

Net Neutrality - Does it Solve a Problem and What are the Advantages?

Raz Friman
Southern Methodist University
5600 SMU Blvd. APT 1516
Dallas, Texas, 75206
rfriman@smu.edu

Jarret Shook
Southern Methodist University
5555 Amesbury Dr. APT 1303
Dallas, Texas, 75206
jshook@smu.edu

Elena Villamil
Southern Methodist University
5555 Amesbury Dr. APT 1303
Dallas, Texas, 75206
mvillamilrod@smu.edu

1. RESEARCH PROBLEM

We plan to research the problem(s) related to net neutrality and the consequences of regulating the Internet as a utility. We will provide a clear definition to what net neutrality is, and approach the effects of having a net neutral environment. To do this we will present two different consequences of having a net neutral environment with regulations. First, we will focus on the major idea that in a net neutral world, there is no restriction on bandwidth usage. If this is the case, then Internet providers will not be able to throttle back speeds based on how much network traffic there is. Therefore, we will analyze the recent and exponential increase of bandwidth usage due to video streaming and any potential effects this may have with net neutrality. In addition, we will also research and provide examples of existing networks that are treated as a utility, with specific emphasis on the electrical and telephone grid. Using this information we will analyze the effects that has had on technological advancement in the field and all other consequences. Our research will attempt to show whether these two topics present valid problems. This will allow us to draw conclusions on whether regulating Internet as utility would help to solve current problems and whether net neutrality is a rational change to address a real problem.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

We have several goals we wish to achieve in our research. First of all, we must define "Net Neutrality". Afterwards, we will attempt to explain the consequences of having a net neutral environment. With that said, we will focus on one large potential effect. This being the large amount of Internet bandwidth used by people today, and the inability of Internet Service Providers to throttle back these connections under a net neutrality law. We will research the current use of Internet bandwidth in the United States to analyze this effect reliably. This includes the usage of the bandwidth, such as the percentages of streaming video, web, email, etc. If we are approved we want to look into Southern Methodist University's network usage as a source of data for analysis. We would also like to call an Internet Service Provider (ISP) to interview them and try to get some data regarding their service and bandwidth usage. We would like to use this research and data to conclude whether the current and future usage of Internet present a problem or not to net neutrality.

Lastly, we want to research and determine if net neutrality is the solution to any existing or future problems. In the case that in the first part of our research we conclude that the current Internet usage is not and will not be a problem.

[TALK ABOUT THE REGULATING AS A UTILITY AND WHAT WE PLAN TO DO FOR THAT AS WELL.]

3. PREVIOUS RESEARCH

Although there is a multitude of information on the topic of the Internet and net neutrality, much of this information is opinionated or politically motivated. Once you start looking for true, technical, raw research, the majority of information available drops. So far, we have found analysis and data on bandwidth usage, and some research papers on net neutrality. We will be using the bandwidth information to address the first major topic we are discussing, which is a potential problem that could affect net neutral environments. [THAT LAST PART MAY NOT BE ACCURATE, AND WE PROBABLY WANT TO EXPLAIN A LITTLE MORE OF WHAT EACH ONE OF THIS SOURCES WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO OUR RESEARCH]. TODO [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [3] [12] [13]

4. RESEARCH PLAN - MILESTONES AND GOALS

A considerable amount of the time we have to complete this project will be expend researching. Having a solid research before we start the process of compiling the information and writing the report is fundamental. Thus, with this in mind we are planning on spending the first month of the three months we have to complete the project researching all the different topics mention above.

During this first month we will also work on getting approved to analyze SMU's network traffic. If given permission we will be collecting data until the end of the first month. Thus, by the end of February we aim to have most of the research done, the interview to some ISP and the data collected from SMU's network.

On February 20th we will start working on the draft due on March 5th. Our goal is to have not just the required parts for that deliverable, but at least ninety percent of the parts required for this project. The more we have done at this point, the more and better feedback we will get. We will spend the rest of March working on polishing the paper; we will specially focus on whatever feedback we get from the draft. Finally, around April 16th we will also start working on the presentation. We intent to have it done a few days before it is done so we can get some feedback on it before we present.

5. RESOURCES NEEDED

In addition to the current information we have acquired, there are two main resources that would be very beneficial to our research. First, performing a network data analysis on an existing network. We believe SMU's South Campus would provide a very useful sample set of data. This data would allow us to perform statistical analysis in order to come up with conclusions about network usage. However, in order to have access to this data, we would need to get approved by the University. Secondly, being able to either interview or inquire information from an employee working at an ISP would allow us to gather much needed information. Such as, how much capacity an ISP can support, the percentage of this bandwidth that is currently used on their network, and the percentage that remains free and available at any given time. Any sort of insider insight would help us form more informed conclusions about the internal capacity of the ISP's and how much bandwidth they can truly support.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Manish Jain and Constantinos Dovrolis. End-to-end available bandwidth: Measurement methodology, dynamics, and relation with tcp throughput. In *Proceedings of the 2002 Conference on Applications, Technologies, Architectures, and Protocols for Computer Communications*, SIGCOMM '02, pages 295–308, New York, NY, USA, 2002. ACM.
- [2] Jacob Strauss, Dina Katabi, and Frans Kaashoek. A measurement study of available bandwidth estimation tools. In *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM SIGCOMM Conference on Internet Measurement*, IMC '03, pages 39–44, New York, NY, USA, 2003. ACM.
- [3] K. Rafique, Chunhui Yuan, and M. Saeed. Net neutrality paradox: Regulator's dilemma. In *Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing (WiCOM), 2011 7th International Conference on*, pages 1–5, Sept 2011.
- [4] M. Jain and C. Dovrolis. End-to-end available bandwidth: measurement methodology, dynamics, and relation with tcp throughput. *Networking, IEEE/ACM Transactions on*, 11(4):537–549, Aug 2003.
- [5] G. Goth. Net neutrality's unpublicized achilles' heel [internet services]. *Internet Computing, IEEE*, 10(3):4–6, May 2006.
- [6] Shane Greenstein. Four nightmares for net neutrality. *Micro, IEEE*, 26(6):12–13, Nov 2006.
- [7] M.A. Callejo-Rodriguez, J. Enriquez-Gabeiras, W. Burakowski, A. Beben, J. Sliwinski, O. Dugeon, E. Mingozzi, G. Stea, M. Diaz, and L. Baresse. Euqos: End-to-end qos over heterogeneous networks. In *Innovations in NGN: Future Network and Services, 2008. K-INGN 2008. First ITU-T Kaleidoscope Academic Conference*, pages 177–184, May 2008.
- [8] S. Jordan. Four questions that determine whether traffic management is reasonable. In *Integrated Network Management, 2009. IM '09. IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on*, pages 137–140, June 2009.
- [9] S. Jordan. Some traffic management practices are unreasonable. In *Computer Communications and Networks, 2009. ICCCN 2009. Proceedings of 18th International Conference on*, pages 1–6, Aug 2009.
- [10] J. Domzal, R. Wojcik, and A. Jajszczyk. Qos-aware net neutrality. In *Evolving Internet, 2009. INTERNET '09. First International Conference on*, pages 147–152, Aug 2009.
- [11] Fei-Yang Ling, Shou-Lian Tang, Miao Wu, Ya-Xian Li, and Hui-Ying Du. Research on the net neutrality: The case of comcast blocking. In *Advanced Computer Theory and Engineering (ICACTE), 2010 3rd International Conference on*, volume 5, pages V5–488–V5–491, Aug 2010.
- [12] D. Miorandi, I. Carreras, E. Gregori, I. Graham, and J. Stewart. Measuring net neutrality in mobile internet: Towards a crowdsensing-based citizen observatory. In *Communications Workshops (ICC), 2013 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 199–203, June 2013.
- [13] Jing Tang and R.T.B. Ma. Regulating monopolistic isps without neutrality. In *Network Protocols (ICNP), 2014 IEEE 22nd International Conference on*, pages 374–384, Oct 2014.