

# Secure Comparator based on Zero-Knowledge Proof

Raziq R. Ramli  
CS Department, Purdue University

December 2021

## 1. Problem Description

Alice and Bob are subcontractors for the same company. They each hold a 4GB file of all the company clients' passwords and they are supposed to use them to develop apps. To make sure the apps are consistent they need to ensure that the password files they hold are identical. But ... they do not trust each-other!

Your goal is to implement a protocol which will allow them to check that the files are identical without any of the parties revealing to the other party the contents of his file.

## 2. Main Idea

We can reduce the problem to the socialist millionaire problem, where two millionaires want to check if they have equal wealth without disclosing their amount of wealth.

Given this, we can implement a protocol that uses a solution for the millionaire problem to achieve this project's goal. The protocol implemented in this submission specifically utilizes a solution called the Socialist Millionaire Protocol (SMP).

The outline for the implemented protocol is as follows:

1) Initial Routine:

- Alice computes  $m_a = \text{SHA3-512}(\text{Alice's file})$
- Bob computes  $m_b = \text{SHA3-512}(\text{Bob's file})$
- Alice and Bob agrees on a cyclic group  $G$  of prime order  $q$  (2047-bit), and a generator  $g_1$  (this information is public)

2) SMP - Key Exchange 1:

- Alice generates random numbers  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  s.t.  $q \nmid a_2, a_3$
- Bob generates random numbers  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  s.t.  $q \nmid b_2, b_3$
- Alice sends  $g_1^{a_2}$  and  $g_1^{a_3}$
- Bob sends  $g_1^{b_2}$  and  $g_1^{b_3}$
- Alice and Bob compute  $g_2 = (g_1^{a_2})^{b_2}$  and  $g_3 = (g_1^{a_3})^{b_3}$

3) SMP - Key Exchange 2:

- Alice generates a random number  $r$
- Bob generates a random number  $s$
- Alice sends  $P_a = g_3^r$  and  $Q_a = g_1^r g_2^{m_a}$
- Bob sends  $P_b = g_3^s$  and  $Q_b = g_1^s g_2^{m_b}$

4) SMP - Key Exchange 3

- Alice sends  $R_a = (Q_a/Q_b)^{a_3} = g_1^{(r-s)a_3} \cdot g_2^{(m_a-m_b)a_3}$
- Bob sends  $R_b = (Q_a/Q_b)^{b_3} = g_1^{(r-s)b_3} \cdot g_2^{(m_a-m_b)b_3}$
- Alice and Bob compute  $R_{ab} = ((Q_a/Q_b)^{a_3})^{b_3} = g_1^{(r-s)a_3b_3} \cdot g_2^{(m_a-m_b)a_3b_3}$

5) SMP - Key Comparison:

- Alice's and Bob's files are equal if  $R_{ab} = P_a/P_b$

*Proof of correctness :*

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{ab} &= P_a/P_b \\
 g_1^{(r-s)a_3b_3} \cdot g_2^{(m_a-m_b)a_3b_3} &= g_1^{(r-s)a_3b_3} \\
 \cancel{g_1^{(r-s)a_3b_3}} \cdot g_1^{(m_a-m_b)a_2b_2a_3b_3} &= \cancel{g_1^{(r-s)a_3b_3}} \\
 g_1^{(m_a-m_b)a_2b_2a_3b_3} &= 1 \\
 (m_a - m_b)a_2b_2a_3b_3 &= kq && \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ since } g_1 \text{ has order } q \\
 (m_a - m_b)a_2b_2a_3b_3 &= 0 && \text{since } q \text{ is prime \& } q \nmid (m_a - m_b), a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3 \\
 m_a - m_b &= 0 && \text{since } a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3 \neq 0 \\
 m_a &= m_b
 \end{aligned}$$

$m_a = m_b$  implies  $\text{SHA3-512}(\text{Alice's file}) = \text{SHA3-512}(\text{Bob's file})$ .

From the collision-resistance property of SHA3, we can conclude that Alice and Bob share the same file.

### 3. Security Goals

Without loss of generality, this report will call the adversary Eve, and will only refer to Alice when writing from the perspective of honest parties.

In addition, note that the security goals are designed with the assumption that honest parties may only perform passive attacks to each other.

**Goal 1** *The protocol should prevent Alice from learning the content of Bob's file, even if Alice possesses a full copy of the file (e.g., from past equality results).*<sup>1</sup>

*Approach :*

Socialist Millionaire Protocol, as described in Section 2.

*Proof of security :*

The Socialist Millionaire Protocol requires Bob to send his hashed file,  $m_b$ , in the form of

$$Q_b = g_1^s g_2^{m_b}$$

$$R_b = g_1^{(r-s)b_3} \cdot g_2^{(m_a - m_b)b_3}$$

From the hardness of the discrete logarithm problem, these two message do not expose the value of  $m_b$ .

However, suppose Alice knows the content of Bob's past file,  $m'_b$  (from past equality results) the hardness of the discrete logarithm problem does not prevent Alice from trying to compute

$$Q'_b = g_1^s g_2^{m'_b}$$

$$R'_b = g_1^{(r-s)b_3} \cdot g_2^{(m_a - m'_b)b_3}$$

Assuming Alice can compute  $Q'_b$  or  $R'_b$ , if  $Q'_b = Q_b$  or  $R'_b = R_b$ , then Alice can be certain that  $m_b = m'_b$ .

However, computing  $Q'_b$  and  $R'_b$  requires Alice to know Bob's secret key  $s$ , which the protocol protects using randomness and the hardness of the discrete logarithm problem. So,  $Q'_b$  and  $R'_b$  are not computable by Alice

Thus, Alice cannot use the protocol to learn the content of Bob's file, even if she possesses a full copy of it.

**Goal 2** *The protocol should prevent Eve from impersonating as Alice undetected, even if Eve has access to the messages previously sent by Alice.*

*Approach :*

The protocol incorporates Ed25519 public-key digital signature system to authenticate the channel between Alice and Bob.

---

<sup>1</sup>Alice may learn the content of Bob's file if the protocol indicated their files are equal.

In other words, the protocol requires Alice to sign the message that she sends with her private key, and the protocol requires Bob to verify the  $(message, signature)$  pair that he receives with Alice's public key.

To prevent Eve from randomly sending Alice's past  $(message, signature)$  pairs to Bob undetected, Alice will append the message that she is sending with the message that she recently receives from Bob. Bob, in turn, will verify that he receives from Alice is appended with his recently sent message.

If any of the verification fails, then Bob will abort the protocol.

*Proof of security :*

From the security of Ed25519 signature system, it is infeasible for Eve to forge a valid  $(message, signature)$  pair from Alice.

From the randomness of the messages in Socialist Millionaire's Protocol (and therefore the randomness of the suffix in Alice's messages) and the size of the message space in Socialist Millionaire Protocol, it is infeasible for Eve to find a valid message that has been signed by Alice.

**Goal 3** *The protocol should prevent eavesdropper Eve from learning if Alice and Bob have the same file or not.*

*Approach :*

Socialist Millionaire Protocol, as described in *Section 2*

*Proof of security :*

The Socialist Millionaire Protocol allows any party to learn the equality of Alice's and Bob's files if they can compute both

$$P_a/P_b$$

$$R_{ab} = (Q_a/Q_b)^{a_3b_3}$$

However, to compute  $R_{ab}$ , Eve needs to obtain Alice's secret key  $a_3$  or Bob's secret key  $b_3$ , which the protocol protects using randomness and the hardness of the discrete logarithm problem. So,  $R_{ab}$  is not computable by Eve.

Thus, it is not possible for Eve to learn the equality of Alice's and Bob's files through this protocol.

## 4. Protocol Implementation

### 4.1 Code Spec

Language used:

- Python

Libraries used:

- socket - for client-server implementation
- hashlib - for hashing messages with SHA3-512
- secrets - for generating cryptographically secure random keys
- nacl - for signing/verifying messages with Ed25519

## 4.2. Assumptions

For the implementation, is assumed that:

- Alice and Bob knows each others' public keys in advanced
- Alice and Bob fixes a cyclic group  $G$  of prime number  $q$  and generator  $g_1$  for the Socialist Millionaire Protocol in advanced

## 4.3. Additional Note

For simplicity, the implemented server only allows 2 connections at a time. This may allow an adversary to monopolize the server connections and deny services to Alice or Bob.

However, since this project is only concerned with data secrecy and integrity, this type of attack is not covered in the implementation.