## Math 336 HW3

## Rohan Mukherjee

## November 14, 2023

1. Write f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y), and write  $\gamma(t) = x(t) + iy(t)$ . Then, by definition of the complex line integral, we get that

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \int_{0}^{1} (u(x(t), y(t)) + iv(x(t), y(t))(x'(t) + iy'(t))dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} [u(x(t), y(t))x'(t) - v(x(t), y(t))y'(t)]dt + i \int_{0}^{1} [v(x(t), y(t))x'(t) + u(x(t), y(t))y'(t)]dt$$

$$= \int_{\gamma} udx - vdy + i \int_{\gamma} vdx + udy$$

In our case, since  $\gamma = \partial \mathbb{D}$ , we may apply Green's theorem to see that

$$\int_{\gamma} u dx - v dy = \int_{\mathbb{D}} -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dA$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{D}} 0 dA$$
$$= 0$$

Where we used the Cauchy-Riemann equations in the second equality. Also,

$$i \int_{\gamma} v dx + u dy = i \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} dA$$
$$= i \int_{\mathbb{D}} 0 dA$$
$$= 0$$

where once again we used the Cauchy-Riemann equations. A sum of zeros is another zero, so indeed the integral over the boundary of the unit disk of any holomorphic function is 0 (Also, this argument clearly generalizes, since all we used was that  $\gamma$  is closed).

2. Notice that, for any polynomial p(x),

$$\frac{d}{dx}e^{-x^2}p(x) = -e^{-x^2}(p'(x) - 2xp(x))$$

We know that  $\frac{d^0}{de^0}^{-x^2} = e^{-x^2}$ . Suppose that  $\frac{d^n}{dx^n}e^{-x^2} = e^{-x^2}p(x)$  for some polynomial p(x) of degree n with leading coefficient  $(-1)^n 2^n$ . By what we did above, we see that  $\frac{d^{n+1}}{de^{n+1}}^{-x^2} = \frac{d}{dx}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}e^{-x^2} = \frac{d}{dx}\left(e^{-x^2}p(x)\right) = -e^{-x^2}(p'(x)-2xp(x))$ . p'(x) has degree n-1, while 2xp(x) has degree n+1, so this new polynomial has degree n+1, and picked up a leading coefficient of -2, so the new polynomial has leading coefficient  $-2\cdot(-1)^n\cdot 2^n=(-1)^{n+1}2^{n+1}$ , as claimed. We can paramameterize the rectangle described by  $\gamma_1(x)=x$ ,  $-R\leqslant x\leqslant R$ ,  $\gamma_2(x)=R+ix$ ,  $0\leqslant x\leqslant t$ ,  $\gamma_3(x)=x+it$ ,  $R\leqslant x\leqslant -R$  (again, makes sense in the integral), and finally  $\gamma_4(x)=-R+ix$ ,  $t\leqslant x\leqslant 0$  (makes sense in the integral!). Since the rectangle is a closed loop,

$$\int_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4} f(z)dz = 0$$

I claim that the integral over  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_4$  equal 0. In fact, one will imply the other. We start with  $\gamma_2$ :

$$\int_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz = \int_0^t e^{(R+i(x-t))^2/2} e^{-(R+ix)^2} p(R+ix) \cdot idx$$

Since  $\frac{d^n}{dx^n}e^{-x^2} = (-1)^n e^{-x^2} H_n(x)$  where  $H_n(x)$  is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient  $2^n$ , so here we are just calling  $(-1)^n H_n(x) = p(x)$ . This is smaller in magnitude than (by the triangle inequality)

$$\int_{0}^{t} |e^{R^{2}/2}| \cdot |e^{-Ri(x-t)}| \cdot |e^{-(x-t)^{2}/2}| \cdot |e^{-R^{2}}| \cdot |e^{-2iRx}| \cdot |e^{x^{2}}| \cdot |p(R+ix)| dx$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} e^{-R^{2}/2} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot e^{x^{2}} \cdot |p(R+ix)| dx$$

Less than or equal to since  $-(x-t)^2/2 \le 0$ . Next, since p is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient  $2^n$ ,  $|p(z)/2^nz^n| \to 1$ , so we have the bound  $|p(z)| \le 2^{n+1}z^n = Cz^n$  for some C. So,

$$\int_{0}^{t} e^{-R^{2}/2} e^{x^{2}} \cdot |p(R+ix)| dx \le Ce^{-R^{2}/2} \int_{0}^{t} e^{x^{2}} |(R+ix)^{n}| dx$$

$$\le Ce^{-R^{2}/2} \int_{0}^{t} e^{x^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} R^{n-k} |ix|^{k} dx$$

$$= C \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} e^{-R^{2}/2} R^{n-k} \int_{0}^{t} e^{x^{2}} x^{k} dx$$

As t is fixed, for any k,  $\int_0^t e^{x^2} x^k dx$  is finite, and bounded in absolute value by some constant  $D_k$ . Letting  $D = \max_k D_k$ , we see that

$$C\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} e^{-R^2/2} R^{n-k} \int_{0}^{t} e^{x^2} x^k dx \le C\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} e^{-R^2/2} R^{n-k} D$$

As  $R \to \infty$ ,  $e^{-R^2/2} \cdot R^{n-k} \to 0$  for any  $n-k \ge 0$ . A sum of zeros is another 0, so we see our integral tends towards 0, as claimed. If we recall back to the first step I did, either R was squared, or it was in the power of  $e^{i \cdot \text{real}}$ , so replacing  $R \to -R$  doesn't change anything, as the sign of R never mattered. The only change is that the bounds of integration are backwards, which would change the sign of the integral, but as -0 = 0, we see the integral over  $\gamma_4$  is also zero. We are left to evaluate the integral over  $\gamma_3$ . Note that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}z^n} e^{-z^2} \bigg|_{z=x+it} = (-1)^n e^{z^2} H_n(z) \bigg|_{x+it} = (-1)^n e^{-(x+it)^2} H_n(x+it)$$

We prove that this equals  $\frac{d^n}{dx^n}e^{(x+it)^2}$  by induction. The base case is clear:

$$\frac{d^0}{dx^0}e^{-(x+it)^2} = e^{-(x+it)^2} = \frac{d^0}{dz^0}e^{-z^2}\Big|_{z=x+it}$$

Since  $H_0(x + it) \equiv 1$ . Suppose it is true for some  $n \ge 1$ . We see that

$$\frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}}e^{-(x+it)^2} = \frac{d}{dx}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}e^{-(x+it)^2} = \frac{d}{dx}(-1)^n e^{-(x+it)^2} H_n(x+it)$$

$$= (-1)^n e^{-(x+it)^2} [-2(x+it)H_n(x+it) + H'_n(x+it)]$$

$$= (-1)^n e^{-(x+it)^2} \cdot (-1) \cdot H_{n+1}(x+it)$$
(See question 3)
$$= (-1)^{n+1} e^{-(x+it)^2} H_{n+1}(x+it)$$

As claimed. Finally, notice that

$$-\int_{-R}^{R} e^{x^{2}/2} \cdot \frac{d^{n}}{dz^{n}} e^{-z^{2}} \Big|_{z=x+it} dx = -\int_{-R}^{R} e^{x^{2}/2} \cdot \frac{d^{n}}{dx^{n}} e^{-(x+it)^{2}} dx$$

$$= -\int_{-R}^{R} e^{x^{2}/2} \cdot \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} (-i)^{n} e^{-(x+it)^{2}} dx$$

$$= -(-i)^{n} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{x^{2}/2} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} e^{-(x+it)^{2}} dx$$

$$= -(-i)^{n} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{x^{2}/2} \cdot e^{-x^{2}-2itx+t^{2}} dx$$

$$= -(-i)^{n} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} e^{t^{2}} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{-x^{2}/2} e^{2itx} dx$$

Since  $i^{2n} = (-1)^n$ , we used the fact in the problem, and we simplified the denominator. We recall that the Gaussian is it's own Fourier transform:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x^2} \cdot e^{2\pi i x \xi} dx = e^{-\pi \xi^2}$$

Finally, by applying the substitution  $x = \sqrt{2\pi}u$ , with  $\sqrt{2\pi}du = dx$ , we get that

$$\int_{-R}^{R} e^{-x^{2}/2} e^{2itx} dx \stackrel{R \to \infty}{=} \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi u^{2}} \cdot e^{2\pi i u(\sqrt{2/\pi}t)} du$$
$$= \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\pi 2/\pi \cdot t^{2}} = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-2t^{2}}$$

Therefore,

$$-(-i)^{n} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} e^{t^{2}} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{-x^{2}/2} e^{2itx} dx \to -(-i)^{n} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} e^{t^{2}} \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-2t^{2}}$$

$$= -(-i)^{n} \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} e^{-t^{2}}$$

$$= -(-i)^{n} \sqrt{2\pi} (-1)^{n} e^{-t^{2}} H_{n}(t)$$

Which is nice. Since  $\int_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz = \int_{\gamma_4} f(z)dz = 0$ , we have that  $\int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz + \int_{\gamma_3} f(z)dz = 0$ , which tells us that  $\int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz = -\int_{\gamma_3} f(z)dz$ . Plugging the parameterization in, this tells us that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(x-it)^2/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} e^{-x^2} dx = (-i)^n \sqrt{2\pi} (-1)^n e^{-t^2} H_n(t)$$

Finally, note that  $e^{(x+it)^2/2} = e^{x^2/2} \cdot e^{itx} \cdot e^{-t^2/2}$ , so the LHS equals

$$e^{-t^2/2}$$
  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{x^2/2} e^{itx} \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} e^{-x^2} dx$ 

Once again,  $\frac{d^n}{dx^n}e^{-x^2} = (-1)^n e^{-x^2} H_n(x)$ , so this equals

$$e^{-t^2/2}(-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{x^2/2} e^{itx} e^{-x^2} H_n(x) dx = e^{-t^2/2} (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} e^{-x^2/2} H_n(x) dx$$
$$= e^{-t^2/2} (-1)^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(x) e^{itx} dx$$

We conclude that

$$e^{-t^{2}/2}(-1)^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{n}(x)e^{itx}dx = (-i)^{n} \sqrt{2\pi}(-1)^{n}e^{-t^{2}}H_{n}(t)$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{n}(x)e^{itx}dx = (-i)^{n}e^{-t^{2}/2}H_{n}(t) = (-i)^{n}\phi_{n}(t)$$

And we are done. Q.E.MF'n.D.

3. By definition,  $(-1)^n H_n(x)e^{-x^2} = \frac{d^n}{dx^n}e^{-x^2}$  (note:  $n \equiv -n \mod 2$ ). We see that

$$H_{n+1}(x) = -(-1)^n e^{x^2} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2}$$

$$= -(-1)^n e^{x^2} \frac{d}{dx} (-1)^n H_n(x) e^{-x^2}$$

$$= -e^{x^2} \frac{d}{dx} H_n(x) e^{-x^2}$$

$$= -e^{x^2} e^{-x^2} (H'_n(x) - 2x H_n(x))$$

$$= -H'_n(x) + 2x H_n(x)$$

Also, by the generalized Liebnitz rule, we see that

$$\frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}}e^{-x^2} = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} - 2xe^{-x^2} = -2\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{d^{n-k}}{dx^{n-k}} e^{-x^2} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} x$$
$$= -2x \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2} - 2n \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}} e^{-x^2}$$

Which, through a similar calculation as above, shows that

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - 2nH_{n-1}(x)$$

These together show that  $H'_n(x) = 2nH_{n-1}(x)$ . We also recall that the definition of  $\phi_n(x) = e^{-x^2/2}H_n(x)$ . Algebra shows that

$$\phi_n''(x) = e^{-x^2/2}((-1+x^2)H_n(x) - 2xH_n'(x) + H_n''(x))$$

$$= e^{-x^2/2}((-1+x^2)H_n(x) + (-2xH_n(x) + H_n'(x))' + 2H_n(x))$$

$$= e^{-x^2/2}((1+x^2)H_n(x) - H_{n+1}'(x))$$

$$= (1+x^2)\phi_n(x) - e^{-x^2/2}H_{n+1}'(x)$$

$$= (1+x^2)\phi_n(x) - 2(n+1)e^{-x^2/2}H_n(x)$$

$$= (1+x^2)\phi_n(x) - 2(n+1)\phi_n(x)$$

$$= x^2\phi_n(x) - (2n+1)\phi_n(x)$$

So indeed,  $\phi_n(x)$  satisfies  $y'' - x^2y + (2n+1)y = 0$  for every  $n \ge 0$ . Also, note that  $\phi_n(x) \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ , since  $e^{-x^2}$  shrinks faster than any polynomial, and of course  $H_n(x)$  is a polynomial of degree n. Similarly,  $\phi_n'(x) = e^{-x^2}(H_n'(x) - 2xH_n(x))$ , and as  $H_n'(x) - 2xH_n(x)$  is just another polynomial, as  $|x| \to \infty$ ,  $\phi_n'(x) \to 0$  for any n. We see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_n''(x)\phi_m(x)dx = \phi_m(x)\phi_n(x)\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \phi_m'(x)\phi_n(x)\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_m''(x)\phi_n(x)dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_m''(x)\phi_n(x)dx$$

Since we showed that  $\phi'_m(x) \to 0$  and  $\phi_n(x) \to 0$ , so their product also tends towards 0, and the argument is similar for the other term going to 0. By the DE that  $\phi$  satisfies, we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_n''(x)\phi_m(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x^2\phi_n(x) - (2n+1)\phi_n(x))\phi_m(x)dx$$
$$= -(2n+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_n(x)\phi_m(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2\phi_n(x)\phi_m(x)dx$$

And similarly,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_m''(x)\phi_n(x)dx = -(2m+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_n(x)\phi_m(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2\phi_n(x)\phi_m(x)dx$$

Since these are equal, we can subtract the integral with  $x^2$  to see that

$$(2n-2m)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi_n(x)\phi_m(x)dx=0$$

Since  $n \neq m$ , dividing by (2n - 2m) on both sides gives us our desired result.

4. Let Γ be the semicircle oriented counter-clockwise around the origin of radius 1. Then  $\int_{\Gamma} f(z)dz = 0$ , since Γ is a closed loop. We decompose Γ into two parts,  $\gamma_1(t) = t$ , −1 ≤ t ≤ 1, and  $\gamma_2(t) = e^{it}$ , 0 ≤ t ≤  $\pi$ , and by our formula above we get that

$$0 = \int_{\Gamma} f^{2}(z)dz = \int_{-1}^{1} f^{2}(x)dx + \int_{0}^{\pi} f^{2}(e^{it}) \cdot ie^{it}dt$$

Similarly, let  $\Xi$  be the contour that starts at 1, moves to -1 in a straight line, and then moves counter-clockwise in a circular form to close the loop.  $\Xi$  can be decomposed into  $\xi_1(t) = t$ ,  $1 \le t \le -1$  (as formal symbols, think of this as  $\xi_1$  being oriented backwards, in the integral it makes sense), and similarly  $\xi_2(t) = e^{it}$ ,  $\pi \le t \le 2\pi$ . We get that

$$0 = \int_{\Xi} f^{2}(z)dz = \int_{1}^{-1} f^{2}(x)dx + \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} f^{2}(e^{it})ie^{it}dt$$

These together tell us that

$$2\int_{-1}^{1} f^{2}(x)dx = 2\left|\int_{-1}^{1} f^{2}(x)dx\right| = \left|\int_{0}^{\pi} f^{2}(e^{it})ie^{it}dt\right| + \left|\int_{\pi}^{2\pi} f^{2}(e^{it})ie^{it}dt\right|$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\pi} |f^{2}(e^{it})| \cdot 1dt + \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} |f^{2}(e^{it})| \cdot 1dt = \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(e^{it})|^{2}dt$$

Next,

$$|f(e^{it})|^{2} = f(e^{it}) \cdot \overline{f(e^{it})} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} e^{ikt} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{n} a_{l} e^{ilt} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} e^{ikt} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{n} \overline{a_{l} e^{ilt}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} e^{ikt} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{n} a_{l} e^{-ilt}$$

$$= \sum_{l,k=0}^{n} a_{k} a_{l} e^{it(k-l)}$$

$$= \sum_{l\neq k}^{n} a_{k} a_{l} e^{it(k-l)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}^{2} \cdot e^{0}$$

Next, note that, for  $k \neq l$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} a_k a_l e^{it(k-l)} = a_k a_l \int_0^{2\pi} \cos((k-l)t) + i \sin((k-l)t) dt$$

$$= \frac{a_k a_l}{k-l} \Big[ \sin((k-l)t) - i \cos((k-l)t) \Big]_0^{2\pi}$$

$$= 0$$

We see that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(e^{it})|^{2} dt = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left[ \sum_{l \neq k}^{n} a_{k} a_{l} e^{it(k-l)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}^{2} \right] dt$$

$$= 2\pi \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}^{2} + \sum_{l \neq k}^{n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} a_{k} a_{l} e^{it(k-l)} dt$$

$$= 2\pi \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}^{2}$$

Looking at where we started, we see that we in fact have derived that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f^{2}(x) dx \le \pi \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}^{2}$$

for any polynomial *f*. That is quite nice!

5. Let  $\Gamma$  be the curve described in the question. We can decompose  $\Gamma$  into three parts:  $\gamma_1(t) = Rt$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $\gamma_2(t) = Re^{it}$ ,  $0 \le t \le \pi/4$ , and finally  $\gamma_3(t) = Rt + Rit$ ,  $0 \le t \le \sqrt{2}/2$ , where  $\gamma_3(t)$  is oriented backwards (we will correct this by adding a minus sign). We see that

$$\int_{\Gamma} e^{iz^2} dz = \int_{\gamma_1} e^{iz^2} dz + \int_{\gamma_2} e^{iz^2} dz - \int_{\gamma_3} e^{iz^2} dz = 0$$

since  $\Gamma$  is a closed loop in the complex plane. We want to find  $\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{\gamma_1} e^{iz^2} dz$ , so it suffices to find  $\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{\gamma_3} e^{iz^2} dz - \int_{\gamma_2} e^{iz^2} dz$  (since these quantities are equal). Notice that

$$\int_{\gamma_2} e^{iz^2} = \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{iR^2 e^{i2t}} \cdot ie^{it} dt$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} \left[ e^{iR^2 \cos(2t) - R^2 \sin(2t)} \right] \cdot ie^{it} dt$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/4} \left[ e^{iR^2 \cos(t) dt} \cdot e^{-R^2 \sin(t)} \right] ie^{it} dt$$

Also note that

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_0^{\pi/4} \left[ e^{iR^2 \cos(2t)dt} \cdot e^{-R^2 \sin(2t)} \right] i e^{it} dt \right| &\leq \int_0^{\pi/4} \left| e^{iR^2 \cos(2t)dt} \cdot e^{-R^2 \sin(2t)} \right| dt \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{-R^2 \sin(2t)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-R^2 \sin(t)} dt \end{split}$$

Given any d > 0,

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{R^2}{e^{R^2 d}} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{2R}{e^{R^2 d} \cdot 2Rd} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{e^{R^2 d}d} = 0$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . On  $\varepsilon \le t \le \pi/2$ ,  $\sin(t) \ge \xi > 0$  for some  $\xi > 0$ . By our limit calculation above, we can find R sufficiently large so that

$$R^2/e^{R^2\xi} = \left| R^2/e^{R^2\xi} \right| < \varepsilon \text{ and } 1/R^2 < \varepsilon/(\pi/2 - \varepsilon)$$

i.e. that  $R^2 < \varepsilon \cdot e^{R^2 \xi}$ . Hence,  $e^{-R^2 \xi} < \varepsilon / R^2$ . Therefore,

$$\int_{0}^{\pi/2} e^{-R^{2} \sin(t)} dt = \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} e^{-R^{2} \sin(t)} dt + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi/2} e^{-R^{2} \sin(t)} dt \le \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} 1 dt + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi/2} e^{-R^{2} \xi} dt$$

$$\le \varepsilon + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi/2} 1/R^{2} dt$$

$$\le \varepsilon + (\pi/2 - \varepsilon)/R^{2}$$

$$< 2\varepsilon$$

So indeed, our original integral tends towards zero in the limit. Next, notice that

$$\int_{\gamma_3} e^{iz^2} dz = \int_0^{\sqrt{2}/2} e^{i(Rt + Rit)^2} \cdot (R + Ri) dt$$

$$= \int_0^{\sqrt{2}/2 \cdot R} e^{-2u^2} (1 + i) dt$$

$$= \int_0^{\sqrt{2}/2 \cdot R} e^{-2u^2} dt + i \int_0^{\sqrt{2}/2 \cdot R} e^{-2u^2} dt$$

$$\stackrel{R \to \infty}{=} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2u^2} du + i \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2u^2} du$$

Finally,  $\int_0^\infty e^{-2u^2} du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-w^2} dw = \sqrt{2}/2 \cdot \sqrt{\pi}/2 = \sqrt{2\pi}/4$ . We get that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{it^{2}} dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} \cos(t^{2}) dt + i \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin(t^{2}) dt = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4} + i \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4}$$

Finally, matching real and imaginary parts shows that

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(t^2)dt = \int_0^\infty \sin(t^2)dt = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4}.$$