55: Change labeling of DTD on front page

AMQP-57: Unresolved cross references due to removal of redundant subsection

2012-02-02: Working Draft 4

AMQP-43: Review comments from Ram Jeyaraman

2012-02-01 : Working Draft 3

AMQP-29: Add introductory description of the spec's XML schema

AMQP-36: Clarify that delivery-count is not a count (in 2.6.7 and 2.7.4)

AMQP-37: Improve description of snd-settle-mode and rcv-settle-mode

AMQP-38: Provide more information as to how an incomplete settled map is resolved

AMQP-39: Clarify settlement on multi-transfer deliveries

AMQP-41: Rephrase language around keys in annotations

AMQP-42: Clarify use of error field in rejected outcome

AMQP-44: Specification should refer to AMQP.org document as related (superseded) work

AMQP-47: Messaging: Incorporate feedback from Steve Huston's review

2012-01-24 : Working Draft 2

AMQP-7: Typo in SaslInit.hostname description

AMQP-8: Slight contradiction in description of hostname in SaslInit and TLS SNI

AMQP-9: Could we add a repository for node-properties?

AMQP-10: Could we have a repository for additional supported-dist-modes?

AMQP-11: Message Properties.content-type MIME types need adjustment to fit new section types

AMQP-12: Labelling of headers and section numbers as per the AMQP WG document...

AMQP-13: Typo: Transactions in 4.4.1 transactional Posting "Settles" - > "Settle"

PART 0. OVERVIEW 0.4 Revision History

AMQP-14 : PDF Document should use Sans-Serif font to be consistent with OASIS Standards

AMQP-15: Move copyright statement to second line of footer to be in line with OASIS style

AMQP-16: References to INETCO should be "INETCO Systems Limited"

AMQP-17: Typo in AMQP Open.hostname description

AMQP-18: Add figure titles to the HTML presentation

AMQP-19: Fix generated (sub-)section titles in the PDF where they contain a dash ("-")

AMQP-20: PDF Presentation: use correct "open" and "close" double quotes

AMQP-21: Change presentation of cross-references to show only section number, not title

AMQP-22: Transport: Incoporate feedback from Steve Huston's review

AMQP-23: Abstract/Introduction: Incoporate feedback from Steve Huston's review

AMQP-24: Update XML transformation to render "n byte" as "n-byte" AMQP-25: Types: Incoporate feedback from Steve Huston's review

AMQP-26: Transport: Incoporate feedback from Steve Huston's review (2)

AMQP-27: add missing diagram titles

AMQP-28: Confused use of the terms two's-complement" and "signed"

AMQP-30: Clarify version negotiation

AMQP-31: Figure numbering incorrect in the HTML presentation

AMQP-32: Clarify open behavior

AMQP-33: Tighten conformance language for 2.4.5 AMQP-34: Add description of HDR_EXCH state in 2.4.6

AMQP-35: Clarifications to 2.6.12

AMQP-40: Incorrect title for IEEE1003 reference

AMQP-45: Transactions: Incorporate feedback from Steve Huston's review AMQP-46: Security: Incorporate feedback from Steve Huston's review

AMQP-48: Remove capitalization of certain terms within the specification

AMQP-49: Description of "dynamic" field refers to non-existent concepts of "session name" and "client-id"

2011-11-08: Working Draft 1

AMQP-1: Make the definition of flow.echo more explicit

AMQP-2: Make it explicit that flow.properties is only for a link

AMQP-3: specification/overview.xml has a contributor's name in all caps

AMQP-4: specification/overview.xml is slightly inconsistent in use of company legal entity names and abbreviations thereof

Part 1: Types

1.1 Type System

The AMQP type system defines a set of commonly used primitive types for interoperable data representation. AMQP values can be annotated with additional semantic information beyond that associated with the primitive type. This allows for the association of an AMQP value with an external type that is not present as an AMQP primitive. For example, a URL is commonly represented as a string, however not all strings are valid URLs, and many programming languages and/or applications define a specific type to represent URLs. The AMQP type system would allow for the definition of a code with which to annotate strings when the value is intended to represent a URL.

1.1.1 Primitive Types

The AMQP type system defines a standard set of primitive types for representing both common scalar values and common collections. The scalar types include booleans, integral numbers, floating point numbers, timestamps, UUIDs, characters, strings, binary data, and symbols. The collection types include arrays (monomorphic), lists (polymorphic), and maps.

1.1.2 Described Types

The primitive types defined by AMQP can directly represent many of the basic types present in most popular programming languages, and therefore can be trivially used to exchange basic data. In practice, however, even the simplest applications have their own set of custom types used to model concepts within the application's domain. In messaging applications these custom types need to be externalized for transmission.

AMQP provides a means to do this by allowing any AMQP type to be annotated with a *descriptor*. A *descriptor* forms an association between a custom type, and an AMQP type. This association indicates that the AMQP type is actually a *representation* of the custom type. The resulting combination of the AMQP type and its descriptor is referred to as a *described type*.

A described type contains two distinct kinds of type information. It identifies both an AMQP type and a custom type (as well as the relationship between them), and so can be understood at two different levels. An application with intimate knowledge of a given domain can understand described types as the custom types they represent, thereby decoding and processing them according to the complete semantics of the domain. An application with no intimate knowledge can still understand the described types as AMQP types, decoding and processing them as such.

1.1.3 Composite Types

AMQP defines a number of *composite types* used for encoding structured data such as frame bodies. A composite type defines a composite value where each constituent value is identified by a well-known named *field*. Each composite type definition includes an ordered sequence of fields, each with a specified name, type, and multiplicity. Composite type definitions also include one or more descriptors (symbolic and/or numeric) for identifying their defined representations. Composite types are formally defined using the XML notation defined in section 1.3.

1.1.4 Restricted Types

AMQP defines the notion of a *restricted type*. This is a new type derived from an existing type where the permitted values of the new type are a subset of the values of the existing type. Restricted types are commonly used programming constructs, the most frequent being "enumerations" which in AMQP terminology are restrictions of the integral types. However, the AMQP notion of restricted types can also represent more open ended restrictions such as a URL which can be thought of as a restriction of the string type.

A restricted type definition might limit the permitted values to either a pre-defined fixed set, or an open-ended set. In the former case, each permitted value is called a *choice* and all possible choices are listed in the formal type definition. In the latter case, the nature of the restriction is specified as text in the formal type definition.

The existing type from which a restricted type is derived is referred to as the *source* type for the restriction. A restricted type might or might not be annotated with a descriptor on the wire depending on the formal definition for the type.

1.2 Type Encodings

An AMQP encoded data stream consists of untyped bytes with embedded constructors. The embedded constructor indicates how to interpret the untyped bytes that follow. Constructors can be thought of as functions that consume untyped bytes from an open ended byte stream and construct a typed value. An AMQP encoded data stream always begins with a constructor.




Figure 1.1: Primitive Format Code (String)

An AMQP constructor consists of either a primitive format code, or a described format code. A primitive format code is a constructor for an AMQP primitive type. A described format code consists of a descriptor and a primitive format-code. A descriptor defines how to produce a domain specific type from an AMQP primitive value.




Figure 1.2: Described Format Code (URL)

The descriptor portion of a described format code is itself any valid AMQP encoded value, including other described values. The formal BNF for constructors is given below.

```
format-code = fixed / variable / compound / array
           fixed = empty / fixed-one / fixed-two / fixed-four
                   / fixed-eight / fixed-sixteen
       variable = variable-one / variable-four
compound = compound-one / compound-four
           array = array-one / array-four
    descriptor = value
           value = constructor untyped-bytes
untyped-bytes = *OCTET; this is not actually *OCTET, the ; valid byte sequences are restricted
                                ; by the constructor
; fixed width format codes

empty = %x40-4E / %x4F %x00-FF

fixed-one = %x50-5E / %x5F %x00-FF

fixed-two = %x60-6E / %x6F %x00-FF

fixed-four = %x70-7E / %x7F %x00-FF

fixed-eight = %x80-8E / %x8F %x00-FF
fixed-sixteen = %x90-9E / %x9F %x00-FF
; variable width format codes variable-one = %xAO-AE / %xAF %xOO-FF variable-four = %xBO-BE / %xBF %xOO-FF
; compound format codes
 compound-one = %xCO-CE / %xCF %xOO-FF
compound-four = %xDO-DE / %xDF %xOO-FF
; array format codes
     array-one = %xE0-EE / %xEF %x00-FF
    array-four = %xF0-FE / %xFF %x00-FF
```

Figure 1.3: Constructor BNF

Format codes map to one of four different categories: fixed width, variable width, compound and array. Values encoded within each category share the same basic structure parameterized by width. The subcategory within a format-code identifies both the category and width.

Fixed WidthThe size of fixed-width data is determined based solely on the subcategory of the format code for the fixed width value.

Variable Width The size of variable-width data is determined based on an encoded size that prefixes the

data. The width of the encoded size is determined by the subcategory of the format code

for the variable width value.

CompoundCompound data is encoded as a size and a count followed by a polymorphic sequence of *count* constituent values. Each constituent value is preceded by a constructor that indicates

the semantics and encoding of the data that follows. The width of the size and count is


determined by the subcategory of the format code for the compound value.

Array data is encoded as a size and count followed by an array element constructor followed

by a monomorphic sequence of values encoded according to the supplied array element constructor. The width of the size and count is determined by the subcategory of the format

code for the array.

The bits within a format code can be interpreted according to the following layout:



ext-type: only present if subtype is 0xF

Figure 1.4: Format Code Layout

The following table describes the subcategories of format-codes:

Subcategory	Category	Format
0x4 0x5 0x6 0x7 0x8 0x9	Fixed Width Fixed Width Fixed Width Fixed Width Fixed Width Fixed Width	Zero octets of data. One octet of data. Two octets of data. Four octets of data. Eight octets of data. Sixteen octets of data.
OxA OxB	Variable Width Variable Width	One octet of size, 0-255 octets of data. Four octets of size, 0-4294967295 octets of data.
0xC	Compound	One octet each of size and count, 0-255 distinctly typed values.
OxD	Compound	Four octets each of size and count, 0-4294967295 distinctly typed values.
0xE	Array	One octet each of size and count, 0-255 uniformly typed values.
OxF	Array	Four octets each of size and count, 0-4294967295 uniformly typed values.

Figure 1.5: Subcategory Formats

Please note, unless otherwise specified, AMQP uses network byte order for all numeric values.

1.2.1 Fixed Width

The width of a specific fixed width encoding can be computed from the subcategory of the format code for the fixed width value:

n UCTETS	
++ data ++	
Subcategory	n ==
0x4	0
0x5	1
0x6	2
0x7	4
0x8	8
0x9	16

Figure 1.6: Layout of Fixed Width Data Encodings

1.2.2 Variable Width

All variable width encodings consist of a size in octets followed by *size* octets of encoded data. The width of the size for a specific variable width encoding can be computed from the subcategory of the format code:

n OCTETs	size OCTETs
size	value
Subcate	gory n

Figure 1.7: Layout of Variable Width Data Encodings

1.2.3 Compound

All compound encodings consist of a size and a count followed by *count* encoded items. The width of the size and count for a specific compound encoding can be computed from the category of the format code:




Figure 1.8: Layout of Compound Data Encodings

1.2.4 Array

All array encodings consist of a size followed by a count followed by an element constructor followed by *count* elements of encoded data formated as REQUIRED by the element constructor:

PART 1. TYPES 1.3 Type Notation




Figure 1.9: Layout of Array Encodings

1.3 Type Notation

Types are formally specified using an XML notation described below. Please note that this XML notation is used only to define the type and is never used to represent encoded data. All encoded AMQP data is binary as described in section 1.2.

The type element formally defines a semantic type used to exchange data on the wire. Every type definition has the following general form. The child elements present depend upon the class of the type definition (primitive, composite, or restricted):

Figure 1.10: Type Definitions

The attributes of a type definition specify the following:

name The name of the type.

label A short description of the type.

class A string identifying what class of type is being defined: "primitive", "composite", or "re-

stricted".

provides A comma separated list of archetypes (see field element).

There are three different classes of types identified by the "class" attribute: primitive, composite, and restricted.

1.3.1 Primitive Type Notation

A "primitive" type definition will contain one or more encoding elements that describe how the data is formatted on the wire. Primitive types do not contain the descriptor, field, or choice elements.

Figure 1.11: Primitive Type Definitions

PART 1. TYPES 1.3 Type Notation

The encoding element defines how a primitive type is encoded. The specification defines 4 general categories of encodings: fixed, variable, compound, and array. A specific encoding provides further details of how data is formatted within its general category.

Figure 1.12: Encoding Definitions

name The name of the encoding.

label A short description of the encoding.

code The numeric value that prefixes the encoded data on the wire.

category The category of the encoding: "fixed", "variable", "compound", or "array".

width The width of the encoding or the size/count prefixes depending on the category.

1.3.2 Composite Type Notation

A "composite" type definition specifies a new kind of record type, i.e., a type composed of a fixed number of fields whose values are each of a specific type. A composite type does not have a new encoding, but is sent on the wire as a list annotated by a specific descriptor value that identifies it as a representation of the defined composite type. A composite type definition will contain a descriptor and zero or more field elements. Composite types do not contain encoding or choice elements. The source attribute of a composite type will always be "list", other values are reserved for future use.

```
<type class="composite" name="..." label="..." provides="...">
    <descriptor name="..." code="..."/>
    <field name="..." ... > ... </field>
    ...
    </type>
```

Figure 1.13: Composite Type Definitions

1.3.3 Descriptor Notation

The descriptor element specifies what annotation is used to identify encoded values as representations of a described type.

Figure 1.14: Descriptor Definitions

name A symbolic name for the representation.

code The numeric value.

PART 1. TYPES 1.3 Type Notation

1.3.4 Field Notation

The field element identifies a field within a composite type. Every field has the following attributes:

Figure 1.15: Field Definitions

name A name that uniquely identifies the field within the type.

type The type of the field. This attribute defines the range of values that are permitted to appear

in this field. It might name a specific type, in which case the values are restricted to that type, or it might be the special character "*", in which case a value of any type is permitted. In the latter case the range of values might be further restricted by the requires attribute.

requires A comma separated list of archetypes. Field values are restricted to types providing at least

one of the specified archetypes.

default A default value for the field if no value is encoded.

label A short description of the field.

mandatory "true" iff a non null value for the field is always encoded.

multiple "true" iff the field can have multiple values of its specified type.

1.3.5 Restricted Type Notation

A "restricted" type definition specifies a new kind of type whose values are restricted to a subset of the values that might be represented with another type. The source attribute identifies the base type from which a restricted type is derived. A restricted type can have a descriptor element in which case it is identified by a descriptor on the wire. The values permitted by a restricted type can be specified either via documentation, or directly limited to a fixed number of values by the choice elements contained within the definition.

Figure 1.16: Restricted Type Definitions

The choice element identifies a legal value for a restricted type. The choice element has the following attributes:

Figure 1.17: Choice Definitions

name A name for the value.value The permitted value.

1.4 Composite Type Representation

AMQP composite types are represented as a described list. Each element in the list is positionally correlated with the fields listed in the composite type definition. The permitted element values are determined by the type specification and multiplicity of the corresponding field definitions. When the trailing elements of the list representation are null, they MAY be omitted. The descriptor of the list indicates the specific composite type being represented.

Figure 1.18: Example Composite Type

The *mandatory* attribute of a field description controls whether a null element value is permitted in the representation.

The *multiple* attribute of a field description controls whether multiple element values are permitted in the representation. A single element of the type specified in the field description is always permitted. Multiple values are represented by the use of an array where the type of the elements in the array is the type defined in the field definition. Note that a null value and a zero-length array (with a correct type for its elements) both describe an absence of a value and MUST be treated as semantically identical.

A field which is defined as both multiple and mandatory MUST contain at least one value (i.e. for such a field both *null* and an array with no entries are invalid).

The described list shown below is an example composite value of the *book* type defined above. A trailing null element corresponding to the absence of an ISBN value is depicted in the example, but can optionally be omitted according to the encoding rules.

PART 1. TYPES 1.5 Descriptor Values




Figure 1.19: Example Composite Value

1.5 Descriptor Values

Descriptor values other than symbolic (symbol) or numeric (ulong) are, while not syntactically invalid, reserved this includes numeric types other than ulong. To allow for users of the type system to define their own descriptors without collision of descriptor values, an assignment policy for symbolic and numeric descriptors is given below.

The namespace for both symbolic and numeric descriptors is divided into distinct domains. Each domain has a defined symbol and/or 4 byte numeric id assigned by the AMQP working group. For numeric ids the assigned domain-id will be equal to the IANA Private Enterprise Number (PEN) of the requesting organisation [IANAPEN] with domain-id 0 reserved for descriptors defined in the AMQP specification.

Descriptors are then assigned within each domain according to the following rules:

symbolic descriptors

<domain>:<name>

numeric descriptors

(domain-id << 32) | descriptor-id

1.6 Primitive Type Definitions

1.6.1 null

Indicates an empty value.

```
<type name="null" class="primitive">
        <encoding code="0x40" category="fixed" width="0" label="the null value"/>
</type>
```

1.6.2 boolean

Represents a true or false value.

1.6.3 ubyte

Integer in the range 0 to 2^8 - 1 inclusive.

```
<type name="ubyte" class="primitive">
        <encoding code="0x50" category="fixed" width="1" label="8-bit unsigned integer"/>
</type>
```

1.6.4 ushort

Integer in the range 0 to 2^{16} - 1 inclusive.

1.6.5 uint

Integer in the range 0 to 2^{32} - 1 inclusive.

1.6.6 ulong

Integer in the range 0 to 2^{64} - 1 inclusive.

1.6.7 byte

Integer in the range $-(2^7)$ to 2^7 - 1 inclusive.

```
<type name="byte" class="primitive">
    <encoding code="0x51" category="fixed" width="1"</pre>
              label="8-bit two's-complement integer"/>
</type>
1.6.8
       short
Integer in the range -(2^{15}) to 2^{15} - 1 inclusive.
<type name="short" class="primitive">
    <encoding code="0x61" category="fixed" width="2"</pre>
              label="16-bit two's-complement integer in network byte order"/>
</type>
1.6.9
        int
Integer in the range -(2^{31}) to 2^{31} - 1 inclusive.
<type name="int" class="primitive">
    <encoding code="0x71" category="fixed" width="4"</pre>
              label="32-bit two's-complement integer in network byte order"/>
    <encoding name="smallint" code="0x54" category="fixed" width="1"</pre>
              label="8-bit two's-complement integer"/>
</type>
1.6.10
         long
Integer in the range -(2^{63}) to 2^{63} - 1 inclusive.
<type name="long" class="primitive">
    <encoding code="0x81" category="fixed" width="8"</pre>
              label="64-bit two's-complement integer in network byte order"/>
    <encoding name="smalllong" code="0x55" category="fixed" width="1"</pre>
              label="8-bit two's-complement integer"/>
</type>
1.6.11
         float
32-bit floating point number (IEEE 754-2008 binary32).
<type name="float" class="primitive">
    <encoding name="ieee-754" code="0x72" category="fixed" width="4" label="IEEE 754-2008 binary32"/>
</type>
A 32-bit floating point number (IEEE 754-2008 binary32 [IEEE754]).
1.6.12 double
64-bit floating point number (IEEE 754-2008 binary64).
<type name="double" class="primitive">
    <encoding name="ieee-754" code="0x82" category="fixed" width="8" label="IEEE 754-2008 binary64"/>
</type>
```

A 64-bit floating point number (IEEE 754-2008 binary64 [IEEE754]).

1.6.13 decimal32

32-bit decimal number (IEEE 754-2008 decimal32).

A 32-bit decimal number (IEEE 754-2008 decimal32 [IEEE754]).

1.6.14 decimal64

64-bit decimal number (IEEE 754-2008 decimal64).

A 64-bit decimal number (IEEE 754-2008 decimal64 [IEEE754]).

1.6.15 decimal 128

128-bit decimal number (IEEE 754-2008 decimal128).

A 128-bit decimal number (IEEE 754-2008 decimal128 [IEEE754]).

1.6.16 char

A single Unicode character.

A UTF-32BE encoded Unicode character [UNICODE6].

1.6.17 timestamp

An absolute point in time.

Represents an approximate point in time using the Unix time_t [IEEE1003] encoding of UTC, but with a precision of milliseconds. For example, 1311704463521 represents the moment 2011-07-26T18:21:03.521Z.

1.6.18 unid

A universally unique identifier as defined by RFC-4122 section 4.1.2 .

UUID is defined in section 4.1.2 of RFC-4122 [RFC4122].

1.6.19 binary

A sequence of octets.

1.6.20 string

A sequence of Unicode characters.

A string represents a sequence of Unicode characters as defined by the Unicode V6.0.0 standard [UNICODE6].

1.6.21 symbol

Symbolic values from a constrained domain.

Symbols are values from a constrained domain. Although the set of possible domains is open-ended, typically the both number and size of symbols in use for any given application will be small, e.g. small enough that it is reasonable to cache all the distinct values. Symbols are encoded as ASCII characters [ASCII].

1.6.22 list

A sequence of polymorphic values.

1.6.23 map

A polymorphic mapping from distinct keys to values.

A map is encoded as a compound value where the constituent elements form alternating key value pairs.

		item n-1	
key 1	val 1	key n/2	val n/2

Figure 1.20: Layout of Map Encoding

Map encodings MUST contain an even number of items (i.e. an equal number of keys and values). A map in which there exist two identical key values is invalid. Unless known to be otherwise, maps MUST be considered to be ordered, that is, the order of the key-value pairs is semantically important and two maps which are different only in the order in which their key-value pairs are encoded are not equal.

1.6.24 array

A sequence of values of a single type.

Part 2: Transport


2.1 Transport

2.1.1 Conceptual Model

An AMQP network consists of *nodes* connected via *links*. Nodes are named entities responsible for the safe storage and/or delivery of *messages*. Messages can originate from, terminate at, or be relayed by nodes.

A link is a unidirectional route between two nodes. A link attaches to a node at a *terminus*. There are two kinds of terminus: *sources* and *targets*. A terminus is responsible for tracking the state of a particular stream of incoming or outgoing messages. Sources track outgoing messages and targets track incoming messages. Messages only travel along a link if they meet the entry criteria at the source.

As a message travels through an AMQP network, the responsibility for safe storage and delivery of the message is transferred between the nodes it encounters. The *link protocol* (defined in section 2.6) manages the transfer of responsibility between the source and target.



Key: <MSG_n> = old location of MSG_n

Figure 2.1: Message Transfer between Nodes

Nodes exist within a *container*. Examples of containers are *brokers* and *client* applications. Each container MAY hold many nodes. Examples of AMQP nodes are *producers*, *consumers*, and *queues*. Producers and consumers are the elements within an application that generate and process messages. Queues are entities that store and forward messages.




Figure 2.2: Class Diagram of Concrete Containers and Nodes

PART 2. TRANSPORT 2.1 Transport

2.1.2 Communication Endpoints

The AMQP transport specification defines a peer-to-peer protocol for transferring messages between nodes in an AMQP network. This portion of the specification is not concerned with the internal workings of any sort of node, and only deals with the mechanics of unambiguously transferring a message from one node to another.

In order for communication to occur between nodes in different containers a connection needs be established.




Figure 2.3: An AMQP Connection

An AMQP connection consists of a full-duplex, reliably ordered sequence of *frames*. A frame is the unit of work carried on the wire. Connections have a negotiated maximum frame size allowing byte streams to be easily defragmented into complete frame bodies representing the independently parsable units formally defined in section 2.7. The precise requirement for a connection is that if the nth frame arrives, all frames prior to n MUST also have arrived. It is assumed connections are transient and can fail for a variety of reasons resulting in the loss of an unknown number of frames, but they are still subject to the aforementioned ordered reliability criteria. This is similar to the guarantee that TCP or SCTP provides for byte streams, and the specification defines a framing system used to parse a byte stream into a sequence of frames for use in establishing an AMQP connection (see section 2.3).

An AMQP connection is divided into a negotiated number of independent unidirectional *channels*. Each frame is marked with the channel number indicating its parent channel, and the frame sequence for each channel is multiplexed into a single frame sequence for the connection.

An AMQP session correlates two unidirectional channels to form a bidirectional, sequential conversation between two containers. Sessions provide a flow control scheme based on the number of transfer frames transmitted. Since frames have a maximum size for a given connection, this provides flow control based on the number of bytes transmitted and can be used to optimize performance.



Figure 2.4: An AMQP Session

A single connection MAY have multiple independent sessions active simultaneously, up to the negotiated channel limit. Both connections and sessions are modeled by each peer as *endpoints* that store local and last known remote state regarding the connection or session in question.

PART 2. TRANSPORT 2.1 Transport




Figure 2.5: Session & Connection Endpoints

In order to transfer messages between nodes (e.g., to move messages from a queue to a consumer) a *link* needs to be established between the nodes. A link is a unidirectional route between two nodes. A link attaches to a node at a terminus. There are two kinds of terminus: sources and targets. A terminus is responsible for tracking the state of a particular stream of incoming or outgoing messages. Sources track outgoing messages and targets track incoming messages. Messages only travel along a link if they meet the entry criteria at the source.

Links provide a credit-based flow control scheme based on the number of messages transmitted, allowing applications to control which nodes to receive messages from at a given point (e.g., to explicitly fetch a message from a given queue).




Figure 2.6: An AMQP Link

Sessions provide the context for communication between sources and targets. A *link endpoint* associates a terminus with a *session endpoint*. Within a session, the link protocol (defined in section 2.6) is used to establish links between sources and targets and to transfer messages across them. A single session can be simultaneously associated with any number of links.

Links are named, and the state at the termini can live longer than the connection on which they were established.



Figure 2.7: Connection Loss

The retained state at the termini can be used to reestablish the link on a new connection (and session) with precise control over delivery guarantees (e.g., ensuring "exactly once" delivery).

PART 2. TRANSPORT 2.1 Transport



Figure 2.8: Link Recovery

The diagram below shows the relationship between the three AMQP communication endpoints, Connection, Session and Link.




Figure 2.9: Class Diagram of Communication Endpoints

2.1.3 Protocol Frames

The protocol consists of nine frame body types that are formally defined in section 2.7. The following table lists the frame bodies and defines which endpoints handle them.

open H begin I H attach I H	Frame	Connection	Session	Link
The state of the	begin attach flow transfer disposition detach end	I I	H I I I I H	H H

Key:

- H: handled by the endpoint
- I: intercepted (endpoint examines the frame, but delegates further processing to another endpoint)

Figure 2.10: Frame Dispatch Table

2.2 Version Negotiation

Prior to sending any frames on a connection, each peer MUST start by sending a protocol header that indicates the protocol version used on the connection. The protocol header consists of the upper case ASCII letters "AMQP" followed by a protocol id of zero, followed by three unsigned bytes representing the major, minor, and revision of the protocol version (currently 1 (MAJOR), 0 (MINOR), 0 (REVISION)). In total this is an 8-octet sequence:

4 OCTETS	1 OCTET	1 00121	 1 OCTET
"AMQP"	/d0		 revision

Figure 2.11: Protocol Header Layout

Any data appearing beyond the protocol header MUST match the version indicated by the protocol header. If the incoming and outgoing protocol headers do not match, both peers MUST close their outgoing stream and SHOULD read the incoming stream until it is terminated.

The AMQP peer which acted in the role of the TCP client (i.e. the peer that actively opened the connection) MUST immediately send its outgoing protocol header on establishment of the TCP connection. The AMQP peer which acted in the role of the TCP server MAY elect to wait until receiving the incoming protocol header before sending its own outgoing protocol header. This permits a multi protocol server implementation to choose the correct protocol version to fit each client.

Two AMQP peers agree on a protocol version as follows (where the words "client" and "server" refer to the roles being played by the peers at the TCP connection level):

- When the client opens a new socket connection to a server, it MUST send a protocol header with the client's preferred protocol version.
- If the requested protocol version is supported, the server MUST send its own protocol header with the requested version to the socket, and then proceed according to the protocol definition.
- If the requested protocol version is not supported, the server MUST send a protocol header with a supported protocol version and then close the socket.
- When choosing a protocol version to respond with, the server SHOULD choose the highest supported version that is less than or equal to the requested version. If no such version exists, the server SHOULD respond with the highest supported version.

PART 2. TRANSPORT 2.3 Framing

• If the server cannot parse the protocol header, the server MUST send a valid protocol header with a supported protocol version and then close the socket.

 Note that if the server only supports a single protocol version, it is consistent with the above rules for the server to send its protocol header prior to receiving anything from the client and to subsequently close the socket if the client's protocol header does not match the server's.

Based on this behavior a client can discover which protocol versions a server supports by attempting to connect with its highest supported version and reconnecting with a version less than or equal to the version received back from the server.

TCP C	lient 		TCP Server	
AMQP%	d0.1.0.0	> <	AMQP%d0.1.0.0 *proceed*	(1)
AMQP%d0.1.1.0		> <	AMQP%d0.1.0.0 *TCP CLOSE*	(2)
HTTP		> <	AMQP%d0.1.0.0 *TCP CLOSE*	(3)
(1)	1) Server accepts connection for: AMQP, protocol=0, major=1, minor=0, revision=0			
(2)	Server rejects connection for: AMQP, protocol=0, major=1, minor=1, revision=0, Server responds that it supports: AMQP, protocol=0, major=1, minor=0, revision=0			
(3)	Server rejects connection for: HTTP. Server responds it supports: AMQP, protocol=0, major=1, minor=0, revision=0			

Figure 2.12: Version Negotiation Examples

Please note that the above examples use the literal notation defined in RFC 2234 [RFC2234] for non alphanumeric values.

The protocol id is not a part of the protocol version and thus the rule above regarding the highest supported version does not apply. A client might request use of a protocol id that is unacceptable to a server - for example, it might request a raw AMQP connection when the server is configured to require a TLS or SASL security layer (See Part 5: section 5.1). In this case, the server MUST send a protocol header with an **acceptable** protocol id (and version) and then close the socket. It MAY choose any protocol id.

Figure 2.13: Protocol ID Rejection Example

2.3 Framing

Frames are divided into three distinct areas: a fixed width frame header, a variable width extended header, and a variable width frame body.

PART 2. TRANSPORT 2.3 Framing

REQUIRED	OPTIONAL	OPTIONAL	
frame header	extended header	frame body	
8 bytes	*variable*	*variable*	

Figure 2.14: Frame Layout

frame header The frame header is a fixed size (8 byte) structure that precedes each frame. The frame

header includes mandatory information necessary to parse the rest of the frame including

size and type information.

extended header The extended header is a variable width area preceding the frame body. This is an extension

point defined for future expansion. The treatment of this area depends on the frame type.

frame body The frame body is a variable width sequence of bytes the format of which depends on the

frame type.

2.3.1 Frame Layout

The diagram below shows the details of the general frame layout for all frame types.




Figure 2.15: General Frame Layout

SIZE Bytes 0-3 of the frame header contain the frame size. This is an unsigned 32-bit integer that

MUST contain the total frame size of the frame header, extended header, and frame body.

The frame is malformed if the size is less than the size of the frame header (8 bytes).

Byte 4 of the frame header is the data offset. This gives the position of the body within the frame. The value of the data offset is an unsigned, 8-bit integer specifying a count of 4-byte

words. Due to the mandatory 8-byte frame header, the frame is malformed if the value is loss than 2

less than 2.

TYPEByte 5 of the frame header is a type code. The type code indicates the format and purpose of the frame. The subsequent bytes in the frame header MAY be interpreted differently

depending on the type of the frame. A type code of 0x00 indicates that the frame is an AMQP frame. A type code of 0x01 indicates that the frame is a SASL frame, see Part 5:

section 5.3.

2.3.2 AMQP Frames

Bytes 6 and 7 of an AMQP frame contain the channel number (see section 2.1). The frame body is defined as a *performative* followed by an opaque *payload*. The performative MUST be one of those defined in section 2.7 and is encoded as a described type in the AMQP type system. The remaining bytes in the frame body form the payload for that frame. The presence and format of the payload is defined by the semantics of the given performative.




Figure 2.16: AMQP Frame Layout

An AMQP frame with no body MAY be used to generate artificial traffic as needed to satisfy any negotiated idle timeout interval (see subsection 2.4.5).

2.4 Connections

AMQP connections are divided into a number of unidirectional channels. A connection endpoint contains two kinds of channel endpoints: incoming and outgoing. A connection endpoint maps incoming frames other than open and close to an incoming channel endpoint based on the incoming channel number, as well as relaying frames produced by outgoing channel endpoints, marking them with the associated outgoing channel number before sending them.

This requires connection endpoints to contain two mappings. One from incoming channel number to incoming channel endpoint, and one from outgoing channel endpoint, to outgoing channel number.

Figure 2.17: Unidirectional Channel Multiplexing

Channels are unidirectional, and thus at each connection endpoint the incoming and outgoing channels are completely distinct. Channel numbers are scoped relative to direction, thus there is no causal relation between incoming and outgoing channels that happen to be identified by the same number. This means that if a bidirectional endpoint is constructed from an incoming channel endpoint and an outgoing channel endpoint, the channel number used for incoming frames is not necessarily the same as the channel number used for outgoing frames.

Figure 2.18: Bidirectional Channel Multiplexing

Although not strictly directed at the connection endpoint, the begin and end frames are potentially useful for the connection endpoint to intercept as these frames are how sessions mark the beginning and ending of communication on a given channel (see section 2.5).

2.4.1 Opening A Connection

Each AMQP connection begins with an exchange of capabilities and limitations, including the maximum frame size. Prior to any explicit negotiation, the maximum frame size is 512 (MIN-MAX-FRAME-SIZE) and the maximum channel number is 0. After establishing or accepting a TCP connection and sending the protocol header, each peer MUST send an open frame before sending any other frames. The open frame describes the capabilities and limits of that peer. The open frame can only be sent on channel 0. After sending the open frame and reading its partner's open frame a peer MUST operate within mutually acceptable limitations from this point forward.




Figure 2.19: Synchronous Connection Open Sequence

2.4.2 Pipelined Open

For applications that use many short-lived connections, it MAY be desirable to pipeline the connection negotiation process. A peer MAY do this by starting to send subsequent frames before receiving the partner's connection header or open frame. This is permitted so long as the pipelined frames are known *a priori* to conform to the capabilities and limitations of its partner. For example, this can be accomplished by keeping the use of the connection within the capabilities and limits expected of all AMQP implementations as defined by the specification of the open frame.




Figure 2.20: Pipelined Connection Open Sequence

The use of pipelined frames by a peer cannot be distinguished by the peer's partner from non-pipelined use so long as the pipelined frames conform to the partner's capabilities and limitations.

2.4.3 Closing A Connection

Prior to closing a connection, each peer MUST write a close frame with a code indicating the reason for closing. This frame MUST be the last thing ever written onto a connection. After writing this frame the peer SHOULD continue to read from the connection until it receives the partner's close frame (in order to guard against erroneously or maliciously implemented partners, a peer SHOULD implement a timeout to give its partner a reasonable time to receive and process the close before giving up and simply closing the underlying transport mechanism). A close frame MAY be received on any channel up to the maximum channel number negotiated in open. However, implementations SHOULD send it on channel 0, and MUST send it on channel 0 if pipelined in a single batch with the corresponding open.




Figure 2.21: Synchronous Connection Close Sequence

Implementations SHOULD NOT expect to be able to reuse open TCP sockets after close performatives have been exchanged. There is no requirement for an implementation to read from a socket after a close performative has been received.

2.4.4 Simultaneous Close

Normally one peer will initiate the connection close, and the partner will send its close in response. However, because both endpoints MAY simultaneously choose to close the connection for independent reasons, it is possible

for a simultaneous close to occur. In this case, the only potentially observable difference from the perspective of each endpoint is the code indicating the reason for the close.




Figure 2.22: Simultaneous Connection Close Sequence

2.4.5 Idle Timeout Of A Connection

Connections are subject to an idle timeout threshold. The timeout is triggered by a local peer when no frames are received after a threshold value is exceeded. The idle timeout is measured in milliseconds, and starts from the time the last frame is received. If the threshold is exceeded, then a peer SHOULD try to gracefully close the connection using a close frame with an error explaining why. If the remote peer does not respond gracefully within a threshold to this, then the peer MAY close the TCP socket.

Each peer has its own (independent) idle timeout. At connection open each peer communicates the maximum period between activity (frames) on the connection that it desires from its partner. The open frame carries the idle-time-out field for this purpose. To avoid spurious timeouts, the value in idle-time-out SHOULD be half the peer's actual timeout threshold.

If a peer can not, for any reason support a proposed idle timeout, then it SHOULD close the connection using a close frame with an error explaining why. There is no requirement for peers to support arbitrarily short or long idle timeouts.

The use of idle timeouts is in addition to any network protocol level control. Implementations SHOULD make use of TCP keep-alive wherever possible in order to be good citizens.

If a peer needs to satisfy the need to send traffic to prevent idle timeout, and has nothing to send, it MAY send an empty frame, i.e., a frame consisting solely of a frame header, with no frame body. Implementations MUST be prepared to handle empty frames arriving on any valid channel, though implementations SHOULD use channel 0 when sending empty frames, and MUST use channel 0 if a maximum channel number has not yet been negotiated (i.e., before an open frame has been received). Apart from this use, empty frames have no meaning.

Empty frames can only be sent after the open frame is sent. As they are a frame, they MUST NOT be sent after the close frame has been sent.

As an alternative to using an empty frame to prevent an idle timeout, if a connection is in a permissible state, an implementation MAY choose to send a flow frame for a valid session.

If during operation a peer exceeds the remote peer's idle timeout's threshold, e.g., because it is heavily loaded, it SHOULD gracefully close the connection by using a close frame with an error explaining why.

2.4.6 Connection States

START	In this state a connection exists, but no	othing has been s	sent or received.	This is the state an
	implementation would be in immediate	ly after performin	g a socket connec	ct or socket accept.

HDR_RCVD	In this state the connection header has been received from the peer but a connection header
	in the state the semination heads has been reserved from the poor but a semination heads.

has not been sent.

been received.

HDR_EXCH In this state the connection header has been sent to the peer and a connection header has

been received from the peer.

OPEN_PIPE In this state both the connection header and the open frame have been sent but nothing has

been received.

OC_PIPE In this state, the connection header, the open frame, any pipelined connection traffic, and

the close frame have been sent but nothing has been received.

OPEN_RCVD In this state the connection headers have been exchanged. An open frame has been re-

ceived from the peer but an open frame has not been sent.

OPEN_SENT In this state the connection headers have been exchanged. An open frame has been sent

to the peer but no open frame has yet been received.

CLOSE_PIPE In this state the connection headers have been exchanged. An open frame, any pipelined

connection traffic, and the close frame have been sent but no open frame has yet been

received from the peer.

OPENED In this state the connection header and the open frame have been both sent and received.

CLOSE_RCVD In this state a close frame has been received indicating that the peer has initiated an AMQP

close. No further frames are expected to arrive on the connection; however, frames can still be sent. If desired, an implementation MAY do a TCP half-close at this point to shut down

the read side of the connection.

CLOSE_SENT In this state a close frame has been sent to the peer. It is illegal to write anything more

onto the connection, however there could potentially still be incoming frames. If desired, an implementation MAY do a TCP half-close at this point to shutdown the write side of the

connection.

DISCARDING The DISCARDING state is a variant of the CLOSE_SENT state where the close is triggered

by an error. In this case any incoming frames on the connection MUST be silently discarded

until the peer's close frame is received.

END In this state it is illegal for either endpoint to write anything more onto the connection. The

connection can be safely closed and discarded.

2.4.7 Connection State Diagram

The graph below depicts a complete state diagram for each endpoint. The boxes represent states, and the arrows represent state transitions. Each arrow is labeled with the action that triggers that particular transition.




Figure 2.23: Connection State Diagram

State	Legal Sends	Legal Receives	Legal Connection Actions	
START HDR_RCVD HDR_SENT HDR_EXCH OPEN_RCVD OPEN_SENT OPEN_PIPE CLOSE_PIPE OC_PIPE OPENED CLOSE_RCVD CLOSE_RCVD CLOSE_SENT DISCARDING END	HDR OPEN OPEN ** ** - * *	HDR OPEN HDR OPEN * OPEN HDR OPEN HDR * - * -	TCP Close for Write TCP Close for Write TCP Close for Read TCP Close for Write TCP Close for Write TCP Close	
<pre>* = any frames - = no frames ** = any frame known a priori to conform to the peer's capabilities and limitations</pre>				

Figure 2.24: Connection State Table

2.5 Sessions

A session is a bidirectional sequential conversation between two containers that provides a grouping for related links. Sessions serve as the context for link communication. Any number of links of any directionality can be *attached* to a given session. However, a link MUST NOT be attached to more than one session at a time.




Figure 2.25: Instance Diagram of Session/Link attachment

Messages transferred on a link are sequentially identified within the session. A session can be viewed as multiplexing link traffic, much like a connection multiplexes session traffic. However, unlike the sessions on a connection, links on a session are not entirely independent since they share a common delivery sequence scoped to the session. This common sequence allows endpoints to efficiently refer to sets of deliveries regardless of the originating link. This is of particular benefit when a single application is receiving messages along a large number of different links. In this case the session provides *aggregation* of otherwise independent links into a single stream that can be efficiently acknowledged by the receiving application.

2.5.1 Establishing A Session

Sessions are established by creating a session endpoint, assigning it to an unused channel number, and sending a begin announcing the association of the session endpoint with the outgoing channel. Upon receiving the begin the partner will check the remote-channel field and find it empty. This indicates that the begin is referring to remotely initiated session. The partner will therefore allocate an unused outgoing channel for the remotely initiated session and indicate this by sending its own begin setting the remote-channel field to the incoming channel of the remotely initiated session.

To make it easier to monitor AMQP sessions, it is RECOMMENDED that implementations always assign the lowest available unused channel number.

The remote-channel field of a begin frame MUST be empty for a locally initiated session, and MUST be set when announcing the endpoint created as a result of a remotely initiated session.




Figure 2.26: Session Begin Sequence

2.5.2 Ending A Session

Sessions end automatically when the connection is closed or interrupted. Sessions are explicitly ended when either endpoint chooses to end the session. When a session is explicitly ended, an end frame is sent to announce the disassociation of the endpoint from its outgoing channel, and to carry error information when relevant.




Figure 2.27: Session End Sequence

2.5.3 Simultaneous End

Due to the potentially asynchronous nature of sessions, it is possible that both peers simultaneously decide to end a session. If this happens, it will appear to each peer as though their partner's spontaneously initiated end frame is actually an answer to the peers initial end frame.




Figure 2.28: Simultaneous Session End Sequence

2.5.4 Session Errors

When a session is unable to process input, it MUST indicate this by issuing an END with an appropriate error indicating the cause of the problem. It MUST then proceed to discard all incoming frames from the remote endpoint until receiving the remote endpoint's corresponding end frame.




Figure 2.29: Session Error Sequence

2.5.5 Session States

UNMAPPED In the UNMAPPED state, the session endpoint is not mapped to any incoming or outgoing channels on the connection endpoint. In this state an endpoint cannot send or receive

frames.

BEGIN_SENT In the BEGIN_SENT state, the session endpoint is assigned an outgoing channel number,

but there is no entry in the incoming channel map. In this state the endpoint MAY send

frames but cannot receive them.

BEGIN_RCVD In the BEGIN_RCVD state, the session endpoint has an entry in the incoming channel map,

but has not yet been assigned an outgoing channel number. The endpoint MAY receive

frames, but cannot send them.

MAPPED In the MAPPED state, the session endpoint has both an outgoing channel number and an

entry in the incoming channel map. The endpoint MAY both send and receive frames.

END_SENT In the END_SENT state, the session endpoint has an entry in the incoming channel map,

but is no longer assigned an outgoing channel number. The endpoint MAY receive frames,

but cannot send them.

END_RCVD In the END_RCVD state, the session endpoint is assigned an outgoing channel number, but

there is no entry in the incoming channel map. The endpoint MAY send frames, but cannot

receive them.

DISCARDING The DISCARDING state is a variant of the END_SENT state where the end is triggered by

an error. In this case any incoming frames on the session MUST be silently discarded until

the peer's end frame is received.




Figure 2.30: State Transitions

There is no obligation to retain a session endpoint after it transitions to the UNMAPPED state.

2.5.6 Session Flow Control

The session endpoint assigns each outgoing transfer frame an implicit *transfer-id* from a session scoped sequence. Each session endpoint maintains the following state to manage incoming and outgoing transfer frames:

next-incoming-id

The next-incoming-id identifies the expected transfer-id of the next incoming transfer frame.

incoming-window

The *incoming-window* defines the maximum number of incoming transfer frames that the endpoint can currently receive. This identifies a current maximum incoming transfer-id that can be computed by subtracting one from the sum of *incoming-window* and *next-incoming-id*.

next-outgoing-id

The *next-outgoing-id* is the transfer-id to assign to the next transfer frame. The *next-outgoing-id* MAY be initialized to an arbitrary value and is incremented after each successive transfer according to RFC-1982 [RFC1982] serial number arithmetic.

outgoing-window

The *outgoing-window* defines the maximum number of outgoing transfer frames that the endpoint can currently send. This identifies a current maximum outgoing transfer-id that can be computed by subtracting one from the sum of *outgoing-window* and *next-outgoing-id*.

remote-incoming-window

The remote-incoming-window reflects the maximum number of outgoing transfers that can be sent without exceeding the remote endpoint's incoming-window. This value MUST be decremented after every transfer frame is sent, and recomputed when informed of the remote session endpoint state.

remote-outgoing-window

The *remote-outgoing-window* reflects the maximum number of incoming transfers that MAY arrive without exceeding the remote endpoint's outgoing-window. This value MUST be decremented after every incoming transfer frame is received, and recomputed when informed of the remote session endpoint state. When this window shrinks, it is an indication of outstanding transfers. Settling outstanding transfers can cause the window to grow.

Once initialized, this state is updated by various events that occur in the lifespan of a session and its associated links:

sending a transfer Upon sending a transfer, the sending endpoint will increment its next-outgoing-id, decrement its remote-incoming-window, and MAY (depending on policy) decrement its outgoingwindow.

receiving a transfer

Upon receiving a transfer, the receiving endpoint will increment the next-incoming-id to match the implicit transfer-id of the incoming transfer plus one, as well as decrementing the remote-outgoing-window, and MAY (depending on policy) decrement its incoming-window.

receiving a flow

When the endpoint receives a flow frame from its peer, it MUST update the next-incoming-id directly from the next-outgoing-id of the frame, and it MUST update the remote-outgoingwindow directly from the outgoing-window of the frame.

The remote-incoming-window is computed as follows:

 $\mathit{next} ext{-}\mathit{incoming} ext{-}\mathit{incoming} ext{-}\mathit{window}_{flow} ext{-}\mathit{next} ext{-}\mathit{outgoing} ext{-}\mathit{id}_{endvoint}$

If the next-incoming-id field of the flow frame is not set, then remote-incoming-window is computed as follows:

initial-outgoing-id $_{endpoint}$ + incoming-window $_{flow}$ - next-outgoing-id $_{endpoint}$

2.6 Links

A link provides a unidirectional transport for messages between a source and a target. The primary responsibility of a source or target (a terminus) is to maintain a record of the status of each active delivery attempt until such a time as it is safe to forget. These are referred to as unsettled deliveries. When a terminus forgets the state associated with a delivery-tag, it is considered settled. Settling a delivery at a terminus is an idempotent idempotent. i.e., a delivery can transition from unsettled to settled, but never the reverse. Each delivery attempt is assigned a unique delivery-tag at the source. The status of an active delivery attempt is known as the delivery state of the delivery.

Link endpoints interface between a terminus and a session endpoint, and maintain additional state used for active communication between the local and remote endpoints. Therefore there are two types of endpoint: senders and receivers. When the sending application submits a message to the sender for transport, it also supplies the delivery-tag used by the source to track the delivery state. The link endpoint assigns each message a unique delivery-id from a session scoped sequence. These delivery-ids are used to efficiently reference subsets of the outstanding deliveries on a session.

Termini can exist beyond their associated link endpoints, so it is possible for a session to terminate and the termini to remain. A link is said to be suspended if the termini exist, but have no associated link endpoints. The process of associating new link endpoints with existing termini and re-establishing communication is referred to as resuming a link.

The original link endpoint state is not necessary for resumption of a link. Only the unsettled delivery state maintained at the termini is necessary for link resume, and this need not be stored directly. The form of delivery-tags is intentionally left open-ended so that they and their related delivery state can, if desired, be (re)constructed from application state, thereby minimizing or eliminating the need to retain additional protocol-specific state in order to resume a link.

2.6.1 **Naming A Link**

Links are named so that they can be recovered when communication is interrupted. Link names MUST uniquely identify the link amongst all links of the same direction between the two participating containers. Link names are

only used when attaching a link, so they can be arbitrarily long without a significant penalty.

A link's name uniquely identifies the link from the container of the source to the container of the target node, i.e., if the container of the source node is A, and the container of the target node is B, the link can be globally identified by the (ordered) tuple (A,B,<name>). Consequently, a link can only be active in one connection at a time. If an attempt is made to attach the link subsequently when it is not suspended, then the link can be 'stolen', i.e., the second attach succeeds and the first attach MUST then be closed with a link error of stolen. This behavior ensures that in the event of a connection failure occurring and being noticed by one party, that re-establishment has the desired effect.

2.6.2 Link Handles

Each link endpoint is assigned a numeric handle used by the peer as a shorthand to refer to the link in all frames that reference the link (attach, detach, flow, transfer, disposition). This handle is assigned by the initial attach frame and remains in use until the link is detached. The two endpoints are not REQUIRED to use the same handle. This means a peer is free to independently chose its handle when a link endpoint is associated with the session. The locally chosen handle is referred to as the *output handle*. The remotely chosen handle is referred to as the *input handle*.

At an endpoint, a link is considered to be *attached* when the link endpoint exists and has both input and output handles assigned at an active session endpoint. A link is considered to be *detached* when the link endpoint exists, but is not assigned either input or output handles. A link can be considered *half attached* (or *half detached*) when only one of the input or output handles is assigned.




Figure 2.31: Link Handles

2.6.3 Establishing Or Resuming A Link

Links are established and/or resumed by creating a link endpoint associated with a local terminus, assigning it to an unused handle, and sending an attach frame. This frame carries the state of the newly created link endpoint, including the local and remote termini, one being the source and one being the target depending on the directionality of the link endpoint. On receipt of the attach, the remote session endpoint creates a corresponding link endpoint and informs its application of the attaching link. The application attempts to locate the terminus previously associated with the link. This terminus is associated with the link endpoint and can be updated if its properties do not match those sent by the remote link endpoint. If no such terminus exists, the application MAY choose to create one using the properties supplied by the remote link endpoint. The link endpoint is then mapped to an unused handle, and an attach frame is issued carrying the state of the newly created endpoint. Note that if the application chooses not to create a terminus, the session endpoint will still create a link endpoint and issue

an attach indicating that the link endpoint has no associated local terminus. In this case, the session endpoint MUST immediately detach the newly created link endpoint.




Figure 2.32: Establishing a Link

If there is no pre-existing terminus, and the peer does not wish to create a new one, this is indicated by setting the local terminus (source or target as appropriate) to null.




Figure 2.33: Refusing a Link

If either end of the link is already associated with a terminus, the attach frame MUST include its unsettled delivery state.

```
Partner
   _____
   *existing source*
                                   -> *found existing target*
   ATTACH(name=N, handle=1,
         role=sender,
                                 +--- ATTACH(name=N, handle=2,
         source=X,
                                            role=receiver,
         target=Y
                                            source=X,
         unsettled=...)
                                            target=Y,
(2)
                                            unsettled=...)
     (1) The target already exists, and its properties
        match the peer's expectations.
     (2) At this point the link is reestablished with source=X,
        target=Y.
```

Figure 2.34: Resuming a Link

Note that it is possible that the expected terminus properties do not match the actual terminus properties reported by the remote endpoint. In this case, the link is always considered to be between the source as described by the

sender, and the target as described by the receiver. This can happen both when establishing and when resuming a link.

When a link is established, it is possible for an endpoint not to have all the capabilities necessary to create the terminus exactly matching the expectations of the peer. If this happens, the endpoint MAY adjust the properties in order to succeed in creating the terminus. In this case the endpoint MUST report the actual properties of the terminus as created.

When resuming a link, it is possible that the properties of the source and target have changed while the link was suspended. When this happens, the termini properties communicated in the source and target fields of the attach frames could be in conflict. In this case, the sender is considered to hold the authoritative version of the source properties, the receiver is considered to hold the authoritative version of the target properties. As above, the resulting link is constructed to be between the source as described by the sender, and the target as described by the receiver. Once the link is resumed, either peer is free to continue if the updated properties are acceptable, or, if not, detach the link.

Note that a peer MUST take responsibility for verifying that the remote terminus meets its requirements. The remote peer SHOULD NOT attempt to preempt whether the terminus will meet the requirements of its partner. This is equally true both for creating and resuming links.




Figure 2.35: Resuming an altered Link

It is possible to resume a link even if one of the termini has lost nearly all its state. All that is necessary is the link name and direction. This is referred to as *recovering* a link. This is done by creating a new link endpoint with an empty source or target for incoming or outgoing links respectively. The full link state is then constructed from the authoritative source or target supplied by the other endpoint once the link is established. If the remote peer has no record of the link, then no terminus will be located, and local terminus (source or target as appropriate) field in the attach frame will be null.

```
Partner
    *create link endpoint*
                                           *found existing target*
   ATTACH(name=N, handle=1,
           role=sender,
                                         -- ATTACH(name=N, handle=2,
           source=X
                                                   role=receiver,
           target=-)
                                                   source=X
(2)
                                                   target=Y)
      (1) The target already exists, and its properties are
          authoritative
      (2) At this point the link is reestablished with source=X,
          target=Y.
```

Figure 2.36: Recovering a Link

2.6.4 Detaching And Reattaching A Link

A session endpoint can choose to unmap its output handle for a link. In this case, the endpoint MUST send a detach frame to inform the remote peer that the handle is no longer attached to the link endpoint. If both endpoints do this, the link MAY return to a fully detached state. Note that in this case the link endpoints MAY still indirectly communicate via the session, as there could still be active deliveries on the link referenced via delivery-id.




Figure 2.37: Detaching a Link

When the state of a link endpoint changes, this is can be communicated by detaching and then reattaching with the updated state on the attach frame. This can be used to update the properties of the link endpoints, or to update the properties of the termini.




Figure 2.38: Updating Link State

2.6.5 Link Errors

When an error occurs at a link endpoint, the endpoint MUST be detached with appropriate error information supplied in the error field of the detach frame. The link endpoint MUST then be destroyed. If any input (other than a detach) related to the endpoint either via the input handle or delivery-ids be received, the session MUST be

terminated with an errant-link session-error. Since the link endpoint has been destroyed, the peer cannot reattach, and MUST resume the link in order to restore communication. In order to disambiguate the resume request from a pipelined re-attach the resuming attach performative MUST contain a non-null value for its unsettled field. Receipt of a pipelined attach MUST result in the session being terminated with an errant-link session-error.

2.6.6 Closing A Link

A peer closes a link by sending the detach frame with the handle for the specified link, and the closed flag set to true. The partner will destroy the corresponding link endpoint, and reply with its own detach frame with the closed flag set to true.




Figure 2.39: Closing a Link

Note that one peer MAY send a closing detach while its partner is sending a non-closing detach. In this case, the partner MUST signal that it has closed the link by reattaching and then sending a closing detach.

2.6.7 Flow Control

Once attached, a link is subject to flow control of message transfers. Link endpoints maintain the following flow control state. This state defines when it is legal to send transfers on an attached link, as well as indicating when certain interesting conditions occur, such as insufficient messages to consume the currently available *link-credit*, or insufficient *link-credit* to send available messages:

delivery-count

The *delivery-count* is initialized by the sender when a link endpoint is created, and is incremented whenever a message is sent. Only the sender MAY independently modify this field. The receiver's value is calculated based on the last known value from the sender and any subsequent messages received on the link. Note that, despite its name, the delivery-count is not a count but a sequence number initialized at an arbitrary point by the sender.

link-credit

The *link-credit* variable defines the current maximum legal amount that the *delivery-count* can be increased by. This identifies a *delivery-limit* that can be computed by adding the *link-credit* to the *delivery-count*.

Only the receiver can independently choose a value for this field. The sender's value MUST always be maintained in such a way as to match the *delivery-limit* identified by the receiver. This means that the sender's link-credit variable MUST be set according to this formula when flow information is given by the receiver:

 $link-credit_{snd} := delivery-count_{rcv} + link-credit_{rcv} - delivery-count_{snd}$.

In the event that the receiver does not yet know the *delivery-count*, i.e., *delivery-count* $_{\rm rcv}$ is unspecified, the sender MUST assume that the *delivery-count* $_{\rm rcv}$ is the first *delivery-count* $_{\rm snd}$ sent from sender to receiver, i.e., the *delivery-count* $_{\rm snd}$ specified in the flow state carried by the initial attach frame from the sender to the receiver.

Additionally, whenever the sender increases *delivery-count*, it MUST decrease *link-credit* by the same amount in order to maintain the *delivery-limit* identified by the receiver.

available

The available variable is controlled by the sender, and indicates to the receiver, that the sender could make use of the indicated amount of *link-credit*. Only the sender can independently modify this field. The receiver's value is calculated based on the last known value from the sender and any subsequent incoming messages received. The sender MAY transfer messages even if the available variable is zero. If this happens, the receiver MUST maintain a floor of zero in its calculation of the value of available.

drain

The drain flag indicates how the sender SHOULD behave when insufficient messages are available to consume the current link-credit. If set, the sender will (after sending all available messages) advance the delivery-count as much as possible, consuming all link-credit, and send the flow state to the receiver. Only the receiver can independently modify this field. The sender's value is always the last known value indicated by the receiver.

If the link-credit is less than or equal to zero, i.e., the delivery-count is the same as or greater than the delivery-limit, a sender MUST NOT send more messages. If the link-credit is reduced by the receiver when transfers are in-flight, the receiver MAY either handle the excess messages normally or detach the link with a transfer-limit-exceeded error code.




Figure 2.40: Flow Control

If the sender's drain flag is set and there are no available messages, the sender MUST advance its delivery-count until link-credit is zero, and send its updated flow state to the receiver.

The delivery-count is an absolute value. While the value itself is conceptually unbounded, it is encoded as a 32-bit integer that wraps around and compares according to RFC-1982 [RFC1982] serial number arithmetic.

The initial flow state of a link endpoint is determined as follows. The *link-credit* and *available* variables are initialized to zero. The *drain* flag is initialized to false. The sender MAY choose an arbitrary point to initialize the *delivery-count*. This value is communicated in the initial attach frame. The receiver initializes its *delivery-count* upon receiving the sender's attach.




Figure 2.41: Flow State & related Frames

The flow control semantics defined in this section provide the primitives necessary to implement a wide variety of flow control strategies. Additionally, by manipulating the link-credit and drain flag, a receiver can provide a variety of different higher level behaviors often useful to applications, including synchronous blocking fetch, synchronous fetch with a timeout, asynchronous notifications, and stopping/pausing.




Figure 2.42: Flow Control Usage Patterns

2.6.8 Synchronous Get

A synchronous get of a message from a link is accomplished by incrementing the link-credit, sending the updated flow state, and waiting indefinitely for a transfer to arrive.




Figure 2.43: Synchronous Get

Synchronous get with a timeout is accomplished by incrementing the link-credit, sending the updated flow state and waiting for the link-credit to be consumed. When the desired time has elapsed the receiver then sets the drain flag and sends the newly updated flow state again, while continuing to wait for the link-credit to be consumed. Even if no messages are available, this condition will be met promptly because of the drain flag. Once the link-credit is consumed, the receiver can unambiguously determine whether a message has arrived or whether the operation has timed out.




Figure 2.44: Synchronous Get w/ Timeout

2.6.9 Asynchronous Notification

Asynchronous notification can be accomplished as follows. The receiver maintains a target amount of link-credit for that link. As transfer arrive on the link, the sender's link-credit decreases as the delivery-count increases. When the sender's link-credit falls below a threshold, the flow state MAY be sent to increase the sender's link-credit back to the desired target amount.




Figure 2.45: Asynchrnous Notification

2.6.10 Stopping A Link

Stopping the transfers on a given link is accomplished by updating the link-credit to be zero and sending the updated flow state. It is possible that some transfers could be in flight at the time the flow state is sent, so incoming transfers could still arrive on the link. The echo field of the flow frame MAY be used to request the sender's flow state be echoed back. This MAY be used to determine when the link has finally quiesced.




Figure 2.46: Stopping Incoming Messages

2.6.11 Messages

The transport layer assumes as little as possible about messages and allows alternative message representations to be layered above. Message data is carried as the payload in frames containing the transfer performative. Messages can be fragmented across several transfer frames as indicated by the more flag of the transfer performative.

2.6.12 Transferring A Message

When an application initiates a message transfer, it assigns a delivery-tag used to track the state of the delivery while the message is in transit. A delivery is considered *unsettled* at the sender/receiver from the point at which it was sent/received until it has been *settled* by the sending/receiving application. Each delivery MUST be identified by a delivery-tag chosen by the sending application. The delivery-tag MUST be unique amongst all deliveries that could be considered unsettled by either end of the link.

Upon initiating a transfer, the application will supply the sending link endpoint (Sender) with the message data and its associated delivery-tag. The sender will create an entry in its unsettled map, and send a transfer frame that includes the delivery-tag, the delivery's initial state, and its associated message data. For brevity on the wire, the delivery-tag is also associated with a delivery-id assigned by the session. The delivery-id is then used to refer to the delivery-tag in all subsequent interactions on that session.

The following diagrams illustrate the fundamentals involved in transferring a message. For normative semantics please refer to the definitions of the transfer and disposition performatives. For simplicity the delivery-id is omitted in the following diagrams and the delivery-tag is itself used directly. These diagrams also assume that this interaction takes place in the context of a single established link, and as such omit other details that would be present on the wire in practice such as the channel number, link handle, fragmentation flags, etc., focusing only on the essential aspects of message transfer.



Figure 2.47: Initial Transfer

Upon receiving the transfer, the receiving link endpoint (receiver) will create an entry in its own unsettled map and make the transferred message data available to the application to process.




Figure 2.48: Initial Receipt

Once notified of the received message data, the application processes the message, indicating the updated delivery state to the link endpoint as desired. Applications MAY wish to classify delivery states as *terminal* or *non-terminal* depending on whether an endpoint will ever update the state further once it has been reached. In some cases (e.g., large messages or transactions), the receiving application MAY wish to indicate non-terminal delivery states to the sender. This is done via the disposition frame.




Figure 2.49: Indication of Non-Terminal State

Once the receiving application has finished processing the message, it indicates to the link endpoint a *terminal* delivery state that reflects the outcome of the application processing (successful or otherwise) and thus the outcome which the receiver wishes to occur at the sender. This state is communicated back to the sender via the disposition frame.

Figure 2.50: Indication of Presumptive Terminal State

Upon receiving the updated delivery state from the receiver, the sender will, if it has not already spontaneously attained a terminal state (e.g., through the expiry of the TTL at the sender), update its view of the state and communicate this back to the sending application.

Figure 2.51: Receipt of Terminal State

The sending application will then typically perform some action based on this terminal state and then settle the delivery, causing the sender to remove the delivery-tag from its unsettled map. The sender will then send its final delivery state along with an indication that the delivery is settled at the sender. Note that this amounts to the sender announcing that it is forever forgetting everything about the delivery-tag in question, and as such it is only possible to make such an announcement once, since after the sender forgets, it has no way of remembering to make the announcement again. If this frame gets lost due to an interruption in communication, the receiver will find out that the sender has settled the delivery upon link recovery. When the sender re-attaches the receiver will examine the unsettled state of the sender (i.e., what has **not** been forgotten) and from this can derive that the delivery in question has been settled (since its tag will not be in the unsettled state).

Figure 2.52: Indication of Settlement

When the receiver finds out that the sender has settled the delivery, the receiver will update its view of the remote state to indicate this, and then notify the receiving application.

```
| disp(role=sender, ..., delivery-tag=DT, | unsettled: | settled=True, state=T_1, ...) | ... | DT -> (local: S_2, | remote: -) |
```

Figure 2.53: Receipt of Settlement

The application can then perform some final action, e.g., remove the delivery-tag from a set kept for de-duplication, and then notify the receiver that the delivery is settled. The receiver will then remove the delivery-tag from its unsettled map. Note that because the receiver knows that the delivery is already settled at the sender, it makes no effort to notify the other endpoint that it is settling the delivery.



Figure 2.54: Final Settlement

As alluded to above, it is possible for the sending application to transition a delivery to a terminal state at the sender spontaneously (i.e., not as a consequence of a disposition that has been received from the receiver). In this case the sender SHOULD send a disposition to the receiver, but not settle until the receiver confirms, via a disposition in the opposite direction, that it has updated the state at its endpoint.

This set of exchanges illustrates the basic principals of message transfer. While a delivery is unsettled the endpoints exchange the current state of the delivery. Eventually both endpoints reach a terminal state as indicated by the application. This triggers the other application to take some final action and settle the delivery, and once one endpoint settles, this usually triggers the application at the other endpoint to settle.

This basic pattern can be modified in a variety of ways to achieve different guarantees. For example if the sending application settles the delivery *before* sending it, this results in an *at-most-once* guarantee. The sender has indicated up front with his initial transmission that he has forgotten everything about this delivery and will therefore make no further attempts to send it. If this delivery makes it to the receiver, the receiver clearly has no obligation to respond with updates of the receiver's delivery state, as they would be meaningless and ignored by the sender.




Figure 2.55: At-Most-Once

Similarly, if the basic scenario is modified such that the receiving application chooses to settle immediately upon processing the message rather than waiting for the sender to settle first, that yields an *at-least-once* guarantee. If the disposition frame indicated below is lost, then upon link recovery the sender will not see the delivery-tag in the receiver's unsettled map and will therefore assume the delivery was lost and resend it, resulting in duplicate processing of the message at the receiver.




Figure 2.56: At-Least-Once

As one might guess, the scenario presented initially where the sending application settles when the receiver reaches a terminal state, and the receiving application settles when the sender settles, results in an *exactly-once* guarantee. More generally if the receiver settles prior to the sender, it is possible for duplicate messages to occur, except in the case where the sender settles before the initial transmission. Similarly, if the sender settles before the receiver reaches a terminal state, it is possible for messages to be lost.

The sender and receiver policy regarding settling can either be preconfigured for the entire link, thereby allowing for optimized endpoint choices, or can be determined on an ad-hoc basis for each delivery. An application MAY also choose to settle at an endpoint independently of its delivery state, for example the sending application MAY choose to settle a delivery due to the message ttl expiring regardless of whether the receiver has reached a terminal state.

2.6.13 Resuming Deliveries

When a suspended link having unsettled deliveries is resumed, the *unsettled* field from the attach frame will carry the delivery-tags and delivery state of all deliveries considered unsettled by the issuing link endpoint. The set of delivery tags and delivery states contained in the unsettled maps from both endpoints can be divided into three categories:

Deliveries that only the source considers unsettled

Deliveries in this category MAY be resumed at the discretion of the sending application. If the sending application marks the resend attempt as a resumed delivery then it MUST be ignored by the receiver. (This allows the sender to pipeline resumes without risk of duplication at the sender).

Deliveries that only the target considers unsettled

Deliveries in this category MUST be ignored by the sender, and MUST be considered settled by the receiver.

Deliveries that both the source and target consider unsettled

Deliveries in this category MUST be resumed by the sender.

Note that in the case where an endpoint indicates that the unsettled map is incomplete, the absence of an entry in the unsettled map is not an indication of settlement. In this case the two endpoints MUST reduce the levels of unsettled state as much as they can by the sender resuming and/or settling transfers that it observes that the receiver considers unsettled. Upon completion of this reduction of state, the two parties MUST suspend and re-attempt to resume the link. Only when both sides have complete unsettled maps can new unsettled state be created by the sending of non-resuming transfers.

A delivery is resumed much the same way it is initially transferred with the following exceptions:


- The resume flag of the transfer frame MUST be set to true when resuming a delivery.
- The sender MAY omit message data when the delivery state of the receiver indicates retransmission is unnecessary.

Note that unsettled delivery-tags do NOT have any valid delivery-ids associated until they are resumed, as the delivery-ids from their original link endpoints are meaningless to the new link endpoints.

2.6.14 Transferring Large Messages


Each transfer frame can carry an arbitrary amount of message data up to the limit imposed by the maximum frame size. For messages that are too large to fit within the maximum frame size, additional data MAY be transferred in additional transfer frames by setting the more flag on all but the last transfer frame. When a message is split up into multiple transfer frames in this manner, messages being transferred along different links MAY be interleaved. However, messages transferred along a single link MUST NOT be interleaved.

The sender MAY indicate an aborted attempt to deliver a message by setting the abort flag on the last transfer. In this case the receiver MUST discard the message data that was transferred prior to the abort.



Key: S:XFR(M=?,A=?) --> Sent TRANSFER(more=?, aborted=?)

Figure 2.57: Outgoing Fragmentation State Diagram



Key: R:XFR(M=?,A=?) --> Received TRANSFER(more=?, aborted=?)

Figure 2.58: Incoming Fragmentation State Diagram

2.7 Performatives

2.7.1 Open

Negotiate connection parameters.

The first frame sent on a connection in either direction MUST contain an open performative. Note that the connection header which is sent first on the connection is **not** a frame.

The fields indicate the capabilities and limitations of the sending peer.

Field Details

container-id the id of the source container

hostname the name of the target host

The name of the host (either fully qualified or relative) to which the sending peer is connecting. It is not mandatory to provide the hostname. If no hostname is provided the receiving peer SHOULD select a default based on its own configuration. This field can be used by AMQP proxies to determine the correct back-end service to connect the client to.

This field MAY already have been specified by the sasl-init frame, if a SASL layer is used, or, the server name indication extension as described in RFC-4366, if a TLS layer is used, in which case this field SHOULD be null or contain the same value. It is undefined what a different value to that already specified means.

max-frame-size proposed maximum frame size

The largest frame size that the sending peer is able to accept on this connection. If this field is not set it means that the peer does not impose any specific limit. A peer MUST NOT send frames larger than its partner can handle. A peer that receives an oversized frame MUST close the connection with the framing-error error-code.

Both peers MUST accept frames of up to 512 (MIN-MAX-FRAME-SIZE) octets.

channel-max the maximum channel number that can be used on the connection

The channel-max value is the highest channel number that can be used on the connection. This value plus one is the maximum number of sessions that can be simultaneously active on the connection. A peer MUST not use channel numbers outside the range that its partner can handle. A peer that receives a channel number outside the supported range MUST close the connection with the framing-error error-code.

idle-time-out idle time-out

The idle timeout REQUIRED by the sender (see subsection 2.4.5). A value of zero is the same as if it was not set (null). If the receiver is unable or unwilling to support the idle time-out then it SHOULD close the connection with an error explaining why (e.g., because it is too small).

If the value is not set, then the sender does not have an idle time-out. However, senders doing this SHOULD be aware that implementations MAY choose to use an internal default to efficiently manage a peer's resources.

outgoing-locales locales available for outgoing text

A list of the locales that the peer supports for sending informational text. This includes connection, session and link error descriptions. A peer MUST support at least the *en-US* locale (see subsection 2.8.12 IETF Language Tag). Since this value is always supported, it need not be supplied in the outgoing-locales. A null value or an empty list implies that only *en-US* is supported.

incoming-locales desired locales for incoming text in decreasing level of preference

A list of locales that the sending peer permits for incoming informational text. This list is ordered in decreasing level of preference. The receiving partner will choose the first (most preferred) incoming locale from those which it supports. If none of the requested locales are supported, *en-US* will be chosen. Note that *en-US* need not be supplied in this list as it is always the fallback. A peer MAY determine which of the permitted incoming locales is chosen by examining the partner's supported locales as specified in the outgoing-locales field. A null value or an empty list implies that only *en-US* is supported.

offered-capabilities extension capabilities the sender supports

If the receiver of the offered-capabilities requires an extension capability which is not present in the offered-capability list then it MUST close the connection.

A registry of commonly defined connection capabilities and their meanings is maintained [AMQP-CONNCAP].

desired-capabilities extension capabilities the sender can use if the receiver supports them

The desired-capability list defines which extension capabilities the sender MAY use if the receiver offers them (i.e., they are in the offered-capabilities list received by the sender of the desired-capabilities). The sender MUST NOT attempt to use any capabilities it did not declare in the desired-capabilities field. If the receiver of the desired-capabilities offers extension capabilities which are not present in the desired-capabilities list it received, then it can be sure those (undesired) capabilities will not be used on the connection.

```
properties connection properties
```

The properties map contains a set of fields intended to indicate information about the connection and its container.

A registry of commonly defined connection properties and their meanings is maintained [AMQP-CONNPROP].

2.7.2 **Begin**

Begin a session on a channel.

Indicate that a session has begun on the channel.

Field Details

remote-channel the remote channel for this session

If a session is locally initiated, the remote-channel MUST NOT be set. When an endpoint responds to a remotely initiated session, the remote-channel MUST be set to the channel on which the remote session sent the begin.

next-outgoing-id the transfer-id of the first transfer id the sender will send

See subsection 2.5.6.

incoming-window the initial incoming-window of the sender

See subsection 2.5.6.

outgoing-window the initial outgoing-window of the sender

See subsection 2.5.6.

handle-max the maximum handle value that can be used on the session

The handle-max value is the highest handle value that can be used on the session. A peer MUST NOT attempt to attach a link using a handle value outside the range that its partner can handle. A peer that receives a handle outside the supported range MUST close the connection with the framing-error error-code.

offered-capabilities the extension capabilities the sender supports

A registry of commonly defined session capabilities and their meanings is maintained [AMQPSESSCAP].

desired-capabilities the extension capabilities the sender can use if the receiver supports them

The sender MUST NOT attempt to use any capability other than those it has declared in desired-capabilities field.

```
properties
```

session properties

The properties map contains a set of fields intended to indicate information about the session and its container.

A registry of commonly defined session properties and their meanings is maintained [AMQPSESSPROP].

2.7.3 Attach

Attach a link to a session.

```
<type name="attach" class="composite" source="list" provides="frame">
    <descriptor name="amgp:attach:list" code="0x00000000:0x00000012"/>
    <field name="name" type="string" mandatory="true"/>
    <field name="handle" type="handle" mandatory="true"/>
    <field name="role" type="role" mandatory="true"/>
   <field name="snd-settle-mode" type="sender-settle-mode" default="mixed"/>
   <field name="rcv-settle-mode" type="receiver-settle-mode" default="first"/>
   <field name="source" type="*" requires="source"/>
   <field name="target" type="*" requires="target"/>
   <field name="unsettled" type="map"/>
   <field name="incomplete-unsettled" type="boolean" default="false"/>
   <field name="initial-delivery-count" type="sequence-no"/>
   <field name="max-message-size" type="ulong"/>
   <field name="offered-capabilities" type="symbol" multiple="true"/>
   <field name="desired-capabilities" type="symbol" multiple="true"/>
    <field name="properties" type="fields"/>
</type>
```

The attach frame indicates that a link endpoint has been attached to the session.

Field Details

name

the name of the link

This name uniquely identifies the link from the container of the source to the container of the target node, e.g., if the container of the source node is A, and the container of the target node is B, the link MAY be globally identified by the (ordered) tuple (A,B,<name>).

handle

the handle for the link while attached

The numeric handle assigned by the peer as a shorthand to refer to the link in all performatives that reference the link until the it is detached. See subsection 2.6.2.

The handle MUST NOT be used for other open links. An attempt to attach using a handle which is already associated with a link MUST be responded to with an immediate close carrying a handle-in-use session-error.

To make it easier to monitor AMQP link attach frames, it is RECOMMENDED that implementations always assign the lowest available handle to this field.

role

role of the link endpoint

The role being played by the peer, i.e., whether the peer is the sender or the receiver of messages on the link.

snd-settle-mode settlement policy for the sender

The delivery settlement policy for the sender. When set at the receiver this indicates the desired value for the settlement mode at the sender. When set at the sender this indicates the actual settlement mode in use. The sender SHOULD respect the receiver's desired settlement mode if the receiver initiates the attach exchange and the sender supports the desired mode.

rcv-settle-mode the settlement policy of the receiver

The delivery settlement policy for the receiver. When set at the sender this indicates the desired value for the settlement mode at the receiver. When set at the receiver this indicates the actual settlement mode in use. The receiver SHOULD respect the sender's desired settlement mode if the sender initiates the attach exchange and the receiver supports the desired mode.

source the source for messages

If no source is specified on an outgoing link, then there is no source currently attached to the link. A link with no source will never produce outgoing messages.

target the target for messages

If no target is specified on an incoming link, then there is no target currently attached to the link. A link with no target will never permit incoming messages.

unsettled unsettled delivery state

This is used to indicate any unsettled delivery states when a suspended link is resumed. The map is keyed by delivery-tag with values indicating the delivery state. The local and remote delivery states for a given delivery-tag MUST be compared to resolve any in-doubt deliveries. If necessary, deliveries MAY be resent, or resumed based on the outcome of this comparison. See subsection 2.6.13.

If the local unsettled map is too large to be encoded within a frame of the agreed maximum frame size then the session MAY be ended with the frame-size-too-small error. The endpoint SHOULD make use of the ability to send an incomplete unsettled map (see below) to avoid sending an error.

The unsettled map MUST NOT contain null valued keys.

When reattaching (as opposed to resuming), the unsettled map MUST be null.

incomplete-unsettled

If set to true this field indicates that the unsettled map provided is not complete. When the map is incomplete the recipient of the map cannot take the absence of a delivery tag from the map as evidence of settlement. On receipt of an incomplete unsettled map a sending endpoint MUST NOT send any new deliveries (i.e. deliveries where resume is not set to true) to its partner (and a receiving endpoint which sent an incomplete unsettled map MUST detach with an error on receiving a transfer which does not have the resume flag set to true).

Note that if this flag is set to true then the endpoints MUST detach and reattach at least once in order to send new deliveries. This flag can be useful when there are too many entries in the unsettled map to fit within a single frame. An endpoint can attach, resume, settle, and detach until enough unsettled state has been cleared for an attach where this flag is set to false.

initial-delivery-count the sender's initial value for delivery-count

This MUST NOT be null if role is sender, and it is ignored if the role is receiver. See subsection 2.6.7.

max-message-size the maximum message size supported by the link endpoint

This field indicates the maximum message size supported by the link endpoint. Any attempt to deliver a message larger than this results in a message-size-exceeded link-error. If this field is zero or unset, there is no maximum size imposed by the link endpoint.

offered-capabilities the extension capabilities the sender supports

A registry of commonly defined link capabilities and their meanings is maintained [AMQ-PLINKCAP].

desired-capabilities the extension capabilities the sender can use if the receiver supports them

The sender MUST NOT attempt to use any capability other than those it has declared in desired-capabilities field.

properties link properties

The properties map contains a set of fields intended to indicate information about the link and its container.

A registry of commonly defined link properties and their meanings is maintained [AMQ-PLINKPROP].

2.7.4 Flow

Update link state.

Updates the flow state for the specified link.

Field Details

```
next-incoming-id
```

Identifies the expected transfer-id of the next incoming transfer frame. This value MUST be set if the peer has received the begin frame for the session, and MUST NOT be set if it has not. See subsection 2.5.6 for more details.

incoming-window

Defines the maximum number of incoming transfer frames that the endpoint can currently receive. See subsection 2.5.6 for more details.

```
next-outgoing-id
```

The transfer-id that will be assigned to the next outgoing transfer frame. See subsection 2.5.6 for more details.

outgoing-window

Defines the maximum number of outgoing transfer frames that the endpoint could potentially currently send, if it was not constrained by restrictions imposed by its peer's incoming-window. See subsection 2.5.6 for more details.

handle

If set, indicates that the flow frame carries flow state information for the local link endpoint associated with the given handle. If not set, the flow frame is carrying only information pertaining to the session endpoint.

If set to a handle that is not currently associated with an attached link, the recipient MUST respond by ending the session with an unattached-handle session error.

delivery-count the endpoint's value for the delivery-count sequence number

See subsection 2.6.7 for the definition of delivery-count.

When the handle field is not set, this field MUST NOT be set.

When the handle identifies that the flow state is being sent from the sender link endpoint to receiver link endpoint this field MUST be set to the current delivery-count of the link endpoint.

When the flow state is being sent from the receiver endpoint to the sender endpoint this field MUST be set to the last known value of the corresponding sending endpoint. In the event that the receiving link endpoint has not yet seen the initial attach frame from the sender this field MUST NOT be set.

link-credit the current maximum number of messages that can be received

The current maximum number of messages that can be handled at the receiver endpoint of the link. Only the receiver endpoint can independently set this value. The sender endpoint sets this to the last known value seen from the receiver. See subsection 2.6.7 for more details.

When the handle field is not set, this field MUST NOT be set.

available the number of available messages

The number of messages awaiting credit at the link sender endpoint. Only the sender can independently set this value. The receiver sets this to the last known value seen from the sender. See subsection 2.6.7 for more details.

When the handle field is not set, this field MUST NOT be set.

drain indicates drain mode

When flow state is sent from the sender to the receiver, this field contains the actual drain mode of the sender. When flow state is sent from the receiver to the sender, this field contains the desired drain mode of the receiver. See subsection 2.6.7 for more details.

When the handle field is not set, this field MUST NOT be set.

echo request state from partner

If set to true then the receiver SHOULD send its state at the earliest convenient opportunity. If set to true, and the handle field is not set, then the sender only requires session endpoint state to be echoed, however, the receiver MAY fulfil this requirement by sending a flow performative carrying link-specific state (since any such flow also carries session state).

If a sender makes multiple requests for the same state before the receiver can reply, the receiver MAY send only one flow in return.

Note that if a peer responds to echo requests with flows which themselves have the echo field set to true, an infinite loop could result if its partner adopts the same policy (therefore such a policy SHOULD be avoided).

properties link state properties

A registry of commonly defined link state properties and their meanings is maintained [AMQ-PLINKSTATEPROP].

When the handle field is not set, this field MUST NOT be set.

2.7.5 Transfer

Transfer a message.

The transfer frame is used to send messages across a link. Messages MAY be carried by a single transfer up to the maximum negotiated frame size for the connection. Larger messages MAY be split across several transfer frames

Field Details

handle

Specifies the link on which the message is transferred.

```
delivery-id alias for delivery-tag
```

The delivery-id MUST be supplied on the first transfer of a multi-transfer delivery. On continuation transfers the delivery-id MAY be omitted. It is an error if the delivery-id on a continuation transfer differs from the delivery-id on the first transfer of a delivery.

```
delivery-tag
```

Uniquely identifies the delivery attempt for a given message on this link. This field MUST be specified for the first transfer of a multi-transfer message and can only be omitted for continuation transfers. It is an error if the delivery-tag on a continuation transfer differs from the delivery-tag on the first transfer of a delivery.

```
message-format indicates the message format
```

This field MUST be specified for the first transfer of a multi-transfer message and can only be omitted for continuation transfers. It is an error if the message-format on a continuation transfer differs from the message-format on the first transfer of a delivery.

settled

If not set on the first (or only) transfer for a (multi-transfer) delivery, then the settled flag MUST be interpreted as being false. For subsequent transfers in a multi-transfer delivery if the settled flag is left unset then it MUST be interpreted as true if and only if the value of the settled flag on any of the preceding transfers was true; if no preceding transfer was sent with settled being true then the value when unset MUST be taken as false.

If the negotiated value for snd-settle-mode at attachment is settled, then this field MUST be true on at least one transfer frame for a delivery (i.e., the delivery MUST be settled at the sender at the point the delivery has been completely transferred).

If the negotiated value for snd-settle-mode at attachment is unsettled, then this field MUST be false (or unset) on every transfer frame for a delivery (unless the delivery is aborted).

more

indicates that the message has more content

Note that if both the more and aborted fields are set to true, the aborted flag takes precedence. That is, a receiver SHOULD ignore the value of the more field if the transfer is marked as aborted. A sender SHOULD NOT set the more flag to true if it also sets the aborted flag to true.

rcv-settle-mode

If first, this indicates that the receiver MUST settle the delivery once it has arrived without waiting for the sender to settle first.

If second, this indicates that the receiver MUST NOT settle until sending its disposition to the sender and receiving a settled disposition from the sender.

If not set, this value is defaulted to the value negotiated on link attach.

If the negotiated link value is first, then it is illegal to set this field to second.

If the message is being sent settled by the sender, the value of this field is ignored.

The (implicit or explicit) value of this field does not form part of the transfer state, and is not retained if a link is suspended and subsequently resumed.

state

the state of the delivery at the sender

When set this informs the receiver of the state of the delivery at the sender. This is particularly useful when transfers of unsettled deliveries are resumed after resuming a link. Setting the state on the transfer can be thought of as being equivalent to sending a disposition immediately before the transfer performative, i.e., it is the state of the delivery (not the transfer) that existed at the point the frame was sent.

Note that if the transfer performative (or an earlier disposition performative referring to the delivery) indicates that the delivery has attained a terminal state, then no future transfer or disposition sent by the sender can alter that terminal state.

resume

indicates a resumed delivery

If true, the resume flag indicates that the transfer is being used to reassociate an unsettled delivery from a dissociated link endpoint. See subsection 2.6.13 for more details.

The receiver MUST ignore resumed deliveries that are not in its local unsettled map. The sender MUST NOT send resumed transfers for deliveries not in its local unsettled map.

If a resumed delivery spans more than one transfer performative, then the resume flag MUST be set to true on the first transfer of the resumed delivery. For subsequent transfers for the same delivery the resume flag MAY be set to true, or MAY be omitted.

In the case where the exchange of unsettled maps makes clear that all message data has been successfully transferred to the receiver, and that only the final state (and potentially settlement) at the sender needs to be conveyed, then a resumed delivery MAY carry no payload and instead act solely as a vehicle for carrying the terminal state of the delivery at the sender.

aborted

indicates that the message is aborted

Aborted messages SHOULD be discarded by the recipient (any payload within the frame carrying the performative MUST be ignored). An aborted message is implicitly settled.

batchable batchable hint

If true, then the issuer is hinting that there is no need for the peer to urgently communicate updated delivery state. This hint MAY be used to artificially increase the amount of batching an implementation uses when communicating delivery states, and thereby save bandwidth.

If the message being delivered is too large to fit within a single frame, then the setting of batchable to true on any of the transfer performatives for the delivery is equivalent to setting batchable to true for all the transfer performatives for the delivery.

The batchable value does not form part of the transfer state, and is not retained if a link is suspended and subsequently resumed.

2.7.6 Disposition

Inform remote peer of delivery state changes.

The disposition frame is used to inform the remote peer of local changes in the state of deliveries. The disposition frame MAY reference deliveries from many different links associated with a session, although all links MUST have the directionality indicated by the specified *role*.

Note that it is possible for a disposition sent from sender to receiver to refer to a delivery which has not yet completed (i.e., a delivery which is spread over multiple frames and not all frames have yet been sent). The use of such interleaving is discouraged in favor of carrying the modified state on the next transfer performative for the delivery.

The disposition performative MAY refer to deliveries on links that are no longer attached. As long as the links have not been closed or detached with an error then the deliveries are still "live" and the updated state MUST be applied.

Field Details

role directionality of disposition

The role identifies whether the disposition frame contains information about *sending* link endpoints or *receiving* link endpoints.

first lower bound of deliveries

Identifies the lower bound of delivery-ids for the deliveries in this set.

last upper bound of deliveries

Identifies the upper bound of delivery-ids for the deliveries in this set. If not set, this is taken to be the same as *first*.

settled indicates deliveries are settled

If true, indicates that the referenced deliveries are considered settled by the issuing endpoint.

state indicates state of deliveries

PART 2. TRANSPORT 2.7 Performatives

Communicates the state of all the deliveries referenced by this disposition.

```
batchable batchable hint
```

If true, then the issuer is hinting that there is no need for the peer to urgently communicate the impact of the updated delivery states. This hint MAY be used to artificially increase the amount of batching an implementation uses when communicating delivery states, and thereby save bandwidth.

2.7.7 Detach

Detach the link endpoint from the session.

Detach the link endpoint from the session. This unmaps the handle and makes it available for use by other links.

Field Details

```
handle the local handle of the link to be detached
```

closed if true then the sender has closed the link

See subsection 2.6.6.

error error causing the detach

If set, this field indicates that the link is being detached due to an error condition. The value of the field SHOULD contain details on the cause of the error.

2.7.8 End

End the session.

Indicates that the session has ended.

Field Details

```
error error causing the end
```

If set, this field indicates that the session is being ended due to an error condition. The value of the field SHOULD contain details on the cause of the error.

2.7.9 Close

Signal a connection close.

Sending a close signals that the sender will not be sending any more frames (or bytes of any other kind) on the connection. Orderly shutdown requires that this frame MUST be written by the sender. It is illegal to send any more frames (or bytes of any other kind) after sending a close frame.

Field Details

```
error error causing the close
```

If set, this field indicates that the connection is being closed due to an error condition. The value of the field SHOULD contain details on the cause of the error.

2.8 Definitions

2.8.1 Role

Link endpoint role.

Valid Values

false sender true receiver

2.8.2 Sender Settle Mode

Settlement policy for a sender.

Valid Values

- **0** The sender will send all deliveries initially unsettled to the receiver.
- 1 The sender will send all deliveries settled to the receiver.

2 The sender MAY send a mixture of settled and unsettled deliveries to the receiver.

2.8.3 Receiver Settle Mode

Settlement policy for a receiver.

Valid Values

- **0** The receiver will spontaneously settle all incoming transfers.
- The receiver will only settle after sending the disposition to the sender and receiving a disposition indicating settlement of the delivery from the sender.

2.8.4 Handle

The handle of a link.

```
<type name="handle" class="restricted" source="uint"/>
```

An alias established by the attach frame and subsequently used by endpoints as a shorthand to refer to the link in all outgoing frames. The two endpoints MAY potentially use different handles to refer to the same link. Link handles MAY be reused once a link is closed for both send and receive.

2.8.5 Seconds

A duration measured in seconds.

```
<type name="seconds" class="restricted" source="uint"/>
```

2.8.6 Milliseconds

A duration measured in milliseconds.

```
<type name="milliseconds" class="restricted" source="uint"/>
```

2.8.7 Delivery Tag

```
<type name="delivery-tag" class="restricted" source="binary"/>
```

A delivery-tag can be up to 32 octets of binary data.

2.8.8 Delivery Number

```
<type name="delivery-number" class="restricted" source="sequence-no"/>
```

2.8.9 Transfer Number

<type name="transfer-number" class="restricted" source="sequence-no"/>

2.8.10 Sequence No

32-bit RFC-1982 serial number.

```
<type name="sequence-no" class="restricted" source="uint"/>
```

A sequence-no encodes a serial number as defined in RFC-1982 [RFC1982]. The arithmetic and operators for these numbers are defined by RFC-1982.

2.8.11 Message Format

32-bit message format code.

```
<type name="message-format" class="restricted" source="uint"/>
```

The upper three octets of a message format code identify a particular message format. The lowest octet indicates the version of said message format. Any given version of a format is forwards compatible with all higher versions.




Figure 2.59: Layout of Message Format Code

2.8.12 IETF Language Tag

An IETF language tag as defined by BCP 47.

```
<type name="ietf-language-tag" class="restricted" source="symbol"/>
```

IETF language tags are abbreviated language codes as defined in the IETF Best Current Practice BCP-47 [BCP47] (incorporating IETF RFC-5646 [RFC5646]). A list of registered subtags is maintained in the IANA Language Subtag Registry [IANASUBTAG].

All AMQP implementations SHOULD understand at the least the IETF language tag *en-US* (note that this uses a hyphen separator, not an underscore).

2.8.13 Fields

A mapping from field name to value.

```
<type name="fields" class="restricted" source="map"/>
```

The *fields* type is a map where the keys are restricted to be of type symbol (this excludes the possibility of a null key). There is no further restriction implied by the *fields* type on the allowed values for the entries or the set of allowed keys.

2.8.14 Error

Details of an error.

Field Details

condition error condition

A symbolic value indicating the error condition.

description descriptive text about the error condition

This text supplies any supplementary details not indicated by the condition field. This text can be logged as an aid to resolving issues.

info map carrying information about the error condition

2.8.15 AMQP Error

Shared error conditions.

Valid Values

amqp:internal-error

An internal error occurred. Operator intervention might be necessary to resume normal operation.

amgp:not-found

A peer attempted to work with a remote entity that does not exist.

amqp:unauthorized-access

A peer attempted to work with a remote entity to which it has no access due to security settings.

amqp:decode-error

Data could not be decoded.

amqp:resource-limit-exceeded

A peer exceeded its resource allocation.

amqp:not-allowed

The peer tried to use a frame in a manner that is inconsistent with the semantics defined in the specification.

amqp:invalid-field

An invalid field was passed in a frame body, and the operation could not proceed.

amqp:not-implemented

The peer tried to use functionality that is not implemented in its partner.

amqp:resource-locked

The client attempted to work with a server entity to which it has no access because another client is working with it.

amgp:precondition-failed

The client made a request that was not allowed because some precondition failed.

amqp:resource-deleted

A server entity the client is working with has been deleted.

amqp:illegal-state

The peer sent a frame that is not permitted in the current state.

amqp:frame-size-too-small

The peer cannot send a frame because the smallest encoding of the performative with the currently valid values would be too large to fit within a frame of the agreed maximum frame size. When transferring a message the message data can be sent in multiple transfer frames thereby avoiding this error. Similarly when attaching a link with a large unsettled map the endpoint MAY make use of the incomplete-unsettled flag to avoid the need for overly large frames.

2.8.16 Connection Error

Symbols used to indicate connection error conditions.

Valid Values

amqp:connection:forced

An operator intervened to close the connection for some reason. The client could retry at some later date.

amqp:connection:framing-error

A valid frame header cannot be formed from the incoming byte stream.

amqp:connection:redirect

The container is no longer available on the current connection. The peer SHOULD attempt reconnection to the container using the details provided in the info map.

hostname the hostname of the container. This is the value that SHOULD be

supplied in the hostname field of the open frame, and during the

SASL and TLS negotiation (if used).

network-host the DNS hostname or IP address of the machine hosting the con-

tainer.

port the port number on the machine hosting the container.

2.8.17 Session Error

Symbols used to indicate session error conditions.

Valid Values

amqp:session:window-violation

The peer violated incoming window for the session.

amqp:session:errant-link

Input was received for a link that was detached with an error.

amqp:session:handle-in-use

An attach was received using a handle that is already in use for an attached link.

amqp:session:unattached-handle

A frame (other than attach) was received referencing a handle which is not currently in use of an attached link.

2.8.18 Link Error

Symbols used to indicate link error conditions.

Valid Values

amqp:link:detach-forced

An operator intervened to detach for some reason.

amqp:link:transfer-limit-exceeded

The peer sent more message transfers than currently allowed on the link.

amqp:link:message-size-exceeded

The peer sent a larger message than is supported on the link.

amqp:link:redirect

The address provided cannot be resolved to a terminus at the current container. The info map MAY contain the following information to allow the client to locate the attach to the terminus.

hostname the hostname of the container hosting the terminus. This is the value

that SHOULD be supplied in the hostname field of the open frame,

and during SASL and TLS negotiation (if used).

network-host the DNS hostname or IP address of the machine hosting the con-

tainer.

port the port number on the machine hosting the container.

address the address of the terminus at the container.

amqp:link:stolen

The link has been attached elsewhere, causing the existing attachment to be forcibly closed.

2.8.19 Constant Definitions

PORT	5672	the IANA assigned port number for AMQP.
------	------	---

The standard AMQP port number that has been assigned

by IANA for TCP, UDP, and SCTP.

There are currently no UDP or SCTP mappings defined for AMQP. The port number is reserved for future transport

mappings to these protocols.

SECURE-PORT 5671 the IANA assigned port number for secure AMQP (amgps).

The standard AMQP port number that has been assigned

by IANA for secure TCP using TLS.

Implementations listening on this port SHOULD NOT ex-

pect a protocol handshake before TLS is negotiated.

MAJOR 1 major protocol version.

MINOR 0 minor protocol version.

REVISION 0 protocol revision.

MIN-MAX-FRAME-SIZE

512 the lower bound for the agreed maximum frame size (in bytes).

During the initial connection negotiation, the two peers MUST agree upon a maximum frame size. This constant defines the minimum value to which the maximum frame size can be set. By defining this value, the peers can guarantee that they can send frames of up to this size until they have agreed a definitive maximum frame size for that connection.

Part 3: Messaging

3.1 Introduction

The messaging layer builds on top of the concepts described in Part 1 and Part 2. The transport layer defines a number of extension points suitable for use in a variety of different messaging applications. The messaging layer specifies a standardized use of these to provide interoperable messaging capabilities. This standard covers:


- · message format
 - properties for the bare message
 - formats for structured and unstructured sections in the bare message
 - headers and footers for the annotated message
- delivery states for messages traveling between nodes
- · states for messages stored at a distribution node
- sources and targets
 - default disposition of transfers
 - supported outcomes
 - filtering of messages from a node
 - distribution-mode for access to messages stored at a distribution node
 - on-demand node creation

3.2 Message Format

The term message is used with various connotations in the messaging world. The sender might like to think of the message as an immutable payload handed off to the messaging infrastructure for delivery. The receiver often thinks of the message as not only that immutable payload from the sender, but also various annotations supplied by the messaging infrastructure along the way. To avoid confusion we formally define the term *bare message* to mean the message as supplied by the sender and the term *annotated message* to mean the message as seen at the receiver.

An *annotated message* consists of the bare message plus sections for annotation at the head and tail of the bare message. There are two classes of annotations: annotations that travel with the message indefinitely, and annotations that are consumed by the next node.

The *bare message* consists of three sections: standard properties, application-properties, and application-data (the body).



The bare message is immutable within the AMQP network. That is, none of the sections can be changed by any node acting as an AMQP intermediary. If a section of the bare message is omitted, one MUST NOT be inserted by an intermediary. The exact encoding of sections of the bare message MUST NOT be modified. This preserves message hashes, HMACs and signatures based on the binary encoding of the bare message.

The exact structure of a message, together with its encoding, is defined by the message format. This document defines the structure and semantics of message format 0 (MESSAGE-FORMAT). Altogether a message consists of the following sections:

- Zero or one header.
- Zero or one delivery-annotations.
- Zero or one message-annotations.
- Zero or one properties.
- Zero or one application-properties.
- The body consists of one of the following three choices: one or more data sections, one or more amqp-sequence sections, or a single amqp-value section.
- Zero or one footer.

3.2.1 Header

Transport headers for a message.

The header section carries standard delivery details about the transfer of a message through the AMQP network. If the header section is omitted the receiver MUST assume the appropriate default values (or the meaning implied by no value being set) for the fields within the header unless other target or node specific defaults have otherwise been set.

Field Details

durable specify durability requirements

Durable messages MUST NOT be lost even if an intermediary is unexpectedly terminated and restarted. A target which is not capable of fulfilling this guarantee MUST NOT accept messages where the durable header is set to true: if the source allows the rejected outcome then the message SHOULD be rejected with the precondition-failed error, otherwise the link MUST be detached by the receiver with the same error.

priority relative message priority

This field contains the relative message priority. Higher numbers indicate higher priority messages. Messages with higher priorities MAY be delivered before those with lower priorities.

An AMQP intermediary implementing distinct priority levels MUST do so in the following manner:

- If n distinct priorities are implemented and n is less than 10 priorities 0 to (5 ceiling(n/2)) MUST be treated equivalently and MUST be the lowest effective priority. The priorities (4 + floor(n/2)) and above MUST be treated equivalently and MUST be the highest effective priority. The priorities (5 ceiling(n/2)) to (4 + floor(n/2)) inclusive MUST be treated as distinct priorities.
- If n distinct priorities are implemented and n is 10 or greater priorities 0 to (n 1) MUST be distinct, and priorities n and above MUST be equivalent to priority (n 1).

Thus, for example, if 2 distinct priorities are implemented, then levels 0 to 4 are equivalent, and levels 5 to 9 are equivalent and levels 4 and 5 are distinct. If 3 distinct priorities are implements the 0 to 3 are equivalent, 5 to 9 are equivalent and 3, 4 and 5 are distinct.

This scheme ensures that if two priorities are distinct for a server which implements m separate priority levels they are also distinct for a server which implements n different priority levels where n > m.

ttl time to live in ms

Duration in milliseconds for which the message is to be considered "live". If this is set then a message expiration time will be computed based on the time of arrival at an intermediary. Messages that live longer than their expiration time will be discarded (or dead lettered). When a message is transmitted by an intermediary that was received with a ttl, the transmitted message's header SHOULD contain a ttl that is computed as the difference between the current time and the formerly computed message expiration time, i.e., the reduced ttl, so that messages will eventually die if they end up in a delivery loop.

first-acquirer

If this value is true, then this message has not been acquired by any other link (see section 3.3). If this value is false, then this message MAY have previously been acquired by another link or links.

delivery-count the number of prior unsuccessful delivery attempts

The number of unsuccessful previous attempts to deliver this message. If this value is non-zero it can be taken as an indication that the delivery might be a duplicate. On first delivery, the value is zero. It is incremented upon an outcome being settled at the sender, according to rules defined for each outcome.

3.2.2 Delivery Annotations

The delivery-annotations section is used for delivery-specific non-standard properties at the head of the message. Delivery annotations convey information from the sending peer to the receiving peer. If the recipient does not understand the annotation it cannot be acted upon and its effects (such as any implied propagation) cannot be acted upon. Annotations might be specific to one implementation, or common to multiple implementations. The

capabilities negotiated on link attach and on the source and target SHOULD be used to establish which annotations a peer supports. A registry of defined annotations and their meanings is maintained [AMQPDELANN]. The symbolic key "rejected" is reserved for the use of communicating error information regarding rejected messages. Any values associated with the "rejected" key MUST be of type error.

If the delivery-annotations section is omitted, it is equivalent to a delivery-annotations section containing an empty map of annotations.

3.2.3 Message Annotations

The message-annotations section is used for properties of the message which are aimed at the infrastructure and SHOULD be propagated across every delivery step. Message annotations convey information about the message. Intermediaries MUST propagate the annotations unless the annotations are explicitly augmented or modified (e.g., by the use of the modified outcome).

The capabilities negotiated on link attach and on the source and target can be used to establish which annotations a peer understands; however, in a network of AMQP intermediaries it might not be possible to know if every intermediary will understand the annotation. Note that for some annotations it might not be necessary for the intermediary to understand their purpose, i.e., they could be used purely as an attribute which can be filtered on.

A registry of defined annotations and their meanings is maintained [AMQPMESSANN].

If the message-annotations section is omitted, it is equivalent to a message-annotations section containing an empty map of annotations.

3.2.4 Properties

Immutable properties of the message.

```
<type name="properties" class="composite" source="list" provides="section">
    <descriptor name="amqp:properties:list" code="0x00000000:0x00000073"/>
    <field name="message-id" type="*" requires="message-id"/>
    <field name="user-id" type="binary"/>
    <field name="to" type="*" requires="address"/>
    <field name="subject" type="string"/>
    <field name="reply-to" type="*" requires="address"/>
   <field name="correlation-id" type="*" requires="message-id"/>
   <field name="content-type" type="symbol"/>
   <field name="content-encoding" type="symbol"/>
   <field name="absolute-expiry-time" type="timestamp"/>
   <field name="creation-time" type="timestamp"/>
   <field name="group-id" type="string"/>
    <field name="group-sequence" type="sequence-no"/>
    <field name="reply-to-group-id" type="string"/>
</type>
```

The properties section is used for a defined set of standard properties of the message. The properties section is part of the bare message; therefore, if retransmitted by an intermediary, it MUST remain unaltered.

Field Details

message-id application message identifier

Message-id, if set, uniquely identifies a message within the message system. The message producer is usually responsible for setting the message-id in such a way that it is assured to be globally unique. A broker MAY discard a message as a duplicate if the value of the message-id matches that of a previously received message sent to the same node.

user-id creating user id

The identity of the user responsible for producing the message. The client sets this value, and it MAY be authenticated by intermediaries.

to the address of the node the message is destined for

The to field identifies the node that is the intended destination of the message. On any given transfer this might not be the node at the receiving end of the link.

subject the subject of the message

A common field for summary information about the message content and purpose.

reply-to the node to send replies to

The address of the node to send replies to.

correlation-id application correlation identifier

This is a client-specific id that can be used to mark or identify messages between clients.

content-type MIME content type

The RFC-2046 [RFC2046] MIME type for the message's application-data section (body). As per RFC-2046 [RFC2046] this can contain a charset parameter defining the character encoding used: e.g., 'text/plain; charset="utf-8".

For clarity, as per section 7.2.1 of RFC-2616 [RFC2616], where the content type is unknown the content-type SHOULD NOT be set. This allows the recipient the opportunity to determine the actual type. Where the section is known to be truly opaque binary data, the content-type SHOULD be set to application/octet-stream.

When using an application-data section with a section code other than *data*, content-type SHOULD NOT be set.

content-encoding MIME content type

The content-encoding property is used as a modifier to the content-type. When present, its value indicates what additional content encodings have been applied to the application-data, and thus what decoding mechanisms need to be applied in order to obtain the media-type referenced by the content-type header field.

Content-encoding is primarily used to allow a document to be compressed without losing the identity of its underlying content type.

Content-encodings are to be interpreted as per section 3.5 of RFC 2616 [RFC2616]. Valid content-encodings are registered at IANA [IANAHTTPPARAMS].

The content-encoding MUST NOT be set when the application-data section is other than *data*. The binary representation of all other application-data section types is defined completely in terms of the AMQP type system.

Implementations MUST NOT use the *identity* encoding. Instead, implementations SHOULD NOT set this property. Implementations SHOULD NOT use the *compress* encoding, except as to remain compatible with messages originally sent with other protocols, e.g. HTTP or SMTP.

Implementations SHOULD NOT specify multiple content-encoding values except as to be compatible with messages originally sent with other protocols, e.g. HTTP or SMTP.

absolute-expiry-time the time when this message is considered expired

An absolute time when this message is considered to be expired.

```
creation-time the time when this message was created
```

An absolute time when this message was created.

```
group-id the group this message belongs to
```

Identifies the group the message belongs to.

```
group-sequence the sequence-no of this message within its group
```

The relative position of this message within its group.

```
reply-to-group-id the group the reply message belongs to
```

This is a client-specific id that is used so that client can send replies to this message to a specific group.

3.2.5 Application Properties

The application-properties section is a part of the bare message used for structured application data. Intermediaries can use the data within this structure for the purposes of filtering or routing.

The keys of this map are restricted to be of type string (which excludes the possibility of a null key) and the values are restricted to be of simple types only, that is, excluding map, list, and array types.

3.2.6 Data

A data section contains opaque binary data.

3.2.7 AMQP Sequence

A sequence section contains an arbitrary number of structured data elements.

3.2.8 AMQP Value

An ampp-value section contains a single AMQP value.

3.2.9 Footer

Transport footers for a message.

The footer section is used for details about the message or delivery which can only be calculated or evaluated once the whole bare message has been constructed or seen (for example message hashes, HMACs, signatures and encryption details).

A registry of defined footers and their meanings is maintained [AMQPFOOTER].

3.2.10 Annotations

```
<type name="annotations" class="restricted" source="map"/>
```

The annotations type is a map where the keys are restricted to be of type symbol or of type ulong. All ulong keys, and all symbolic keys except those beginning with "x-" are reserved. Keys beginning with "x-opt-" MUST be ignored if not understood. On receiving an annotation key which is not understood, and which does not begin with "x-opt", the receiving AMQP container MUST detach the link with a not-implemented error.

3.2.11 Message ID ULong

```
<type name="message-id-ulong" class="restricted" source="ulong" provides="message-id"/>
```

3.2.12 Message ID UUID

```
<type name="message-id-uuid" class="restricted" source="uuid" provides="message-id"/>
```

3.2.13 Message ID Binary

```
<type name="message-id-binary" class="restricted" source="binary" provides="message-id"/>
```

3.2.14 Message ID String

```
<type name="message-id-string" class="restricted" source="string" provides="message-id"/>
```

3.2.15 Address String

Address of a node.

```
<type name="address-string" class="restricted" source="string" provides="address"/>
```

3.2.16 Constant Definitions

MESSAGE-FORMAT 0 the format + revision for the messages defined by this doc-

This value goes into the message-format field of the transfer frame when transferring messages of the format defined herein.

PART 3. MESSAGING 3.3 Distribution Nodes

3.3 Distribution Nodes

The messaging layer defines a set of states for a *distribution node*, defined as a node that stores messages for distribution. Not all nodes are distribution nodes; however, these definitions permit some standardized interaction with those nodes that do. The transitions between these states are controlled by the transfer of messages to/from a distribution node and the resulting terminal delivery state. Note that the state of a message at one distribution node does not affect the state of the same message at a separate node.

By default a message will begin in the AVAILABLE state. Prior to initiating an *acquiring* transfer, the message will transition to the ACQUIRED state. Once in the ACQUIRED state, a message is ineligible for *acquiring* transfers to any other links.

A message will remain ACQUIRED at the distribution node until the transfer is settled. The delivery state at the receiver determines how the message transitions when the transfer is settled. If the delivery state at the receiver is not yet known, (e.g., the link endpoint is destroyed before recovery occurs) the *default-outcome* of the source is used (see source).

State transitions can also occur spontaneously at the distribution node. For example if a message with a ttl expires, the effect of expiry might be (depending on specific type and configuration of the distribution node) to move spontaneously from the AVAILABLE state into the ARCHIVED state. In this case any transfers of the message are transitioned to a terminal outcome at the distribution node regardless of receiver state.




Figure 3.1: Message State Transitions

3.4 Delivery State

The messaging layer defines a concrete set of delivery states which can be used (via the disposition frame) to indicate the state of the message at the receiver. Delivery states can be either terminal or non-terminal. Once a delivery reaches a terminal delivery state, the state for that delivery will no longer change. A terminal delivery state is referred to as an *outcome*.

The following outcomes are formally defined by the messaging layer to indicate the result of processing at the receiver:

- accepted: indicates successful processing at the receiver.
- rejected: indicates an invalid and unprocessable message.
- released: indicates that the message was not (and will not be) processed.

• modified: indicates that the message was modified, but not processed.

The following non-terminal delivery-state is formally defined by the messaging layer for use during link recovery to allow the sender to resume the transfer of a large message without retransmitting all the message data:

 received: indicates partial message data seen by the receiver as well as the starting point for a resumed transfer.

3.4.1 Received

At the target the received state indicates the furthest point in the payload of the message which the target will not need to have resent if the link is resumed. At the source the received state represents the earliest point in the payload which the sender is able to resume transferring at in the case of link resumption. When resuming a delivery, if this state is set on the first transfer performative it indicates the offset in the payload at which the first resumed delivery is starting. The sender MUST NOT send the received state on transfer or disposition performatives except on the first transfer performative on a resumed delivery.

Field Details

section-number

When sent by the sender this indicates the first section of the message (with section-number 0 being the first section) for which data can be resent. Data from sections prior to the given section cannot be retransmitted for this delivery.

When sent by the receiver this indicates the first section of the message for which all data might not yet have been received.

```
section-offset
```

When sent by the sender this indicates the first byte of the encoded section data of the section given by section-number for which data can be resent (with section-offset 0 being the first byte). Bytes from the same section prior to the given offset section cannot be retransmitted for this delivery.

When sent by the receiver this indicates the first byte of the given section which has not yet been received. Note that if a receiver has received all of section number X (which contains N bytes of data), but none of section number X + 1, then it can indicate this by sending either Received(section-number=X, section-offset=N) or Received(section-number=X+1, section-offset=0). The state Received(section-number=0, section-offset=0) indicates that no message data at all has been transferred.

3.4.2 Accepted

The accepted outcome.

At the source the accepted state means that the message has been retired from the node, and transfer of payload data will not be able to be resumed if the link becomes suspended. A delivery can become accepted at the source

even before all transfer frames have been sent, this does not imply that the remaining transfers for the delivery will not be sent - only the aborted flag on the transfer performative can be used to indicate a premature termination of the transfer.

At the target, the accepted outcome is used to indicate that an incoming message has been successfully processed, and that the receiver of the message is expecting the sender to transition the delivery to the accepted state at the source.

The accepted outcome does not increment the *delivery-count* in the header of the accepted message.

3.4.3 Rejected

The rejected outcome.

At the target, the rejected outcome is used to indicate that an incoming message is invalid and therefore unprocessable. The rejected outcome when applied to a message will cause the *delivery-count* to be incremented in the header of the rejected message.

At the source, the rejected outcome means that the target has informed the source that the message was rejected, and the source has taken the necessary action. The delivery SHOULD NOT ever spontaneously attain the rejected state at the source.

Field Details

error error that caused the message to be rejected

This field contains diagnostic information about the cause of the message rejection.

3.4.4 Released

The released outcome.

At the source the released outcome means that the message is no longer acquired by the receiver, and has been made available for (re-)delivery to the same or other targets receiving from the node. The message is unchanged at the node (i.e., the *delivery-count* of the header of the released message MUST NOT be incremented). As released is a terminal outcome, transfer of payload data will not be able to be resumed if the link becomes suspended. A delivery can become released at the source even before all transfer frames have been sent. This does not imply that the remaining transfers for the delivery will not be sent. The source MAY spontaneously attain the released outcome for a message (for example the source might implement some sort of time-bound acquisition lock, after which the acquisition of a message at a node is revoked to allow for delivery to an alternative consumer).

At the target, the released outcome is used to indicate that a given transfer was not and will not be acted upon.

3.4.5 Modified

The modified outcome.

At the source the modified outcome means that the message is no longer acquired by the receiver, and has been made available for (re-)delivery to the same or other targets receiving from the node. The message has been changed at the node in the ways indicated by the fields of the outcome. As modified is a terminal outcome, transfer of payload data will not be able to be resumed if the link becomes suspended. A delivery can become modified at the source even before all transfer frames have been sent. This does not imply that the remaining transfers for the delivery will not be sent. The source MAY spontaneously attain the modified outcome for a message (for example the source might implement some sort of time-bound acquisition lock, after which the acquisition of a message at a node is revoked to allow for delivery to an alternative consumer with the message modified in some way to denote the previous failed, e.g., with delivery-failed set to true).

At the target, the modified outcome is used to indicate that a given transfer was not and will not be acted upon, and that the message SHOULD be modified in the specified ways at the node.

Field Details

delivery-failed count the transfer as an unsuccessful delivery attempt

If the delivery-failed flag is set, any messages modified MUST have their delivery-count incremented.

undeliverable-here prevent redelivery

If the undeliverable-here is set, then any messages released MUST NOT be redelivered to the modifying link endpoint.

message-annotations message attributes

Map containing attributes to combine with the existing *message-annotations* held in the message's header section. Where the existing message-annotations of the message contain an entry with the same key as an entry in this field, the value in this field associated with that key replaces the one in the existing headers; where the existing message-annotations has no such value, the value in this map is added.

3.4.6 Resuming Deliveries Using Delivery States

Part 2: 2.6.13 Resuming Deliveries provides the general scheme for how two endpoints can re-establish state after link resumption was provided. The concrete delivery states defined above allow for a more comprehensive set of examples of link resumption.

```
Peer
                                             Partner
ATTACH(name=N. handle=1.
                                            ATTACH(name=N. handle=2.
        role=sénder,
                                                     role=réceiver,
        source=X,
                                                     source=X
        target=Y,
                                                     target=Y,
        unsettled=
                                                     unsettled=
         { 1 -> null,
2 -> null,
                                                      \{ 2 \rightarrow Received(3,0), 
                                                         3 -> Accepted,
           \bar{3} \rightarrow \text{null},
                                                              null
             ->
                                                           ->
                                                              Received(2,0)
                null
             -> Received(0,200),
-> Received(1,150),
                                                           -> Received(0,100),
                                                         8 -> Accepted,
             -> Received(0,500),
                                                         9 -> null
             -> Received(3,5)
                                                        11 -> Received(1,2000),
             -> Received(2,0)
                                                              Accepted.
          10
             -> Accepted,
                                                        13 -> Released,
          11 -> Accepted,
                                                        14 -> null }
             -> Accepted,
          12
          13 -> Accepted
          14 -> Accepted }
Key:
Received(x,y) means Received(section-number=x, section-offset=y)
```

In this example, for delivery-tags 1 to 4 inclusive the sender indicates that it can resume sending from the start of the message.

For delivery-tag 1, the receiver has no record of the delivery. To preserve "exactly once" or "at least once" delivery guarantees, the sender MUST resend the message; however, the delivery is not being resumed (since the receiver does not remember the delivery tag) so transfers MUST NOT have the resume flag set to true. If the sender were to mark the transfers as resumes then they would be ignored at the receiver.

For delivery-tag 2, the receiver has retained some of the data making up the message, but not the whole. In order to complete the delivery the sender needs to resume sending from some point before or at the next position which the receiver is expecting.

For delivery-tag 3, the receiver indicates that it has processed the delivery to the point where it desires a terminal outcome (in this case accepted). In this case the sender will either apply that outcome at the source, or in the rare case that it cannot apply that outcome, indicate the terminal outcome that has been applied. To do this the sender MUST send a resuming transfer to associate delivery-tag 3 with a delivery-id. On this transfer the sender SHOULD set the delivery-state at the source. If this is the same outcome as at the receiver then the sender MAY also send the resuming transfer as settled.

```
TRANSFER(delivery-id=2, ------> ** Processes confirmation that ** delivery-tag=3, ** was accepted, and settles. ** settled=true, more=false, state=Accepted, resume=true)
```

For delivery-tag 4, the receiver indicates that it is aware that the delivery had begun, but does not provide any indication that it has retained any data about that delivery except the fact of its existence. To preserve "exactly once" or "at least once" delivery guarantees, the sender MUST resend the whole message. Unlike the case with delivery-tag 1 the resent delivery MUST be sent with the resume flag set to true and the delivery-tag set to 4. (While this use of null in the receivers map is valid, it is discouraged. It is RECOMMENDED that receiver SHOULD NOT retain such an entry in its map, in which case the situation would be as for delivery-tag 1 in this example).

For delivery-tags 5 to 9 inclusive the sender indicates that it can resume at some point beyond start of the message data. This is usually indicative of the fact that the receiver had previously confirmed reception of message data to the given point, removing responsibility from the sender to retain the ability to resend that data after resuming the link. The sender MAY still retain the ability to resend the message as a new delivery (i.e. it MAY not have completely discarded the data from which the original delivery was generated).

For delivery-tag 5, the receiver has no record of the delivery. To preserve "exactly once" or "at least once" delivery guarantees, the sender MUST resend the message; however, the delivery is not being resumed (since the receiver does not remember the delivery tag) so transfers MUST NOT have the resume flag set to true. If the sender does not have enough data to resend the message, then the sender MAY take some action to indicate that it believes there is a possibility that there has been message loss, for example, notify the application.

For delivery-tag 6, the receiver has retained some of the data making up the message, but not the whole. The first position within the message which the receiver has not received is after the first position at which the sender can resume sending. In order to complete the delivery the sender needs to resume sending from some point before or at the next position which the receiver is expecting.

For delivery-tag 7, the receiver has retained some of the data making up the message, but not the whole. The first position within the message which the receiver has not received is before the first position at which the sender can resume sending. It is thus not possible for the sender to resume sending the message to completion. The only option available to the sender is to abort the transfer and to then (if possible) resend as a new delivery or else to report the possible message loss in some way if it cannot.

```
TRANSFER(delivery-id=5, delivery-tag=7, resume=true, aborted=true) ** Discard any state relating ** to the message delivery. ** aborted=true)
```

For delivery-tag 8, the receiver indicates that it has processed the delivery to the point where it desires a terminal outcome (in this case accepted). This is the same case as for delivery-tag 3.

```
TRANSFER(delivery-id=6, delivery-tag=8, settled=true, more=false, state=Accepted, resume=true)

** Processes confirmation that **

** was accepted, and settles. **

** was accepted, and settles. **
```

For delivery-tag 9, the receiver indicates that it is aware that the delivery had begun, but does not provide any indication that it has retained any data about that delivery except the fact of its existence. This is the same case as for delivery-tag 7.

```
TRANSFER(delivery-id=7, delivery-tag=9, resume=true, aborted=true) ** Discard any state relating ** to the message delivery. ** aborted=true)
```

For delivery-tags 10 to 14 inclusive the sender indicates that it has reached a terminal outcome, namely accepted. Once the sender has arrived at a terminal outcome it MUST NOT change. As such, if a sender is capable of resuming a delivery (even if the only possible outcome of the delivery is a pre-defined terminal outcome such as accepted) it MUST NOT use this state as the value of the state in its unsettled map until it is sure that the receiver will not require the resending of the message data.

For delivery-tag 10 the receiver has no record of the delivery. However, in contrast to the cases of delivery-tag 1 and delivery-tag 5, since it is known that the sender can only have arrived at this state through knowing that the receiver has received the whole message (or that the sender had spontaneously reached a terminal outcome with no possibility of resumption) there is no need to resend the message.

For delivery-tag 11 it MUST be assumed that the sender spontaneously attained the terminal outcome (and is unable to resume). In this case the sender can simply abort the delivery as it cannot be resumed.

```
TRANSFER(delivery-id=8, ------> ** Discard any state relating ** delivery-tag=11, resume=true, aborted=true) ** to the message delivery. **
```

For delivery-tag 12 both the sender and receiver have attained the same view of the terminal outcome, but neither has settled. In this case the sender SHOULD simply settle the delivery.

```
TRANSFER(delivery-id=9, ------> ** Locally settle the delivery ** delivery-tag=12, settled=true, resume=true)
```

For delivery-tag 13 the sender and receiver have both attained terminal outcomes, but the outcomes differ. In this case, since the outcome actually takes effect at the sender, it is the sender's view that is definitive. The sender thus MUST restate this as the terminal outcome, and the receiver SHOULD then echo this and settle.

For delivery-tag 14 the case is essentially the same as for delivery-tag 11, as the null state at the receiver is essentially identical to having the state Received with section-number=0 and section-offset=0.

```
TRANSFER(delivery-id=11, ------> ** Discard any state relating ** delivery-tag=14, ** to the message delivery. ** resume=true, aborted=true)
```

3.5 Sources and Targets

The messaging layer defines two concrete types (source and target) to be used as the source and target of a link. These types are supplied in the source and target fields of the attach frame when establishing or resuming link. The source is comprised of an address (which the container of the outgoing link endpoint will resolve to a node within that container) coupled with properties which determine:

- which messages from the sending node will be sent on the link,
- how sending the message affects the state of that message at the sending node,
- the behavior of messages which have been transferred on the link, but have not yet reached a terminal state at the receiver, when the source is destroyed.

3.5.1 Filtering Messages

A source can restrict the messages transferred from a source by specifying a filter. A filter can be thought of as a function which takes a message as input and returns a boolean value: true if the message will be accepted by the source, false otherwise. A *filter* MUST NOT change its return value for a message unless the state or annotations on the message at the node change (e.g., through an updated delivery state).

3.5.2 Distribution Modes

The source optionally defines a distribution-mode that informs and/or indicates how distribution nodes are to behave with respect to the link. The distribution-mode of a source determines how messages from a distribution node are distributed among its associated links. There are two defined distribution-modes: *move* and *copy*. When specified, the distribution-mode has two related effects on the behavior of a distribution node with respect to the link associated with the source.

The *move* distribution-mode causes messages transferred from the distribution node to transition to the AC-QUIRED state prior to transfer over the link, and subsequently to the ARCHIVED state when the transfer is settled with a successful outcome. The *copy* distribution-mode leaves the state of the message unchanged at the distribution node.

A source MUST NOT resend a message which has previously been successfully transferred from the source, i.e., reached an ACCEPTED delivery state at the receiver. For a *move* link with a default configuration this is trivially achieved as such an end result will lead to the message in the ARCHIVED state on the node, and thus ineligible for transfer. For a *copy* link, state MUST be retained at the source to ensure compliance. In practice, for nodes which maintain a strict order on messages at the node, the state might simply be a record of the most recent message transferred.

A registry of commonly defined non-standard distribution-modes and their meanings is maintained [AMQPDIST-MODE].

3.5.3 Source

For containers which do not implement address resolution (and do not admit spontaneous link attachment from their partners) but are instead only used as producers of messages, it is unnecessary to provide spurious detail on the source. For this purpose it is possible to use a "minimal" source in which all the fields are left unset.

Field Details

address

the address of the source

The address of the source MUST NOT be set when sent on a attach frame sent by the receiving link endpoint where the dynamic flag is set to true (that is where the receiver is requesting the sender to create an addressable node).

The address of the source MUST be set when sent on a attach frame sent by the sending link endpoint where the dynamic flag is set to true (that is where the sender has created an addressable node at the request of the receiver and is now communicating the address of that created node). The generated name of the address SHOULD include the link name and the container-id of the remote container to allow for ease of identification.

durable

indicates the durability of the terminus

Indicates what state of the terminus will be retained durably: the state of durable messages, only existence and configuration of the terminus, or no state at all.

expiry-policy

the expiry policy of the source

See subsection 3.5.6 Terminus Expiry Policy.

timeout

duration that an expiring source will be retained

The source starts expiring as indicated by the expiry-policy.

dynamic

request dynamic creation of a remote node

When set to true by the receiving link endpoint, this field constitutes a request for the sending peer to dynamically create a node at the source. In this case the address field MUST NOT be set. When set to true by the sending link endpoint this field indicates creation of a dynamically created node. In this case the address field will contain the address of the created node. The generated address SHOULD include the link name and other available information on the initiator of the request (such as the remote container-id) in some recognizable form for ease of traceability.

dynamic-node-properties properties of the dynamically created node

If the dynamic field is not set to true this field MUST be left unset.

When set by the receiving link endpoint, this field contains the desired properties of the node the receiver wishes to be created. When set by the sending link endpoint this field contains the actual properties of the dynamically created node. See subsection 3.5.9 Node Properties for standard node properties. A registry of other commonly used node-properties and their meanings is maintained [AMQPNODEPROP].

distribution-mode

the distribution mode of the link

This field MUST be set by the sending end of the link if the endpoint supports more than one distribution-mode. This field MAY be set by the receiving end of the link to indicate a preference when a node supports multiple distribution modes.

filter

a set of predicates to filter the messages admitted onto the link

See subsection 3.5.8 Filter Set. The receiving endpoint sets its desired filter, the sending endpoint sets the filter actually in place (including any filters defaulted at the node). The receiving endpoint MUST check that the filter in place meets its needs and take responsibility for detaching if it does not.

default-outcome

default outcome for unsettled transfers

Indicates the outcome to be used for transfers that have not reached a terminal state at the receiver when the transfer is settled, including when the source is destroyed. The value MUST be a valid outcome (e.g., released or rejected).

outcomes

descriptors for the outcomes that can be chosen on this link

The values in this field are the symbolic descriptors of the outcomes that can be chosen on this link. This field MAY be empty, indicating that the *default-outcome* will be assumed for all message transfers (if the default-outcome is not set, and no outcomes are provided, then the accepted outcome MUST be supported by the source).

When present, the values MUST be a symbolic descriptor of a valid outcome, e.g., "amqp:accepted:list".

 ${\tt capabilities}$

the extension capabilities the sender supports/desires

A registry of commonly defined source capabilities and their meanings is maintained [AMQP-SOURCECAP].

3.5.4 Target

For containers which do not implement address resolution (and do not admit spontaneous link attachment from their partners) but are instead only used as consumers of messages, it is unnecessary to provide spurious detail on the source. For this purpose it is possible to use a "minimal" target in which all the fields are left unset.

Field Details

address

The address of the target.

The address of the target MUST NOT be set when sent on a attach frame sent by the sending link endpoint where the dynamic flag is set to true (that is where the sender is requesting the receiver to create an addressable node).

The address of the source MUST be set when sent on a attach frame sent by the receiving link endpoint where the dynamic flag is set to true (that is where the receiver has created an addressable node at the request of the sender and is now communicating the address of that created node). The generated name of the address SHOULD include the link name and the container-id of the remote container to allow for ease of identification.

durable

indicates the durability of the terminus

Indicates what state of the terminus will be retained durably: the state of durable messages, only existence and configuration of the terminus, or no state at all.

expiry-policy

the expiry policy of the target

See subsection 3.5.6 Terminus Expiry Policy.

timeout

duration that an expiring target will be retained

The target starts expiring as indicated by the expiry-policy.

dynamic

request dynamic creation of a remote node

When set to true by the sending link endpoint, this field constitutes a request for the receiving peer to dynamically create a node at the target. In this case the address field MUST NOT be set. When set to true by the receiving link endpoint this field indicates creation of a dynamically created node. In this case the address field will contain the address of the created node. The generated address SHOULD include the link name and other available information on the initiator of the request (such as the remote container-id) in some recognizable form for ease of traceability.

dynamic-node-properties properties of the dynamically created node

If the dynamic field is not set to true this field MUST be left unset.

When set by the sending link endpoint, this field contains the desired properties of the node the sender wishes to be created. When set by the receiving link endpoint this field contains the actual properties of the dynamically created node. See subsection 3.5.9 Node Properties for standard node properties. A registry of other commonly used node-properties and their meanings is maintained [AMQPNODEPROP].

capabilities

the extension capabilities the sender supports/desires

A registry of commonly defined target capabilities and their meanings is maintained [AMQPTAR-GETCAP].

3.5.5 Terminus Durability

Durability policy for a terminus.

Determines which state of the terminus is held durably.

Valid Values

- **0** No terminus state is retained durably.
- 1 Only the existence and configuration of the terminus is retained durably.
- In addition to the existence and configuration of the terminus, the unsettled state for durable messages is retained durably.

3.5.6 Terminus Expiry Policy

Expiry policy for a terminus.

Determines when the expiry timer of a terminus starts counting down from the timeout value. If the link is sub-sequently re-attached before the terminus is expired, then the count down is aborted. If the conditions for the terminus-expiry-policy are subsequently re-met, the expiry timer restarts from its originally configured timeout value.

Valid Values

link-detach The expiry timer starts when terminus is detached.

session-end The expiry timer starts when the most recently associated session is ended.

connection-close The expiry timer starts when most recently associated connection is closed.

never The terminus never expires.

3.5.7 Standard Distribution Mode

Link distribution policy.

Policies for distributing messages when multiple links are connected to the same node.

Valid Values

move	once successfully transferred over the link, the message will no longer be avail-	
	able to other links from the same node	

copy once successfully transferred over the link, the message is still available for other links from the same node

3.5.8 Filter Set

```
<type name="filter-set" class="restricted" source="map"/>
```

A set of named filters. Every key in the map MUST be of type symbol, every value MUST be either null or of a described type which provides the archetype *filter*. A filter acts as a function on a message which returns a boolean result indicating whether the message can pass through that filter or not. A message will pass through a filter-set if and only if it passes through each of the named filters. If the value for a given key is null, this acts as if there were no such key present (i.e., all messages pass through the null filter).

Filter types are a defined extension point. The filter types that a given source supports will be indicated by the capabilities of the source. A registry of commonly defined filter types and their capabilities is maintained [AMQPFILTERS].

3.5.9 Node Properties

Properties of a node.

```
<type name="node-properties" class="restricted" source="fields"/>
```

A symbol-keyed map containing properties of a node used when requesting creation or reporting the creation of a dynamic node.

The following common properties are defined:

lifetime-policy

The lifetime of a dynamically generated node.

Definitionally, the lifetime will never be less than the lifetime of the link which caused its creation, however it is possible to extend the lifetime of dynamically created node using a lifetime policy. The value of this entry MUST be of a type which provides the *lifetime-policy* archetype. The following standard *lifetime-policies* are defined below: delete-on-close, delete-on-no-links, delete-on-no-messages or delete-on-no-links-or-messages.

supported-dist-modes

The distribution modes that the node supports.

The value of this entry MUST be one or more symbols which are valid *distribution-modes*. That is, the value MUST be of the same type as would be valid in a field defined with the following attributes:

type="symbol" multiple="true" requires="distribution-mode"

3.5.10 Delete On Close

Lifetime of dynamic node scoped to lifetime of link which caused creation.

A node dynamically created with this lifetime policy will be deleted at the point that the link which caused its creation ceases to exist.

3.5.11 Delete On No Links

Lifetime of dynamic node scoped to existence of links to the node.

A node dynamically created with this lifetime policy will be deleted at the point that there remain no links for which the node is either the source or target.

3.5.12 Delete On No Messages

Lifetime of dynamic node scoped to existence of messages on the node.

A node dynamically created with this lifetime policy will be deleted at the point that the link which caused its creation no longer exists and there remain no messages at the node.

3.5.13 Delete On No Links Or Messages

Lifetime of node scoped to existence of messages on or links to the node.

A node dynamically created with this lifetime policy will be deleted at the point that the there are no links which have this node as their source or target, and there remain no messages at the node.

Part 4: Transactions

4.1 Transactional Messaging

Transactional messaging allows for the coordinated outcome of otherwise independent transfers. This extends to an arbitrary number of transfers spread across any number of distinct links in either direction.

For every transactional interaction, one container acts as the *transactional resource*, and the other container acts as the *transaction controller*. The *transactional resource* performs *transactional work* as requested by the *transaction controller*.

The transactional controller and transactional resource communicate over a control link which is established by the transactional controller. The declare and discharge messages are sent by the transactional controller over the control link to allocate and complete transactions respectively (they do not represent the demarcation of transactional work). No transactional work is allowed on the control link. Each transactional operation requested is explicitly identified with the desired transaction-id and therefore can occur on any link within the controlling session, or, if permitted by the capabilities of the controller, any link on the controlling connection. If the control link is closed while there exist non-discharged transactions it created, then all such transactions are immediately rolled back, and attempts to perform further transactional work on them will lead to failure.

4.2 Declaring a Transaction

The container acting as the transactional resource defines a special target that functions as a coordinator. The *transaction controller* establishes a control link to this target. Note that links to the coordinator cannot be resumed.

To begin transactional work, the transaction controller needs to obtain a transaction identifier from the resource. It does this by sending a message to the coordinator whose body consists of the declare type in a single amqp-value section. Other standard message sections such as the header section SHOULD be ignored. This message MUST NOT be sent settled as the sender is REQUIRED to receive and interpret the outcome of the declare from the receiver. If the coordinator receives a transfer that has been settled by the sender, it SHOULD detach with an amqp:illegal-state error.

If the declaration is successful, the coordinator responds with a disposition outcome of declared which carries the assigned identifier for the transaction.

If the coordinator was unable to perform the declare as specified by the transaction controller, the transaction coordinator MUST convey the error to the controller as a transaction-error. If the source for the link to the coordinator supports the rejected outcome, then the message MUST be rejected with this outcome carrying the transaction-error. If the source does not support the rejected outcome, the transactional resource MUST detach the link to the coordinator, with the detach performative carrying the transaction-error.

Transaction controllers SHOULD establish a control link that allows the rejected outcome.

```
Transaction Controller
                                       Transactional Resource
ATTACH(name=txn-ctl.
      target=
        Coordinator(
          capabilities=
             "amqp:local-transactions")
                            <---- ATTACH(name=txn-ctl.
                                              target=
                                                Coordinator(
                                                  capabilities=
                                                    ["amqp:local-transactions"
                                                     "amqp:multi-txns-per-ssn"]
                            <----- FLOW(...,handle=1, link-credit=1)
TRANSFER(delivery-id=0, ...) ----->
{ AmqpValue( Declare() ) }
                            <----- DISPOSITION(first=0, last=0
                                                   state=Declared(txn-id=0) )
```

Figure 4.1: Declaring a Transaction

4.3 Discharging a Transaction

The controller will conclude the transactional work by sending a discharge message (encoded in a single amqp-value section) to the coordinator. The controller indicates that it wishes to commit or rollback the transactional work by setting the *fail* flag on the discharge body. As with the declare message, it is an error if the sender sends the transfer pre-settled.

If the coordinator is unable to complete the discharge, the coordinator MUST convey the error to the controller as a transaction-error. If the source for the link to the coordinator supports the rejected outcome, then the message MUST be rejected with this outcome carrying the transaction-error. If the source does not support the rejected outcome, the *transactional resource* MUST detach the link to the coordinator, with the detach performative carrying the transaction-error. Note that the coordinator MUST always be able to complete a discharge where the fail flag is set to true (since coordinator failure leads to rollback, which is what the controller is asking for).

Figure 4.2: Discharging a Transaction

4.4 Transactional Work

Transactional work is described in terms of the message states defined in Part 3: section 3.3. Transactional work is formally defined to be composed of the following operations:

- posting a message at a target, i.e., making it available
- acquiring a message at a source, i.e., transitioning it to acquired
- retiring a message at a source, i.e., applying the terminal outcome

The transactional resource performs these operations when triggered by the transaction controller:

- posting messages is initiated by incoming transfer frames
- acquiring messages is initiated by incoming flow frames
- retiring messages is initiated by incoming disposition frames

In each case, it is the responsibility of the transaction controller to identify the transaction with which the requested work is to be associated. This is done with the transactional delivery state transactional-state that combines a txn-id together with one of the terminal delivery states defined in Part 3: section 3.4. The transactional-state is carried by both the transfer and the disposition frames allowing both the posting and retiring of messages to be associated with a transaction.

The transfer, disposition, and flow frames can travel in either direction, i.e., from the controller to the resource and from the resource to the controller. When these frames travel from the controller to the resource, any embedded txn-ids are requesting that the resource assigns transactional work to the indicated transaction. When traveling in the other direction, from resource to controller, the transfer and disposition frames indicate work performed, and the txn-ids included MUST correctly indicate with which (if any) transaction this work is associated. In the case of the flow frame traveling from resource to controller, the txn-id does not indicate work that has been performed, but indicates with which transaction future transfers from that link will be performed.

4.4.1 Transactional Posting

If the transaction controller wishes to associate an outgoing transfer with a transaction, it MUST set the state of the transfer with a transactional-state carrying the appropriate transaction identifier. Note that if delivery is

split across several transfer frames then all frames MUST be explicitly associated with the same transaction. It is an error for the controller to attempt to discharge a transaction against which a partial delivery has been posted. If this happens, the control link MUST be terminated with the transaction-rollback error.

The effect of transactional posting is that the message does not become available at the destination node within the transactional resource until after the transaction has been successfully discharged.

```
Transaction Controller
                             Transactional Resource
TRANSFER(handle=0,
      delivery-id=0, ...)
{ AmqpValue( Declare() ) }
                     TRANSFER(handle=1,
                      ---->
      delivery-id=1,
      state=
        TransactionalState(
txn-id=0) ) { ... payload ... }
                     txn-id=0,
                                           outcome=Accepted())
                                     )
```

Figure 4.3: Transactional Publish

On receiving a non-settled delivery associated with a live transaction, the transactional resource MUST inform the controller of the presumptive terminal outcome before it can successfully discharge the transaction. That is, the resource MUST send a disposition performative which covers the posted transfer with the state of the delivery being a transactional-state with the correct transaction identified, and a terminal outcome. This informs the controller of the outcome that will be in effect at the point that the transaction is successfully discharged.

4.4.2 Transactional Retirement

The transaction controller might wish to associate the outcome of a delivery with a transaction. The delivery itself need not be associated with the same transaction as the outcome, or indeed with any transaction at all. However, the delivery MUST NOT be associated with a different non-discharged transaction than the outcome. If this happens then the control link MUST be terminated with a transaction-rollback error.

To associate an outcome with a transaction the controller sends a disposition performative which sets the state of the delivery to a transactional-state with the desired transaction identifier and the outcome to be applied upon a successful discharge.

```
Transaction Controller
                                  Transactional Resource
TRANSFER(handle=0.
       delivery-id=0, ...)
{ AmqpValue( Declare() ) }
                         <----- DISPOSITION(first=0, last=0,
                                             state=Declared(txn-id=0) )
FLOW(handle=2,
    link-credit=10)
                         state=null,
                                  { ... payload ... }
                         state=null,
                                  { ... payload ... }
DISPOSITION(first=11,
          last=20,
state=TransactionalState(
                 txn-id=0
                 outcome=Accepted())
         )
```

Figure 4.4: Transactional Receive

On a successful discharge, the resource will apply the given outcome and can immediately settle the transfers. In the event of a controller-initiated rollback (a discharge where the fail flag is set to true) or a resource-initiated rollback (the discharge message being rejected, or the link to the coordinator being detached with an error), the outcome will not be applied, and the deliveries will still be "live" and will remain acquired by the controller, i.e., the resource can expect the controller to request a disposition for the delivery (either transactionally on a new transaction, or non-transactionally).

4.4.3 Transactional Acquisition

In the case of the flow frame, the transactional work is not necessarily directly initiated or entirely determined when the flow frame arrives at the resource, but can in fact occur at some later point and in ways not necessarily anticipated by the controller. To accommodate this, the resource associates an additional piece of state with outgoing link endpoints, a *txn-id* that identifies the transaction with which *acquired* messages will be associated. This state is determined by the controller by specifying a *txn-id* entry in the *properties* map of the flow frame. When a transaction is discharged, the *txn-id* of any link endpoints will be cleared.

If the link endpoint does not support transactional acquisition, the link MUST be terminated with a not-implemented error.

While the *txn-id* is cleared when the transaction is discharged, this does not affect the level of outstanding credit. To prevent the sending link endpoint from acquiring outside of any transaction, the *controller* SHOULD ensure there is no outstanding credit at the sender before it discharges the transaction. The *controller* can do this by either setting the drain mode of the sending link endpoint to *true* before discharging the transaction, or by reducing the *link-credit* to zero, and waiting to hear back that the sender has seen this state change.

If a transaction is discharged at a point where a message has been transactionally acquired, but has not been fully sent (i.e., the delivery of the message will require more than one transfer frame and at least one, but not all, such frames have been sent), then the resource MUST interpret this to mean that the fate of the acquisition is

fully decided by the discharge. If the discharge indicates the failure of the transaction the resource MUST abort or complete sending the remainder of the message before completing the discharge.

```
Transaction Controller
                                     Transactional Resource
     ______
TRANSFER(handle=0,
        delivery-id=0, ...)
{ AmgpValue( Declare() ) }
                           <----- DISPOSITION(first=0, last=0,
                                                state=Declared(txn-id=0) )
FLOW(handle=2,
    link-credit=10,
    drain=true,
    properties={
    txn-id=0
                           <---- TRANSFER(handle=2,</pre>
                                              delivery-id=11,
                                              state=
                                                TransactionalState(txn-id=0),
                                     { ... payload ... }
                           <---- TRANSFER(handle=2,
                                              delivery-id=20,
                                              state=
                                                TransactionalState(txn-id=0),
                                     { ... payload ... }
                           ---->
DISPOSITION(first=11,
           last=20.
           state=TransactionalState(
                  txn-id=0
                  outcome=Accepted())
          )
```

Figure 4.5: Transactional Acquisition

4.4.4 Interaction Of Settlement With Transactions

The transport layer defines a notion of *settlement* which refers to the point at which the association of a delivery-tag to a delivery attempt is forgotten. Transactions do not in themselves change this notion, however the fact that transactional work can be rolled back does have implications for deliveries which the endpoint has marked as settled (and for which it can therefore no longer exchange state information using the previously allocated transport level identifiers).

4.4.4.1 Transactional Posting

Delivery Sent Settled By Controller

The delivered message will not be made available at the node until the transaction has been successfully discharged. If the transaction is rolled back then the delivery is not made available. If the resource is unable to process the delivery it MUST NOT allow the successful discharge of the associated transaction. This can be communicated by immediately destroying the controlling link on which the transaction was declared, or by rejecting any attempt to discharge the transaction where the fail flag is not set to true.

Delivery Sent Unsettled By Controller; Resource Settles

The resource MUST determine the outcome of the delivery before committing the transaction, and this MUST be communicated to the controller before the acceptance of a successful discharge. The outcome

PART 4. TRANSACTIONS 4.5 Coordination

communicated by the resource MUST be associated with the same transaction with which the transfer from controller to resource was associated.

If the transaction is rolled back then the delivery is not made available at the target. If the resource can no longer apply the outcome that it originally indicated would be the result of a successful discharge then it MUST NOT allow the successful discharge of the associated transaction. This can be communicated by immediately destroying the controlling link on which the transaction was declared, or by rejecting any attempt to discharge the transaction where the fail flag is not set to true.

Delivery Sent Unsettled By Controller; Resource Does Not Settle

Behavior prior to discharge is the same as the previous case.

After a successful discharge, the state of unsettled deliveries at the resource MUST reflect the outcome that was applied. If the discharge was unsuccessful then an outcome SHOULD NOT be associated with the unsettled deliveries. The controller SHOULD settle any outstanding unsettled deliveries in a timely fashion after the transaction has discharged.

4.4.4.2 Transactional Retirement

This section considers the cases where the resource has sent messages to the controller in a non-transactional fashion. For the cases where the resource sends the messages transactionally, see subsubsection 4.4.4.3.

Delivery Sent Unsettled By Resource; Controller Settles

Upon a successful discharge the outcome specified by the controller is applied at the source. If the controller requests a rollback or the discharge attempt be unsuccessful, then the outcome is not applied. At this point the controller can no longer influence the state of the delivery as it is settled, and the resource MUST apply the default outcome.

Delivery Sent Unsettled By Resource; Controller Does Not Settle

The resource might or might not settle the delivery before the transaction is discharged. If the resource settles the delivery before the discharge then the behavior after discharge is the same as the case above.

Upon a successful discharge the outcome is applied. Otherwise the state reverts to that which occurred before the controller sent its (transactional) disposition. The controller is free to update the state using subsequent transactional or non-transactional updates.

4.4.4.3 Transactional Acquisition

Delivery Sent Settled By Resource

In the event of a successful discharge the outcome applies at the resource, otherwise the acquisition and outcome are rolled back.

Delivery Sent Unsettled By Resource; Controller Sends Outcome

An outcome sent by the controller before the transaction has discharged MUST be associated with the same transaction. In the even of a successful discharge the outcome is applied at the source, otherwise both the acquisition and outcome are rolled back.

4.5 Coordination

4.5.1 Coordinator

Target for communicating with a transaction coordinator.

PART 4. TRANSACTIONS 4.5 Coordination

The coordinator type defines a special target used for establishing a link with a transaction coordinator.

Field Details

capabilities the capabilities supported at the coordinator

When sent by the transaction controller (the sending endpoint), indicates the desired capabilities of the coordinator. When sent by the resource (the receiving endpoint), defined the actual capabilities of the coordinator. Note that it is the responsibility of the transaction controller to verify that the capabilities of the controller meet its requirements. See txn-capability.

4.5.2 Declare

Message body for declaring a transaction id.

The declare type defines the message body sent to the coordinator to declare a transaction. The txn-id allocated for this transaction is chosen by the transaction controller and identified in the declared resulting outcome.

Field Details

```
global-id global transaction id
```

Specifies that the txn-id allocated by this declare MUST be associated with the indicated global transaction. If not set, the allocated txn-id will be associated with a local transaction. This field MUST NOT be set if the coordinator does not have the distributed-transactions capability. Note that the details of distributed transactions within AMQP 1.0 will be provided in a separate specification.

4.5.3 Discharge

Message body for discharging a transaction.

The discharge type defines the message body sent to the coordinator to indicate that the txn-id is no longer in use. If the transaction is not associated with a global-id, then this also indicates the disposition of the local transaction.

Field Details

txn-id identifies the transaction to be discharged

PART 4. TRANSACTIONS 4.5 Coordination

fail indicates the transaction has failed

If set, this flag indicates that the work associated with this transaction has failed, and the controller wishes the transaction to be rolled back. If the transaction is associated with a global-id this will render the global transaction rollback-only. If the transaction is a local transaction, then this flag controls whether the transaction is committed or aborted when it is discharged. (Note that the specification for distributed transactions within AMQP 1.0 will be provided separately in Part 6 Distributed Transactions).

4.5.4 Transaction ID

```
<type name="transaction-id" class="restricted" source="binary" provides="txn-id"/>
```

A transaction-id can be up to 32 octets of binary data.

4.5.5 Declared

Indicates that a transaction identifier has successfully been allocated in response to a declare message sent to a transaction coordinator.

Field Details

txn-id the allocated transaction id

4.5.6 Transactional State

The state of a transactional message transfer.

The transactional-state type defines a delivery-state that is used to associate a delivery with a transaction as well as to indicate which outcome is to be applied if the transaction commits.

Field Details

txn-id identifies the transaction with which the state is associated

outcome provisional outcome

This field indicates the provisional outcome to be applied if the transaction commits.

4.5.7 Transaction Capability

Symbols indicating (desired/available) capabilities of a transaction coordinator.

Valid Values

amqp:local-transactions

Support local transactions.

amgp:distributed-transactions

Support AMQP Distributed Transactions.

amqp:promotable-transactions

Support AMQP Promotable Transactions.

amqp:multi-txns-per-ssn

Support multiple active transactions on a single session.

amqp:multi-ssns-per-txn

Support transactions whose txn-id is used across sessions on one connection.

4.5.8 Transaction Error

Symbols used to indicate transaction errors.

Valid Values

amqp:transaction:unknown-id

The specified txn-id does not exist.

amqp:transaction:rollback

The transaction was rolled back for an unspecified reason.

amqp:transaction:timeout

The work represented by this transaction took too long.

Part 5: Security

5.1 Security Layers

Security layers are used to establish an authenticated and/or encrypted transport over which regular AMQP traffic can be tunneled. Security layers can be tunneled through one another (for instance a security layer used by the peers to do authentication might be tunneled through a security layer established for encryption purposes).

The framing and protocol definitions for security layers are expected to be defined externally to the AMQP specification as in the case of TLS [RFC5246]. An exception to this is the SASL [RFC4422] security layer which depends on its host protocol to provide framing. Therefore section 5.3 defines the frames necessary for SASL to function. When a security layer terminates (either before or after a secure tunnel is established), the TCP connection MUST be closed by first shutting down the outgoing stream and then reading the incoming stream until it is terminated.

5.2 TLS

To establish a TLS session, each peer MUST start by sending a protocol header before commencing with TLS negotiation. The protocol header consists of the upper case ASCII letters "AMQP" followed by a protocol id of two, followed by three unsigned bytes representing the major, minor, and revision of the specification version (currently 1 (TLS-MAJOR), 0 (TLS-MINOR), 0 (TLS-REVISION)). In total this is an 8-octet sequence:

- 001210			1 OCTET	1 OCTET
"AMQP"	%d2	major	minor	revision

Figure 5.1: Protocol Header for TLS Security Layer

Other than using a protocol id of two, the exchange of TLS protocol headers follows the same rules specified in the version negotiation section of the transport specification (See Part 2: section 2.2).

The following diagram illustrates the interaction involved in creating a TLS security layer:




Figure 5.2: Establishing a TLS Security Layer

When the use of the TLS security layer is negotiated, the following rules apply:

 The TLS client peer and TLS server peer are determined by the TCP client peer and TCP server peer respectively.

The TLS client peer SHOULD use the server name indication extension as described in RFC-4366 [RFC4366].
 If it does so, then it is undefined what happens if this differs from hostname in the sasl-init and open frame frames.

This field can be used by AMQP proxies to determine the correct back-end service to connect the client to, and to determine the domain to validate the client's credentials against if TLS client certificates are being used.

- The TLS client MUST validate the certificate presented by the TLS server.
- Implementations MAY choose to use TLS with unidirectional shutdown, i.e., an application initiating shutdown using close_notify is not obliged to wait for the peer to respond, and MAY close the write half of the TCP socket.

5.2.1 Alternative Establishment

In certain situations, such as connecting through firewalls, it might not be possible to establish a TLS security layer using the above procedure (for example, because a deep packet inspecting firewall sees the first few bytes of the connection 'as not being TLS').

As an alternative, implementations MAY run a pure TLS server, i.e., one that does not expect the initial TLS-invoking handshake. The IANA service name for this is amqps and the port is SECURE-PORT (5671). Implementations MAY also choose to run this pure TLS server on other ports, if this is operationally necessary (e.g., to tunnel through a legacy firewall that only expects TLS traffic on port 443).

5.2.2 Constant Definitions

TLS-MAJOR 1 major protocol version.

TLS-MINOR 0 minor protocol version.

TLS-REVISION 0 protocol revision.

5.3 SASL

To establish a SASL layer, each peer MUST start by sending a protocol header. The protocol header consists of the upper case ASCII letters "AMQP" followed by a protocol id of three, followed by three unsigned bytes representing the major, minor, and revision of the specification version (currently 1 (SASL-MAJOR), 0 (SASL-MINOR), 0 (SASL-REVISION)). In total this is an 8-octet sequence:

- 001-10	 - 001	1 OCTET	1 OCTET
"AMQP"	 r		revision

Figure 5.3: Protocol Header for SASL Security Layer

Other than using a protocol id of three, the exchange of SASL layer headers follows the same rules specified in the version negotiation section of the transport specification (See Part 2: section 2.2).

The following diagram illustrates the interaction involved in creating a SASL security layer:




Figure 5.4: Establishing a SASL Security Layer

5.3.1 SASL Frames

SASL performatives are framed as per Part 2: section 2.3. A SASL frame has a type code of 0x01. Bytes 6 and 7 of the header are ignored. Implementations SHOULD set these to 0x00. The extended header is ignored. Implementations SHOULD therefore set DOFF to 0x02.




Figure 5.5: SASL Frame

The maximum size of a SASL frame is defined by MIN-MAX-FRAME-SIZE. There is no mechanism within the SASL negotiation to negotiate a different size. The frame body of a SASL frame MUST contain exactly one AMQP type, whose type encoding MUST have provides="sasl-frame". Receipt of an empty frame is an irrecoverable error.

5.3.2 SASL Negotiation

The peer acting as the SASL server MUST announce supported authentication mechanisms using the sasl-mechanisms frame. The partner MUST then choose one of the supported mechanisms and initiate a sasl exchange.

Figure 5.6: SASL Exchange

The peer playing the role of the SASL client and the peer playing the role of the SASL server MUST correspond to the TCP client and server respectively.

5.3.3 Security Frame Bodies

5.3.3.1 SASL Mechanisms

Advertise available sasl mechanisms.

Advertises the available SASL mechanisms that can be used for authentication.

Field Details

```
sasl-server-mechanisms supported sasl mechanisms
```

A list of the sasl security mechanisms supported by the sending peer. It is invalid for this list to be null or empty. If the sending peer does not require its partner to authenticate with it, then it SHOULD send a list of one element with its value as the SASL mechanism *ANONYMOUS*. The server mechanisms are ordered in decreasing level of preference.

5.3.3.2 SASL Init

Initiate sasl exchange.

Selects the sasl mechanism and provides the initial response if needed.

Field Details

mechanism selected security mechanism

The name of the SASL mechanism used for the SASL exchange. If the selected mechanism is not supported by the receiving peer, it MUST close the connection with the authentication-failure close-code. Each peer MUST authenticate using the highest-level security profile it can handle from the list provided by the partner.

```
initial-response security response data
```

A block of opaque data passed to the security mechanism. The contents of this data are defined by the SASL security mechanism.

hostname the name of the target host

The DNS name of the host (either fully qualified or relative) to which the sending peer is connecting. It is not mandatory to provide the hostname. If no hostname is provided the receiving peer SHOULD select a default based on its own configuration.

This field can be used by AMQP proxies to determine the correct back-end service to connect the client to, and to determine the domain to validate the client's credentials against.

This field might already have been specified by the server name indication extension as described in RFC-4366 [RFC4366], if a TLS layer is used, in which case this field SHOULD either be null or contain the same value. It is undefined what a different value to those already specified means.

5.3.3.3 SASL Challenge

Security mechanism challenge.

Send the SASL challenge data as defined by the SASL specification.

Field Details

```
challenge security challenge data
```

Challenge information, a block of opaque binary data passed to the security mechanism.

5.3.3.4 SASL Response

Security mechanism response.

Send the SASL response data as defined by the SASL specification.

Field Details

```
response security response data
```

A block of opaque data passed to the security mechanism. The contents of this data are defined by the SASL security mechanism.

5.3.3.5 SASL Outcome

Indicates the outcome of the sasl dialog.

This frame indicates the outcome of the SASL dialog. Upon successful completion of the SASL dialog the security layer has been established, and the peers MUST exchange protocol headers to either start a nested security layer, or to establish the AMQP connection.

Field Details

code indicates the outcome of the sasl dialog

A reply-code indicating the outcome of the SASL dialog.

additional-data additional data as specified in RFC-4422

The additional-data field carries additional data on successful authentication outcome as specified by the SASL specification [RFC4422]. If the authentication is unsuccessful, this field is not set.

5.3.3.6 SASL Code

Codes to indicate the outcome of the sasl dialog.

Valid Values

- **0** Connection authentication succeeded.
- 1 Connection authentication failed due to an unspecified problem with the supplied credentials.
- 2 Connection authentication failed due to a system error.
- 3 Connection authentication failed due to a system error that is unlikely to be corrected without intervention.
- 4 Connection authentication failed due to a transient system error.

5.3.4 Constant Definitions

SASL-MAJOR 1 major protocol version.

SASL-MINOR 0 minor protocol version.

SASL-REVISION 0 protocol revision.

XML Document Type Definition (DTD)

```
1 <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
3 <!--
    Copyright OASIS Open 2012. All Rights Reserved.
    All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS
    Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the
    OASIS website.
10
11
    This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works
12
    that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied,
    published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that
    the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works.
    However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright
    notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or
    deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to
    copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate
    it into languages other than English.
22
    The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its
23
    successors or assigns.
24
25
    This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
    DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE
28
    USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF
29
    MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.
30
31
32
    OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that
    would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS
    Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant
35
    patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS
    Technical Committee that produced this specification.
37
38
    OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of
    ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this
41
    specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in
42
    a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this
    specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do
44
    so.
    OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other
48
    rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described
```

in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights

```
made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result
    of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary
    rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be
    obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or
    list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list
    are, in fact, Essential Claims.
60
61
62
    The name "OASIS" is a trademark of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and
    should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes
    reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce
    its marks against misleading uses. Please see http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php for above guidance.
65
66 -->
67
_{68} <!-- The AMQP specification is defined by a collection of XML source
        files each conforming to the DTD defined below. Each file
        specifies a distinct "part" of the specification.
71
72
_{73} <!-- The ampp element is the root element for each source file and
        thus identifies a distinct part of the AMQP specification. The
        amqp element may contain any number of doc or section elements
        and has the following attributes:
77
         name - The name of the part of the specification defined within
78
                  this element.
79
         label - A short label for the part of the specication defined
80
                  within this element
81
83 <!ELEMENT amqp (doc|section)*>
84 <! ATTLIST amqp
            xmlns CDATA #IMPLIED
85
            name CDATA #REQUIRED
86
            label CDATA #IMPLIED
87
88 >
  <!-- The section element identifies a section within a part of the
        AMQP specification. Sections provide a logical grouping of
91
        content and definitions within a part of the specification. The
92
        section element may contain any number of doc, definition, or
93
       type elements and has the following attributes:
94
         name - The name of the section.
         title - A title for the section.
97
         label - A short label for the section.
98
99
100 <!ELEMENT section (doc|definition|type)*>
101 <! ATTLIST section
            name CDATA #REQUIRED
             title CDATA #IMPLIED
            label CDATA #IMPLIED
104
105 >
106
_{107} <!-- The definition element formally defines a named constant. The
        definition element may contain any number of doc elements and has
        the following attributes:
110
         name - The name of the constant.
111
         value - The value of the constant.
112
          label - A short label for the constant.
113
```

```
-->
115 <! ELEMENT definition (doc) *>
116 <! ATTLIST definition
            name CDATA #REQUIRED
             value CDATA #REQUIRED
118
             label CDATA #IMPLIED
119
120 >
121
122 <!-- The type element formally defines a semantic type used to
        exchange data on the wire. Every type definition may have the
        following attributes:
124
125
          name
                   - The name of the type.
126
          label
                   - A short description of the type.
127
          class
                   - A string identifying what class of type is being
                     defined.
          provides - A comma separated list of archetypes (see field
                      element).
131
132
        There are four different classes of types identified by the
133
        "class" attribute: primitive, composite, restricted, and union.
134
        All classes of types may contain doc elements.
        A "primitive" type will contain one or more encoding elements
137
        that describe how the data is formatted on the wire. Primitive
138
        types do not contain descriptor, field, or choice elements.
139
140
        A "composite" type definition specifies a new kind of record
141
        type, i.e. a type composed of a fixed number of fields whose
        values are each of a specific type. A composite type does not
        have a new encoding, but is sent on the wire as a list annotated
144
        by a specific descriptor value that identifies it as a
145
        representation of the defined composite type. A composite type
146
147
        definition will contain a descriptor and zero or more field
        elements. Composite types do not contain encoding or choice
        elements. The source attribute of a composite type will always be
        "list", other values are reserved for future use.
151
        A "restricted" type definition specifies a new kind of type whose
152
        values are restricted to a subset of the values that may be
153
        represented with another type. The source attribute identifies
154
        the base type from which a restricted type is derived. A
        restricted type may have a descriptor element in which case it is
        identified by a descriptor on the wire. The values permitted by a
157
        restricted type may be specified either via documentation, or
158
        directly limited to a fixed number of values by the choice
159
        elements contained within the definition.
160
161
        The "union" class of types is currently reserved.
164 <! ELEMENT type (encoding | descriptor | field | choice | doc) *>
165 <! ATTLIST type
             name CDATA #REQUIRED
166
             class (primitive|composite|restricted|union) #REQUIRED
167
             source CDATA #IMPLIED
             provides CDATA #IMPLIED
             label CDATA #IMPLIED
170
171 >
172
173 <!-- The encoding element defines how a primitive type is encoded. The
```

```
specification defines 4 general categories of encodings: fixed,
174
        variable, compound, or array. A specific encoding provides
175
        further details of how data is formatted within its general
        category.
178
          name
                   - The name of the encoding.
179
          label
                   - A short description of the encoding.
180
          code
                   - The numeric value that prefixes the encoded data on
181
                     the wire.
          category - The category of the encoding: "fixed", "variable",
                      "compound", or "array".
184
          width
                   - The width of the encoding or the size/count
185
                     prefixes depending on the category.
186
187
188 <! ELEMENT encoding (doc) *>
   <!ATTLIST encoding
             name CDATA #IMPLIED
             label CDATA #IMPLIED
191
             code CDATA #REQUIRED
192
             category (fixed|variable|compound|array) #REQUIRED
193
194
             width CDATA #IMPLIED
195 >
   <!-- The descriptor element specifies what annotation is used to
197
        identify encoded values as representations of a described type.
198
199
          name - A symbolic name for the representation.
200
          code - The numeric value.
201
203 <!ELEMENT descriptor (doc)*>
204 <! ATTLIST descriptor
            name CDATA #IMPLIED
205
             code CDATA #IMPLIED
206
207 >
208
209 <!-- The field element identifies a field within a composite type.
        Every field has the following attributes:
211
                    - A symbolic name that uniquely identifies the field
          name
212
                       within the type.
213
                    - The type of the field. This attribute defines the
214
          type
                       range of values that are permitted to appear in
                       this field. It may name a specific type, in which
                       case the values are restricted to that type, or it
217
                       may be the special character "*", in which case a
218
                       value of any type is permitted. In the latter case
219
                       the range of values may be further restricted by
220
                       the requires attribute.
221
          requires - A comma separated list of archetypes. Field values
                       are restricted to types providing at least one of
                       the specified archetypes.
224
          default
                    - A default value for the field if no value is encoded.
225
                    - A short description of the field.
226
          mandatory - "true" iff a non null value for the field is always encoded.
227
          multiple - "true" iff the field may have multiple values of its specified type.
228
230 <!ELEMENT field (doc)*>
231 <!ATTLIST field
            name CDATA #REQUIRED
232
             type CDATA #IMPLIED
233
```

```
requires CDATA #IMPLIED
234
             default CDATA #IMPLIED
235
             label CDATA #IMPLIED
             mandatory CDATA #IMPLIED
             multiple CDATA #IMPLIED
238
239 >
240
241
   <!-- The choice element identifies a legal value for a restricted
242
        type. The choice element has the following attributes:
          name - A symbolic name for the value.
244
          value - The permitted value.
245
246
247 <!ELEMENT choice (doc)*>
248 <! ATTLIST choice
             name CDATA #REQUIRED
             value CDATA #REQUIRED
251 >
252
   <!-- The doc element identifies the basic unit of documentation that
253
254
        may appear at nearly any point within the specification. A doc
255
        element may optionally have a symbolic name and a title for cross
        reference:
257
          name - The symbolic name of the doc element.
258
          title - The title of the doc element.
259
260
        A doc element may contain any number of the following
261
        presentational sub elements:
                   - nested doc elements
264
265
                   - paragraphs
          p
          ul
                   - unordered lists
266
                   - ordered lists
267
          ol
                   - definition lists
268
          d٦
269
          picture - preformatted ascii art diagrams
271
272 <!ELEMENT doc (doc|p|ul|ol|dl|picture)*>
273 <! ATTLIST doc
             name CDATA #IMPLIED
274
             title CDATA #IMPLIED
275
   <!-- A paragraph element may be optionally titled and contains
        freeform text with the following markup elements:
279
280
          anchor - a reference point
281
          xref - a cross reference
                 - bold text
                  - italic text
284
          term
                 - a formal term
285
                 - superscript
          sup
286
                 - subscript
          sub
287
                 - line break
288
          br
290 <!ELEMENT p (#PCDATA|anchor|xref|b|i|term|sup|sub|br)*>
291 <! ATTLIST p
292
             title CDATA #IMPLIED
293 >
```

```
295 <!-- A cross reference. -->
296 <!ELEMENT xref (#PCDATA)>
297 <!ATTLIST xref
            type CDATA #IMPLIED
            name CDATA #REQUIRED
299
            choice CDATA #IMPLIED
300
301 >
303 <!-- A reference point. -->
304 <! ELEMENT anchor (#PCDATA)>
305 <! ATTLIST anchor
             name CDATA #REQUIRED
306
307 >
309 <!-- A line break. -->
310 <! ELEMENT br EMPTY>
312 <!-- A span of boldface markup. -->
313 <!ELEMENT b (#PCDATA|sub|sup|i|br|anchor)*>
314 <!-- A span of italic markup. -->
315 <!ELEMENT i (#PCDATA|sub|sup|b|br|anchor)*>
316 <!-- A formal term. -->
317 <! ELEMENT term (#PCDATA)>
318 <!-- A span of superscript markup. -->
319 <!ELEMENT sup (#PCDATA|sup|sub|b|i)*>
320 <!-- A span of subscript markup. -->
321 <!ELEMENT sub (#PCDATA|sup|sub|b|i)*>
323 <!-- An unordered list. -->
324 <!ELEMENT ul (li)*>
325 <! ATTLIST ul
             title CDATA #IMPLIED
327 >
328 <!-- An ordered list. -->
329 <! ELEMENT ol (li)*>
330 <!ATTLIST ol
             title CDATA #IMPLIED
331
332 >
333
_{334} <!-- An item in an ordered or unordered list. -->
335 <!ELEMENT li (#PCDATA|p|ul|dl)*>
337 <!-- A definition list. -->
338 <!ELEMENT dl (dt, dd)*>
339 <! ATTLIST dl
             title CDATA #IMPLIED
340
341 >
_{342} <!-- A definition term. -->
343 <! ELEMENT dt (#PCDATA)>
344 <!-- A definition description -->
345 <!ELEMENT dd (p|picture|ul|ol)*>
347 <!-- A preformatted ascii art diagram. -->
348 <!ELEMENT picture (#PCDATA)>
349 <!ATTLIST picture
            title CDATA #IMPLIED
351 >
```