Exercise 1 Does there exists an infinite σ -algebra which has only countably many members?

 \square

Exercise 2 Prove the analogue of Theorem 1.8. for n functions.

Theorem 1. 1.8 Let u and v be real measurable functions on a measurable space X, and Φ be a continuous mapping of the plane into a topological space Y, and define:

$$h(x) = \Phi(u(x), v(x))$$

for $x \in X$. Then $h: X \to Y$ is measurable.

Exercise 3 Prove that if f is a real function on a measurable space X such that $\{x \colon f(x) \ge r\}$ is a measurable for every rational r, then f is measurable.

Proof. Recall from theorem 1.12, that if $f^{-1}((a,\infty])$ is measurable for every real a, then f is measurable.

So let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{r_n\}$ be a sequence of rational functions such that $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots \le a$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = a$.

$$A_n = \{x \colon f(x) \ge r_n\}$$
 is measurable for all n

So note that, if x is such that $f(x) \ge a$ then $f(x) \ge r_n$ for all n. And if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $r_n \le f(x)$ then $a \le f(x)$ since if f(x) < a, then there exists m such that $f(x) < r_m < a$ since $r_n \to a$.

So we see that

$$f^{-1}((a,\infty]) = \{x \colon f(x) \ge a\} = \bigcap A_n \tag{1}$$

Since each A_n is measurable then $f^{-1}((a, \infty])$ is measurable. Since $a \in \mathbb{R}$ was chosen arbitrarly, this is true for all a, so f is measurable.

Exercise 4 Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be sequence in $[-\infty, \infty]$ and prove the following assertions:

(a)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup(-a_n) = -\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf a_n$$

(b)

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} (a_n + b_n) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n + \limsup_{n \to \infty} b_n$$

Provide none of the sums are of the form $\infty - \infty$. Also show by an example that a strict inequality can hold.

(c) If $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n then:

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} b_n$$

Proof. (a) Note that for all k, let $b_k = \sup\{-a_k, -a_{k+1}, -a_{k+2}, \ldots\}$, for all $n \ge k$:

$$-a_n \le b_k \Rightarrow -b_k \le a_n \Rightarrow -b_k = \inf \{a_k, a_{k+1}, \ldots \}$$

Now notice that

$$-\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup(-a_n) = -\inf\{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$$
$$= \sup\{-b_1, -b_2, \dots\}$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf(a_n)$$

(b) We assume that for none of the k we have $a_k + b_k$ is of the form $\infty - \infty$ and likewise we assume that $\limsup a_n + \limsup b_n$ is not of that form.

In this case all of the sums are well defined.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we let $A_k = \sup\{a_k, a_{k+1}, \ldots\}$ and $B_k = \sup\{b_k, b_{k+1}, \ldots\}$, and $C_k = \sup\{a_k + b_k, a_{k+1} + b_{k+1}, \ldots\}$. So for all k and $m, l \le k \le n$:

$$a_n + b_n \le A_k + B_k \le A_m + B_l$$

So

$$C_k \leq A_m + B_l$$
 for all $m, l \leq k$

Therefore for all m, l we have:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup (a_n + b_n) = \inf \{ C_1, C_2, \ldots \} \le A_m + B_l$$

Indeed this is true since for all $m, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there is a $k \geq m.l$ so there is a $C_k \leq A_m + B_l$.

Now we will fix l, notice that since $\limsup_{n\to\infty} (a_n+b_n) \leq A_m+B_l$, for all m, So $\limsup_{n\to\infty} (a_n+b_n)$ is a lower bound for $\{A_1+B_l,A_2+B_l,\ldots\}$, therefore:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup(a_n + b_n) \le \inf\{A_1 + B_l, A_2 + B_l, \ldots\} = \inf\{A_1, A_2, \ldots\} + B_l = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup(a_n) + B_l \tag{\dagger}$$

So (†) is true for all $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, so similarly we see that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} (a_n + b_n) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} (a_n) + \limsup_{n \to \infty} (b_n)$$

Now let $a_n = \cos^2(\frac{n\pi}{2})$ and $a_n = \sin^2(\frac{n\pi}{2})$. On the one hand we have:

$$a_n + b_n = \cos^2(\frac{n\pi}{2}) + \sin^2(\frac{n\pi}{2}) = 1$$
 for all n

So it is clear that $\limsup_{n\to\infty}(a_n+b_n)=\limsup_{n\to\infty}(1)=1$.

On the other hand we know that

$$a_n = \cos^2(\frac{n\pi}{2}) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } n = 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0 \text{ if } n = 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } b_n = \sin^2(\frac{n\pi}{2}) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } n = 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \text{ if } n = 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

So it is easy to see that $\limsup a_n = 1 = \limsup b_n$. Therefore:

$$\lim \sup(a_n + b_n) = 1 < 2 = \lim \sup(a_n) + \lim \sup(b_n)$$

(c) For all k let $A_k = \inf\{a_k, a_{k+1}, ...\}$ and $B_k = \inf\{b_k, b_{k+1}, ...\}$. We have:

$$A_k \le a_n \le b_n$$
 for all $n \ge k$

So A_k is a lower bound of $\{b_k, b_{k+1}, \ldots\}$, so

$$A_k \leq B_k$$
 for all k

Now for all n we have:

$$A_n \leq B_n \leq \sup\{B_1, B_2, \ldots\} = \liminf(b_n)$$

So $\liminf (b_n)$ is an upper bound for $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots\}$ so:

$$\lim\inf(a_n) = \sup\{A_1, A_2, \ldots\} \le \lim\inf(b_n)$$

Exercise 5

(a) Suppose $f: X \to [-\infty, \infty]$ and $g: X \to [-\infty, \infty]$ are measurable. Prove that the sets:

$${x: f(x) < g(x)}, {x: f(x) = g(x)}$$

are measurable.

- (b) Prove that the set at which a sequence of measurable real-valued functions converges (to a finite limit) is measurable.
- (a) If x is such that f(x) < g(x), then there exists a r such that f(x) < r < g(x), furthermore we can assume that $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. So for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ let us define:

$$A_r = \{x \colon f(x) < r\} \text{ and } B_r = \{x \colon r < g(x)\}$$

These sets are measurable since f, g are measurable.

Now notice that if $x \in A_r \cap B_r$, then we have f(x) < r < g(x), so we are almost done! Let us just define:

$$M = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (A_r \cap B_r)$$

This is a measurable set since it is a countable union of measurable sets. And for all $x \in M$, there is a $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x \in A_r \cap B_r \Rightarrow f(x) < r < g(x)$. Conversely for any $x \in X$ such that f(x) < g(x), there is a $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that f(x) < r < g(x) so $x \in M$.

So we indeed see that

$$M = \{x \colon f(x) < g(x)\}$$

is measurable.

(b) Prove that the set at which a sequence of measurable real-valued functions converges (to a finite limit) is measurable.