Math 521 HW1

Rohan Mukherjee

October 16, 2024

1. Let (X, Y) be the random vector that takes on (0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 0) each with probability 1/3. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = 1$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{3}$$

Hence Cov(X, Y) = 0. However, noticing that $Y \cdot \mathbbm{1}_{|Y| \le 1} = Y$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\big[X\cdot\mathbb{1}_{|X|\leq 1}Y\big] &= 0 + \frac{1}{3}\cdot 1\cdot 1 + 0 = \frac{1}{3} \\ \mathbb{E}\big[X\cdot\mathbb{1}_{|X|\leq 1}\big] &= \frac{1}{3} \\ \mathbb{E}[Y] &= \frac{1}{3} \end{split}$$

thus,
$$Cov(X \cdot \mathbb{1}_{|X| \le 1}, Y) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{9}$$
.

2. We see that, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\mu_X(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^x f'(y)dy\mu_X(dx)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_y^\infty f'(y)\mu_X(dx)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(y)\mathbb{P}(X \ge y)dy$$

3. For $m \leq n$, we know that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_m}{S_n}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_i}{S_n}\right]$$

Now, notice that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_1}{X_1 + X_2}\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x}{x + y} d(\mu_{X_1} \times \mu_{X_2}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x}{x + y} d(\mu_{X_2} \times \mu_{X_1}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_2}{X_1 + X_2}\right]$$

Since $\mu_{X_1} = \mu_{X_2}$. Extending this result to the case of n variables, we have, for $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_i}{S_n}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_1}{S_n}\right]$$

Thus,

$$1 = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_n}{S_n}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_i}{S_n}\right] = n\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_1}{S_n}\right]$$

And thus $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_1}{S_n}\right] = \frac{1}{n}$. In conclusion we have that $\mathbb{E}[S_m/S_n] = m/n$.

On the other hand, if m > n, we have that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_m}{S_n}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_n}{S_n}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_m - S_n}{S_n}\right] = 1 + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=n+1}^m X_i}{S_n}\right]$$

Now, each X_i for i > n is independent of S_n , and thus we have that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=n+1}^{m} X_i}{S_n}\right] = \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_i}{S_n}\right] = \sum_{i=n+1}^{m} \mathbb{E}[X_i] \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{S_n}\right] = (m-n)\mathbb{E}[X_1] \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{S_n}\right]$$

Which finally shows that:

$$\mathbb{E}[S_m/S_n] = 1 + (m-n)\mathbb{E}[X_1]\mathbb{E}[1/S_n]$$

4. Recall that $X_n \to X$ in probability iff given any subsequence X_{n_m} of X_n , there is a further subsequence $X_{n_{m_k}} \to X$ a.s. So, let $X_{n_m} + Y_{n_m}$ be a subsequence of $X_n + Y_n$. Find a subsequence of X_{n_m} , say $X_{n_{m_k}} \to X$ a.s.. Further, find a subsequence of $Y_{n_{m_k}}$ say $Y_{n_{m_{k_l}}} \to Y$ a.s.. Then, we have that $X_{n_{m_{k_l}}} + Y_{n_{m_{k_l}}} \to X + Y$ a.s., which shows that $X_n + Y_n \to X + Y$ in probability.

Similarly, let $X_{n_m}Y_{n_m}$ be a subsequence of X_nY_n . Find a subsequence of X_{n_m} , say $X_{n_{m_k}} \to X$ a.s.. Further, find a subsequence of $Y_{n_{m_k}}$ say $Y_{n_{m_{k_l}}} \to Y$ a.s.. Then, we have that $X_{n_{m_{k_l}}}Y_{n_{m_{k_l}}} \to XY$ a.s., which shows that $X_nY_n \to XY$ in probability.

5. For a fixed k, we have that,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(X_k - \overline{X})^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_k^2\right] - 2\mathbb{E}\left[X_k \overline{X}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\overline{X}^2\right]$$

First,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_k \overline{X}\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}[X_k X_i] = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[X_k^2\right] + \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbb{E}[X_k]^2$$

Second,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{X}^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}[X_{i}X_{j}] = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left(n\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}^{2}\right] + n(n-1)\mathbb{E}[X_{k}]^{2}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}^{2}\right] + \frac{n-1}{n}\mathbb{E}[X_{k}]^{2}$$

Adding these together shows that,

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_k - \overline{X})^2] = \mathbb{E}[X_k^2] - \frac{2}{n}\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] - \frac{2(n-1)}{n}\mathbb{E}[X_k]^2 + \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] + \frac{n-1}{n}\mathbb{E}[X_k]^2$$
$$= \frac{n-1}{n}\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] - \frac{n-1}{n}\mathbb{E}[X_k]^2 = \frac{n-1}{n}\text{Var}(X_1)$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\overline{V}_n\right] = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[(X_i - \overline{X})^2\right] = \sigma^2$$

6. We shall show that

$$(f(X) - f(Y))(g(X) - g(Y)) \ge 0$$

For each $\omega \in \Omega$, either $X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega)$ or vice versa. In the first case, both terms in the above product are ≤ 0 and the product is ≥ 0 . In the second, both are ≥ 0 , and so the product is ≥ 0 . Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)g(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f(X)g(Y)] - \mathbb{E}[f(Y)g(X)] + \mathbb{E}[f(Y)g(Y)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[(f(X) - f(Y))(g(X) - g(Y))] \ge 0$$

Because X, Y are i.i.d., $\mathbb{E}[f(X)g(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[f(X)]\mathbb{E}[g(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[f(X)]\mathbb{E}[g(X)]$, and $\mathbb{E}[f(Y)g(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[f(X)g(X)]$. Adding the two negative terms to both sides yields the desired inequality.

7. By Cauchy-Schwarz, we have that:

$$\mathbb{E}[X \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X>0}]^2 \le \mathbb{E}[X^2] \mathbb{E}[1_{X>0}] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] \mathbb{P}(X>0)$$

because $X \ge 0$, we know that $X \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X>0} = X$ (For if X = 0, then both sides are just 0). So we have that:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[X]^2}{\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \le \mathbb{P}(X > 0)$$

8. Let ${}_{m}S_{n} = \sum_{k=m}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{k}}$. Then we have that:

$$\mathbb{E}[{}_{m}S_{n}] = \sum_{k=m}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(A_{k})$$

And,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[{}_{m}S_{n}^{2}\right] &= \sum_{m \leq k,j \leq n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{A_{k}}\mathbb{1}_{A_{j}}\right] = \sum_{m \leq k,j \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k} \cap A_{j}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k,j \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k} \cap A_{j}) - 2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(A_{k} \cap A_{j}) + \sum_{1 \leq k,j \leq m-1} \mathbb{P}(A_{k} \cap A_{j}) \end{split}$$

We use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For $a_n \uparrow \infty$ and $b_n \uparrow \infty$, if $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n^2}{b_n} = \alpha > 0$, then $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 0$ (and hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 0$).

Proof. Suppose instead that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \beta > 0$. Then, eventually $a_n/b_n \ge \beta/2$. Then eventually,

$$\frac{a_n^2}{b_n} \ge \frac{\beta}{2} a_n \uparrow \infty$$

a contradiction. \Box

We use this to show that a number of terms are negligible in the limsup. First,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[_1 S_n]^2}{\mathbb{E}[_1 S_n^2]} = \alpha > 0$$

So by the above lemma $\mathbb{E}[{}_1S_n]/\mathbb{E}[{}_1S_n^2] \to 0$. Also,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_j) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} (m-1) \mathbb{P}(A_k) = (m-1) \mathbb{E}[{}_{1}S_{n}]$$

Combining the above, we have that:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[_{m}S_{n}^{2}]}{\mathbb{E}[_{1}S_{n}^{2}]} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[_{1}S_{n}^{2}] - 2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\mathbb{P}(A_{k} \cap A_{j}) + \mathbb{E}[_{1}S_{m-1}^{2}]}{\mathbb{E}[_{1}S_{n}^{2}]} = 1$$

And also, because the bottom destroys all the lower order terms to the right of the first term on the top,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[{}_{m}S_{n}]^{2}}{\mathbb{E}[{}_{1}S_{n}^{2}]} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[{}_{1}S_{n}]^{2} - 2\mathbb{E}[{}_{1}S_{n}]\mathbb{E}[{}_{1}S_{m-1}] + \mathbb{E}[{}_{1}S_{m-1}]^{2}}{\mathbb{E}[{}_{1}S_{n}^{2}]}$$
$$= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\left(\sum_{1 \le k \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k})\right)^{2}}{\sum_{1 \le k, j \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k} \cap A_{j})} = \alpha$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[_m S_n]^2}{\mathbb{E}[_m S_n^2]} = \alpha$$

after dividing top and bottom by $\mathbb{E}[{}_1S_n^2]$. By using the previous exercise, and noting that ${}_mS_n(\omega) > 0$ iff $\omega \in \bigcup_{k=m}^n A_k$, we have that:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k\geq m}A_k\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=m}^nA_k\right) \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=m}^nA_k\right) \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}[_mS_n]^2}{\mathbb{E}[_mS_n^2]} = \alpha$$

Since this holds for any m, and $\bigcup_{k\geq m} A_k \downarrow \{A_k \text{ i.o}\}\$, we have that:

$$\mathbb{P}(A_k \text{ i.o.}) \geq \alpha.$$