

Short Title: Short title: *Melinis minutiflora* seed rain

Seed rain of molasses grass (*Melinis minutiflora*) in the Cerrado

Carlos Romero Martins¹, Roberto Brandão Cavalcanti² and John Du Vall Hay³

¹Environmental Analyst, Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e Recursos Naturais, Ed. Sede, Brasília, DF Brazil, 70818-900; ²Professor, Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil, 70910-900; ³Retired Professor (ORCID 0000-0002-97733-7433), Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil, 70910-900

Author for correspondence: Author 3; Email: jhay@unb.br)

Abstract

Seed rain is an important pathway for the introduction, maintenance and expansion of invasive species. In Brazil an important invasive species is molasses grass *Melinis minutiflora* (P. Beauv.) and to quantify the importance of primary dispersal we collected seed rain of *M. minutiflora* in three consecutive years in four different treatments in a Cerrado community of Central Brazil. Two control treatments were used in plots of native Cerrado, the first in areas with low coverage of *M. minutiflora* (LC) and the second (HC) under tussocks of *M. minutiflora* (HC). The third treatment was in plots that were burned once in and then allowed to naturally recover (FM) and the fourth treatment was in plots that were burned once and then maintained free of

Commented [JH1]: Revisar após para incluir dados quantitativos

regeneration of *Melinis* through topical spraying with a herbicide and manual removal of new sprouts (IMM). Seed rain of *Melinis* was collected using plastic trays placed on the ground in each treatment (N = 16 in each treatment) at three dates during the dispersal period of *Melinis*. The initial coverage of *M. minutiflora* in the study area was approximately 50% varying between 13 and 75% in different plots. Seed rain was variable between years ranging from 856 to 23059 viable caryopses/m². There were significant differences in the number of viable caryopses collected in each treatment, both among collection dates within the same year ($F =$) and treatments ($F =$) with a high variability among collection trays in all collections. The FM plots had a large reduction in seed rain in the first year but attained values similar to the LC plots after two years. The IMM plots had most no viable caryopses on all collection dates. The percentage of fertile caryopses was approximately 30% in all collections. The data indicated that dispersal limitation was high in all treatments so primary dispersal is probably not the cause of extensive spread of this invasive species.

Key Words: biological invasion, Brazil, seed dispersal

Commented [JH2]: Acho neste caso podemos usar os valores /m², mas no texto vamos usar os valores encontrados sem ajuste para m². Roberto, favor inserir os valores dos testes estatísticos. Also, considering that the HC treatment placed the seed trays under tussocks, this always resulted in very high number of seeds (total and fertile). It's probably better to make an analysis using only the LC, FM and ICC plots.

43

44 **Management Implications**

45 *Melinis minutiflora* has been considered to be a highly invasive species in
46 conservation areas in Brazil, but there have been few studies on its capacity to
47 colonize new areas. This study indicates that this species has strong primary dispersal
48 limitation and as such has limited capacity to spread from areas where it is established
49 although once established it produces a large quantity of viable caryopses. The use of
50 a single fire to control *M. minutiflora* does not appear to be effective as a long-term
51 strategy but the use of herbicides combined with cutting should be effective in the
52 reduction of its density and persistence in the plant community.

53

54

Introduction

Seed dispersal has been studied in many plant species in many different communities (Schupp et al. 2010). Different vectors are important in seed dispersal, ranging from wind to animals, and the shape, weight, and location of the seed on the plant has an influence on the probable type of vector (Tamme et al. 2014; Thomson et al. 2011; Willson and Traveset 2000). Measurement of the dispersal distance of seeds or diaspores in natural communities is not an easy task and has been done through direct or indirect methods, see Bullock et al. (2006) for a review of methods. Some authors have constructed mathematical models to compare actual and theoretical distributions (for example, Adams et al. 2015; Greene and Johnson 1989). The importance of seed rain on plant community assemblage (Myers and Harms 2009; Marteinsdóttir 2014) and in restoration of plant communities (Arruda et al. 2018; Kettenring and Galatowitsch 2011; Schott and Hamberg 1997; dos Santos et al. 2020) have been shown as important lines of research.

Brazil is home to a vast array of plant species and a large number are present in the Cerrado, the second largest biome in Brazil, occupying over 1.8 million km². The Cerrado has a rich flora, with more than 12000 taxa, distributed in 171 families and 1454 genera (Mendonça et al. 2008). However, studies of dispersal of Cerrado fruits and seeds are relatively recent and most of these studies have focused on community analyses (Ishara and Maimoni-Rodella 2011; Mariano et al. 2019; Olivera and Moreira 1992; Reis et al 2012; Silberbauer-Gottsberger 1984; Vieira et al. 2002) although there are some studies on individual species (Farias et al. 2015; Golin et al. 2011). The dispersal strategies of Cerrado species has also been recently evaluated from an evolutionary standpoint by Kuhlmann and Ribeiro (2016).

Over the last several decades, the scientific community has shown increasing preoccupation with biological invasions (Latombe et al. 2017; Simberloff et al. 2013) and invasions by plants or animals have caused significant alterations to natural ecosystems (see revisions by Ehrenfeld 2010; Sharma et al. 2005; Vilà et al. 2011; Williams and Baruch 2000). Brazil is no exception and in several conservation areas the invasion by alien grasses, especially molasses grass (*Melinis minutiflora* (P. beauv.)), signal grass (*Urochloa decumbens* Staph. R Webster), and jaraguá (*Hypharrena rufa* (Nees) Staph) has been considered to be a serious threat to the diversity of these areas (Damasceno et al. 2018; Klink and Machado 2005; Pivello et al. 1999; Sampaio and Schmidt 2013). These grass species were introduced into Brazil in commercial ventures for forage or accidentally and according to some authors (Baruch et al. 1985; Pivello et al. 1999) due to their highly competitive ability have been able to spread. DiVittorio et al. (2007) showed that dispersal was important in the maintenance of several exotic species in a temperate grassland. Other studies on the dispersal of invasive grasses have been done by Quinn et al (2011) and Saura-Mas and Lloret (2005).

Previous studies *Melinis minutiflora* in Brazil have been done with different objectives. These studies include competition (Zenni et al. 2019), control methods (Martins et al. 2017; Sato et al. 2015), effect of fire (Damasceno and Fidelis 2020; Gorgone-Barbosa et al. 2020), forage quality (Bauer et al. 2008; Gomide et al. 1969), germination and seed quality (Carmona and Martins 2010), growth and productivity (Eller and Oliveira 2017), impacts on the surrounding plant community (César et al. 2014; Hoffmann and Haridasan 2008), reproduction (Silveira and Moraes 1996), and species distribution models (de Souza et al. 2017; Sciamarelli et al. 2011) among others. However, to our knowledge, there is only one other study quantifying

dispersal of caryopses of *M. minutiflora* either in the Brazilian Cerrado or elsewhere in the world (Xavier et al 2021).

The objectives of this three year study were: 1) to quantify seed rain of *M. minutiflora* in a Cerrado community, 2) compare seed rain of *M. minutiflora* among years, 3) to evaluate if there were differences in the percentage of fertile caryopses of *M. minutiflora* in the seed rain during the same year and between years, 4) to evaluate the effect of different management techniques on seed rain of *M. minutiflora* and 5) to calculate dispersal limitation.

Materials and Methods

Site description

The study area (15° 43' 53.3"S and 47° 55' 35.4"W) is located in Special Use Zone of the National Park of Brasilia, Brasília, DF, Brazil. The regional climate is the Aw type with rainy summers and dry winters. The study area is rectangular (50 x 70 m), subdivided into four blocks each containing six 10 x 10 m plots with a 1 m buffer zone between adjacent plots. For a detailed description of the study area and treatments applied in each plot see Martins et al. (2017).

Data collection

The aboveground coverage of all vegetation, and specifically *M. minutiflora*, in the study area was visually estimated in April 2003, April 2004 and April 2005. In each 10 x 10 m plot coverage data were collected in 15 quadrats (1 x 1 m), placing 5 quadrats along each of 3 parallel lines. Using the lower left corner of the plot as the origin the starting point of each line along the base line was determined using a random number table (min = 0 and max = 9) and the position of the five quadrats

along each line was also determined using a random number table (min = 0 and max = 9). The position of the lines and the quadrats used for estimation of aerial coverage was variable among plots and among years.

Seed rain of *M. minutiflora* was collected in 16 of the 24 plots in the study area (Fig. 1). Seed rain was collected using open topped plastic trays measuring 28 x 18 x 5 cm (504 cm² of collection area) placed on the soil surface. The raw values can be converted into the number per m² by multiplying the raw value by 19.84. The bottom of the trays was not perforated. Four trays were used in each plot where collection of seed rain was done. Two types of control plots were used and placement of the seed collection trays in these plots was subjective. In four control plots (LC = low coverage) the trays were placed in areas where *M. minutiflora* was not present or with few nearby individuals (> 1 m distant). In the other four control plots (HC = high coverage) the trays were placed underneath tussocks of *M. minutiflora*. In the Fire May plots (FM) and the IMM plots (IMM) the trays were placed equidistantly along a straight line connecting two opposite corners of the plot from the lower left to upper right. The FM plots were burned in May 2003 and then allowed to regenerate naturally. The IMM plots were also burned in May 2003 and were maintained free of regeneration of *M. minutiflora* by spot spraying with glyphosate (Roundup®, 480 g ai L⁻¹, Monsanto) in January and April 2004 and manual removal of any new individuals or resprouts from January to March 2005.

Seed rain was collected in 2003, 2004 and 2005 during the dispersal period of *M. minutiflora* and the location of all trays was the same in each year both in the control plots and in the FM and IMM plots. In all years the trays were placed in the field on May 2nd prior to flowering of *M. minutiflora* in this region except for the FM plots when the trays were placed three days after passage of the prescribed fire (May

Commented [JH3]: Roberto, alterei esta parte. Deste modo, creio que as análises podem ser separadas também – LC vs. HC e FM vs. IMM.

5th 2003). The IMM plots were burned on May 6th 2003, but seed rain was not collected in these plots during 2003. Within each year, three collections of the seed rain were made: the first (t_1) on July 9th, the second (t_2) on July 23rd and the third (t_3) on September 25th. Although the number of days between each collection date within years was different (t_0 to t_1 = 68; t_1 to t_2 = 14; and t_2 to t_3 = 64) the same intervals were maintained among years. On each collection date the contents of each tray were removed and placed in a separate numbered paper bag and taken to the laboratory where all bags were stored at room temperature until processing. The seeds collected from each tray were processed in two stages: 1) caryopses of *M. minutiflora* were manually separated from all other seeds and 2) the caryopses of *M. minutiflora* were then separated into two groups, fertile (containing caryopses) and non-fertile (without caryopses) using a General Seed Blower following the procedure described in Carmona and Martins (2010). After separation the number of seeds of *M. minutiflora* in each group was counted. Viability of the caryopses was not tested. Dispersal limitation based on the number of fertile caryopses that were collected in the trays over the entire collection period within the year for each treatment in each year was calculated following Xavier et al (2021).

Statistical analyses

Comparisons of data on the coverage values was done using the mean value of the 15 values obtained in each plot for all vegetation and separately for *M. minutiflora*. Comparisons among percentages of coverage were done using PAST 4.0 (Hammer et al. 2000). No transformation of the data was done prior to this analysis. Comparisons of seed rain was done using only data for fertile caryopses, as was done by Forcella et al. (1996). Since tray placement was different among treatments, analyses of annual

Commented [JH4]: Roberto, acho que precisamos melhorar a descrição sobre os métodos usados para analisar os dados

variation was done only using data from the LC and HC plots (objectives 1 and 2) and comparison of treatment effects were done between the FM and IMM plots (objective 4). These data were analyzed in the R environment. A Generalized Linear Model (GLM, with Poisson and Identity function) was used to answer questions about the number of viable seeds. A GLM model with binomial distribution was used to test for differences of viable caryopses among treatments (objective 3). Prior to analysis of coverage the values were arcsine transformed.

Results and Discussion

Dispersal of caryopses of Melinis minutiflora

Previous studies have indicated different modes of seed dispersal in *M. minutiflora*. D'Antonio et al. (2001) states that dispersal of *M. minutiflora* is anemochoric and this mode is also indicated by Hauser (2008) and CABI (2020). However, Barger et al. (2003) affirmed that since *M. minutiflora* does not possess a strong dispersal mechanism such as a plume for wind dispersal its ability to colonize new areas is limited. There are no studies on terminal velocity of any species in the genus *Melinis*, but Stokes (2010) under laboratory conditions collected data on distances of wind dispersal of *Melinis repens*, a congeneric species, and even at the highest velocity used the mean distance traveled by *M. repens* seeds was only around 1.5 m. Considering that caryopses of *M. minutiflora* are slightly lighter than those of *M. repens* (Ansong and Pickering 2016) the mean distance traveled would probably would not be much greater. Silberbauer-Gottsberger (1984) considered that epizoochory is the dispersal mechanism of *M. minutiflora* and this is also reinforced by data from Ansong and Pickering (2016) who showed that seeds of *M. minutiflora* were retained on different types of fabrics. Endozoochory is probably not an

important factor in dispersal of *M. minutiflora* as was shown by Gardener et al. (1993).

Coverage

All plots initially contained a variable mixture of native Cerrado vegetation and *M. minutiflora*. Prior to the start of data collection, the mean total aboveground coverage in all 24 plots varied from 87 to 98% (94.9 ± 2.65) and the percentage of *M. minutiflora* in the total varied from 13 to 75% (47.75 ± 18.20). There was no statistical difference between the median total coverage in the subset of 16 plots used in this experiment and the other 8 plots ($U = 60$, $p = 0.824$) and the median coverage of *M. minutiflora* was also not statistically different between these groups ($U = 43.50$, $p = 0.220$). Over the three years the median coverage of *M. minutiflora* in the control plots varied. In the FM plots both total coverage and coverage of *M. minutiflora* declined in 2004 but increased in 2005. In the IMM plots total coverage followed the same pattern as in the FM plots, but coverage of *M. minutiflora* was reduced after one year and was almost eliminated after two years (Fig. 2).

Seed rain of *M. minutiflora*

Different methods have been tested to quantify seed rain (for example, see Bullock et al. 2006; Chabrierie and Allard 2005; Forcella et al. 1996; Koelmann and Goetze 1998). The traps used in this study are larger than those generally used in studies of seed rain but are similar in size to those used by Saura-Mas and Lloret (2005). This type of trap has the advantage of being simple and easily replicable but also the disadvantage of possibly being affected by environmental factors, principally wind, rain and the possibility of seed removal by animals. In this study the farthest distance

Commented [JH5]: Fiz esta figura usando SigmaPlot. Não tenho no meu Mac mas tenho um laptop com o programa instalado se precisar alterar algo.

229 of a tray to the nearest potential seed source of *M. minutiflora* was approximately 6 m
230 for trays near the center of the FM and IMM plots. Seed rain of *M. minutiflora* in the
231 LC and HC plots was always statistically different () as was expected from the
232 placement of the traps but both treatments had the same pattern among years with a
233 slight decrease in absolute number between 2003 and 2004 and a larger decrease in
234 2005 (Tab. 1). Interannual differences in seed rain have also been shown in different
235 species in other studies (Pilipavičius 2006; Urbanska and Fattorini 2000; Webster et
236 al. 2003). The observed decrease in seed rain of *M. minutiflora* apparently was not
237 associated with differences in rainfall since there is no clear relation with accumulated
238 rainfall in the January to April period, 620.7, 1055.3 and 820.6 mm respectively
239 (INMET 2019) at the nearest automatic meteorological station (≈ 6.5 km). This time
240 period was chosen since it covers the interval from the middle to the end of the rainy
241 season prior to flowering of *M. minutiflora*. The accumulated rainfall over the seed
242 rain collection period (May to September) was also variable among years (135.6, 7.4
243 and 65.7 mm respectively). Small scale spatial variation in seed rain between trays
244 within the same plots was high in all collections as was indicated by the values of the
245 coefficient of variation in all collections, generally over 100%, even in the HC plots.
246

247 *Seed rain of fertile caryopses of M. minutiflora*

248 Seed rain was spatially variable over time within each year when compared by
249 evaluating the number of trays with and without fertile caryopses at each collection
250 date. Fertile caryopses were collected in all of the trays in all the collection dates only
251 in the HC plots. In the LC plots almost all of the trays had fertile caryopses in the first
252 and second collection but in third collection less than half of the trays contained fertile
253 caryopses. In September 2003 the FM plots had a higher number of empty trays than

Commented [JH6]: Roberto, acho que vale a pena incluir esta análise embora é um resultado obvio devido à localização das bandeja. Todavia o padrão é semelhante nos dois tratamentos e creio isto pode ser afirmado.

Commented [JH7]: Roberto, é possível comparar o número de bandejas sem sementes ao longo do tempo?

254 in 2004 and 2005 in this treatment. In the IMM plots, the September collections were
255 always lower from the other two in this treatment. In each year the total number of
256 traps with fertile caryopses always decreased during the dispersal period except for
257 the traps in the HC treatment which always had fertile caryopses.

258 **Insert analyses?**

259 Apparently the majority of fertile caryopses of *M. minutiflora* were collected
260 close to the seed source. This is similar to results reported by Saura-Mas and Lloret
261 (2005) in their study of pampas grass (*Cortaderia selloana* (Schult. & Schult.f.) Axch.
262 & Gordon) where the majority of seeds were collected within 0.5 m of the focal
263 individuals. The majority of dispersed seeds of the annual grass, *Vulpia ciliata ssp*
264 *ambigua* (Le Gall) Stace & Auquier, were also collected close to the source plants
265 (Carey and Watkinson 1993). A study by Quinn et al. (2011) with two species of the
266 grass *Miscanthus* showed that the majority of seeds were collected within 5 m of the
267 source, but in their study seeds were released at 1.75 m above the ground,
268 approximately 1 m higher than the average height of *M. minutiflora*, and seeds of
269 *Miscanthus* are better adapted for wind dispersal than those of *M. minutiflora*.

270

271 *Differences in percentage of fertile caryopses of Melinis minutiflora*

272 Caryopses of *M. minutiflora* are formed synchronously (Loch and Souza 1999) and
273 apparently can remain in the seedheads for several months. Although there are
274 previous studies of the percentage of fertile caryopses in collections of *M. minutiflora*
275 (Aires et al. 2013; Carmona and Martins 2010) **there are no previous studies of**
276 **differences of percentages of fertile caryopses in the seed rain of *M. minutiflora* over**
277 **time within the same year.** This study shows that fertile caryopses remain in the
278 seedheads and that dispersal their dispersal is possible during several months after

Commented [JH8]: Roberto, dá para fazer análises comparativas entre
1-Diferença entre anos por microambiente **(Já tem esta análise entre 3 e 4)**
2- Diferença entre microambientes dentro do mesmo ano

Commented [JH9]: Roberto, creio que esta é uma análise que pode ser feita, Pode ser para cada tratamento individualmente bem como comparando 1 e 2 e depois 3 e 4. Como tentei explicar, nas medida que a distância da fonte aumenta, creio que a porcentagem de viáveis deve diminuir. As frases destacadas abaixo que tratam deste assunto provavelmente precisam ser ajustadas para a realidade “nova” após as análises

279 flowering and production of seeds although the vast majority are dispersed near the
 280 onset of the dispersal period. The maintenance of fertile seeds over time in seedheads
 281 has been reported for other invasive plant species and has been suggested for use in
 282 control measures (Bitafaran and Andreasen 2020; Walsh and Powles 2014). The
 283 retention of seeds aboveground by *M. minutiflora* would result in a reduction in seed
 284 rain and consequently the seed bank in the soil if the area was burned prior to their
 285 release. There was a reduction in the percentage of dispersed fertile caryopses over
 286 time within the same year, with a higher percentage collected near the beginning
 287 (33%) than at the end (23%) of the dispersal period ($H = 11.66$, $p = 0.003$) (Tab. 2).
 288 The percentage of fertile caryopses was statistically different among treatment, 27.8%
 289 in the LC plots, 35.6% in the HC plots and 27.0% in the FM plots ($H = 10.96$, $p =$
 290 0.004). The percentage of fertile caryopses in the first collection date is comparable
 291 with other data on seed quality presented by Carmona and Martins (2010) who
 292 reported a range of values of fertile caryopses from 17.5 to 36.1% for seeds of *M.*
 293 *minutiflora* collected in three different sites in the Federal District of Brazil in June of
 294 2004 and by Martins et al. (2019) for different sites in Brazil. However, the values in
 295 this study are slightly higher than those reported by Aires et al. (2013) for percentage
 296 of fertile caryopses of *M. minutiflora* collected in May and June in another preserved
 297 Cerrado in the Federal District of Brazil.

Commented [JH10]: Roberto, creio que estes dados precisam ser analisados de novo
 1-Diferença entre anos
 2-Diferença entre microambientes
 3-Diferença entre plots no mesmo microambiente

299 *Seed rain and differences between management techniques*

Commented [MOU11]: Rever após conversar com Roberto sobre as análises. Separate comparisons - LC and HC and FM and IMM

301 Analysis Roberto = There was a highly significant difference between the number of
 302 viable seeds in the FM and IMM treatments.

Comparing the FM and IMM plots in 2004 and 2005 the seed rain was always significantly lower in the IMM plots. The results of the GLM indicated highly significant differences between the FM and IMM treatments. The LC and FM plots had intermediate values compared to the HC and IMM plots but were statistically different only in 2003.

Commented [JH12]: Probably delete this sentence since the data were collected using different methods.

*Dispersal limitation in *Melinis minutiflora**

The values for dispersal limitation in *M. minutiflora* were variable among years (Table 3) and all values indicated a higher restriction than found by Xavier et al (2021). The highest restriction was in the treatments in the natural areas and under tussocks of *Melinis*. The effect of fire on dispersal limitation was apparent in the first year of data collection but increased in subsequent years. Although the number of seeds reaching the trays in the IMM treatment was very small (< 5% of the values in the natural area) dispersal was occurring. Among the three collection dates within the same year, the values for dispersal limitation always decreased from the first to the last collection which might be related to increasing mean wind velocity over this period (Maggiotto et al 2013).

The presence of fertile caryopses in the FM plots in 2003 and in the IMM plots in 2004 and 2005 indicates that dispersal of caryopses of *M. minutiflora* is possible over distances of at least 6 m since there were no reproductive individuals within these plots. If all the fertile caryopses collected in the IMM plots in 2005 germinated this value was within the lower range of germination of *M. minutiflora* found by Sato et al. (2015) in areas that were subjected to annual mowing. Thus, our data support their suggestion that annual mowing could be an effective control

measure for *M. minutiflora* since the possibility of reintroduction through seed rain is low.

Fertile caryopses of *M. minutiflora* can remain in the seedheads through the dispersal period although the majority are dispersed shortly after formation. The importance of tussocks of *M. minutiflora* for maintenance of its presence was shown by the large difference in seed rain between the LC plots and the HC plots. Our results indicate that short distance dispersal is possible since there were fertile caryopses present in the trays in the IMM plots where the coverage of *M. minutiflora* was almost eliminated. However, we do not believe that primary dispersal is an important factor in a rapid spread of *M. minutiflora* as can be observed in the values of dispersal limitation. Thus, our data support the affirmation by Barger et al. (2003) that since *M. minutiflora* does not possess a strong dispersal mechanism such as a plume for wind dispersal its ability to colonize new areas is limited.

Author contribution. CRM designed the experiment and collected the data. RBC did the statistical analyses in the R environment. All authors contributed to writing and discussion of the text.

Acknowledgements

To the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade and the Parque Nacional de Brasília for authorization to perform this study

Funding

To WWF/Brazil (Project BTZ-NT-614/2002) and The Nature Conservancy Brazil (Donation No. 020/03) for financial support.

353

354 Competing Interests

355 The authors declare none.

356

357 **References**

- 358 Adams V, Petty AM, Douglas, Buckley, YM; Ferdinands KB, Okazaki T, Ko D.W,
359 Setterfield SA (2015) Distribution, demography and dispersal model of spatial
360 spread of invasive plant populations with limited data. *Methods Ecol Evol* 6:782-
361 794
- 362 Aires SS, Sato MN, Miranda HS (2013) Seed characterization and direct sowing of
363 native grass species as a management tool. *Grass Forage Sci* 69:470-478
- 364 Ansong M, Pickering C (2016) The effects of seed traits and fabric type of the
365 retention of seed on different types of clothing. *Basic Appl Ecol* 17:516-526
- 366 Arruda AJ, Buisson E, Poschlod P, Silveira FAO (2018) How have we studied seed
367 rain in grasslands and what do we need to improve for better restoration? *Restor*
368 *ecol* 26 (S2):S84-S91
- 369 Barger NN, D'Antonio CM, Ghneim T, Cuevas E (2003) Constraints to colonization
370 and growth of the African grass *Melinis minutiflora* in a Venezuelan savanna. *Plant*
371 *Ecol* 167: 31–43
- 372 Bauer MO, Gomide JA, da Silva EAM, Regazzi AJ, Chichorro JF (2008)
373 Características anatômicas e valor nutritivo de quatro gramíneas predominantes em
374 pastagem natural em Viçosa, MG. *Rev Bras de Zootecn* 37:9-17
- 375 Bitafaran, Z, Andreasen C (2020) Seed production and retention at maturity of
376 blackgrass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*) and silky windgrass (*Apera spica-venti*) at
377 wheat harvest. *Weed Sci* 68(2):1-6

Commented [JH13]: Não tenho cópia em PDF das referências destacadas em amarelo. Todavia provavelmente não são necessárias.

378 Bullock JM, Shea K, Skarpaas O (2006) Measuring plant dispersal: an introduction to
 379 field methods and experimental design. *Plant Ecol* 186:217-234
 380 CABI (2020) *Melinis minutiflora* [Rojas-Sandoval, J and Acevedo-Rodrigues, P.]. In:
 381 Invasive Species Compendium Datasheet 32983. Wallingford, UK: CAB
 382 International. www.cabi.org/isc. Accessed: February 14, 2020
 383 Carey PD, Watkinson AR (1993) The dispersal and fate of seeds of the winter annual
 384 grass *Vulpia ciliata*. *J Ecol* 81:759-767.
 385 Carmona R, Martins CR (2010) Qualidade física, viabilidade e dormência de
 386 sementes recém-colhidas de capim-gordura (*Melinis minutiflora* P. Beauv.). *Rev*
 387 *Brasil Sementes* 32:77-82
 388 César RG, Viana RAG, da Silva MC, Brancalion PHS (2014) Does a native grass
 389 (*Imperata brasiliensis* Trin.) limit tropical forest restoration like an alien grass
 390 (*Melinis minutiflora* P. Beauv.)? *Trop Conserv Sci* 7:639-656
 391 Chabrierie O, Alard D (2005) Comparison of three seed trap types in a chalk
 392 grassland: toward a standardized protocol. *Plant Ecol* 176:101-112
 393 D'Antonio CMD, Hughes RF, Vitousek PM (2001) Factors influencing dynamics of
 394 two invasive C4 grasses in seasonally dry Hawaiian woodlands. *Ecology* 82:89-
 395 104
 396 Damasceno G, Fidelis A (2020) Abundance of invasive grasses is dependent on fire
 397 regime and climatic conditions in tropical savannas. *Journal of Environ Manage.*
 398 271:111016
 399 Damasceno G, Souza L, Pivello VR, Gorgone-Barbosa E, Giroldo PA, Fidelis A
 400 (2018) Impact of invasive grasses on Cerrado under natural regeneration. *Biol*
 401 *Invasions* 20:3621-3629

402 de Souza TS, Fulgêncio-Lima LG, Silva D (2017) Modelagem atual e futura da
 403 espécie invasora *Melinis minutiflora* no cerrado brasileiro. Multi-Science Journal
 404 1.8:19
 405 DiVittorio CT, Corbin JD, D'Antonio CM (2007) Spatial and temporal patterns of
 406 seed dispersal: an important determinant of grassland invasions. Ecol Appl 17:311-
 407 316
 408 dos Santos BR, Pereira JA, Oliveira ML, Rocha CTV, Londe V (2020) Forest matrix
 409 favors the recovering of seed rain in áreas undergoing restoration. Floresta e
 410 Ambiente (27(4)): e20190190
 411 Ehrenfeld JG (2010) Ecosystem consequences of biological invasions. Annu Rev Ecol
 412 Syst 41:59-80
 413 Eller CB, Oliveira RS (2017) Effects of nitrogen availability on the competitive
 414 interactions between an invasive and a native grass from Brazilian cerrado. Plant
 415 Soil 410:63-72
 416 Farias J, Sanchez M, Abreu MF, Pedroni F (2015) Seed dispersal and predation of
 417 *Buchenavia tomentosa* Eichler (Combretaceae) in a Cerrado sensu stricto, Midwest
 418 Brazil. Braz J Biol 75:S88-S96
 419 Forcella F, Peterson DH, Barbour JC (1996) Timing and measurement of weed seed
 420 shed in corn (*Zea mays*). Weed Technol 10:535-543
 421 Gardener CJ, McIvor JG, Jansen A (1993) Passage of legume and grass seed through
 422 the digestive tract of cattle and their survival in faeces. J Appl Ecol 30:63-74
 423 Golin V, Santos-Filho M, Pereira MJB (2011) Dispersão e predação de sementes de
 424 *araticum* no Cerrado de Mato Grosso, Brasil. Ciênc Rural (Santa Maria) 41:101-
 425 107

426 Gomide JA, Noller CH, Mott GO, Conrad JH, Hill DL (1969) Mineral composition of
 427 six tropical grasses as influenced by plant age and nitrogen fertilization. *Agron*
 428 *Jour* 61:120-123

429 Gorgone-Barbosa E, Daibes LF, Novaes RB, Pivello VR, Fidelis A (2020) Fire cues
 430 and germination of invasive and native grasses in the Cerrado. *Acta Bot Bras*
 431 34:185-191

432 Greene DF, Johnson EA (1989) A model of wind dispersal of winged or plumed
 433 seeds. *Ecology* 70:339-347

434 Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software
 435 Package for Education and Data Analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica* 4(1):9

436 Hauser AS (2008) *Melinis minutiflora*. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online].
 437 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research
 438 Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer).
 439 <https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/graminoid/melmin/all.html>. Accessed:
 440 February 14, 2020

441 Hoffmann WA, Haridasan M (2008) The invasive grass, *Melinis minutiflora*, inhibits
 442 tree regeneration in a Neotropical savanna. *Austral Ecol* 33:29-36

443 INMET – Instituto Nacional de Meteorologia (2019) Historical meteorological data.
 444 <http://www.inmet.gov.br/portal/index.php?r=bdmep/bdmep>. Accessed February
 445 26, 2020

446 Ishara K, Maimoni-Rodella RCS (2011) Pollination and dispersal systems in a
 447 Cerrado remnant (Brazilian Savanna) in southeastern Brazil. *Braz Arch Biol Techn*
 448 54:629-642

449 Kettenring KM, Galatowitsch SM (2011) Seed rain of restored and natural prairie
 450 wetlands. *Wetlands* 31:283-294

451 Klink CA, Machado RB (2005) Conservation of the Brazilian Cerrado. *Conserv Biol*
452 19:707-713

453 Kuhlmann M, Ribeiro JF (2016) Evolution of seed dispersal in the Cerrado biome:
454 ecological and phylogenetic considerations. *Acta Bot Bras* 30:271-282

455 Latombe G, Pysek P, Jeschke JM, Blackburn TM, Bacher S, Capinha C, Costello MJ,
456 Fernández M, Gregory RD, Hobern D, Hui C, Jetz W, Kumschick S,
457 McGrannachan C, Pergl J, Roy HE, Scalera R, Squires ZE, McGeoch MA (2017)
458 A vision for global monitoring of biological invasions. *Biol Conserv* 213:295-308

459 Lock DS, Souza FHD (1999) Seed harvesting and drying: grasses. Pages 191-211 in
460 Loch DS, Ferguson JE, eds. *Forage seed production vol. 2: tropical and subtropical*
461 *species*. Wallingford: CABI

462 Maggiotto, SR, Ferreira, FMS, Maximiano, CV (2013) Um estudo da velocidade e
463 direção predominante do vento em Brasília, DF. XVIII Congresso Brasileiro de
464 Agrometeorologia, Belem, PA, Brasil,

465 Mariano V, Rebolo IF, Christianini AV (2019) Fire-sensitive species dominate seed
466 rain after fire suppression: Implications for plant community diversity and woody
467 encroachment in the Cerrado. *Biotropica* 51:5-9

468 Marteinsdóttir B (2014) Seed rain and seed bank reveal that seed limitation strongly
469 influences plant community assembly in grasslands. *PloS ONE* 9(7):103352

470 Martins CR, Hay JD, Scalea M, Malaquias JV (2017) Management techniques for the
471 control of *Melinis minutiflora* P. Beauv. (molasses grass): ten years of research on
472 an invasive grass species in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Acta Bot Bras* 31:546-554

473 Mendonça RC, Felfili J.M, Walter BMT, Silva Júnior MC, Rezende AV, Filgueiras
474 TS, Nogueira PE, Fagg CW (2008) Flora vascular do Bioma Cerrado: checklist
475 com 12356 espécies. Pages 421-1279 in Sano SM. Almeida SP, Ribeiro JF, eds.

476 Cerrado: ecologia e flora. Planaltina, DF: EMBRAPA Cerrados/Embrapa
 477 Informação Tecnológica

478 Myers JA, Harms, KE (2009) Seed arrival, ecological filters, and plant species
 479 richness: a meta-analysis. *Ecol Lett* 12:1250-1260

480 Oliveira PEAM, Moreira AG (1992) Anemocoria em espécies de cerrado e mata de
 481 galeria de Brasília, DF. *Rev Bras de Bot* 15:163-174

482 Pilipavičius V (2006) Three year assessment of weed dynamics in herbicide-free
 483 barley culture: a field study. *Žemdirbystė* 93:89-98

484 Pivello VR, Carvalho VMC, Lopes PP Peccinini, A.A.; Rosso, S. Abundance and
 485 distribution of native and alien grasses in a “cerrado” (Brazilian savannas)
 486 Biological Reserve. *Biotropica* 31 (1): p. 72-82, 1999

487 Quinn LD, Matlag DP, Stewart JR, Davis AS (2011) Empirical evidence of long-
 488 distance dispersal in *Miscanthus sinensis* and *Miscanthus x giganteus*. *Invas Plant*
 489 *Sci Mana* 4:142-150

490 Sampaio AB, Schmidt IB (2013) Espécies exóticas invasoras em Unidades de
 491 Conservação Federais no Brasil. *Biodiversidade Brasileira* 3(2):32-49

492 Sato MN, Aires SS, Aires FS, Miranda HS (2015) Annual mowing prevents the
 493 recruitment of molasses-grass in a Brazilian savanna. *Heringeriana* 10(2):79-90

494 Saura-Mas S, Lloret F (2005) Wind effects on dispersal patterns of the invasive alien
 495 *Cortaderia selloana* in Mediterranean wetlands. *Acta Oecol* 129-133

496 Schott GW, Hamburg SP (1977) The seed rain and seed bank of an adjacent native
 497 tallgrass prairie and old field. *Can J Bot* 75:1-7

498 Schupp EW, Jordano P, Gómez JM (2010) Seed dispersal effectiveness revisited: a
 499 conceptual review. *New Phytol* 188:333-353

500 Sciamarelli A, Guglieri-Capora A, Caporal FJM (2011) Prediction for expansion of
 501 two invasive grasses in Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, using climatic data and
 502 NDVI/MODIS. *Geografia* 36: 97-106
 503 Sharma GP, Singh JS, Raghubanshi AS (2005) Plant invasions: Emerging trends and
 504 future implications. *Current Science* 88:726-734
 505 Silveira LRM, Moraes CF (1996) Determinação do modo de reprodução em capim-
 506 gordura (*Melinis minutiflora* Beauv.) por padrões enzimáticos. *Ciencia Rural*
 507 (Santa Maria) 26 (1):39-44
 508 Simberloff D, Martin J-L, Genovesi P, Maris V, Wardle DA, Aronson J, Courchamp
 509 F, Galil B, Garcia-Berthou E, Pascal M, Pysek P, Sousa R, Tacacchi E, Vilá M
 510 (2013) Impacts of biological invasions: what's what and the way forward. *Trends*
 511 *Ecol Evol* 28:58-66
 512 Silberbauer-Gottsberger I (1984) Fruit dispersal and trypanocargy in Brazilian cerrado
 513 grasses. *Plant Syst Evol* 147:1-27
 514 Sciamarelli A, Guglieri-Caporal A, Caporal FJM (2011). Prediction for expansion of
 515 two invasive grasses in Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, using climatic data and
 516 NDVI/MODIS. *Geografia*:36, 97-106
 517 Stokes CA (2010) Biology, ecology and management of Natal grass (*Melinis repens*).
 518 Master's Thesis. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida. 108 p
 519 Tamme R, Gotzenberger L, Zobel M, Bullock JM, Hooftman DA, Kaasik A, Partel M
 520 (2014) Predicting species' maximum dispersal distances from simple plant traits.
 521 *Ecology* 95:505-513
 522 Thomson FJ, Moles AT, Auld TD, Kingsford RT (2011) Seed dispersal distance in
 523 more strongly correlated with plant height than with seed mass. *J Ecol* 99:1299-
 524 1307

525 Urbanska, KM and Fattorini M (2000) Seed rain in high-altitude restoration plots in
 526 Switzerland. *Restor Ecol* 8:74-79
 527 Vieira DLM, Aquino FG, Brito MA, Fernandes-Bulhão C, Henriques RPB (2002)
 528 Síndromes de dispersão de espécies arbustivo-arbóreas em cerrado sensu stricto do
 529 Brasil Central e savanas amazônicas. *Rev Bras Bot*: 25:215-220
 530 Vilà M, Espinar JL, Hejda M, Hulme PE, Jarosik V, Maron JL, Pergl J, Schaffner U.
 531 Sun Y, Pysek P (2011) Ecological impacts of invasive alien plants: a meta-analysis
 532 of their effects on species, communities and ecosystems. *Ecol Lett* 14:702-708
 533 Walsh JM, Powles SB (2014) High seed retention at maturity of annual weeds
 534 infesting crop fields highlights the potential for harvest weed seed control. *Weed*
 535 *Technol* 28(3):486-493
 536 Webster TM, Cardina J, White AD (2003) Weed seed rain, soil seedbanks, and
 537 seedling recruitment in no-tillage crop rotations. *Weed Sci* 51:569-575
 538 Williams DG, Baruch Z (2000) African grass invasion in the Americas: ecosystem
 539 consequences and the role of ecophysiology. *Biol Invasions* 2:123-140
 540 Willson MF, Traveset A (2000) The ecology of seed dispersal. Pages 85-100 in
 541 Fenner M, ed. *Seeds: the ecology of regeneration in plant communities*. 2nd ed.
 542 Wallingford, UK: CABI
 543 Xavier RO, Christianini AV, Pegler G, Leite MB, Silva-Matos DM (2021) Distinctive
 544 seed dispersal and seed bank patterns of invasive African grasses favour their
 545 invasion in a neotropical savanna. *Oecologia*. 196:155-169
 546 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-021-04904-z>
 547 Zenni RD, Sampaio AB, Lima YP, Pessoa-Filho M, Lins TCL, Pivello VR, Daehler C
 548 (2019) Invasive *Melinis minutiflora* outperforms native species, but the magnitude
 549 of the effect is context-dependent. *Biol Invasions*. 21:657-667

Table 1. Accumulated rainfall in Brasília, DF prior to the flowering season (January to April) and during the flowering season (May to September) of *Melinis minutiflora* in a cerrado near Brasília, DF (INEMET 2019).

	January to April	May to September
	mm	mm
2003	620.7	135.6
2004	1055.3	7.4
2005	820.6	65.7

Commented [JH14]: Acho que podemos retirar esta tabela porque os dados estão apresentados dentro de texto

557 Table 1. Mean number of fertile caryopses (Mean \pm 1 SD) of *Melinis minutiflora* collected in each treatment (N = 48) in each year in the
 558 Brazilian cerrado near Brasília, DF. The values in parentheses are the minimum and maximum number of fertile caryopses in the trays. Data were
 559 not collected in the Integrated Management May plots in 2003.

560

	2003	2004	2005	All years
Low coverage	163,69 \pm 292.3 (0 - 1716)	104.04 \pm 138.49 0 - 660	43.17 \pm 66.31 0 - 363	2056.1 \pm 3883.4
High coverage	1162,23 \pm 1111.72 (22 - 4493)	1060.60 \pm 1266.72 51 - 4736	728.15 \pm 918.35 6 - 4360	19515.8 \pm 22140.9
Fire May	1,19 \pm 1.68 (0 - 6)	132.48 \pm 294.19 0 - 1466	174.10 \pm 362.60 0 - 1791	2121.2 \pm 5619.6
Integrated Management May	-----	5.52 \pm 12.02 0 - 59	4.35 \pm 15.76 0 - 106	98.0 \pm 276.9

561

Commented [JH15]: Roberto, acho que provavelmente podemos excluir a coluna de All years nesta tabela e a próxima. Acha que podemos usar box plots para estes dados ou melhor deixar como tabela, talvez uma vantagem de box plots é mostrar a grande quantidade de outliers nos dados.

562

563 **Table 2.** Mean percentage of fertile caryopses of *Melinis minutiflora* (Mean \pm 1 SD) collected in the Brazilian Cerrado in each treatment during
 564 the entire collection period of each year. The number of trays with fertile caryopses is indicated in parentheses. Data were not collected in the
 565 Integrated Management May plots in 2003.

566

	2003	2004	2005	All years
Low coverage	27.74 \pm 17.61 (48)	28.97 \pm 13.94 (47)	23.19 \pm 15.22 (45)	0.267 \pm 0.158 (140)
High coverage	35.60 \pm 13.91 (48)	46.25 \pm 10.56 (48)	38.20 \pm 17.15 (48)	0.400 \pm 0.148 (144)
Fire May	26.98 \pm 23.58 (24)	32.14 \pm 17.57 (46)	27.67 \pm 18.22 (39)	0.331 \pm 0.502 (112)
Integrated Management May	-----	24.83 \pm 22.53 (36)	20.77 \pm 20.57 (30)	0.229 \pm 0.216 (66)

567

568

Commented [JH16]: Roberto, também isto pode ser uma figura (boxplot)

569 Table 3. Dispersal limitation for fertile caryopses of *Melinis minutiflora* in a cerrado near Brasília. DF. The values in parentheses are the number
 570 of trays with at least one fertile caryopsis during each year in all three collections (Maximum number is 48).

	2003	2004	2005
Low coverage	0.958 (46)	0.938 (45)	0.896 (43)
High coverage	1.000 (48)	1.000 (48)	1.000 (48)
Fire May	0.689 (23)	0.854 (41)	0.854 (34)
Integrated Management May	-----	0.607 (29)	0.528 (25)

571

572

Commented [JH17]: Inclui esta tabela baseado nos cálculos que fiz referente ao conceito de limitação de dispersão


573

1.1 IMM ▼	2.1 FS	3.1 IMS	4.1 CM ■
1.2 CM ■	2.2 IMS	3.2 CS ●	4.2 FM ▲
1.3 FM ▲	2.3 CS ●	3.3 FS	4.3 IMM ▼
1.4 IMS	2.4 FM ▲	3.4 CS ●	4.4 CM ■
1.5 FS	2.5 CM ■	3.5 FS	4.5 IMM ▼
1.6 CS ●	2.6 IMM ▼	3.6 IMS	4.6 FM ▲

574

575 Figure 1. Experimental design of the treatments used for studying *Melinis minutiflora*
576 in the National Park of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil (CM = control May. CS = control
577 September, FM = fire May, FS = fire September, IMM = integrated management
578 May, IMS – integrated management September). Each block is 10 x 10 m. with a 1 m
579 buffer zone between blocks. Data on seed rain were collected in the four CS plots in
580 areas with low coverage of *M. minutiflora* (●), in the four CM plots under tussocks of
581 *M. minutiflora* (■), in the four FM plots (▲) and in the four IMM plots (▼), The FS
582 and IMS plots were not used for collection of seed rain.

583



585

586 Figure 2. Means and standard deviations for total percent ground cover (filled bars)

587 and percent cover of *M. minutiflora* (open bars) in April of each year in the plots

588 where seed rain of *M. minutiflora* was collected. A = LC plots with trays placed in

589 areas with low coverage of *M. minutiflora*, B = HC plots with trays placed under

590 tussocks of *M. minutiflora*, C = fire May (FM) plots, and D = integrated management

591 May (IMM) plots.