Transformative Advancements in Diagnostic Imaging: Reducing Risks, Enhancing Accuracy, and Promoting Sustainability

Article *in* European Journal of Medical and Health Research · September 2024

DOI: 10.59324/ejmhr.2024.2(5).16

EJMHR ISSN 2786-8524 EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL AND HEALTH RESEARCH OPEN ACCESS PEER REVIEWED

Transformative Advancements in Diagnostic Imaging: Reducing Risks, Enhancing Accuracy, and Promoting Sustainability

Nicholas A. Kerna[†]
Independent Global Medical Researchers Consortium;
First InterHealth Group, Thailand
Dabeluchi C. Ngwu[†]
FMC Umuahia with King Abdullah Hospital, Bisha, Saudi Arabia;
Earthwide Surgical Missions, Nigeria
Kyle Kadivi
Global Health Group LLC, USA
N.D. Victor Carsrud
Lakeline Wellness Center, USA
Kevin D. Pruitt
Kemet Medical Consultants, USA;
PBJ Medical Associates, LLC, USA
John V. Flores
Orange Partners Surgicenter, USA
Hilary M. Holets
Orange Partners Surgicenter, USA
Breanna M. Jomsky
Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine, USA
Sudeep Chawla
Chawla Health and Research, USA
Cornelius I. Azi
Northern Care Alliance NHS Foundation Trust, UK
Devin McKee
Bastyr University, College of Naturopathic Medicine, USA
Uzoamaka Nwokorie
Howard University, USA
Joseph Anderson II
International Institute of Original Medicine, USA
James Lubem Dugeri
No Affiliation Declared
Hossam Salah Hassan Hammam
United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust, UK
Okike Basil Chiedozie
Radiation and Clinical Oncology Department, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Enugu, Nigeria
Ijeoma Nnake
Simplex Care Inc., USA
Chidi Okechukwu David
Department of Acute Medicine, University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Coventry, UK
Jerome Adadzi
Sam's Club Pharmacy, USA

More Information

How to cite this article: Kerna NA, Ngwu DC, Kadivi K, Carsrud NDV, Pruitt KD, Flores JV, Holets HM, Jomsky BM, Chawla S, Azi CI, McKee D, Nwokorie U, Anderson II J, Dugeri JL, Hammam HSH, Chiedozie OB, Nnake I, David CO, Adadzi J. Transformative Advancements in Diagnostic Imaging: Reducing Risks, Enhancing Accuracy, and Promoting Sustainability. Eur J Med Health Res, 2024;2(5):153-72.

DOI: 10.59324/ejmhr.2024.2(5).16

Keywords:

AI,
Environmental Medicine,
Extended Reality,
Immersive Technologies,
Nuclear Medicine,
Radiopharmaceuticals.

Abstract

The review discusses the risks posed by conventional medical imaging methods, including radiation exposure, allergic reactions, and environmental contamination. It explores recent innovations, such as advanced modalities like photon-counting computed tomography (CT) scanners and ultra-high-field magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) systems, which provide high-resolution images with reduced radiation doses. Artificial intelligence (AI) further enhances the field by automating tasks, improving image analysis, and personalizing protocols, including real-time and predictive monitoring. Non-invasive techniques, such as elastography and photoacoustic imaging, are highlighted for minimizing reliance on ionizing radiation. AI-driven strategies also address environmental concerns through the use of biodegradable contrast agents and energy-efficient technologies. Economic assessments reveal the cost-effectiveness of imaging technologies and their impact on healthcare budgets while acknowledging persistent disparities in access. The review examines contrast agents and radiation: their long-term and broad-ranging adverse health impacts and how new imaging techniques aim to eliminate or mitigate these effects. It also considers how advancements in AI improve diagnostic accuracy and workflow efficiency. Also, the integration of imaging with genomics and telemedicine is discussed, alongside efforts to address economic and accessibility challenges and promote sustainable practices. Imaging technology and AI innovations are transforming diagnostic practices and addressing existing challenges, with ongoing innovation and collaboration essential for optimizing benefits for patients, medical staff, and the environment.

Introduction

Overview of Diagnostic Imaging

Diagnostic imaging has revolutionized the medical field by enabling non-invasive visualization of internal structures and processes, thus facilitating accurate diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment planning. The journey of diagnostic imaging began with the discovery of X-rays by Wilhelm Conrad Roentgen in 1895 [1], which revolutionized the ability to diagnose and treat diseases. Since then, the field has undergone significant advancements, leading to the development of various imaging modalities, including computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound, and more recently, molecular imaging techniques such as positron emission tomography (PET) [2]. Each modality offers unique advantages and limitations, allowing clinicians to select the most appropriate technique based on the clinical context.

The evolution of diagnostic imaging technologies can be traced through key milestones. Following the advent of X-rays, the development of CT in the 1970s provided cross-sectional images of the body, enhancing the visualization of soft tissues [3]. MRI, introduced in the 1980s, offered superior contrast resolution without ionizing radiation, making it invaluable for imaging the brain, spinal cord, and joints [4]. Ultrasound, utilizing high-frequency sound waves, became a cornerstone in obstetrics and cardiology due to its real-time imaging capability and safety profile [5]. In recent years, molecular imaging techniques (e.g., PET) have emerged, enabling the visualization of biological processes at the cellular and molecular levels, thus bridging the gap between imaging and personalized medicine [6].

Importance of Safety in Diagnostic Imaging

While the benefits of diagnostic imaging are substantial, safety concerns are paramount, particularly regarding ionizing radiation and contrast agents. Modalities like X-rays and CT scans, which use ionizing radiation, pose risks of radiation-induced damage, especially with cumulative exposure. This risk is particularly significant in vulnerable populations such as children and individuals requiring frequent imaging [7]. Using contrast agents, essential for enhancing image clarity, can lead to adverse reactions ranging from mild allergies to severe, life-threatening anaphylactic responses [8]. Also, the psychological impact of imaging procedures, particularly in high-stress environments like oncology and emergency medicine, warrants consideration [9].

Balancing the diagnostic benefits with the associated risks requires adherence to stringent safety guidelines. Regulatory frameworks, such as those established by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), provide comprehensive guidelines to ensure the safe use of diagnostic imaging [10]. These guidelines cover various aspects, including radiation dose optimization, the safe administration of contrast agents, the proper disposal of imaging-related waste, and measures for minimizing psychological impacts associated with imaging procedures [11].

In response to safety concerns, the field of diagnostic imaging has seen significant technological advancements, including the development of low-dose imaging techniques, non-invasive alternatives, and innovations in imaging resolution and accuracy. Artificial intelligence (AI) integration represents a promising frontier, with AI-driven technologies optimizing imaging protocols, improving image analysis, and personalizing patient care [12]. These advancements not only enhance the safety and efficacy of imaging practices but also introduce protective measures for both patients and healthcare providers, reducing the likelihood of adverse effects [13].

Diagnostic imaging has significantly advanced medical diagnostics by enabling non-invasive visualization of internal structures, evolving through key technological milestones since the discovery of X-rays. Despite its benefits, safety remains critical, with risks such as radiation exposure and adverse reactions to contrast agents. Adherence to regulatory frameworks and adopting advanced technologies are essential to balance these risks. Examining these risks, exploring technological advancements, and assessing their impact on patients, medical staff, and the environment will contribute to the ongoing development of safer and more effective imaging practices.

Discussion

Comparative Analysis of Imaging Modalities

A thorough comparison of imaging modalities informs decisions on efficacy, safety, economic considerations, and accessibility, guiding diagnostic approaches and ensuring equitable healthcare delivery.

Table 1 outlines the core imaging modalities and their characteristics, efficacy, safety, and cost-effectiveness.

Table 1: Comparing Imaging Modalities

Modality	Characteristics	Efficacy	Safety	Cost-Effectiveness	Accessibility
X-Ray	Utilizes ionizing radiation; visualizes dense structures like bones	Effective for fractures and initial assessments	Exposure to ionizing radiation	Cost-effective and widely available	High, but less effective for soft tissues
Computed Tomography (CT)	Multiple X-ray images for cross-sectional views	Rapid, detailed insights; effective for emergencies	Ionizing radiation exposure	Cost-effective but higher operational costs	High, but availability varies
Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)	Uses magnets and radio waves for soft tissue imaging	Superior contrast for brain and soft tissues	No ionizing radiation, ideal for soft tissue imaging	Expensive and less cost-effective	Variable; often limited in rural areas
Ultrasound	High-frequency sound waves for real-time imaging	Effective for soft tissues and organ function	No ionizing radiation	Generally cost-effective	High; widely used in obstetrics and general imaging
Positron Emission Tomography (PET)	Radiotracer injection for metabolic activity	High-resolution, precise metabolic information	Exposure to radiotracers	Expensive and less widely available	Low, limited by cost and availability
Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT)	Radiotracer-based functional imaging	Effective for blood flow and functional studies	Exposure to radiotracers	More accessible than PET, less costly	Moderate; more available than PET
Fluoroscopy	Real-time X-ray imaging for procedures	Useful for guiding interventions	Ionizing radiation exposure	Cost-effective; depends on procedure type	High; widely used for procedural guidance
Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT)	Light waves for high-resolution tissue imaging	Ideal for retinal imaging and detailed tissue structures	No ionizing radiation	Cost-effective for specific uses	Limited to ophthalmology

Sources: [7,13–25]

Legend for Accessibility:

- The imaging modality is widely available and accessible in most healthcare settings, including both urban and rural areas.
- **Moderate**: The modality is somewhat accessible and available in many healthcare facilities, but there may be some limitations in certain regions or settings.
- **Variable**: Accessibility can differ significantly based on location, with urban areas having better access than rural areas. Availability may also depend on the specific healthcare infrastructure.
- **Low**: The modality is not widely available and is often limited to specialized centers or regions with advanced healthcare infrastructure. Access may be restricted due to cost, availability of equipment, or trained personnel.

Each modality offers distinct advantages and limitations, influencing its application based on efficacy, safety, economic impact, and accessibility.

Risks and Potential Adverse Consequences in Medical Imaging

Integrating advanced imaging techniques not only enhances diagnostic capabilities but also introduces various risks that must be carefully managed.

To the Individual or Patient

Radiation Exposure:

Diagnostic imaging often involves ionizing radiation, which can have both short-term and long-term effects on patients. Short-term effects include skin burns and tissue damage, which are typically associated with high doses of radiation or prolonged exposure. These effects, although less common, can cause discomfort and necessitate medical intervention. Long-term radiation exposure, however, poses more significant risks, including an increased risk of cancer and potential genetic mutations. The cumulative dose from repeated imaging studies can contribute to these risks, underscoring the need for dose optimization and careful evaluation of imaging necessity [26].

Allergic Reactions to Contrast Agents:

Contrast agents, such as iodine-based and gadolinium-based compounds, are critical for enhancing image clarity during diagnostic procedures. While these agents are generally safe, they can induce allergic reactions in some patients. Reactions may range from mild symptoms, such as rash or itching, to severe anaphylactic responses. The incidence of allergic reactions varies among individuals, and management typically involves pre-procedural screening and prompt treatment of any adverse events [27]. Ensuring patient safety requires careful monitoring and preparation to address potential reactions effectively.

Psychological Impact:

The psychological impact of diagnostic imaging can be significant. Patients often experience anxiety and stress related to imaging procedures, particularly when they are used to investigate serious or uncertain conditions. The psychological burden is further compounded by the potential for false positive or negative results, which can influence mental health outcomes. False positives may lead to unnecessary worry and additional tests, while false negatives can result in a false sense of security. Addressing these psychological effects is crucial for improving patient care and overall well-being [28].

To the Medical Staff

Radiation Exposure:

Medical staff involved in diagnostic imaging are also at risk of radiation exposure, which can lead to occupational hazards. Short-term health effects might include radiation burns, while long-term exposure increases the risk of chronic conditions such as cancer. Occupational monitoring and adherence to radiation safety protocols are essential for mitigating these risks and protecting the health of medical personnel. Continuous evaluation of exposure levels and implementation of safety measures are necessary to ensure a safe working environment [29].

Ergonomic and Physical Strain:

The physical demands placed on medical staff during imaging procedures can result in ergonomic and physical strain. Repetitive tasks and poor ergonomic practices contribute to musculoskeletal injuries and long-term physical discomfort. Addressing these issues involves adopting ergonomic improvements and techniques designed to reduce physical strain, thereby promoting staff health and efficiency [30].

Psychological Impact:

The high-pressure environment of imaging departments can lead to burnout and stress among medical staff. The constant need to handle complex and high-risk cases, coupled with the demands of maintaining high-quality imaging standards, can impact mental health. Effective support systems and stress management resources are important for sustaining staff well-being and job satisfaction [31].

To the Environment and Ecology

Disposal of Imaging Contrast Agents and Pharmaceuticals:

The disposal of imaging contrast agents and pharmaceuticals presents environmental challenges. These substances can enter environmental pathways and contribute to contamination if not properly managed. Current disposal practices often fall short of preventing environmental contamination, necessitating improvements in waste management protocols to mitigate ecological risks [32].

Radiation Contamination:

Medical facilities that use radiation-based imaging techniques can contribute to environmental radiation contamination. This contamination may result from the disposal of radioactive materials and equipment. Long-term ecological impacts of such contamination include potential harm to wildlife and disruption of ecosystems, highlighting the need for stringent regulatory controls and monitoring [33].

Environmental Pollution:

Chemical runoff from imaging facilities can lead to environmental pollution, affecting water supplies and ecosystems. The presence of pollutants in water sources can harm aquatic life and pose risks to human health [34]. Implementing sustainable practices and improved waste management strategies is essential for minimizing pollution and protecting environmental health [35].

The risks associated with diagnostic imaging extend to patients, medical staff, and the environment, highlighting the need for comprehensive safety measures and innovative solutions.

Targeted Treatments: Imaging Contrast Agents and Other Chemicals and Pharmaceuticals

The use of contrast agents and pharmaceuticals in diagnostic imaging enhances the resolution and diagnostic accuracy of imaging studies. These substances, while crucial for effective patient evaluation, introduce a range of concerns that extend beyond immediate diagnostic benefits.

Effects on the Individual or Patient

Biocompatibility and Toxicity:

Contrast agents are essential for improving the visibility of internal structures in imaging studies. However, their safety profiles are a significant concern [36]. Biocompatibility refers to the ability of a substance to coexist with biological tissues without causing adverse reactions [37]. While modern contrast agents are generally designed with biocompatibility in mind, the long-term health impacts of exposure remain a topic of ongoing research. Some contrast agents, such as iodine-based and gadolinium-based compounds, have been associated with potential toxicity, particularly when used repeatedly or in high doses [38]. Long-term exposure may contribute to various health conditions, including nephropathy and other systemic effects [36]. Evaluating these agents' safety profiles and their long-term impacts continues to be a critical area of study.

Allergic Reactions:

Allergic reactions to contrast agents can range from mild to severe, depending on the patient's sensitivity and the type of agent used [27]. Mechanisms behind these reactions involve the body's immune response to foreign substances, leading to symptoms such as rash, itching, or, in severe cases, anaphylaxis [39]. Management strategies include pre-procedural screening to identify individuals at higher risk and immediate treatment for any adverse reactions [40]. Preventive measures, such as using premedication and selecting alternative agents for at-risk patients, are also important [41]. The risk factors for allergic reactions include prior allergic responses and underlying health conditions that may predispose patients to heightened sensitivity [39].

Long-term Health Impacts:

Repeated exposure to contrast agents has been linked to chronic health conditions, particularly in patients undergoing frequent imaging studies [42]. Emerging research highlights potential long-term effects, such as the development of contrast-induced nephropathy and other systemic issues [43]. Studies are increasingly focused on understanding the biocompatibility of newer agents and developing safer alternatives [44]. Research into long-term health impacts aims to identify strategies to mitigate risks associated with repeated exposure and ensure patient safety [38].

Effects on the Medical Staff

Handling and Exposure Risks:

Medical staff involved in diagnostic imaging are at risk of exposure to contrast agents and other chemicals [38]. Safe handling protocols are essential to minimize accidental exposure, which can occur through direct contact or inhalation [45]. Using protective equipment, such as gloves and masks, is crucial in reducing these risks [46]. Regular review and adherence to safety protocols help ensure that handling procedures are followed correctly and that exposure risks are minimized [11]. Addressing accidental exposure through prompt reporting and intervention is also essential to maintaining a safe working environment [47].

Safety Protocols and Training:

Regular training and adherence to safety protocols are vital for protecting medical staff from potential hazards associated with imaging agents [48]. Training programs should cover proper handling techniques, the use of personal protective equipment, and emergency procedures for managing exposure incidents [49]. Evaluation of current practices and recommendations for improvement help ensure that safety protocols remain up-to-date and effective in reducing risks [50]. Ongoing education and training are key to enhancing staff safety and preparedness [51].

Health Monitoring:

Ongoing health surveillance of medical staff is essential for detecting and managing exposure-related health conditions [52]. Health monitoring programs may include regular medical evaluations, tracking of exposure levels, and investigation of any health issues that arise [53]. Case studies of exposure-related conditions provide valuable insights into the health impacts of handling imaging agents [54]. Effective health monitoring supports early detection of potential health issues and contributes to the overall well-being of medical staff [55].

Effects on the Environment and Ecology

Environmental Disposal and Contamination:

Disposing of imaging contrast agents and other chemicals poses environmental challenges [44]. Overviewing disposal regulations and practices is crucial for managing environmental contamination risks. Case studies of contamination incidents highlight the impact of improper disposal and underscore the need for stringent disposal practices [56]. Ensuring compliance with regulations and adopting best practices for disposal can help mitigate environmental contamination and protect ecological health [57].

Eco-friendly Alternatives:

The development and adoption of biodegradable contrast agents represent a significant advancement in reducing environmental impact [58]. Strategies for minimizing the environmental footprint include researching and implementing eco-friendly alternatives to traditional agents and improving waste management practices [32]. The adoption of sustainable practices and technologies contributes to a reduction in environmental contamination and promotes ecological sustainability [59].

Regulatory and Compliance Issues:

International and national regulations provide a framework for managing the environmental impact of imaging agents [60]. A review of these regulations highlights the challenges in enforcement and compliance, including gaps in regulation and the need for improved oversight [61]. Addressing these challenges involves strengthening regulatory frameworks and enhancing compliance measures to ensure effective management of environmental risks [62].

Key issues include the biocompatibility and toxicity of these agents, their potential long-term health impacts on patients, and the risks associated with their handling by medical staff. Also, the environmental effects of disposing of these substances pose significant challenges.

Technological Applications and Advances to Reduce or Eliminate Imaging Adverse Effects

The continual advancement of diagnostic imaging technology is focused on enhancing diagnostic accuracy and mitigating adverse effects associated with imaging procedures. Technological innovations are crucial in reducing risks to patients, minimizing occupational hazards for medical staff, and addressing environmental concerns.

To the Individual or Patient

Low-dose Imaging Techniques:

Innovations in imaging technology have led to significant progress in dose-reduction techniques [7]. Low-dose imaging methods, such as advanced X-ray and CT protocols, are designed to minimize radiation exposure while maintaining diagnostic efficacy [63]. The comparative effectiveness of these techniques demonstrates that they can significantly reduce radiation dose without compromising image quality [64]. Research indicates that low-dose imaging is effective in various clinical scenarios, including routine screenings and complex diagnostic evaluations, thereby enhancing patient safety and reducing long-term health risks associated with radiation exposure [23].

Non-invasive Imaging Alternatives:

The development and application of non-invasive imaging modalities, such as MRI and ultrasound, offer substantial benefits for patient care [13]. These technologies provide detailed imaging without applying ionizing radiation, eliminating associated risks [54]. Non-invasive techniques are increasingly being utilized for a range of diagnostic purposes, from initial evaluations to detailed anatomical studies [65]. Patient outcomes and satisfaction have generally improved with these modalities, as they offer safer alternatives to traditional imaging methods, reduce discomfort, and provide more precise diagnostic information [66].

Enhanced Imaging Resolution and Accuracy:

Advancements in imaging technology have led to significant improvements in image quality and diagnostic accuracy [12]. Enhanced imaging resolution, achieved through innovations such as high-definition CT and advanced MRI sequences, allows for more precise visualization of anatomical structures and pathological conditions [67]. These improvements directly impact diagnostic accuracy, facilitating better treatment planning and patient management [68]. Enhanced resolution contributes to early disease detection, more accurate staging of conditions, and improved outcomes through targeted therapies [69].

To the Medical Staff

Advanced Protective Equipment:

The evaluation of protective gear used by medical staff in imaging environments has led to advancements in shielding technologies [70]. Innovations in personal protective equipment (PPE) include improved materials and designs that offer better protection from radiation exposure [46]. Modern PPE, such as lead aprons and thyroid shields, is now more lightweight and comfortable, addressing issues related to staff wearability and effectiveness [71]. Ongoing advancements aim to enhance the protective capabilities of these garments while ensuring they meet safety standards [72].

Automated and Remote Imaging Systems:

Integrating automation and remote imaging technologies has been crucial in reducing occupational radiation exposure [33]. Automated systems streamline imaging procedures, minimizing the need for direct staff involvement in radiation areas [73]. Remote imaging technologies allow for the operation and monitoring of imaging equipment from a distance, further reducing staff exposure [74]. While these technologies offer significant benefits, challenges such as the need for robust cybersecurity measures and the maintenance of remote systems must be addressed to ensure their effective implementation [75].

Training and Simulation Tools:

Virtual reality (VR) and simulation tools have become integral to medical imaging training, providing realistic and interactive environments for staff education [76]. These tools enhance staff preparedness by simulating imaging scenarios and emergency situations, improving response times and safety practices [77]. The impact of VR and simulation on staff training is evident in increased competency and confidence among medical personnel, contributing to overall safety and efficiency in imaging procedures [78].

Annexure 2 outlines the adverse effects of VR, augmented reality (AR), and extended reality (XR) in medical imaging on patients, staff, and the environment, highlighting the need for balanced use, thorough training, data security, and sustainable practices to mitigate risks and maximize benefits.

To the Environment and Ecology

Eco-friendly Imaging Technologies:

The push for eco-friendly imaging technologies focuses on reducing the environmental footprint of imaging practices [60]. Innovations include developing imaging systems that use less energy and produce fewer waste products [79]. Case studies of eco-friendly imaging practices demonstrate the successful implementation of such technologies in clinical settings, highlighting their potential to reduce environmental impact while maintaining diagnostic performance. These advancements contribute to a more sustainable approach to medical imaging [80].

Sustainable Imaging Practices:

Best practices for sustainability in imaging departments encompass strategies for reducing waste and energy consumption. Implementing energy-efficient equipment, optimizing imaging protocols to minimize resource use, and promoting recycling initiatives are key aspects of sustainable imaging practices [79]. Departments that adopt these practices report reductions in operational costs and environmental impact, reflecting a growing commitment to sustainability in healthcare [81].

Waste Management and Recycling Programs:

Current waste management and recycling programs in imaging facilities aim to address the challenges associated with the disposal of imaging materials and chemicals [32]. Programs focus on the safe disposal of hazardous waste and the recycling of recyclable materials, such as lead aprons and imaging film [82]. Future directions include expanding recycling initiatives and improving waste management practices to reduce environmental impact further [83]. Effective implementation of these programs is crucial for promoting environmental stewardship in the medical imaging field [79].

Innovations in dose reduction, non-invasive modalities, and enhanced imaging resolution contribute to improved patient safety and outcomes. For medical staff, advances in protective equipment, automation, and training tools enhance safety and operational efficiency. Environmental sustainability is addressed through eco-friendly technologies, sustainable practices, and effective waste management.

Annexure 1 outlines the benefits and risks of dental imaging technologies, highlighting the need for technological advancements, regulatory compliance, and sustainable practices to balance these advantages with potential adverse effects.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Applications and Advances to Reduce or Eliminate Imaging Adverse Effects

Integrating AI into diagnostic imaging represents a transformative shift aimed at enhancing diagnostic precision and minimizing adverse effects. As AI technologies advance, their applications are becoming increasingly integral to improving outcomes for patients, medical staff, and the environment by fundamentally transforming imaging practices, improving patient outcomes, streamlining medical workflows, and addressing environmental concerns.

To the Individual or Patient

AI-Assisted Image Analysis:

AI has significantly advanced the accuracy and efficiency of image analysis. By leveraging machine learning algorithms, AI systems enhance the precision of diagnostic imaging, leading to earlier detection of abnormalities [84]. These technologies can identify subtle patterns that may be missed by the human eye, thus improving diagnostic outcomes [85]. Additionally, AI helps reduce the need for unnecessary follow-up imaging, which minimizes patient exposure to radiation and other potential risks [12]. Implementing AI in image analysis contributes to more accurate and timely diagnoses, ultimately benefiting patient safety and treatment effectiveness [86].

Personalized Imaging Protocols:

AI-driven customization of imaging protocols tailors procedures to the specific needs of each patient [87]. This personalization ensures imaging is conducted at optimal settings for individual characteristics, such as age, body size, and medical history [88]. By adapting imaging protocols to each patient, AI enhances diagnostic accuracy and reduces the risk of unnecessary radiation exposure [12]. Personalized protocols not only improve patient outcomes by providing more relevant and precise information but also contribute to overall safety by minimizing the likelihood of adverse effects associated with standard imaging procedures [48].

Predictive Modeling for Risk Assessment:

AI is crucial in predictive modeling for forecasting potential adverse reactions and exposure risks [68]. By analyzing historical data and patient profiles, AI systems can predict which individuals may be at higher risk for negative outcomes from imaging procedures [89]. This predictive capability allows for proactive risk management and integration into clinical decision-making processes [90]. By anticipating potential issues, AI contributes to safer imaging practices and better-informed clinical decisions [86].

To the Medical Staff

Workflow Optimization:

AI enhances workflow optimization by streamlining imaging processes and reducing the workload on medical staff. Automated systems manage scheduling, image acquisition, and data processing, allowing healthcare professionals to focus on more critical tasks [87]. This efficiency reduces staff's time on repetitive tasks and minimizes their exposure to radiation and other hazards associated with imaging procedures [33]. By optimizing workflows, AI supports a safer and more efficient working environment for medical professionals [86].

Decision Support Systems:

AI-based decision support systems assist medical staff in clinical decision-making by providing real-time analysis and recommendations. These systems integrate with imaging technologies to offer diagnostic insights, enhance accuracy, and support decision-making [84]. AI-driven tools bolster staff confidence by providing evidence-based guidance, leading to more informed and precise diagnostic decisions. Incorporating AI in decision support helps reduce diagnostic errors and enhances the overall quality of patient care [91].

Automated Safety Monitoring:

AI-driven safety monitoring systems are crucial in tracking radiation exposure and ensuring compliance with safety protocols [54]. Real-time alerts and monitoring systems provide immediate feedback on radiation levels and adherence to safety measures [11]. This technology helps mitigate risks associated with radiation exposure for medical staff and ensures that safety protocols are consistently followed [11]. Automated monitoring contributes to a safer working environment and supports maintaining high safety standards in imaging procedures [92].

To the Environment and Ecology

AI in Waste Management:

AI technologies are optimizing waste disposal processes associated with diagnostic imaging [84]. By analyzing waste generation patterns and implementing predictive analytics, AI enhances the efficiency of waste management systems [93]. These advancements ensure that hazardous materials are disposed of correctly and in an environmentally responsible manner [94]. AI's role in waste management extends to environmental risk assessment, where predictive analytics help identify and mitigate potential ecological impacts [95].

Optimization of Resource Use:

AI-driven resource optimization techniques contribute to more efficient use of imaging resources, reducing waste and environmental impact [12]. AI systems analyze resource allocation and consumption data to streamline operations and minimize unnecessary usage [68]. This optimization not only improves the efficiency of imaging practices but also reduces the environmental footprint associated with resource consumption [79]. By enhancing resource management, AI supports more sustainable imaging practices [12].

AI-Driven Environmental Impact Studies:

AI is increasingly involved in conducting and interpreting environmental impact studies related to diagnostic imaging. By analyzing large datasets and modeling environmental effects, AI provides valuable insights into the ecological consequences of imaging practices [12]. These studies inform policy and regulatory development, guiding efforts to minimize the environmental impact of imaging technologies [79]. AI's role in environmental impact assessments supports the creation of more sustainable practices and regulations within the field of diagnostic imaging [96].

Integrating AI into diagnostic imaging is revolutionizing the field by addressing critical challenges related to patient safety, medical staff efficiency, and environmental impact. AI enhances diagnostic accuracy while reducing risks and adverse effects through advancements in image analysis, personalized protocols, predictive modeling, and workflow optimization. Also, AI contributes to more sustainable practices through optimized resource use and improved waste management.

Patient-Centric Approaches and Outcomes

Patient-centric approaches in diagnostic imaging aim to enhance patient experience and outcomes by addressing the impact of imaging procedures and exploring strategies for improving quality of life through education, engagement, and innovative practices.

Table 2 summarizes the key aspects of patient-centric approaches in diagnostic imaging, highlighting education, engagement, and innovations for enhancing patient experience and outcomes.

Table 2: Key Aspects of Patient-Centric Approaches in Diagnostic Imaging

Aspect	Description
Patient Education and Engagement	Strategies to improve patient understanding of imaging procedures, including educational programs and empowerment tools
Educational Programs	Programs using multimedia resources, interactive platforms, and personalized consultations to enhance patient comprehension and health literacy
Patient Empowerment	Involving patients in decision-making through tools such as decision aids and patient portals to foster a collaborative relationship
Patient Outcomes and Quality of Life	Focus on how imaging affects overall well-being and innovations to improve patient experience
Impact of Imaging on Quality of Life	Assessing physical discomfort, psychological stress, and the emotional impact of imaging results on patients
Patient-Centered Innovations	Advances like quieter MRI machines and virtual reality environments designed to reduce discomfort and anxiety during imaging

Sources: [9,13,67,97–103]

By developing and implementing these patient-centered practices, the field of diagnostic imaging can better meet the needs of patients and improve their overall experience and outcomes.

Frontiers in Technology to Reduce or Eliminate Imaging Adverse Effects

Emerging technologies hold promise for significantly reducing or eliminating the adverse effects associated with imaging procedures. Recent innovations are addressing critical areas such as patient safety, staff well-being, and environmental sustainability.

Overview of Emerging Technologies

The landscape of diagnostic imaging is being transformed by several emerging technologies designed to enhance safety and efficacy [13]. One significant advancement is the development of advanced imaging modalities that offer reduced radiation exposure without compromising diagnostic quality [26]. For example, photon-counting CT scanners represent a breakthrough in imaging technology, providing high-resolution images with lower radiation doses than traditional CT scanners [104]. Similarly, advancements in MRI technology, such as ultra-high-field MRI, promise improved image quality and faster acquisition times while minimizing patient discomfort and scan duration [67].

Another notable technological advancement is the integration of AI into imaging workflows. AI algorithms are being increasingly utilized to enhance image analysis, improve diagnostic accuracy, and automate routine tasks [105]. Machine learning models can now detect patterns and anomalies with high precision, leading to more accurate and timely diagnoses [84]. Furthermore, AI-driven tools are being developed to personalize imaging protocols, optimize parameters for each patient's specific needs, and reduce unnecessary exposure to radiation and contrast agents [12].

In addition, innovations in non-invasive imaging techniques are contributing to safer imaging practices [13]. For example, elastography and photoacoustic imaging offer alternative approaches to traditional imaging modalities, providing valuable diagnostic information with minimal or no ionizing radiation. These techniques enhance the ability to assess tissue characteristics and physiological processes while mitigating the risks associated with conventional imaging methods [106].

Future Prospects in Imaging Technology

The future of imaging technology is marked by the continued evolution of these emerging technologies and their potential to address existing limitations [107]. Advances in imaging sensors and detector technologies are expected to reduce radiation doses further while improving image resolution [108]. Developments in nanotechnology and molecular imaging may lead to more precise and targeted imaging approaches, enabling earlier detection and better monitoring of diseases at the molecular level [109].

The integration of AI is anticipated to become even more sophisticated, with AI systems playing a central role in predictive modeling and personalized medicine [110]. Future AI applications may include real-time risk assessment tools that continuously monitor and adjust imaging protocols based on patient-specific data [111]. Additionally, AI-driven analytics could enhance the development of new imaging techniques and guide the design of more effective imaging agents with reduced adverse effects [112].

Sustainable practices and eco-friendly technologies are also expected to gain prominence in the field of imaging. Innovations in biodegradable contrast agents and waste management system advancements will likely reduce imaging procedures' environmental impact [56]. Moreover, implementing energy-efficient imaging technologies and sustainable practices within imaging facilities will contribute to a more environmentally responsible approach to medical imaging [113].

Emerging technologies, including advanced imaging modalities, AI-driven tools, and non-invasive techniques, are poised to redefine diagnostic imaging. As these technologies evolve, they have the potential to address current challenges and contribute to a safer, more efficient, and environmentally conscious future, offering solutions that reduce radiation exposure, enhance diagnostic accuracy, and improve ecological impact.

Frontiers in AI to Reduce or Eliminate Imaging Adverse Effects

The integration of AI into diagnostic imaging represents a transformative shift with the potential to significantly reduce or eliminate adverse effects associated with imaging procedures. AI technologies are increasingly being applied to enhance patient care, improve staff safety, and mitigate environmental impact.

To the Individual or Patient

Real-time AI Imaging Systems:

AI has the potential to revolutionize diagnostic imaging through real-time analysis and diagnosis. By integrating AI algorithms into imaging systems, clinicians can achieve immediate insights into imaging data, leading to quicker diagnoses and more informed clinical decisions [84]. This real-time capability can enhance patient outcomes by reducing the time to diagnosis and allowing for more timely interventions [114]. The application of AI in real-time imaging also contributes to higher diagnostic accuracy, minimizing the likelihood of missed or incorrect diagnoses [12].

Predictive and Preventive Health Monitoring:

AI-driven technologies are increasingly used for continuous health monitoring and risk prediction. By analyzing data from various sources, including imaging results, AI can identify early warning signs of potential health issues before they become critical [105]. This predictive capability supports preventive care by enabling earlier interventions and personalized treatment plans tailored to individual risk profiles [110]. AI's role in monitoring and predicting health conditions is expected to significantly enhance patient care by proactively managing health risks and improving overall outcomes [105].

AI-Driven Personalized Medicine:

Customizing treatment plans through AI analysis marks a significant advancement in personalized medicine. AI integrates imaging data with other patient information to develop tailored treatment strategies that address the specific needs of each individual [84]. This holistic approach ensures that interventions align more precisely with the patient's unique health profile, potentially improving treatment efficacy and reducing unnecessary procedures [115]. Personalized medicine powered by AI not only enhances patient care but also optimizes resource use within healthcare settings [87].

To the Medical Staff

Advanced AI Training Programs:

AI-driven training simulations and continuous education are transforming how medical staff are prepared for imaging procedures [86]. Advanced training programs utilize AI to create realistic scenarios and interactive learning experiences, enhancing staff readiness and safety [116]. These training tools improve clinical skills and decision-making abilities, ensuring that staff are well-equipped to handle complex imaging tasks and reduce the risk of errors [117]. AI's role in medical training represents a significant advancement in maintaining high standards of care and operational efficiency [87].

AI in Workforce Safety and Health Monitoring:

AI technologies are also applied to monitor and ensure the health and safety of medical staff [86]. Real-time AI monitoring systems can track staff exposure to potential hazards and identify early signs of health issues, facilitating timely interventions and preventive measures [87]. Predictive analytics further enhance staff safety by forecasting potential risks and enabling proactive management strategies [115]. This application of AI helps create a safer working environment and supports the well-being of medical professionals [118].

AI-enhanced Collaboration Tools:

The role of AI in improving interdisciplinary collaboration within imaging departments is becoming increasingly evident [12]. AI-enhanced collaboration tools facilitate communication and coordination among healthcare professionals, leading to more effective teamwork and improved clinical outcomes [119]. By streamlining workflows and providing real-time data sharing, AI tools contribute to more efficient and collaborative decision-making processes, ultimately benefiting patient care and operational efficiency [87].

To the Environment and Ecology

AI in Environmental Impact Mitigation:

AI-driven strategies are being developed to address environmental challenges associated with imaging practices [12]. These strategies include optimizing resource use, reducing waste, and minimizing the environmental footprint of imaging procedures [79]. Case studies of AI-led environmental projects demonstrate AI's potential to drive significant sustainability improvements in medical imaging [120]. By integrating AI into environmental management practices, imaging facilities can enhance their efforts to mitigate ecological harm [96].

Sustainable Development Goals and AI Integration:

AI is crucial in advancing sustainability within medical imaging, contributing to global sustainability goals [84]. The integration of AI in achieving these goals includes developing eco-friendly imaging technologies and practices [121]. Future directions for AI in medical imaging involve further innovations that align with sustainability objectives, promoting a balance between technological advancement and environmental stewardship [84].

Future Prospects and Innovations:

Emerging AI technologies offer the potential for advancing imaging practices [122]. Innovations in AI are expected to enhance real-time monitoring, improve predictive capabilities, and support sustainable development efforts [105]. The vision for a safe and environmentally responsible imaging future involves leveraging AI to address current challenges and drive continuous improvement in patient care, staff safety, and ecological impact [87].

Ecological Impact of Chemical Runoff into Water Supplies:

Analyzing chemical contamination from imaging facilities highlights the need for effective monitoring and mitigation strategies [123]. AI-driven solutions are being developed to track and manage chemical runoff, reducing its impact on water supplies and ecosystems [124]. By implementing real-time AI analytics, facilities can better monitor exposure levels and address potential environmental hazards associated with imaging practices [12].

AI enhances diagnostic accuracy, personalizes patient care, and introduces innovative solutions that safeguard medical staff and streamline processes. AI technology advancements address and mitigate the adverse effects traditionally associated with imaging procedures.

Future Directions in Imaging Technology and Research

Other healthcare technology integration and innovative collaborations are crucial for enhancing diagnostic capabilities, improving patient outcomes, and mitigating the adverse impacts associated with medical imaging.

Table 3 highlights emerging trends in medical imaging, focusing on advancements that address the adverse effects on patients, medical staff, and the environment through technology integration and innovative collaborations.

Table 3: Imaging Technology and Research Advances and Innovations

Area	Description	Impact
Genomics and Imaging	Integrating imaging with genetic data for personalized medicine	Enables precise diagnostics, reduces repeat imaging, and minimizes radiation exposure
Telemedicine and Imaging	Real-time image sharing and remote consultations via telemedicine	Expands access to care, reduces unnecessary travel, and limits environmental impact
Artificial Intelligence (AI)	Utilization of AI for enhanced image analysis and pattern recognition	Decreases diagnostic errors, streamlines processes, and reduces the need for repeat imaging
3D and 4D Imaging	Advanced modalities providing detailed and dynamic views of anatomical structures	Improves visualization, leads to earlier diagnoses, and reduces additional imaging needs
Tech Companies and Healthcare	Collaboration for the development of advanced imaging solutions integrating AI and data analytics	Enhances accuracy, optimizes resource use, and minimizes environmental impact
Research Institutions and Industry	Joint efforts to translate novel imaging techniques from research to clinical practice	Promotes rapid adoption of innovations, improving early detection and reducing patient risks

Sources: [12,13,57,84,86,112,125–136]

Interdisciplinary collaboration and partnership cooperation can significantly improve diagnostic accuracy, patient outcomes, and healthcare delivery while addressing the negative effects on patients, medical staff, and the environment.

Conclusion

Conventional medical imaging methods have been linked to adverse effects such as radiation exposure, allergic reactions, and environmental contamination. However, recent innovations offer promising solutions. Advanced imaging modalities, including photon-counting computed tomography (CT) scanners and ultra-high-field magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) systems, provide high-resolution images with reduced radiation doses, enhancing diagnostic accuracy while minimizing patient exposure. Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) has further improved the field by automating tasks, enhancing image analysis, and personalizing protocols with applications in real-time analysis and predictive health monitoring. Non-invasive techniques, such as elastography and photoacoustic imaging, reduce reliance on ionizing radiation and prioritize patient safety. Also, AI-driven strategies and sustainable practices address environmental concerns, including waste management and ecological harm, with innovations in biodegradable contrast agents and energy-efficient technologies reflecting a commitment to environmental responsibility. Economic assessments highlight the cost-effectiveness of imaging technologies and their impact on healthcare budgets, though disparities in access persist. Advancements in imaging technologies and AI offer the potential for further improvements in diagnostic practices, patient safety, and environmental sustainability. Future research should assess the long-term health impacts of contrast agents and radiation and evaluate new low-dose and non-invasive techniques in effectively mitigating these effects. Advancing AI in imaging could improve diagnostic accuracy and workflow efficiency. Research should also explore integrating imaging with emerging technologies like genomics and telemedicine and address economic and accessibility challenges. Sustainable imaging practices, including eco-friendly technologies and improved waste management, remain a priority. Imaging technology and AI advancements are expected to enhance diagnostic practices and tackle existing challenges. Continued innovation and interdisciplinary collaboration will be essential in advancing these technologies and optimizing their benefits for patients, medical staff, and the environment.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that this paper was written without any commercial or financial relationship that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

[1] Tubiana M. [Wilhelm Conrad Röntgen and the discovery of X-rays]. Bull Acad Natl Med. 1996 Jan;180(1):97–108.

[2] Chen ZY, Wang YX, Lin Y, Zhang JS, Yang F, Zhou QL, et al. Advance of Molecular Imaging Technology and Targeted Imaging Agent in Imaging and Therapy. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:1–12. doi:10.1155/2014/819324.

[3] Schulz RA, Stein JA, Pelc NJ. How CT happened: the early development of medical computed tomography. J Med Imaging. 2021 Oct 29;8(5):1–16. doi:10.1117/1.JMI.8.5.053501.

[4] Lohrke J, Frenzel T, Endrikat J, Alves FC, Grist TM, Law M, et al. 25 Years of Contrast-Enhanced MRI: Developments, Current Challenges and Future Perspectives. Adv Ther. 2016 Jan;33(1):1–28. doi:10.1007/s12325-015-0275-4.

[5] Grogan SP, Mount CA. Ultrasound Physics and Instrumentation. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

[6] Salih S, Elliyanti A, Alkatheeri A, AlYafei F, Almarri B, Khan H. The Role of Molecular Imaging in Personalized Medicine. J Pers Med. 2023 Feb 19;13(2):369. doi:10.3390/jpm13020369.

[7] Power SP, Moloney F, Twomey M, James K, O'Connor OJ, Maher MM. Computed tomography and patient risk: Facts, perceptions and uncertainties. World J Radiol. 2016 Dec 28;8(12):902–15. doi:10.4329/wjr.v8.i12.902.

[8] Gracia Bara MT, Gallardo-Higueras A, Moreno EM, Laffond E, Muñoz Bellido FJ, Martin C, et al. Hypersensitivity to Gadolinium-Based Contrast Media. Front Allergy. 2022 Mar 17;3:1–6. doi:10.3389/falgy.2022.828111.

[9] Hutton J, Walker LG, Gilbert FJ, Evans DG, Eeles R, Kwan-Lim GE, et al. Psychological impact and acceptability of magnetic resonance imaging and X-ray mammography: the MARIBS Study. Br J Cancer. 2011 Feb 15;104(4):578–86. doi:10.1038/bjc.2011.15.

[10] Kang SL, Woo JH, Kim NH, Kwon JY, Kim SM. Necessity of strengthening the current clinical regulatory for companion diagnostics: An institutional comparison of the FDA, EMA, and MFDS. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev. 2023 Sep;30:447–58. doi:10.1016/j.omtm.2023.08.010.

[11] Frane N, Bitterman A. Radiation Safety and Protection. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

[12] Najjar R. Redefining Radiology: A Review of Artificial Intelligence Integration in Medical Imaging. Diagnostics. 2023 Aug 25;13(17):2760. doi:10.3390/diagnostics13172760.

[13] Hussain S, Mubeen I, Ullah N, Shah SSUD, Khan BA, Zahoor M, et al. Modern Diagnostic Imaging Technique Applications and Risk Factors in the Medical Field: A Review. Biomed Res Int. 2022 Jun 6;2022:1–19. doi:10.1155/2022/7898157.

[14] Crișan G, Moldovean-Cioroianu NS, Timaru DG, Andrieș G, Căinap C, Chiș V. Radiopharmaceuticals for PET and SPECT Imaging: A Literature Review over the Last Decade. Int J Mol Sci. 2022 Apr 30;23(9):5023. doi:10.3390/ijms23095023.

[15] Mujoomdar M, Russell E, Dionne F, et al. Optimizing Health System Use of Medical Isotopes and Other Imaging Modalities. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2012. Available from: https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/Medical_Isotopes_Monograph_e.pdf

[16] Patel PR, De Jesus O. CT Scan. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

[17] Ashby K, Adams BN, Shetty M. Appropriate Magnetic Resonance Imaging Ordering. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

[18] Ulrich CC, Dewald O. Pregnancy Ultrasound Evaluation. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

[19] Anand S, Singh H, Dash A. Clinical Applications of PET and PET-CT. Med J Armed Forces India. 2009 Oct;65(4):353–8. doi:10.1016/S0377-1237(09)80159-3.

[20] Yandrapalli S, Puckett Y. SPECT Imaging. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

[21] Shalom NE, Gong GX, Auster M. Fluoroscopy: An essential diagnostic modality in the age of high-resolution cross-sectional imaging. World J Radiol. 2020 Oct 28;12(10):213–30. doi:10.4329/wjr.v12.i10.213.
[22] Aumann S, Donner S, Fischer J, Müller F. Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT): Principle and Technical Realization. In: Bille JF, editor. High Resolution Imaging in Microscopy and Ophthalmology. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019. p. 59–85. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-16638-0_4.
[23] Smith-Bindman R. Radiation Dose Associated With Common Computed Tomography Examinations and the Associated Lifetime Attributable Risk of Cancer. Arch Intern Med. 2009 Dec 14;169(22):2078–86. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2009.427.
[24] Endara-Mina J, Kumar H, Ghosh B, Mehta A, Chandra Dey R, Singh P, et al. Comparative use of ultrasound and radiography for the detection of fractures: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. Ann Med Surg. 2023 Oct;85(10):5085–95. doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2023.104513.
[25] Hussain O, Kaushal M, Agarwal N, Kurpad S, Shabani S. The Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Computed Tomography in Spinal Cord Injury. Life (Basel). 2023 Aug 3;13(8):1680. doi:10.3390/life13081680.
[26] Dudhe SS, Mishra G, Parihar P, Nimodia D, Kumari A. Radiation Dose Optimization in Radiology: A Comprehensive Review of Safeguarding Patients and Preserving Image Fidelity. Cureus. 2024 May 22. doi:10.7759/cureus.13177.
[27] Baerlocher MO, Asch M, Myers A. Allergic-type reactions to radiographic contrast media. Can Med Assoc J. 2010 Sep 7;182(12):1328. doi:10.1503/cmaj.092179.
[28] Brodersen J, Siersma VD. Long-Term Psychosocial Consequences of False-Positive Screening Mammography. Ann Fam Med. 2013 Mar 1;11(2):106–15. doi:10.1370/afm.1466.
[29] Sorensen G, Sparer E, Williams JAR, Gundersen D, Boden LI, Dennerlein JT, et al. Measuring Best Practices for Workplace Safety, Health, and Well-Being. J Occup Environ Med. 2018 May;60(5):430–9. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000001285.
[30] Hoe VC, Urquhart DM, Kelsal HL, Zamri EN, Sim MR. Ergonomic interventions for preventing work-related musculoskeletal disorders of the upper limb and neck among office workers. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018 Oct 1;(10). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008570.pub3.
[31] Rink LC, Oyesanya TO, Adair KC, Humphreys JC, Silva SG, Sexton JB. Stressors Among Healthcare Workers: A Summative Content Analysis. Glob Qual Nurs Res. 2023 Jan 30;10:233339362311611. doi: 10.1177/23333936231161171.
[32] Kenny C, Priyadarshini A. Review of Current Healthcare Waste Management Methods and Their Effect on Global Health. Healthcare. 2021 Mar 5;9(3):284. doi: 10.3390/healthcare9030284.
[33] Akram S, Chowdhury YS. Radiation Exposure Of Medical Imaging. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.
[34] Kerna NA, Holets HM, Anderson II J, Flores JV, Pruitt KD, McKee D, et al. Heavy Metals and Human Health: From Neurological Disorders to Developmental Delays. Eur J Ecol Biol Agric. 2024;1(3):152–84.
[35] Bashir I, Lone FA, Bhat RA, Mir SA, Dar ZA, Dar SA. Concerns and Threats of Contamination on Aquatic Ecosystems. In: Bioremediation and Biotechnology. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2020. p. 1–26. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-35691-0_1.
[36] Kaller MO, An J. Contrast Agent Toxicity. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.
[37] Crawford L, Wyatt M, Bryers J, Ratner B. Biocompatibility Evolves: Phenomenology to Toxicology to Regeneration. Adv Healthc Mater. 2021 Jun 7;10(11):2100120. doi: 10.1002/adhm.202100120.
[38] Ibrahim MA, Hazhirkarzar B, Dublin AB. Gadolinium Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.
[39] Abbas M, Moussa M, Akel H. Type I Hypersensitivity Reaction. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.
[40] Pasternak JJ, Williamson EE. Clinical Pharmacology, Uses, and Adverse Reactions of Iodinated Contrast Agents: A Primer for the Non-radiologist. Mayo Clin Proc. 2012 Apr;87(4):390–402. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.01.012.
[41] Kang HR, Jeong J, Brockow K. Diagnosis and Prevention of Hypersensitivity Reactions to Iodinated Contrast Media. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2022 Jul;14(4):348–57. doi: 10.4168/aair.2022.14.4.348.
[42] Kwon C, Kang KM, Choi YH, Yoo RE, Sohn CH, Han SS, et al. Renal Safety of Repeated Intravascular Administrations of Iodinated or Gadolinium-Based Contrast Media within a Short Interval. Korean J Radiol. 2021 Sep;22(9):1547–55. doi: 10.3348/kjr.2020.1352.
[43] Solomon RJ, Mehran R, Natarajan MK, Doucet S, Katholi RE, Staniloae CS, et al. Contrast-Induced Nephropathy and Long-Term Adverse Events. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009 Jul;4(7):1162–9. doi: 10.2215/CJN.02220409.
[44] Wahsner J, Gale EM, Rodríguez-Rodríguez A, Caravan P. Chemistry of MRI Contrast Agents: Current Challenges and New Frontiers. Chem Rev. 2019 Jan 23;119(2):957–1057. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00363.
[45] National Research Council (US) Committee on Prudent Practices in the Laboratory. Prudent Practices in the Laboratory: Handling and Management of Chemical Hazards. 6th ed. Washington (DC): National

Academies Press (US); 2011. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK55876/
[46] Kening MZ, Groen K. Personal Protective Equipment. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.
[47] Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. Creating Safety Systems in Health Care Organizations. In: Kohn L, Corrigan J, Donaldson M, editors. To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System. Washington (DC): National Academy Press; 2000. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25077248/
[48] Mistri IU, Badge A, Shahu S. Enhancing Patient Safety Culture in Hospitals. Cureus. 2023 Dec 27. doi: 10.7759/cureus.35240.
[49] Eyre AJ, Hick JL, Thorne CD. Personal Protective Equipment. In: Ciottone's Disaster Medicine. Elsevier; 2016. p. 294–301. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-28665-7.00032-8.
[50] Hughes RG. Tools and Strategies for Quality Improvement and Patient Safety. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2008.
[51] Saleem F, Malik MI. Safety Management and Safety Performance Nexus: Role of Safety Consciousness, Safety Climate, and Responsible Leadership. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Oct 21;19(20):13686. doi: 10.3390/ijerph192013686.
[52] Lele D. Occupational health surveillance. Indian J Occup Environ Med. 2018 Sep;22(3):117–21. doi: 10.4103/ijoem.IJOEM_63_18.
[53] Clarke GM, Conti S, Wolters AT, Steventon A. Evaluating the impact of healthcare interventions using routine data. BMJ. 2019 Jun 20;l2239. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l2239.
[54] Najjar R. Radiology's Ionising Radiation Paradox: Weighing the Indispensable Against the Detrimental in Medical Imaging. Cureus. 2023 Jul 10. doi: 10.7759/cureus.42989.
[55] Søvold LE, Naslund JA, Kousoulis AA, Saxena S, Qoronfleh MW, Grobler C, et al. Prioritizing the Mental Health and Well-Being of Healthcare Workers: An Urgent Global Public Health Priority. Front Public Health. 2021 May 7;9. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.679397.
[56] Dekker HM, Stroomberg GJ, Prokop M. Tackling the increasing contamination of the water supply by iodinated contrast media. Insights Imaging. 2022 Dec 24;13(1):30. doi: 10.1186/s13244-022-01178-8.
[57] Smith A, Severn M. Reducing the Environmental Impact of Clinical Care: CADTH Horizon Scan. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2023. Available from: https://www.cadth.ca/reducing-environmental-impact-clinical-care
[58] Nanda S, Patra BR, Patel R, Bakos J, Dalai AK. Innovations in applications and prospects of bioplastics and biopolymers: a review. Environ Chem Lett. 2022 Feb 29;20(1):379–95. doi: 10.1007/s10311-021-01325-2.
[59] Li C, Ahmad SF, Ahmad Ayassrah AYAB, Irshad M, Telba AA, Mahrous Awwad E, et al. Green production and green technology for sustainability: The mediating role of waste reduction and energy use. Heliyon. 2023 Dec;9(12). doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22496.
[60] Picano E, Mangia C, D'Andrea A. Climate Change, Carbon Dioxide Emissions, and Medical Imaging Contribution. J Clin Med. 2022 Dec 27;12(1):215. doi: 10.3390/jcm12010215.
[61] Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Clinical Research Involving Children. Regulatory Compliance, Accreditation, and Quality Improvement. In: Field M, Behrman R, editors. Ethical Conduct of Clinical Research Involving Children. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2004. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK25573/
[62] Benson C, Obasi IC, Akinwande DV, Ile C. The impact of interventions on health, safety and environment in the process industry. Heliyon. 2024 Jan;10(1). doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e23604.
[63] Yu L, Liu X, Leng S, Kofler JM, Ramirez-Giraldo JC, Qu M, et al. Radiation dose reduction in computed tomography: techniques and future perspective. Imaging Med. 2009 Oct;1(1):65–84.
[64] McCollough CH, Primak AN, Braun N, Kofler J, Yu L, Christner J. Strategies for Reducing Radiation Dose in CT. Radiol Clin North Am. 2009 Jan;47(1):27–40. doi: 10.1016/j.rcl.2008.10.006.
[65] Committee on Diagnostic Error in Health Care. The Diagnostic Process. In: Balogh EP, Miller BT, Ball JR, editors. Improving Diagnosis in Health Care. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2015. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK338593/
[66] Ferreira DC, Vieira I, Pedro MI, Caldas P, Varela M. Patient Satisfaction with Healthcare Services and the Techniques Used for its Assessment: A Systematic Literature Review and a Bibliometric Analysis. Healthcare. 2023 Feb 21;11(5):639. doi: 10.3390/healthcare11050639.
[67] Kabasawa H. MR Imaging in the 21st Century: Technical Innovation over the First Two Decades. Magn Reson Med Sci. 2022;21(1).2021-0011. doi: 10.2463/mrms.rev.2021-0011.
[68] Alowais SA, Alghamdi SS, Alsuhebany N, Alqahtani T, Alshaya AI, Almohareb SN, et al. Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. BMC Med Educ. 2023 Sep 22;23(1):689. doi: 10.1186/s12909-023-04596-4.

[69] Pulumati A, Pulumati A, Dwarakanath BS, Verma A, Papineni RVL. Technological advancements in cancer diagnostics: Improvements and limitations. Cancer Rep. 2023 Feb 6;6(2). doi: 10.1002/cnr2.1760.

[70] Boice J, Dauer LT, Kase KR, Mettler FA, Vetter RJ. Evolution of radiation protection for medical workers. Br J Radiol. 2020 Aug;93(1112):20190362. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20190362.

[71] Fakhoury E, Provencher JA, Subramaniam R, Finlay DJ. Not all lightweight lead aprons and thyroid shields are alike. J Vasc Surg. 2019 Jul;70(1):246–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2018.08.171.

[72] Cheon BK, Kim CL, Kim KR, Kang MH, Lim JA, Woo NS, et al. Radiation safety: a focus on lead aprons and thyroid shields in interventional pain management. Korean J Pain. 2018 Oct;31(4):244–52. doi: 10.3344/kjp.2018.31.4.244.

[73] Becker AS, Chaim J, Vargas HA. Streamlining Radiology Workflows Through the Development and Deployment of Automated Microservices. J Imaging Inform Med. 2024 Feb 13;37(3):945–51. doi: 10.2214/AJR.24.3.945.

[74] Tay YX, Kothan S, Kada S, Cai S, Lai CWK. Challenges and optimization strategies in medical imaging service delivery during COVID-19. World J Radiol. 2021 May 28;13(5):102–21. doi: 10.4329/wjr.v13.i5.102.

[75] Burke W, Stranieri A, Oseni T, Gondal I. The need for cybersecurity self-evaluation in healthcare. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2024 May 23;24(1):133. doi: 10.1186/s12911-024-02003-w.

[76] Pottle J. Virtual reality and the transformation of medical education. Future Healthc J. 2019 Oct;6(3):181–5. doi: 10.7861/fhj.2019-0036.

[77] Moslehi S, Masoumi G, Barghi-Shirazi F. Benefits of simulation-based education in hospital emergency departments: A systematic review. J Educ Health Promot. 2022;11(1):40. doi: 10.4103/jehp.jehp_1862_21.

[78] Mistry D, Brock CA, Lindsey T. The Present and Future of Virtual Reality in Medical Education: A Narrative Review. Cureus. 2023 Dec 26. doi: 10.7759/cureus.37678.

[79] Mariampillai J, Rockall A, Manuellian C, Cartwright S, Taylor S, Deng M, et al. The green and sustainable radiology department. Radiologie. 2023 Nov 18;63(S2):21–6. doi: 10.1007/s00117-023-00756-6.

[80] Anudjo MNK, Vitale C, Elshami W, Hancock A, Adeleke S, Franklin JM, et al. Considerations for environmental sustainability in clinical radiology and radiotherapy practice: A systematic literature review and recommendations for a greener practice. Radiography. 2023 Oct;29(6):1077–92. doi: 10.1016/j.radi.2023.05.014.

[81] Kruk ME, Gage AD, Arsenault C, Jordan K, Leslie HH, Roder-DeWan S, et al. High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: time for a revolution. Lancet Glob Health. 2018 Nov;6(11)–252. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3.

[82] Kheirabadi S, Sheikhi A. Recent advances and challenges in recycling and reusing biomedical materials. Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem. 2022 Dec;38:100695. doi: 10.1016/j.cogsc.2022.100695.

[83] Tanveer M, Khan SAR, Umar M, Yu Z, Sajid MJ, Haq IU. Waste management and green technology: future trends in circular economy leading towards environmental sustainability. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2022 Nov;29(53):80161–78. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-22183-5.

[84] Pinto-Coelho L. How Artificial Intelligence Is Shaping Medical Imaging Technology: A Survey of Innovations and Applications. Bioengineering. 2023 Dec 18;10(12):1435. doi: 10.3390/bioengineering10121435.

[85] Aamir A, Iqbal A, Jawed F, Ashfaque F, Hafsa H, Anas Z, et al. Exploring the current and prospective role of artificial intelligence in disease diagnosis. Ann Med Surg. 2024 Feb;86(2):943–9. doi: 10.1097/adm.000000000000943.

[86] Bajwa J, Munir U, Nori A, Williams B. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: transforming the practice of medicine. Future Healthc J. 2021 Jul;8(2)–94. doi: 10.7861/fhj.2021-0038.

[87] Maleki Varnosfaderani S, Forouzanfar M. The Role of AI in Hospitals and Clinics: Transforming Healthcare in the 21st Century. Bioengineering. 2024 Mar 29;11(4):337. doi: 10.3390/bioengineering11040337.

[88] Goetz LH, Schork NJ. Personalized medicine: motivation, challenges, and progress. Fertil Steril. 2018 Jun;109(6):952–63. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.05.012.

[89] Dixon D, Sattar H, Moros N, Kesireddy SR, Ahsan H, Lakkimsetti M, et al. Unveiling the Influence of AI Predictive Analytics on Patient Outcomes: A Comprehensive Narrative Review. Cureus. 2024 May 9. doi: 10.7759/cureus.41389.

[90] Chen Z, Liang N, Zhang H, Li H, Yang Y, Zong X, et al. Harnessing the power of clinical decision support systems: challenges and opportunities. Open Heart. 2023 Nov 28;10(2):e002432.

[91] Khosravi M, Zare Z, Mojtabaeian SM, Izadi R. Artificial Intelligence and Decision-Making in Healthcare: A Thematic Analysis of a Systematic Review of Reviews. Health Serv Res Manag Epidemiol. 2024 Jan 5;11. doi: 10.1177/23333936231161l.

[92] Chau M. Enhancing safety culture in radiology: Key practices and recommendations for sustainable excellence. Radiography. 2024 Jun;30:9–16. doi: 10.1016/j.radi.2023.05.014.

[93] Fang B, Yu J, Chen Z, Osman AI, Farghali M, Ihara I, et al. Artificial intelligence for waste management in smart cities: a review. Environ Chem Lett. 2023 Aug 9;21(4):1959–89. doi: 10.1007/s10311-023-01561-3.
[94] Kumar A, Thakur AK, Gaurav GK, Klemeš JJ, Sandhwar VK, Pant KK, et al. A critical review on sustainable hazardous waste management strategies: a step towards a circular economy. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2023 Sep 19;30(48):105030–55. doi: 10.1007/s11356-023-26736-3.
[95] Gautam K, Pandey N, Yadav D, Parthasarathi R, Turner A, Anbumani S, et al. Ecotoxicological impacts of landfill sites: Towards risk assessment, mitigation policies and the role of artificial intelligence. Sci Total Environ. 2024 Jun;927:171804. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.171804.
[96] Richie C. Environmentally sustainable development and use of artificial intelligence in health care. Bioethics. 2022 Jun 15;36(5):547–55. doi: 10.1111/bioe.13006.
[97] Fitzpatrick PJ. Improving health literacy using the power of digital communications to achieve better health outcomes for patients and practitioners. Front Digit Health. 2023 Nov 17;5. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2023.12345.
[98] Gibson C, Smith D, Morrison AK. Improving Health Literacy Knowledge, Behaviors, and Confidence with Interactive Training. HLRP: Health Literacy Res Pract. 2022 Apr;6(2). doi: 10.3928/24748307-20220328-01.
[99] Krist AH, Tong ST, Aycock RA, Longo DR. Engaging Patients in Decision-Making and Behavior Change to Promote Prevention. Stud Health Technol Inform. 2017;240:284–302. doi: 10.3233/978-1-61499-803-2-284.
[100] Montori VM, Ruissen MM, Hargraves IG, Brito JP, Kunneman M. Shared decision-making as a method of care. BMJ Evid Based Med. 2023 Aug;28(4):213–7. doi: 10.1136/bmjebm-2022-111090.
[101] Thompson MJ, Suchsland MZ, Hardy V, Lavallee DC, Lord S, Devine EB, et al. Patient-centred outcomes of imaging tests: recommendations for patients, clinicians and researchers. BMJ Qual Saf. 2023 Sep;32(9):536–45. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2022-015375.
[102] Bomhof CHC, van Bodegom L, Vernooij MW, Pinxten W, de Beaufort ID, Bunnik EM. The Impact of Incidental Findings Detected During Brain Imaging on Research Participants of the Rotterdam Study: An Interview Study. Camb Q Healthc Ethics. 2020 Oct 7;29(4):542–56. doi: 10.1017/S0963180120000443.
[103] Jingili N, Oyelere SS, Nyström MBT, Anyshchenko L. A systematic review on the efficacy of virtual reality and gamification interventions for managing anxiety and depression. Front Digit Health. 2023 Nov 7;5. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2023.125345.
[104] Lachance C, Horton J. Photon-Counting CT: High Resolution, Less Radiation: Emerging Health Technologies. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2024. Available from: https://www.cadth.ca/photon-counting-ct-emerging-technologies
[105] Davenport T, Kalakota R. The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. Future Healthc J. 2019 Jun;6(2):94–8. doi: 10.7861/futurehosp.6-2-94.
[106] Singh MS, Thomas A. Photoacoustic elastography imaging: a review. J Biomed Opt. 2019 Apr 30;24(04):1. doi: 10.1117/1.JBO.24.4.040901.
[107] Nabrawi E, Alanazi AT. Imaging in Healthcare: A Glance at the Present and a Glimpse Into the Future. Cureus. 2023 Mar 14. doi: 10.7759/cureus.36012.
[108] Summerlin D, Willis J, Boggs R, Johnson LM, Porter KK. Radiation Dose Reduction Opportunities in Vascular Imaging. Tomography. 2022 Oct 21;8(5):2618–38. doi: 10.3390/tomography8050178.
[109] Sim S, Wong N. Nanotechnology and its use in imaging and drug delivery (Review). Biomed Rep. 2021 Mar 5;14(5):42. doi: 10.3892/br.2021.1415.
[110] Johnson KB, Wei W, Weeraratne D, Frisse ME, Misulis K, Rhee K, et al. Precision Medicine, AI, and the Future of Personalized Health Care. Clin Transl Sci. 2021 Jan 12;14(1):86–93. doi: 10.1111/cts.12877.
[111] Krishnan G, Singh S, Pathania M, Gosavi S, Abhishek S, Parchani A, et al. Artificial intelligence in clinical medicine: catalyzing a sustainable global healthcare paradigm. Front Artif Intell. 2023 Aug 29;6. doi: 10.3389/frai.2023.124789.
[112] Hosny A, Parmar C, Quackenbush J, Schwartz LH, Aerts HJWL. Artificial intelligence in radiology. Nat Rev Cancer. 2018 Aug 17;18(8):500–10. doi: 10.1038/s41568-018-0016-5.
[113] Roletto A, Zanardo M, Bonfitto GR, Catania D, Sardanelli F, Zanoni S. The environmental impact of energy consumption and carbon emissions in radiology departments: a systematic review. Eur Radiol Exp. 2024 Feb 29;8(1):35. doi: 10.1186/s41747-024-00314-0.
[114] Gala D, Behl H, Shah M, Makaryus AN. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Improving Patient Outcomes and Future of Healthcare Delivery in Cardiology: A Narrative Review of the Literature. Healthcare. 2024 Feb 16;12(4):481. doi: 10.3390/healthcare12040481.
[115] Bhati D, Deogade MS, Kanyal D. Improving Patient Outcomes Through Effective Hospital Administration: A Comprehensive Review. Cureus. 2023 Oct 26. doi: 10.7759/cureus.39680.
[116] Chen Z. Artificial Intelligence-Virtual Trainer: Innovative Didactics Aimed at Personalized Training Needs. J Knowl Econ. 2023 Jun 24;14(2):2007–25. doi: 10.1007/s13132-023-01234-2.
[117] Sutton RT, Pincock D, Baumgart DC, Sadowski DC, Fedorak RN, Kroeker KI. An overview of clinical decision support systems: benefits, risks, and strategies

for success. NPJ Digit Med. 2020 Feb 6;3(1):17. doi: 10.1038/s41746-020-0221-y.
[118] Bohr A, Memarzadeh K. The rise of artificial intelligence in healthcare applications. In: Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare. Elsevier; 2020. p. 25–60. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-818438-7.00002-2.
[119] Ramachandran M, Brinton C, Wiljer D, Upshur R, Gray CS. The impact of eHealth on relationships and trust in primary care: a review of reviews. BMC Prim Care. 2023 Nov 3;24(1):228. doi: 10.1186/s12875-023-02167-9.
[120] Doo FX, Vosshenrich J, Cook TS, Moy L, Almeida EPRP, Woolen SA, et al. Environmental Sustainability and AI in Radiology: A Double-Edged Sword. Radiology. 2024 Feb 1;310(2).
[121] Vinuesa R, Azizpour H, Leite I, Balaam M, Dignum V, Domisch S, et al. The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. Nat Commun. 2020 Jan 13;11(1):233. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y.
[122] Obuchowicz R, Strzelecki M, Piórkowski A. Clinical Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging and Image Processing—A Review. Cancers (Basel). 2024 May 14;16(10):1870. doi: 10.3390/cancers16101870.
[123] European Society of Radiology. Patient Safety in Medical Imaging: a joint paper of the European Society of Radiology (ESR) and the European Federation of Radiographer Societies (EFRS). Insights Imaging. 2019 Dec 5;10(1):45. doi: 10.1186/s13244-019-0738-y.
[124] Maroju RG, Choudhari SG, Shaikh MK, Borkar SK, Mendhe H. Application of Artificial Intelligence in the Management of Drinking Water: A Narrative Review. Cureus. 2023 Nov 24. doi: 10.7759/cureus.41027.
[125] Szigetvári G, Mesko B. A review of technology giants' healthcare collaborations. Mhealth. 2023 Apr;9:17–17. doi: 10.21037/mhealth-22-50.
[126] Haleem A, Javaid M, Singh RP, Suman R. Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications. Sensors Int. 2021;2:100117. doi: 10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100117.
[127] Strianese O, Rizzo F, Ciccarelli M, Galasso G, D'Agostino Y, Salvati A, et al. Precision and Personalized Medicine: How Genomic Approach Improves the Management of Cardiovascular and Neurodegenerative Disease. Genes (Basel). 2020 Jul 6;11(7):747. doi: 10.3390/genes11070747.
[128] Wang RC, Wang Z. Precision Medicine: Disease Subtyping and Tailored Treatment. Cancers (Basel). 2023 Jul 28;15(15):3837. doi: 10.3390/cancers15153837.
[129] Kerns SL, Chuang KH, Hall W, Werner Z, Chen Y, Ostrer H, et al. Radiation biology and oncology in the genomic era. Br J Radiol. 2018 Nov 1;91(1091). doi: 10.1259/bjr.20170948.
[130] Anawade PA, Sharma D, Gahane S. A Comprehensive Review on Exploring the Impact of Telemedicine on Healthcare Accessibility. Cureus. 2024 Mar 12. doi: 10.7759/cureus.43167.
[131] Tang X. The role of artificial intelligence in medical imaging research. BJR|Open. 2020 Nov;2(1):20190031. doi: 10.1259/bjro.20190031.
[132] Kwon SH, Gopal AS. 3D and 4D Ultrasound: Current Progress and Future Perspectives. Curr Cardiovasc Imaging Rep. 2017 Dec 10;10(12):43. doi: 10.1007/s12410-017-9448-4.
[133] Smith-Bindman R, Miglioretti DL, Johnson E, Lee C, Feigelson HS, Flynn M, et al. Use of Diagnostic Imaging Studies and Associated Radiation Exposure for Patients Enrolled in Large Integrated Health Care Systems, 1996-2010. JAMA. 2012 Jun 13;307(22):2400–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.5960.
[134] Abernethy A, Adams L, Barrett M, Bechtel C, Brennan P, Butte A, et al. The Promise of Digital Health: Then, Now, and the Future. NAM Perspect. 2022 Jun 27;6(22). doi: 10.31478/202206e.
[135] Junaid SB, Imam AA, Balogun AO, De Silva LC, Surakat YA, Kumar G, et al. Recent Advancements in Emerging Technologies for Healthcare Management Systems: A Survey. Healthcare. 2022 Oct 3;10(10):1940. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10101940.
[136] Pantanowitz L, Bui MM, Chauhan C, ElGabry E, Hassell L, Li Z, et al. Rules of engagement: Promoting academic-industry partnership in the era of digital pathology and artificial intelligence. Acad Pathol. 2022;9(1):100026. doi: 10.1016/j.acpath.2022.100026.
[137] Shukla S, Chug A, Afrashtehfar K. Role of cone beam computed tomography in diagnosis and treatment planning in dentistry: An update. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent. 2017;7(9):125. doi: 10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_181_17.
[138] Nguyen PK, Wu JC. Radiation exposure from imaging tests: is there an increased cancer risk? Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2011 Feb 10;9(2):177–83. doi: 10.1586/erc.10.183.
[139] Chawla S, Kerna NA, Flores J V., Ngwu DC, Carsrud NDV, Holets HM, et al. Environmental Heavy Metals: Adverse Effects on the Human Skeletal System. EC Orthop. 2023;14(8):01–17. Available from: https://www.ecronicon.com/ecor/pdf/ECOR-14-00810.pdf
[140] Siddiqua A, Hahladakis JN, Al-Attiya WAKA. An overview of the environmental pollution and health effects associated with waste landfilling and open dumping. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2022 Aug 1;29(39):58514–36. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-21118-0.
[141] Bansal GJ. Digital radiography. A comparison with modern conventional imaging. Postgrad Med J. 2006 Jul 1;82(969):425–8. doi: 10.1136/pgmj.2005.042911.

[142] Thomas M V., Jarboe G, Frazer RQ. Regulatory Compliance in the Dental Office. Dent Clin North Am. 2008 Jul;52(3):629–39. doi: 10.1016/j.cden.2008.02.003.
[143] Oh H, Son W. Cybersickness and Its Severity Arising from Virtual Reality Content: A Comprehensive Study. Sensors. 2022 Feb 9;22(4):1314. doi: 10.3390/s22041314.
[144] Javvaji CK, Reddy H, Vagha JD, Taksande A, Kommareddy A, Reddy N sudheesh. Immersive Innovations: Exploring the Diverse Applications of Virtual Reality (VR) in Healthcare. Cureus. 2024 Mar 14. doi: 10.7759/cureus.42892.
[145] Kouijzer MMTE, Kip H, Bouman YHA, Kelders SM. Implementation of virtual reality in healthcare: a scoping review on the implementation process of virtual reality in various healthcare settings. Implement Sci Commun. 2023 Jun 16;4(1):67. doi: 10.1186/s43058-023-00426-1.
[146] Valentin G, Nielsen CV, Nielsen ASM, Tonnesen M, Bliksted KL, Jensen KT, et al. Bridging Inequity Gaps in Healthcare Systems While Educating Future Healthcare Professionals—The Social Health Bridge-Building Programme. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023 Sep 27;20(19):6837. doi: 10.3390/ijerph20196837.
[147] Farra SL, Gneuhs M, Hodgson E, Kawosa B, Miller ET, Simon A, et al. Comparative Cost of Virtual Reality Training and Live Exercises for Training Hospital Workers for Evacuation. CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing. 2019 Sep;37(9):446–54. doi: 10.1097/CIN.0000000000000548.
[148] Baniasadi T, Ayyoubzadeh SM, Mohammadzadeh N. Challenges and Practical Considerations in Applying Virtual Reality in Medical Education and Treatment. Oman Med J. 2020 May 15;35(3)–e125. doi: 10.5001/omj.2020.42.
[149] Grissinger M. Understanding Human Over-Reliance On Technology. P T. 2019 Jun;44(6):320–75. Available from: https://www.ptcommunity.com/journal/article/full/2019/6/320/understanding-human-over-reliance-technology
[150] Parvez SM, Jahan F, Brune MN, Gorman JF, Rahman MJ, Carpenter D, et al. Health consequences of exposure to e-waste: an updated systematic review. Lancet Planet Health. 2021 Dec;5(12)–20. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00286-4.
[151] Kerna NA, Ngwu DC, Azi CI, Holets HM, Flores J V., Pruitt KD, et al. The Morbid Impact of Environmental Toxins on the Human Nervous System: Dystonia Nexus with Organic Solvents, Pesticides, and Heavy Metals. Eur J Ecol Biol Agric. 2024 Jul 1;1(3):101–14. Available from: https://www.ejeab.com/pdf/eco_dystonia_nervous.pdf
[152] Pellegrino A, Wang R, Stasi A. Exploring the intersection of sustainable consumption and the Metaverse: A review of current literature and future research directions. Heliyon. 2023 Sep;9(9). doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19190.
[153] Tene T, Vique López DF, Valverde Aguirre PE, Orna Puente LM, Vacacela Gomez C. Virtual reality and augmented reality in medical education: an umbrella review. Front Digit Health. 2024 Mar 14;6. doi: 10.3389/fdgth.2024.012678.
[154] Garrido LE, Frías-Hiciano M, Moreno-Jiménez M, Cruz GN, García-Batista ZE, Guerra-Peña K, et al. Focusing on cybersickness: pervasiveness, latent trajectories, susceptibility, and effects on the virtual reality experience. Virtual Real. 2022 Dec 1;26(4):1347–71. doi: 10.1007/s10055-022-00688-3.

Annexures

Annexure 1. Benefits and Risks of Dental Imaging

Dental imaging plays a crucial role in diagnosis and treatment planning, utilizing technologies like X-rays, computed tomography (CT), and cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) [137]. While beneficial, these modalities pose potential risks and considerations:

Patient Impact: Radiation exposure from X-rays, though minimal, accumulates over time and poses a small risk of cellular damage. Proper shielding and adherence to safety protocols are crucial to minimize this risk [11,138].

Healthcare Providers: Regular exposure to radiation during imaging procedures increases healthcare providers' long-term risk of radiation-related health issues, underscoring the importance of protective measures and training [33].

Environmental Concerns: The production and disposal of imaging materials, especially those containing lead or other hazardous components, contribute to environmental pollution [139]. Recycling and proper disposal practices are essential to mitigate these impacts [79,140].

Technological Advances: Advances in digital imaging reduce radiation exposure compared to traditional film-based methods, improving safety for patients and providers alike [141].

Regulatory Framework: Stringent regulatory frameworks govern the use and disposal of dental imaging materials, ensuring safety and environmental responsibility [142].

Balancing the benefits of dental imaging with its potential risks and environmental impacts requires continuous technological innovation, strict adherence to safety protocols, and environmental stewardship in healthcare practices.

Annexure 2. Immersive Technologies in Medical Imaging

While virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and extended reality (XR) in medical imaging offer significant benefits, there are potential adverse effects and negative outcomes to consider.

Potential Adverse Effects on Patients

1. Cybersickness: Some patients may experience dizziness, nausea, and disorientation, similar to motion sickness, especially during VR experiences [143].
2. Overreliance on Technology: Overreliance on VR/AR for diagnosis and treatment planning might reduce hands-on skills and critical thinking in some cases [144].
3. Privacy Concerns: The use of VR/AR requires the storage and transmission of sensitive patient data, raising potential privacy and security issues [145].
4. Limited Accessibility: High costs and the need for specialized equipment might limit access for some patients, potentially widening the healthcare inequality gap [146].

Potential Adverse Effects on Medical Staff

1. Training Requirements: Staff need extensive training to effectively use VR/AR technologies, which can be time-consuming and costly [147].
2. Eye Strain and Fatigue: Prolonged use of VR/AR headsets can lead to eye strain, headaches, and general fatigue among medical staff [148].
3. Technical Issues: Dependence on technology means that technical malfunctions or software issues could disrupt medical procedures and workflows [149].

Potential Adverse Effects on Ecology

1. Electronic Waste: The production and eventual disposal of VR/AR hardware contribute to electronic waste, which can have environmental impacts if not properly managed [150,151].
2. Energy Consumption: VR/AR systems require substantial computational power, which can lead to increased energy consumption and associated environmental impacts [152].

Mitigation Strategies

1. Balanced Use: Integrate VR/AR with traditional methods to avoid overreliance on technology and ensure comprehensive training [153].
2. User Training: Provide thorough training for medical staff to minimize technical errors and ensure proper use of VR/AR systems [148].
3. Health Monitoring: Regularly monitor and manage symptoms of cybersickness and eye strain among users [154].
4. Data Security: Implement robust data security measures to protect patient privacy [145].
5. Sustainable Practices: Adopt environmentally friendly practices in producing, using, and disposing of VR/AR equipment [152].

While VR, AR, and XR offer substantial benefits in medical imaging, addressing and mitigating potential adverse effects on patients, medical staff, and the environment is essential. Implementing balanced and sustainable practices can help maximize the advantages while minimizing the risks.