

# INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by “f” indicate figures and “t” indicate tables.

## A

- Adaptive Dynamics methods
  - analytical tools, 100
  - eco-evolutionary dynamics, 98–99
- Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC), 178
- Akaike's weight, 75
- Allometric scaling, 265–266
- Antagonism, coevolution of. *See* Co-evolutionary dynamics

## B

- Behavioural traits, 85t, 87t
- Behavioural type, 66–67, 70, 81
- Biomass production rate, 205
- Body condition, 67–68, 80f
- Body size-based community assembly model
  - illustration of, 204f
  - immigrant pool, 209–211, 215–218, 236
  - immigration, 217
  - interaction-driven extinction, 202–203, 218
  - local stability properties, 212–213, 232–234, 233t
  - log-size-ratio distribution, 216–217, 220–221f, 222
  - metabolic scaling parameters, 239–240
  - neutral community assembly, 209–211
  - non-neutral assembly with size variation, 211–215
  - observed *vs.* size-randomized
    - communities, 228–234, 230f, 233t
  - parameters and numerical values, 207t
  - sampling inadequacies, 242–244
  - scaling parameterizations, 205–207
  - search rates, scaling of, 240–242, 241f
  - signatures, 221t, 222, 224–228, 225t, 228f, 231–232, 231t
  - simulation parameters, 218–220
  - size-ratio distribution *vs.* size distribution, 236
  - species' equilibrium biomass abundances, 211, 238–239, 239f
  - species sorting events, 208–209

- stable invasions, 207–209
- three-step community assembly
  - algorithm, 217–218
- trophic link sampling inadequacy, 236–237, 244

## C

- Canis lupus*, 23–25
- Carnivore—herbivore—plant module
  - indirect effects, 329
  - Melanoplus femurrubrum*, 327
  - metabolism, 327–328
  - predators, responding ways, 327–328
- Co-evolutionary dynamics
  - antagonistic—mutualistic communities, 110–111
  - concave trade-off, 105–106, 105f
  - evolutionary potential, 105–106
  - mutualisms, 107–108
  - numerical simulations of, 100, 104f
  - outcomes of, 103–105
  - pollinators and herbivores, 103
  - questions of, 94
  - simulation scenarios, 103–105
- Community assembly, 9–10, 58, 288
  - See also* Body size-based community assembly model
- Community ecology, 21–22
- Community-level interactions
  - conventional classification schemes, 322
- ecosystem
  - biomass, 338
  - conceptualization, 337f
  - elemental cycling, 336–338
  - examination, organisms express, 322–323
  - functional traits, 320–321
  - hypothetical relationship, 321f
- procedural framework
  - adaptive trait, 324
  - carnivore—detritivore/microbivore—detritus module, 331–334, 332f
  - carnivore—herbivore—plant module, 327–330, 327f

Community-level interactions (*Continued*)

- detrivore/microbivore—soil
    - microbe—detritus module, 334–336
  - ecological system, conceptualizing, 325–326
  - ecosystem processes, 323–324
  - herbivore—plant—soil elements
    - module, 330–331, 330*f*
  - indirect effects, 325
  - pathways, 323, 324*f*
  - residual variation, 321, 321*f*
  - Solidago rugosa*, 322
  - trait expression, 338
- Community-level trait variation
- aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, 8–9
  - body-size variation, community food web
    - assembly, 9–10
  - tri-trophic system, 7–8
- Community trait distribution
- estimation of, 302*f*
  - measurement, 296–297
  - moments of, 300*t*, 301*f*, 303–305*f*
  - shape of, 294–296
- Community trait shifts
- environmental gradients, 275–277
  - local gradients, 274, 275*f*
- Consumer–resource dynamics, 204, 206, 210, 222, 236, 240–241
- Consumer traits
- complications, 171
  - composition and diversity
    - data analyses, 177–178, 191*t*
  - density, 174
  - detrivore biotic variables, 180–183, 182*f*
  - detrivore richness, 174
  - ecosystem functioning, 173–174
  - functional traits, 174–175, 192*t*
  - fungal biomass, 177, 183
  - isotopes, 175–176, 185, 186*f*
  - land-use gradient, 172–173, 180, 180*f*, 191*t*
  - leaf decomposition, 180–181, 181*f*, 193*t*
  - metabolic capacity, 174
  - SEM, 183–185, 184*f*, 194*t*
- Consumption rate, 206, 228–229
- Cover habitat, 70–71, 80*f*, 81–82

**D**

- Darwin's evolutionary ideas, 2
- Detritivore biotic variables, 180–183, 182*f*
- Detritivore richness, 174
- Detritus-based food chains, 330
- Dispersal, 6–7, 66, 69, 82–83, 218
- Dynamically constrained food web assembly
  - empirical community datasets, 225*t*
  - signatures, 220–222, 221*t*, 224–228, 228*f*, 231–232, 231*t*

**E**

- Eco-evolutionary feedbacks, 2–3, 58–59
- Ecological dynamics
  - coexistence equilibrium, 97
  - interspecific interactions, 95–97
  - peach-potato aphids, 95–97
  - species, 95
  - variables and parameters, 96*t*
- Ecosystem-level trait variation
  - human disturbance, 10–11
  - relational approach, 12–13
  - TDT, 11–12
- Effect traits, 170–171, 323–324, 324*f*
- Elevational gradients
  - gas exchange and productivity
    - measurement, 297–298
  - RMBL, 275–276
  - trait distributions and ecosystem carbon
    - flux measurement, 273
  - whole-community trait distribution
    - measurement, 296–297
- Environmental gradient
  - growth functions, 293–294
  - population and community size structure
    - (*see* Population and community size structure)
  - shifts
    - of assemblage trait distributions, 277
    - of community trait distributions, 275–277, 275*f*
  - trait distributions, 256–257, 256*f*
- Evolutionary dynamics
  - Adaptive Dynamics methods, 98–99
  - adaptive responses, 97–98
  - evolutionary potential, 98–99
  - fitness equations, 100

- singular strategies, 99
- trade-off functions, 97–98
- trade-off shapes, 98*f*
- Evolutionary potential, 98–99, 105–106, 108–109
- Evolutionary theory, 2
- Exploratory behaviour, 68–73, 77–83, 78*t*, 79*f*

## F

- Falco tinnunculus*, 26–27
- Fertilization gradients, temporal trait shifts, 279–282
- Food web assembly. *See* Body size-based community assembly model
- Full size randomizations, 227–228
- Fulton's *K*, 74–75
- Functional dispersion, 175, 179, 182–183, 187, 192*t*
- Functional identity biodiversity, 175, 181, 187–188
- Functional responses
  - models of, 37*t*
  - numerical response, combination of, 21
  - parameters, 38*t*
  - research, instrumental in, 21–22
  - types of, 21, 22*f*
- Functional traits
  - and community assembly, 9–10
  - consumer traits, 174–175
  - definition, 4, 320–321
  - ecosystem functioning, effects, 10–11
  - functional dispersion and identity, 192*t*
  - heritability, 4
  - PCA, 181, 182*f*
  - procedural framework, 323–324, 324*f*, 326*f*
  - trait-driver approach, 11–12
- Fungal biomass, 177, 183

## G

- Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *See* Threespine sticklebacks
- Global climate change, 276

## H

- Habitat transition
  - abundance, 127*f*, 128–131, 129–130*f*, 139–140, 142*t*

- biomass, 130*f*, 131
- community size distributions, 134*f*, 135
- diurnal/nocturnal, 125–126, 126*t*
- divergence, 126–127, 135–136, 136*f*
- ephemeral aquatic system, 140
- evenness, 126–127, 130*f*, 131, 135–136, 136*f*
- frequency distribution, 128, 129*f*, 139–140, 142*t*
- human and ecological importance, 139–140
- organic/inorganic load, 128, 163*f*
- population size distributions, 131–133, 132*f*
- richness, 126–129, 126*t*, 127*f*, 130*f*, 135–136, 136*f*
- time after drying, 125–126, 126*t*
- time until drying, 125–126, 126*t*
- Habitat use, 67–68, 81–82
- Hassell–Varley functional response, 48
- Herbivore evolution, 106–108
- Herbivore—plant—soil elements module
  - anti-herbivore defence strategies, 330–331
  - direct pathway, 330
  - nutrient availability, 331
  - syndrome, 331
- Holling's functional response. *See* Functional response
- Holy Grail, 254–255
- Hurwitz stable system, 228–231

## I

- IBMs. *See* Individual-Based Models (IBMs)
- Immigration–extinction equilibrium (IEE), 208–209, 218–220, 221*f*, 221*t*, 223*f*, 238–239, 239*f*
- Indirect density-dependent effects, 93–94
- Indirect effects, 325, 329, 338
- Individual-Based Models (IBMs), 34–35
- Individual-level trait variation, 3–4
- Individual traits, 66
- Individual variability
  - accounting for
    - IBMs, 34–35
    - mixed-effects models, 34–35
    - modelling frameworks approaches, 32
    - modelling techniques, 34–35

Individual variability (*Continued*)

- multi-event capture–recapture models, 33–34
- predator choice, 31–32
- predator–prey models, 30–31
- radio–telemetry/GPS-based studies, 32, 33*t*
- susceptibility, 32

*Falco tinnunculus*, 26–27

- impacts of, 28, 29*f*
  - strength of response, 28–29
  - type of response, 29–30
- importance of, 28
- predation risk, level of, 23, 24*t*

## Individual variation

- interference competition
  - attack efficiency, 49, 50*f*, 56–57
  - competitive ability, 56–58
  - controlling traits, underlying, 59–60
  - eco–evolutionary feedbacks, 58–59
  - handling time, 49
  - interference, 56–57
  - optimal value, 51
  - phase–plane plots, 52, 53*f*
  - plots of, 55*f*
  - probability density, 49
  - scenarios, 51–52
  - simulations, objective of, 52
  - testable predictions, 60

Interaction-driven extinction, 202–203, 218

## Interference competition, 5–6, 47

- competing species, resource, 46
- consumer–resource systems, 48
- general model, 48–49
- individual phenotypic variation, 46–47
- individual variation
  - attack efficiency, 49, 50*f*, 56–57
  - competitive ability, 56–58
  - controlling traits, underlying, 59–60
  - eco–evolutionary feedbacks, 58–59
  - handling time, 49
  - interference, 56–57
  - optimal value, 51
  - phase–plane plots, 52, 53*f*
  - plots of, 55*f*
  - probability density, 49
  - scenarios, 51–52
  - simulations, objective of, 52

- species persistence, 46–47, 54, 57
- stability, 46–48, 57, 60–61
- testable predictions, 60
- mutual interference, 47
- non-linear relationships, 46
- parameters of functional response, 47–48
- phenotypic mismatch
  - large, 56
  - low, 54–56

Intermittent pool beds, 118–121, 136–137

Intraspecific search rate, 206–207

Intraspecific variation, 66–68

Isotopes, 175–177, 185, 186*f*

**L**

Land-use gradient, 172–173, 180, 180*f*, 191*t*

Leaf decomposition, 180–181, 181*f*, 193*t*

## Light

- abundance, 127*f*, 129–131, 130*f*
  - biomass, 130*f*, 131
  - community size distributions, 133–135, 134*f*
  - diurnal/nocturnal, 125–126, 126*t*
  - divergence, 135–136, 136*f*
  - ecological and evolutionary processes, 138–139
  - evenness, 130*f*, 131, 135–136, 136*f*
  - organic/inorganic load, 128, 163*f*
  - population size distributions, 131–133, 132*f*
  - richness, 126*t*, 127*f*, 128–129, 130*f*, 135–136, 136*f*
  - sampling methods, 138–139
- Log-size-ratio distribution, 216–217, 220–221*f*, 222
- Lotka–Volterra (LV) type model, 203, 205, 239–240
- Ludox™, 124

**M**

- Mark–recapture techniques, 68–71
- Mass ratio hypothesis (MRH), 175, 253–256, 322
- Mass-specific search rate, 204–205
- Melanoplus femurrubrum*, 327
- Metabolic scaling parameters, 239–240
- Metabolic scaling theory (MST), 253–254
  - ecosystem function, 277–279

- individual organismal mass, scaling, 265–268
  - Meta-population level trait variation, 6–7
  - Moisture
    - abundance, 127*f*, 129–131, 130*f*
    - aquatic, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*
    - biological mechanisms, 138
    - biomass, 130*f*, 131
    - community size distributions, 133–135, 134*f*
    - divergence, 135–136, 136*f*
    - dry, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*
    - evenness, 130*f*, 131, 135–136, 136*f*
    - moist, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*
    - moisture level determination, 137
    - organic/inorganic load, 128, 163*f*
    - population size distributions, 131–133, 132*f*
    - richness, 126*t*, 127*f*, 128–129, 130*f*, 135–136, 136*f*
    - terrestrial, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*, 137
    - wet, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*
  - MRH. *See* Mass ratio hypothesis (MRH)
  - MST. *See* Metabolic scaling theory (MST)
  - Multimodel inferencing method, 75
  - Mutualism, coevolution of. *See* Co-evolutionary dynamics
- N**
- Neutral food web community assembly, 209–211
- O**
- Observed *vs.* size-randomized food web communities, 228–234, 230*f*, 233*t*
  - One-way ANOVA, 128
  - Open habitat, 70–71, 80*f*, 81–82
- P**
- Palou's evenness index, 126–127, 130*f*
  - Park Grass experiment (PGE)
    - background and methods, 298–299
    - fertilization, 300–310
    - trait values and biomass-weighted trait distributions, 299–300
  - Partial size randomizations, 227–228
  - PCA. *See* Principal component analysis (PCA)
  - Personality, 66–67
  - PGE. *See* Park Grass experiment (PGE)
  - Phenotypic plasticity, 59–60, 324, 330–331
  - Physiological traits, 324*f*
  - Plant-based food chains, 12–13, 330
  - Plant-insect communities
    - agricultural landscapes, 93–94, 107
    - antagonistic–mutualistic evolving community, 106–107
    - co-evolutionary dynamics
      - antagonistic–mutualistic communities, 110–111
      - concave trade-off, 105–106, 105*f*
      - evolutionary potential, 105–106
      - mutualisms, 107–108
      - numerical simulations of, 100, 104*f*
      - outcomes of, 103–105
      - pollinators and herbivores, 103
      - questions of, 94
      - simulation scenarios, 103–105
  - ecological dynamics, 94–95
    - coexistence equilibrium, 97
    - interspecific interactions, 95–97
    - peach-potato aphids, 95–97
    - species, 95
    - variables and parameters, 96*t*
  - evolutionary dynamics
    - Adaptive Dynamics methods, 98–99
    - adaptive responses, 97–98
    - evolutionary potential, 98–99
    - fitness equations, 100
    - singular strategies, 99
    - trade-off functions, 97–98
    - trade-off shapes, 98*f*
  - Evolutionary Facilitation, 108
  - floral signals existence, 93–94
  - herbivore evolution, 106–108
  - herbivore sensitivity trait evolution, 101–102, 101*f*
  - indirect density-dependent effect, 93–94
  - Jones models, 107–108
  - mutualistic interactions, 92–93
  - pest control, 93–94
  - pollination service, 101–102, 101*f*
  - pollinator sensitivity trait evolution, 102–103
  - trade-off question, 109–110
  - trait evolution, 92–93

- Pollination, 93–94
- Population and community size structure
- ANOVA, 128, 163*f*
  - field experiment methods
    - experimental pool bed, 119–120, 119*f*, 161*f*
    - leaf packs, 120–121
    - organic and inorganic loads, 125
    - pool depth, 123
    - relative moisture, 123
    - sample processing, 119*f*, 123–124
    - sampling method, 121, 161*f*
    - sampling schedule, 121–122, 122*t*
    - study site, 118–119, 119*f*, 141*t*, 161*f*
    - taxonomic identification, 124–125, 162*f*
  - frequency distribution, 128, 129*f*, 142*t*
  - habitat transition effects
    - abundance, 127*f*, 128–131, 129–130*f*, 139–140, 142*t*
    - biomass, 130*f*, 131
    - community size distributions, 134*f*, 135
    - diurnal/nocturnal, 125–126, 126*t*
    - divergence, 126–127, 135–136, 136*f*
    - ephemeral aquatic system, 140
    - evenness, 126–127, 130*f*, 131, 135–136, 136*f*
    - frequency distribution, 128, 129*f*, 139–140, 142*t*
    - human and ecological importance, 139–140
    - organic or inorganic load, 128, 163*f*
    - population size distributions, 131–133, 132*f*
    - richness, 126–129, 126*t*, 127*f*, 130*f*, 135–136, 136*f*
    - time after drying, 125–126, 126*t*
    - time until drying, 125–126, 126*t*
  - light effects
    - abundance, 127*f*, 129–131, 130*f*
    - biomass, 130*f*, 131
    - community size distributions, 133–135, 134*f*
    - diurnal/nocturnal, 125–126, 126*t*
    - divergence, 135–136, 136*f*
    - ecological and evolutionary processes, 138–139
    - evenness, 130*f*, 131, 135–136, 136*f*
    - organic/inorganic load, 128, 163*f*
    - population size distributions, 131–133, 132*f*
    - richness, 126*t*, 127*f*, 128–129, 130*f*, 135–136, 136*f*
    - sampling methods, 138–139
    - moisture effects
      - abundance, 127*f*, 129–131, 130*f*
      - aquatic, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*
      - biological mechanisms, 138
      - biomass, 130*f*, 131
      - community size distributions, 133–135, 134*f*
      - divergence, 135–136, 136*f*
      - dry, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*
      - evenness, 130*f*, 131, 135–136, 136*f*
      - moist, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*
      - moisture level determination, 137
      - organic/inorganic load, 128, 163*f*
      - population size distributions, 131–133, 132*f*
      - richness, 126*t*, 127*f*, 128–129, 130*f*, 135–136, 136*f*
      - terrestrial, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*, 137
      - wet, 125–126, 126*t*, 127*f*
- Population level trait variation
- interference competition, 5–6
  - prey selection, 4–5
- Predator–prey interactions, 206
- functional response, 21
  - impacts of, 28, 29*f*
  - importance of, 28
  - model of, 37*t*
  - parameters, 38*t*
  - strength of response, 28–29
  - type of response, 29–30
- future research, 35
- individual variability
- accounting for, 30–35
  - impacts of, 28, 29*f*
  - importance of, 28
  - strength of response, 28–29
  - type of response, 29–30
- non-exhaustive list, 35, 36*t*
- numerical response, 21–22
- predation risk, 20–21
- behavioural differences, 23
  - Canis lupus*, 23–25

- habitat characteristics, 23–25
  - individual variability level, 23, 24*t*
  - Passer domesticus*, 23
  - prey selection, 21
    - behavioural differences, 27
    - environmental factors, 27–28
    - Falco tinnunculus*, 26–27
    - individual variability, 24*t*, 25–26
    - Poecilia reticulata*, 26–27
    - trade-off, 26–27
    - Ursus maritimus*, 26–27
  - Prey selection, 21, 25–28
    - behavioural differences, 27
    - environmental factors, 27–28
    - Falco tinnunculus*, 26–27
    - functional response and, 4–5
    - individual variability, 24*t*, 25–26
    - Poecilia reticulata*, 26–27
    - predation risk and, 23–28, 24*t*, 29*f*
    - trade-off, 26–27
    - Ursus maritimus*, 26–27
  - Principal component analysis (PCA), 177–178, 243–244
    - environmental variables, 180, 180*f*
    - functional identity, 181, 182*f*
- Q**
- Quasi-Akaike's information criteria (QAICc), 75
- R**
- Radio-telemetry, 32, 33*t*
  - Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML), 178
  - Right-skewed unimodal log-size distribution, 232, 235–236
  - Rocky Mountain Biological Lab (RMBL), 275–276, 296–298
- S**
- Sampling inadequacies, 242–244
  - Size-constrained community food web process. *See* Body size-based community assembly model
  - Size-ratio. *See* Log-size-ratio distribution
  - Social environment use, 67, 79–82
  - Solidago rugosa*, 322
  - Spatiotemporal variation, 23–25
  - Spearman rank correlation coefficient, 224–227
  - Species persistence, interference competition. *See* Interference competition
  - Species sorting events, 208–209
  - Stable invasions, 207–209
  - Standardised root mean square residual (SRMSR), 183
  - Standardized open-field assays, 66–72
  - Structural equation model (SEM), 183–185, 184*f*, 194*t*
  - Synthetic theory, 253
- T**
- Taxonomic sampling inadequacy, 235–236, 242–243
  - TDT. *See* Trait driver theory (TDT)
  - Threespine sticklebacks
    - exploratory behaviour, 68–73, 77–81
    - consistent individual variation, 77, 78*t*
    - movement in the river, 77–78, 78*t*, 79*f*, 82–83
    - latency to emerge, 83–85, 83*f*, 84*t*
    - mark-recapture techniques, 68–71
    - movement decisions
      - behavioural types, 67
      - body condition, 67–68, 80*f*
      - habitat use, 67–68, 81–82
      - models, 68, 74–75, 79*t*, 85*t*
      - social environment, 67, 82
    - open-field assay, 66–72
    - probability of recapture, 85, 85*t*
    - sample sizes, 76, 76*t*
    - study population location and characteristics, 69
    - total sections, 83, 83*t*
    - traits measured, 76, 77*t*
    - unique sections, 86, 86*f*, 87*t*
  - Trade-offs
    - concave, 105–106, 105*f*
    - evolutionary dynamics
      - functions in, 97–98
      - shapes in, 98*f*
    - in growth function, 266–268
    - question of, 109–110
    - TDT, 293–294

- Trait-based ecology  
 assumptions of, 254–255  
 CWM, 294–295  
 Holy Grail, 254–255  
 limitations of, 252–253
- Trait distributions  
 approximations for, 272–273  
 linking dynamics of, 256–258  
 moments of, 301*f*  
 quantifying, shape of, 271–273  
 sampling theory, 271–272  
 shifts in, 273–274, 296–298  
 temporal and spatial variations, assessing, 273  
 ways of, 294
- Trait driver theory (TDT), 11–12  
 assumptions, 283–284  
 ecosystem measurement, 273–274  
 local tests of, 274  
 shifts, 273–274  
 testing predictions of, 273  
 trait distributions, quantifying, 271–273  
 biodiversity theories, 286  
 environmental gradients, community trait distributions shifts, 275–277  
 extending and parameterizing  
 growth function, incorporating environmental trade-offs in, 266–268  
 individual organismal mass, 265  
 MST, 265–266  
 fertilization gradients, 279–282  
 influential bodies, 253–254  
 linking traits, 255–256  
 local gradients, community trait shifts, 274, 275*f*  
 management, 283  
 MST, integrating, 289–292  
 multiple assembly processes, 284–285  
 positive species complementarity, 285–286  
 predictions of  
 broad environmental gradients, 277  
 community, changing environment, 259*t*, 263  
 net ecosystem productivity, 262  
 potential, 264  
 shifts, 258–262, 261*f*  
 skewness, 262  
 trade-offs, 264  
 trait distribution, moments of, 258, 259*t*, 301*f*  
 trait means, scaling of, 270–271  
 variance, 264
- recast ecological hypotheses  
 abiotic filtering, 287  
 competitive-ability hierarchy hypothesis, 287  
 local biotic forces and kurtosis, 288  
 neutral forces, assessing, 288  
 phenotype–environment matching, 287
- RMBL, 296–298  
 selective processes, 282–283  
 testing predictions of, 273  
 trade-offs, incorporating, 293–294
- trait-based ecology  
 central assumptions of, 254–255  
 Holy Grail, 254–255
- trait distributions  
 linking dynamics of, 256–258  
 shape of, 294–296
- trait diversity, 282–283  
 trait frequency distribution, 255–256
- Trait-mediated interactions, functional traits and. *See* Community-level interactions
- Trait variation  
 community-level effects  
 aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, 8–9  
 body-size variation, community food web assembly, 9–10  
 tri-trophic system, 7–8  
 ecosystem-level effects  
 human disturbance, 10–11  
 relational approach, 12–13  
 TDT, 11–12  
 individual-level, 3–4  
 meta-populations, dispersal behaviour of, 6–7  
 population dynamics  
 interference competition, 5–6  
 prey selection, 4–5



- Trappability, 70
- Trophic interactions, 137, 334
  - ecological hypotheses, 264–265
  - types, 219, 240–241
- Trophic linking, 210, 215–218, 236–237
- Trophic link sampling inadequacy, 242–244
- Tukey post hoc tests, 128
- Two-way ANOVA, 128

**V**

- Vertebrates, 26–27