Elegant Integrals

Rohan Mukherjee

February 26, 2024

1 Gamma and Zeta Functions

We begin with a discussion of some important special functions, and prove some facts about them.

Definition 1.1 (Gamma Function). The Gamma Function is defined as follows:

$$\Gamma(x+1) = \int_0^\infty t^x e^{-t} dt$$

Theorem 1.1 (Gamma-Factorial Identity). For all natural numbers n, denoted $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$

Proof. First I show that $\Gamma(n+1) = n\Gamma(n)$. Note that this is the same thing as saying n! = n(n-1)! Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that $n \geq 2$. Let $u = t^n$ and $dv = e^{-t}dt$. Then $du = nt^{n-1}$ and $v = -e^{-t}$. So

$$\Gamma(n+1) = \int_0^\infty t^x e^{-t} dt = -t^n e^{-t} \Big|_0^\infty + n \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-t} dt$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} -\frac{t^n}{e^t} + 0 + n \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-t} dt$$

$$= n \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-t} dt$$

$$= n\Gamma(n)$$

Now I will show that $\Gamma(1) = (1-1)! = 1$. Observe that

$$\Gamma(1) = \int_0^\infty t^0 e^{-t} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt$$
$$= -e^{-t} \Big|_0^\infty$$
$$= -\lim_{t \to \infty} e^{-t} + 1$$
$$= 1$$

The next tool I will be introducing is the *Riemann Zeta Function*, denoted $\zeta(s)$. For our purposes, the only definition we will have to know is the next one.

Definition 1.2 (Riemann Zeta Function). The Riemann Zeta Function, denoted $\zeta(s)$, is defined as follows:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

Put simply, it is the value of the p-series with p = s.

There are some important implications of this function in the field of complex analysis, where you can make sense of things like

$$\zeta(-1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{-1}} = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots = -\frac{1}{12}$$

But that is beyond the scope of this article.

The most famous result—one that shows up all the time, is that

$$\zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

Sadly showing that this is true is really complicated, so I will ask you to take it as a fact. If you want, you can look up "3blue1brown basel problem" to see a really elegant solution. The wikipedia article also does a good job showing it, but uses some theorems that are a lot less intuitive.

So now we will move onto our first integral.

2 Problems Part 1

This first one is a fairly modified version of one from the MIT 2020 integration bee qualifying exam. This was the last (and hardest) problem on the exam.

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x}{e^x - 1} dx$$

You are probably wondering where in the world the Gamma function or the Zeta function that I mentioned above are in this. The solution, as the title of this article states, is very elegant, and those functions show up in amazing places.

We begin by multiplying the top and bottom by e^{-x} ,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x}{e^x - 1} \cdot \frac{e^{-x}}{e^{-x}} dx = \int_0^\infty x \frac{e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} dx$$

At this point thinking of this would be ludicrous. A (very) keen eye will notice that $\frac{e^{-x}}{1-e^{-x}}$ looks suspiciously like a geometric series. Unsurprisingly, that is exactly what I will be doing here. So,

$$\int_0^\infty x \frac{e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} = \int_0^\infty x \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-nx} dx$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty x e^{-nx} dx$$

Now with no justification I will be interchanging the sum and the integral. If you are interested in finding out why this is justified, I recommend you look up "Monotone Convergence Theorem" or "Dominated Convergence Theorem". I have not learned those yet so I will not be showing them here.

$$\int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty x e^{-nx} dx = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty x e^{-nx} dx$$

Now I will make the substitution u = nx which means that du = ndx and x = u/n. Note that when x = 0, u = 0, and as $x \to \infty$, $u \to \infty$. So

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} x e^{-nx} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u}{n} e^{-u} \frac{du}{n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \int_0^{\infty} u e^{-u} du$$

At this point I would recommend you go look back at Definition 1.1, because without doing anything weelse we already now the value of this integral. After doing that, I hope you can see why I included this integral in this article.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} u e^{-u} du = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \Gamma(2)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

$$= \zeta(2) \text{ (See Definition 1.2)}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

This is an amazing answer. I also amazed that MIT put a similar question on a qualifying exam—I don't believe anyone could think of a solution like this without having seen it before.

3 Symmetries

A lot of hard integrals can be solved using simple symmetries. First I will talk about a **very** important identity.

Theorem 3.1 (King's Property).

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{a}^{b} f(a+b-x)dx$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is very simple. Let u = a + b - x. Then du = -dx. When x = a, u = a + b - a = b. When x = b, u = a + b - b = a. So,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{a}^{b} f(a+b-u)(-du)$$

Recall that

$$-\int_{b}^{a} f(x)dx = \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx$$

Also note that u here is a dummy variable, so we can replace it with x. So,

$$\int_{b}^{a} f(a+b-u)(-du) = \int_{a}^{b} f(a+b-x)dx$$

So with this under our belt, we can easy handle some very scary looking integrals.

4 Problems Part 2

The first problem we will be tackling is

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2(\sin(x)) + \cos^2(\cos(x)) dx$$

Utilizing Kings Property, we get that

$$I = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2(\sin(x)) + \cos^2(\cos(x)) dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2(\sin(\pi/2 - x)) + \cos^2(\cos(\pi/2 - x)) dx$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2(\cos(x)) + \cos^2(\sin(x)) dx$$

This next step demonstrates precisely why this technique is so powerful. By adding I to both sides, we get

$$2I = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2(\sin(x)) + \cos^2(\cos(x)) + \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2(\cos(x)) + \cos^2(\sin(x)) dx$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2(\sin(x)) + \cos^2(\sin(x)) + \sin^2(\cos(x)) + \cos^2(\cos(x)) dx$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} 1 + 1 dx$$
$$= \pi$$

Therefore, through an extremely elegant solution, we get that $I = \pi/2$.

The next problem is similar, but equally (if not more) scary looking.

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^n(x)}{\sin^n(x) + \cos^n(x)} dx, \text{ for any integer n}$$

We begin by using King's Property once again:

$$I = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^n(x)}{\sin^n(x) + \cos^n(x)} dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^n(\pi/2 - x)}{\sin^n(\pi/2 - x) + \cos^n(\pi/2 - x)} dx$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos^n(x)}{\cos^n(x) + \sin^n(x)} dx$$

Adding I to both sides, we get:

$$2I = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^n(x)}{\sin^n(x) + \cos^n(x)} + \frac{\cos^n(x)}{\cos^n(x) + \sin^n(x)} dx$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^n(x) + \cos^n(x)}{\sin^n(x) + \cos^n(x)} dx$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} 1 dx$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2}$$

So $I = \pi/4$.

Once again, this is an amazing result that is actually quite short because of how powerful this integration techinque is.

The next problem we will be examining is this

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\ln(x+1)}{x^{2}+1} dx$$

5 Important Trig Identity

Now we will be looking at a very important trig identity.

Theorem 5.1 (Sum of Trigonometric Functions).

$$a\sin(x) + b\cos(x) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}\sin(x + \varphi)$$
, where $\tan(\varphi) = \frac{b}{a}$

This might look pretty complicated, but if you examine some base cases, it ends up being pretty nice. For example,

$$\sin(x) + \cos(x) = \sqrt{2}\sin(x + \pi/4)$$

Proof. The first thing we will do to prove this theorem is assume that

$$a\sin(x) + b\cos(x) = c\sin(x + \varphi)$$

Now all we have to do is show that $c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ and that $\tan(\varphi) = b/a$. If we expand the right side, we get

$$a\sin(x) + b\cos(x) = c(\sin(x)\cos(\varphi) + \sin(\varphi)\cos(x))$$
$$= c\sin(x)\cos(\varphi) + c\sin(\varphi)\cos(x)$$
$$= c\cos(\varphi)\sin(x) + c\sin(\varphi)\cos(x)$$

Now all we have to do is match the coefficients. So,

$$a = c\cos(\varphi) \tag{1}$$

$$b = c\sin(\varphi) \tag{2}$$

The next thing I will do is square both equations and add them to each other. We get:

$$a^{2} + b^{2} = c^{2} \cos^{2}(\varphi) + c^{2} \sin^{2}(\varphi)$$
$$= c^{2}$$

So we have concluded that $c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. By backsubstituting c, and dividing equation (2) by (1), we get:

$$\frac{b}{a} = \frac{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \sin(\varphi)}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \cos(\varphi)}$$
$$= \tan(\varphi)$$

Which completes the proof.

This next integral is quite advanced, but can be ripped apart by the trig identity I just talked about. You might immediately try to use King's Property, but in this integral patience is key. To start, I will let $x = \tan(\theta)$. Then $dx = \sec^2(\theta)d\theta$. When x = 0, $\theta = 0$. When x = 1, $\theta = \pi/4$.

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\ln(x+1)}{x^2+1} dx = \int_0^{\pi/4} \frac{\ln(\tan(\theta)+1)}{\sec^2(\theta)} \cdot \sec^2(\theta) d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln\left(\frac{\sin(\theta)+\cos(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)}\right) d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln(\sin(\theta)+\cos(\theta)) - \ln(\cos(\theta)) d\theta$$

Now we will use the identity that I just talked about. By Theorem 5.1, $\sin(x) + \cos(x) = \sqrt{1^2 + 1^2} \sin(x + \tan^{-1}(1/1)) = \sqrt{2} \sin(x + \pi/4)$. Plugging this in, we get

$$\int_0^{\pi/4} \ln(\sin(\theta) + \cos(\theta)) - \ln(\cos(\theta)) = \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln\left(\sqrt{2}\sin(\theta + \pi/4)\right) - \ln(\cos(\theta))d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln\left(\sqrt{2}\right) + \ln(\sin(\theta + \pi/4)) - \ln(\cos(\theta))d\theta$$

$$= \frac{\pi \ln(\sqrt{2})}{4} + \underbrace{\int_0^{\pi/4} \ln(\sin(\theta + \pi/4)) - \ln(\cos(\theta))d\theta}_{I}$$

$$= \frac{\pi \ln(2)}{8} + I$$

Now we can use King's Property.

$$I = \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln(\sin(\theta + \pi/4)) - \ln(\cos(\theta))d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln(\sin(\pi/4 - \theta + \pi/4)) - \ln(\cos(\pi/4 - \theta))d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln(\cos(\theta)) - \ln(\cos(\pi/2 - (\pi/4 + \theta)))d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln(\cos(\theta)) - \ln(\sin(\theta + \pi/4))d\theta$$

Finally, adding I to both sides, we get

$$2I = \int_0^{\pi/4} \ln(\sin(\theta + \pi/4)) - \ln(\cos(\theta)) + \ln(\cos(\theta)) - \ln(\sin(\theta + \pi/4))d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} 0d\theta$$
$$= 0$$

Which means that I = 0. Therefore, our original integral

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\ln(x+1)}{x^2+1} dx = \frac{\pi \ln(2)}{8}$$

This is another elegant result. This was on the Putnam Exam, an international math exam for undergraduate students in college. That's all for now, I hope you enjoyed reading this magazine!

Suppose that (n_k) is a sequence of increasing positive integers satisfying $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{n_k}{n_{k-1}}=1$, (a_n) is a bounded sequence, and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} a_i = a$$

then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i = a$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and find K > 0 so that $(1 - \varepsilon)n_k < n_{k-1} < (1 + \varepsilon)n_k$ holds for all k > K. Then if D is a global constant bounding $a_n - a$, fixing $n > n_K$ and finding k so that $n_{k-1} \le n \le n_k$, we have that $(1 - \varepsilon)n_k \le n$, so

$$\frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - a) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (a_i - a) \right| + \left| \sum_{i=n}^{n_k} (a_i - a) \right| \right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)n_k} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (a_i - a) \right| + \frac{(n_k - n)}{n} D \\
\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - \varepsilon)} + D\varepsilon \frac{n_k}{n_{k-1}} \frac{n_{k-1}}{n} \leq C_1 \varepsilon + D\varepsilon (1 + \varepsilon) \leq C_2 \varepsilon$$

for some constant C_2 . This completes the proof.