## Math Template

## Rohan Mukherjee

June 6, 2024

We pose the following question: Of all subsets  $U \subset H = \{\pm 1\}^n$  with  $|U| = 2^{n-1}$  containing no antipodal points, which maximizes

$$\left\| \sum_{x \in U} x \right\|$$
?

We answer this question with the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** The best U as above is just  $U = \{x \in H : x_1 = 1\}$ .

The proof is as follows. Recall the structure theorem for the  $m \times n$  matrices:

**Theorem 2** (Structure Theorem). Let A be the matrix maximizing

$$\beta(A) = \sum_{x \in D} ||Ax||_{\infty}$$

Define  $W_i = \{x \in H \mid ||Ax||_{\infty} = |a_i^T x|\}$  to be the vertices row  $a_i^T$  is useful to and  $V_i = \{x \in W \mid a_i^T x = |a_i^T x|\}$  as the positive half of  $W_i$ . Then,

$$a_i = \sum_{x \in V_i} x$$

And our answer to the question for the  $1 \times n$  case:

**Theorem 3.** *The optimal*  $1 \times n$  *matrix is just* (1, 0, ..., 0)*.* 

We now prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem* 1. I claim that finding the optimal  $1 \times n$  matrix is equivalent to the above problem. With  $u = \sum_{x \in U} x$ , I claim that we can reduce our search space to only those U such that  $u^T x \ge 0$  for every  $x \in U$ . This follows because if there is an  $x \in U$  with  $u^T x < 0$ , then x is pointing away from the average direction of the rest of the points in U, so swapping x with -x will make the sum become larger (This needs more justification). Now,

$$\beta(u) = \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} |u^T x| = \sum_{x \in U} u^T x - \sum_{x \in -U} u^T x = 2 \sum_{x \in U} u^T x = \sum_{x \in U} \sum_{y \in U} y^T x = \left\| \sum_{x \in U} x \right\|^2$$

By the structure theorem, finding the optimal matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is equivalent to finding first the optimal partition of H into m parts of even size, and then finding the optimal positive half for each partition. For the  $1 \times n$  case, the optimal partition is just all of H, there is no choice. Then Theorem 3 tells us that the optimal positive half is just the positive half of H associated to  $(1, \ldots, 0)$ , which is seen to be  $\{x \in H : x_1 = 1\}$ . This completes the proof.