Assignment 1

1. Find the solution for the following difference equations:

(a) $x_{n+1} = \left(\dfrac{n+1}{n+2}\right)^2 \cdot x_n + \dfrac{1}{n+2}, \quad x_0 = 1;$

(b) $x_{n+3} - 4 \cdot x_{n+2} + x_{n+1} + 6 \cdot x_n = 60 \cdot 4^n, \quad x_0 = 2, \ x_1 = 12, \ x_2 = 12;$

(c) $x_{n+1} = \dfrac{2 \cdot x_n}{1 + 4 \cdot x_n}, \quad x_0 = 1$ (Hint: use substitution $x_n = \frac{1}{y_n}$)

Exercise (1.a)

```
> restart;
> eq_a := x(n+1) = ((n + 1) / (n + 2))^2 * x(n) + 1 / (n + 2);
  x(0) := 1;
```

$$eq\_a := x(n+1) = \frac{(n+1)^2 x(n)}{(n+2)^2} + \frac{1}{n+2}$$

$$x(0) := 1 \tag{1}$$

```
> sol_a := rsolve(eq_a, x(n));
```

$$sol\_a := \frac{1}{2}\, \frac{n^2 + 3n + 4}{(n+1)^2} \tag{2}$$

Exercise (1.b)

```
> eq_b := x(n+3) - 4 * x(n+2) + x(n+1) + 6 * x(n) = 60 * 4^n;
  x(0) := 2; x(1) := 12; x(2) := 12;
```

$$eq\_b := x(n+3) - 4\,x(n+2) + x(n+1) + 6\,x(n) = 60\,4^n$$

$$x(0) := 2$$

$$x(1) := 12$$

$$x(2) := 12 \tag{3}$$

```
> sol_b := rsolve(eq_b, x(n));
```

$$sol\_b := -4\,(-1)^n - 16\,3^n + 16\,2^n + 6\,4^n \tag{4}$$

Exercise (1.c)

```
> restart;
> eq_c := x(n+1) = (2 * x(n)) / (1 + 4 * x(n));
  x(0) := 1;
```

$$eq\_c := x(n+1) = \frac{2\,x(n)}{1 + 4\,x(n)}$$

$$x(0) := 1 \tag{5}$$

```
> sol_c := rsolve(eq_c, x(n));
```

$$sol\_c := rsolve\left(x(n+1) = \frac{2\,x(n)}{1 + 4\,x(n)}, x(n)\right) \tag{6}$$

```
> eq_c2 := subs(x(n) = 1/y(n), x(n+1) = 1/y(n+1), eq_c);
```

```
y(0) := 1/x(0);
```

$$eq\_c2 := \frac{1}{y(n+1)} = \frac{2}{y(n)\left(1 + \dfrac{4}{y(n)}\right)}$$

$$y(0) := 1 \tag{7}$$

```
> sol_c2 := rsolve(eq_c2, y(n));
```

$$sol\_c2 := -3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + 4 \tag{8}$$

```
> sol_c := simplify(1/sol_c2);
```

$$sol\_c := -\frac{1}{3\,2^{-n} - 4} \tag{9}$$

2. Let us consider the difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n^2 + 7}{2x_n}.$$

    (a) Find the equilibrium points and study their stability.

    (b) Make some numerical simulations.

```
> restart;
> f := x -> (x^2 + 7) / (2 * x);
```

$$f := x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{x^2 + 7}{x} \tag{10}$$

Exercise (2.a)

The equilibrium points are given by the solutions of the equation f(x) = x.
```
> sols := solve(f(x) = x);
```

$$sols := -\sqrt{7}, \sqrt{7} \tag{11}$$

To find their type of stability, we should compute |f'(x)| and compare it with 1.
```
> df := D(f);
```

$$df := x \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{x^2 + 7}{x^2} \tag{12}$$

```
> abs(df(sols[1]));
```

$$0 \tag{13}$$

Because |f'(first solution)| is 0 which is less than 1, it means that the first solution is a locally stable equilibrium point.
```
> abs(df(sols[2]));
```

$$0 \tag{14}$$

Because |f'(second solution)| is 0 which is less than 1, it means that the second solution is a locally stable equilibrium point.

## Exercise (2.b)

We can see that f(x) has a vertical asymptote in x=0. We will make 2 numerical simulations where we will plot the cobweb diagram, one for x ∈ (-12, -0.2) and one for x ∈ (0.2, 12).

```
> with(plots):
  cobweb := proc(f, xmin, xmax, a0s := [], n := 0, init_gs := [])
    local i, j, x, a, l, gs, a0, color_list, idx;
    color_list := [green, purple, cyan, magenta, yellow, black];
    gs := [op(init_gs), plot([f(x), x], x=xmin..xmax, color=[red,
  blue], legend=["f(x)", "x"])]:
    idx := 1:
    for a0 in a0s do
      a[0] := a0:
      l[0] := [a[0], 0]:
      for i from 1 to n do
        a[i] := evalf(f(a[i-1])):
        l[2*i-1] := [a[i-1], a[i]]:
        l[2*i] := [a[i], a[i]]:
      end do:
      gs := [op(gs), plot(
        [l[j]$j=0..2*n],
        style=line,
        color=black,
        legend=sprintf("a0 = %g", a0),
        color=color_list[(idx-1) mod nops(color_list) + 1]
)]:
      idx := idx + 1:
    end do:
    display(gs);
  end:
> cobweb(
    f, -12, -0.2, [-10, -7, -3, -2, -1, -0.6, -0.3], 10,
    [plot([[sols[1], f(sols[1])]], style=point, symbol=circle,
  legend="Equilibrium point", symbolsize=20)]
  );
```

For the negative numbers simulations, it can be clearly seen that f^n(x) tends to the negative equilibrium point, so that confirms that our point is a locally stable equilibrium point.

```
> cobweb(
    f, 0.2, 12, [0.3, 0.6, 1, 2, 3, 7, 10], 10,
    [plot([[sols[2], f(sols[2])]], style=point, symbol=circle,
  legend="Equilibrium point", symbolsize=20)]
  );
```

For the positive numbers simulations, it also can be clearly seen that f^n(x) tends to the positive equilibrium point, so that confirms that this point is also a locally stable equilibrium point.

3. Let us consider the difference equation:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n^2 - 3.$$

   (a) Find the 2-periodic cycle and study its stability.

   (b) Make numerical simulation.

```
> restart;
> f := x -> x^2 -3;
```
$$f := x \rightarrow x^2 - 3 \tag{15}$$

Exercise (3.a)
```
> sols_2 := solve(f(f(x)) = x);
```
$$\tag{16}$$

$$sols\_2 := -2, 1, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{13}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{13} \tag{16}$$

```
> sols_1 := solve(f(x) = x);
```

$$sols\_1 := \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{13}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{13} \tag{17}$$

We can see that out of the 4 solutions of the equation $f(f(x)) = x$, 2 of them are also solution of the equation $f(x) = x$, so they are not apart of a 2-periodic cycle.

So the 2-periodic cycle is composed by the first 2 solutions of the equation $f(f(x)) = x$. We verify that:

```
> sols := [sols_2[1], sols_2[2]];
```

$$sols := [-2, 1] \tag{18}$$

```
> sols; [f(sols[1]), f(sols[2])];
```

$$[-2, 1]$$
$$[1, -2] \tag{19}$$

We can see that these are composing the 2-periodic cycle. We will consider b=-2 our 2-periodic point, and we will check its stability (the equivalent holds for its pair).

To determine the stability of the 2-periodic point, we need to compute $|f'(sols1) * f'(sols2)|$ and compare it to 1.

```
> df := D(f);
```

$$df := x \rightarrow 2x \tag{20}$$

```
> abs(df(sols[1]) * df(sols[2]));
```

$$8 \tag{21}$$

We can see that $|f'(sols1) * f'(sols2)|$ is 8, so the 2-periodic cycle is unstable.

Exercise (3.b)

To plot the numerical simulations, we firstly run the cobweb procedure definition block from exercise 2, then we will run the following blocks.

```
> cobweb(f, -4, 4, [sols[1] - 0.107, sols[1] + 0.001, sols[1]], 8);
```

Legend:
- f(x)
- x
- a0 = -2.107
- a0 = -1.999
- a0 = -2

```
> cobweb(f, -4, 4, [sols[2] - 0.003, sols[2] + 0.008, sols[2]], 8);
```

——— f(x)	——— x	——— a0 = 0.997	——— a0 = 1.008
——— a0 = 1			

4. Consider the simple interest formula $S_n = (1 + np)S_0$ and the compound interest formula $S_n = (1 + p/r)^n S_0$. There are two options to earn interest. Company A offers simple interest at a rate of 4%. Company B offers compound interest at a 3% rate with a conversion period of one month.

   (a) Calculate for the both cases the amount on deposit after 5, 10, 15, and 20 years for principal $S_0 = 1000$.

   (b) Which interest offer maximizes the amount on deposit after 5, 10, 15 and 20 years?

```
> restart;
> simple_rate := p -> n -> evalf((1 + n * p) * S0);
```
$$simple\_rate := p \rightarrow n \rightarrow evalf((1 + n*p)*S0) \tag{22}$$

```
> compound_rate := (p, r) -> n -> evalf((1 + p / r) ^ (n * r) * S0)
;
```
$$compound\_rate := (p, r) \rightarrow n \rightarrow evalf((1 + p/r)^{\wedge}(n*r)*S0) \tag{23}$$

```
> f1 := simple_rate(4/100);
```
$$f1 := n \rightarrow evalf\left(\left(1 + n\,\frac{1}{25}\right)S0\right) \tag{24}$$

```
> f2 := compound_rate(3/100, 12/1);
```

$$f2 := n \rightarrow evalf\left(\left(1 + \frac{3}{100 \cdot 12}\right)^{n \cdot 12} S0\right)$$

(25)

Exercise (4.a)

```
> S0 := 1000;
  years := [5, 10, 15, 20];
```

$$S0 := 1000$$
$$years := [5, 10, 15, 20]$$

(26)

```
> for year in years do
    printf("Year %d | Company A: %g | Company B: %g\n", year, f1
  (year), f2(year));
  end do;
Year 5 | Company A: 1200 | Company B: 1161.62
Year 10 | Company A: 1400 | Company B: 1349.35
Year 15 | Company A: 1600 | Company B: 1567.43
Year 20 | Company A: 1800 | Company B: 1820.75
```

Exercise (4.b)

We will plot the values for years 0..30.

```
> plot([f1(x), f2(x)], x=0..30, color=[red, blue], legend=["Company
  A", "Company B"]);
```

It can be seen that the f1 is bigger than f2 except some year, where they intersect, than f2 is bigger than f1. We will find that point:

```
> sols := evalf(solve(f1(x) = f2(x)));
```

$$sols := 2.669998613\ 10^{-792},\ 18.43930863 \qquad (27)$$

After the computations, it seems that f1 and f2 intersects in 2 points. We will plot those points:

```
> with(plots):
  g1 := plot([f1(x), f2(x)], x=0..30, color=[red, blue], legend=
  ["Company A", "Company B"]):
  g2 := plot(
    [[sols[1], f1(sols[1])], [sols[2], f1(sols[2])]], style=point,
  symbol=circle, symbolsize=20, legend="f1 ∩ f2"
  ):
  g3 := plot(
    [[years[1], f1(years[1])], [years[2], f1(years[2])], [years[3],
  f1(years[3])], [years[4], f2(years[4])]],
    style=point, symbol=box, symbolsize=15, color=magenta, legend=
  "Highest values in the given years"
  ):
  display(g1, g2, g3);
```

It can be seen from the graph that on the interval [0, sols[1]), f2 is bigger than f1 (but sols[1] is really close to 0 so its negligible), for the interval (sols[1], sols[2]) f1 is bigger than f2, then for the interval (sols[2], ∞) f2 is bigger than f1 (as f2 grows exponentially and f1 grows linearly).

Since years 5, 10, and 15 fall within the interval (sols[1],sols[2]), and year 20 lies in the interval (sols[2], ∞), this indicates that Company A provides the highest deposit amount for the first three time points, while Company B offers the maximum deposit amount for the final year.