

Notes of meeting on 28-02

Week 3 meeting in the OOPP lab session

Location: DW PC1 cubicle 7

Date: 28/02/2023

Start time: 13:45

End time: 15:45

Attendees: OOPP group 33 + Mirella Günther

Chair: Andrei Chiru

Minute taker: Petra Gulyás

First half of meeting with the teaching assistant

Backlog

- How realistic does the backlog need to be?
 - User stories should cover all features, there is no need for technical features.
- All basic features belong to one epic (e.g. “Basic requirements”), while the advanced features can all have their own separate epic.
- All epics should have a title and a short description.
- Epics should be sorted based on priority.
- Acceptance criteria can be used as a checklist when implementing user stories.
- If the mocks are created with figma, they are more realistic, but it’s not necessary.
- Feedback for the backlog:
 - the structure needs to be changed
 - epics need to be worked out and added
 - user stories need to cover epics, the initially submitted user stories are well worked out
- There is no need for making a distinction between *must*, *should* and *could* requirements
- It’s more appreciated if for reasoning behind basic requirements we don’t mention the necessity of passing the course. (e.g. “Minimal functionality that the application needs to work properly.”)

Code of conduct

- The code of conduct doesn’t need to be resubmitted, doesn’t count for a grade. → We’ll discuss the received feedback at a later point in time.

Git, Gitlab

- A deleted branch can be reverted, but reverting should be avoided.
- It’s better practice to make a new branch for every new feature. After merging it to main, it can be deleted.
- In gitlab issues can be created for all features that need to be implemented, and can be deleted once they are done. They can be assigned to people, deadlines can be set.
- On the external website of the course, there is an interactive website for learning about git under ‘resources’.

Course organization, general questions

- What should the minute taker do?
 - The minute taker takes notes of important decisions, main points, information that may be important for the future of the project and answers to questions.
- Sub tasks can be marked as pass/fail, or on a scale from insufficient to excellent.
- If someone's facing technical issues (e.g. with the Git assignment), try to discuss it with the team, if the problem cannot be solved, they can ask for help from the teaching assistant.
- The exact time of the final presentation is not known yet, we all should be available for the whole duration.
- During the final interview any question can be asked about the project, but they won't include theoretical lecture material.
- In the passing statistics for the course only those people are included, who took part in the final examination.
- The final deadline of submitting the code is in week 8, but we'll still need to work on product pitching after that for example.
- How are unit tests graded?
 - There is no information about it currently, as much as possible, we'll get details about it later. At least every class should be tested in some way, the same for methods.
- Deciding what rules of checkstyle to use is up to us. We can use the one we used in OOP.
- How should tasks be divided?
 - It is up to the team. General advice: start off by dividing main things and take personal preferences into account. However every member needs to work on both back and front-end.

Announcement from teaching assistant

- We should start planning out how to split the coding work, and eventually start to code. From the following week we'll be able to receive feedback on our coding progress during the meeting with the teaching assistant. Everyone should run the example application.

Continuation of the meeting without the teaching assistant

Implementing the received feedback for the backlog

- We split the work by epics, and everyone lists the appropriate user stories, along with adding acceptance criteria.
- The user stories need to be somewhat modified, based on new information from the q&a sessions.
- Certain user stories were taken out, such as the filtering, undo feature, username related functionality.
- New functionalities have been added, such as text customization (color, size), or deadline feature for cards.
- New terminology has been added (joining, merging boards, board name, board id).
- Tags should have a template, which once changed, changes all related tags already in use.
- We decided on generated keys for boards, they are named by id. Boards have a name that can be changed by anyone that has access to the board.
- Finding a board for certain purposes (such as joining or admin functionalities) can be done by using a text input that changes the url.

Overview of tasks for next meeting (02.03.)

- Reviewing mocks for the backlog
- Submitting final backlog,
- Planning out and splitting work
- Solving technical difficulties related to course work
- Reviewing feedback for code of conduct