Subjective Questions Solutions

Assignment-based Subjective Questions

1. What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Ans: The optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression

Ridge Alpha 3

lasso Alpha 0.0001

529]: # Changing the alpha for ridge from alpha = 3 to alpha = 6 #Fitting Ridge model for alpha = 6 and printing coefficients which have been penalised alpha = 6ridge2 = Ridge(alpha=alpha) ridge2.fit(X train, y train) # Lets calculate some metrics such as R2 score, RSS and RMSE y pred train = ridge2.predict(X train) y pred test = ridge2.predict(X test) metric2 = []r2_train_lr = r2_score(y_train, y_pred_train) print(r2 train lr) metric2.append(r2 train lr) r2 test lr = r2 score(y test, y pred test) print(r2_test_lr) metric2.append(r2 test lr) rss1_lr = np.sum(np.square(y_train - y_pred_train)) print(rss1_lr) metric2.append(rss1 lr) rss2 lr = np.sum(np.square(y test - y pred test)) print(rss2 lr) metric2.append(rss2 lr) mse train lr = mean squared error(y train, y pred train) print(mse train lr) metric2.append(mse train lr**0.5) mse_test_lr = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test) print(mse test lr) metric2.append(mse_test_lr**0.5) 0.9334276150039053 0.8842588176780908 10.699880310003639 8.341301620335436 0.010469550205483012 0.019044067626336612

For alpha = 3

R2 Score (Train) (Ridge) = 0.938890

R2 Score (Test) (Ridge) 0.884055

for alpha = 6

R2(Train) 0.9333

R2(Test) 0.88425

Both training and test R2 score after increasing to alpha = 6 would be almost same to R2 score having alpha = 3.

Lasso alpha from 0.0001 to 0.0002 alpha = 0.0002lasso2 = Lasso(alpha=alpha) lasso2.fit(X train, y train) # Lets calculate some metrics such as R2 score, RSS and RMSE y_pred_train = lasso2.predict(X_train) y_pred_test = lasso2.predict(X_test) metric3 = []r2_train_lr = r2_score(y_train, y_pred_train) print(r2_train_lr) metric3.append(r2_train_lr) r2_test_lr = r2_score(y_test, y_pred_test) print(r2_test_lr) metric3.append(r2_test_lr) rss1_lr = np.sum(np.square(y_train - y_pred_train)) print(rss1_lr) metric3.append(rss1_lr) rss2_lr = np.sum(np.square(y_test - y_pred_test)) print(rss2_lr) metric3.append(rss2_lr) mse_train_lr = mean_squared_error(y_train, y_pred_train) print(mse_train lr) metric3.append(mse_train_lr**0.5) mse_test_lr = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test) print(mse_test_lr) metric3.append(mse test lr**0.5)

0.9407400457550951 0.881499257883629 9.524586172389213 8.540179151419705 0.00931955594167242 0.019498125916483346 For alpha = 0.0001

R2 Score (Train) (Lasso) = 0.944417 R2 Score (Test) (Lasso) = 0.879994

for alpha = 0.0002

R2(Train) 0.9407400457550951 R2(Test) 0.881499257883629

R2 Score of training got decreased a very very small but test score got increased.

```
[531]: #important predictor variables
          betas = pd.DataFrame(index=X_train.columns)
         betas.rows = X train.columns
          betas['Ridge2'] = ridge2.coef
          betas['Ridge'] = ridge.coef_
         betas['Lasso'] = lasso.coef
         betas['Lasso2'] = lasso2.coef
         pd.set_option('display.max_rows', None)
         betas.head(68)
t[531]:
                                  Ridge2
                                            Ridge
                                                     Lasso
                                                              Lasso2
                                0.008373
                                          0.008433
                                                   0.004807
                                                            0.002245
                    mssub_class
                     lot_frontage
                                0.018181
                                          0.013354
                                                   0.000000
                                                            0.000000
                        lot_area
                                0.105440
                                         0.115872
                                                   0.129950
                                                            0.119203
                   mas_vnr_area
                                -0.013108 -0.013927
                                                  -0.010836
                                                            -0.007451
                    bsmt fin sf1
                                0.137310
                                         0.141950
                                                   0.131529
                                                            0.136965
                    bsmt_unf_sf
                                          0.030678
                                                   0.017342
                                                            0.011926
                    total bsmt sf
                                0.146541
                                         0.153040
                                                   0.155003
                                                            0.152418
                                                   0.247965
                       1st_flr_sf
                                0.202886
                                          0.219537
                                                            0.250971
                      2nd_flr_sf
                                0.085213
                                         0.092203
                                                   0.122518
                                                            0.119446
                                                   0.242888
                                                            0.244508
                                          0.208080
                                         0.038370
                                                            0.013558
                 bedroom_abv_gr 0.045754
                                                   0.016976
```

Predictors are same but the coefficent of these predictor has changed

Predictors are same only the coefficients of these predictors have changed.

2. You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

Ans:

R2(Train) (Ridge)= 0.938890 (Lasso) = 0.944417

R2(Test) (Ridge)= 0.884055 (Lasso) = 0.879994

Ridge alpha = 3

Lasso alpha = 0.0001

The r2 score of lasso is better for train but for test ridge is slightly better.

However, We should still use lasso model for the predictions as it also does feature selections. Better to use lasso with respect to that and to make the model more robust.

3. After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

Ans: Firstly, these variables are most significant in predicting the prices of a house

- 1. mszoning_RH
- 2. overall_qual_10
- 3. mszoning_RL
- 4. mszoning_FV
- 5. mszoning_RM

Now let's drop these columns and again do the modelling

X_train1 = X_train.drop(['overall_qual_10', 'mszoning_RH', 'mszoning_RL', 'mszoning_FV', 'mszoning_RM'], axis=1)
X_test1 = X_test.drop(['overall_qual_10', 'mszoning_RH', 'mszoning_RL', 'mszoning_FV', 'mszoning_RM'], axis=1)

```
lasso = Lasso()
# cross validation
model cv = GridSearchCV(estimator = lasso,
                        param grid = params,
                        scoring= 'neg mean absolute error',
                        cv = folds,
                        return train score=True,
                        verbose = 1)
model cv.fit(X train1, y train)
#Fitting Lasso model for alpha = 100 and printing coefficients which have been penalised
alpha = model cv.best params ['alpha']
lasso3 = Lasso(alpha=alpha)
lasso3.fit(X_train1, y_train)
# Lets calculate some metrics such as R2 score, RSS and RMSE
y pred train = lasso3.predict(X train1)
y pred test = lasso3.predict(X test1)
metric3 = []
r2 train lr = r2_score(y_train, y_pred_train)
print(r2_train_lr)
metric3.append(r2 train lr)
r2 test lr = r2 score(y test, y pred test)
print(r2 test lr)
metric3.append(r2_test_lr)
rss1 lr = np.sum(np.square(y train - y pred train))
print(rss1 lr)
metric3.append(rss1 lr)
```

rss2 lr = np.sum(np.square(y_test - y_pred_test)) print(rss2 lr) metric3.append(rss2_lr) mse train lr = mean squared error(y train, y pred train) print(mse train lr) metric3.append(mse train lr**0.5) mse test lr = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test) print(mse test lr) metric3.append(mse test lr**0.5) # lasso model parameters model parameters = list(lasso3.coef) model parameters.insert(0, lasso3.intercept) model parameters = [round(x, 3) for x in model parameters] cols = X train1.columns cols = cols.insert(0, "constant") list(zip(cols, model parameters)) mod = list(zip(cols, model parameters)) para = pd.DataFrame(mod) para.columns = ['Variable', 'Coeff'] # sort the coefficients in ascending order para = para.sort values((['Coeff']), axis = 0, ascending = False) # Chose variables whose coefficients are non-zero pred = pd.DataFrame(para[(para['Coeff'] != 0)]) pred

Fitting 5 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 140 fits 0.9403135645489316
0.8707219380952721
9.593132580343248
9.316885188199825
0.009386626790942513
0.021271427370319236

:[534]:

	Variable	Coeff
0	constant	11.449
8	1st_flr_sf	0.246
108	roof_matl_WdShngl	0.234
253	full_bath_3	0.227
10	gr_liv_area	0.216
96	overall_cond_9	0.183

```
Now , the 5 most important predictors are :

1.1st_flr_sf

2.roof_matl_WdShngl

3.full_bath_3

4.gr_liv_area

5.overall cond 9
```

Q.4: How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

Ans: The model should be generalized so that the test accuracy should not be much lesser compared to the training score. The model should be accurate for test datasets and so it should be generic in nature. Too much importance should not given to the outliers so that the accuracy predicted by the model is high. To ensure that this should not happen, the outliers analysis needs to be done and only those which are relevant to the dataset need to be retained. Those outliers which it does not make sense to keep must be handled or removed from the dataset.

If the model is not robust, It cannot be trusted for predictive analysis.