

# Math 506 HW4

Rohan Mukherjee

April 18, 2024

1. If we consider the group  $G = D_3$ , we see that  $srsr^{-1} = srrs = sr^2s = r^{-2} = r$ , so  $\langle r \rangle \subset [G, G]$ . Since  $D_3 / \langle r \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , we have that  $[G, G] = \langle r \rangle$  since  $[G, G]$  is the smallest subgroup with abelian quotient. The one-dimensional irreducible representations of  $D_3$  are thus the irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , which are just going to be the trivial representation and the representation sending  $r \rightarrow 1, s \rightarrow -1$ . Finally, we know the last irreducible representation of  $D_3$  to be the representation sending  $r$  to the matrix that rotates by  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$  radians about the origin, and  $s$  to the matrix that flips across the  $x$ -axis. This is the representation that sends

$$r \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the first matrix is orthogonal, it has determinant  $\pm 1$ , and since it is orientation preserving it has determinant 1. The second matrix can easily be seen to have matrix  $-1$ . The three conjugacy classes of  $D_3$  are  $\{e\}, \{r, r^2\}, \{s, sr, sr^2\}$ . Clearly, for a 1-dimensional representation, the determinant equals the trace. By our above calculations, if we call the only 1-dimensional non-trivial character  $\pi_2 = \chi_2$ , we know that  $\chi_2(e) = 1, \chi_2(r) = 1$  and  $\chi_2(s) = -1$ . If we call the 2-dimensional representation  $\pi_3$ , we also see that  $\det(\pi_3(e)) = 1, \det(\pi_3(r)) = 1$  and  $\det(\pi_3(s)) = -1$ . Since  $\pi_2, \pi_3$  are inequivalent irreducible representations, we have disproven the claim.

2. Recall that if  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $\pi(g)$  is diagonalizable for any  $g$ , and that every eigenvalue of  $\pi(g)$  is a root of unity. Suppose that the elements on the diagonal are  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  (where repetition is possible). If ever  $\lambda \neq 1$ , then  $\lambda$  has a non-zero imaginary part. If we were to have  $\chi(g) = \chi(1) = n$ , we would need the sum of the eigenvalues to be  $n$ . The only way to have a sum of  $n$  norm 1 numbers to be  $n$  is if all

the numbers are themselves 1, otherwise the weight of the imaginary part would take from the real part and we couldn't possibly have  $n$  as the sum. This shows that if  $\chi(g) = \chi(1)$ , then  $\pi(g)$  is similar to the identity matrix and hence just the identity matrix, so  $g \in \ker \pi$ . Now, if  $g \neq 1$ , then  $g$  is clearly not conjugate to the identity, so by the orthogonality relations we have that

$$\sum_{\chi_i} \chi_i(g) \overline{\chi_i(1)} = 0.$$

If somehow  $\chi_i(g) = \chi_i(1)$  for all irreducible characters  $\chi_i$ , then the above sum would evaluate to

$$\sum_{\chi_i} \chi_i(1) \overline{\chi_i(1)} = |C_G(e)| = |G|$$

which is certainly nonzero. This completes the proof.

3. Recall that if  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  are distinct representatives from each of the  $m$  left cosets of  $H$ ,

$$c_G(g) = \text{Ind}_H^G(c_H)(g) := \sum_{g_i^{-1}gg_i \in H} c_H(g_i^{-1}gg_i).$$

Notice first that if  $xh_1x^{-1} = yh_2y^{-1}$ , then we have  $y^{-1}xh_1x^{-1}y = h_2$ , so either  $y^{-1}x \in H$  or  $h_1 = h_2 = 1$ . This means that  $xHx^{-1} \cap yHy^{-1} = 1$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are in different left cosets of  $H$ , and if  $x \in yH$ , then  $x^{-1}Hx = y^{-1}Hy$  so there are precisely  $|G : H|$  distinct conjugacy classes of  $H$ . We proceed with the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c_G, c_G \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} c_G(g) \overline{c_G(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{g_i^{-1}gg_i \in H} c_H(g_i^{-1}gg_i) \overline{\sum_{g_j^{-1}gg_j \in H} c_H(g_j^{-1}gg_j)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{g_i^{-1}gg_i \in H} c_H(g_i^{-1}gg_i) \sum_{g_j^{-1}gg_j \in H} \overline{c_H(g_j^{-1}gg_j)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\substack{g_i g g_i^{-1} \in H \\ g_j g g_j^{-1} \in H}} c_H(g_i^{-1}gg_i) \overline{c_H(g_j^{-1}gg_j)} \end{aligned}$$

As before, if  $g_i \neq g_j$  and  $g_i g g_i^{-1}, g_j g g_j^{-1} \in H$  we have  $g \in g_i^{-1}H g_i \cap g_j^{-1}H g_j = 1$  so  $g = 1$  and since  $c_H(1) = 0$ , the only terms that contribute to the sum are those where  $g_i = g_j$ .

This means that the above sum is just

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{g_i g g_i^{-1} \in H} |c_H(g_i^{-1} g g_i)|^2$$

We want this to equal

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} |c_H(h)|^2 = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} |c_H(h)|^2 = \langle c_H, c_H \rangle.$$

Thus, we count the number of times  $|c_H(h)|^2$  for  $h \neq 1$  shows up in the first sum. We showed above that there are precisely  $|G : H|$  distinct conjugacy classes of  $H$ . The above also shows that  $h$  has precisely  $|G : H|$  distinct conjugates in  $G$ , namely,  $g_i^{-1} h g_i$  for the  $g_i$  as above. Thus the term  $|c_H(h)|^2$  shows up precisely  $|G : H|$  times for each  $h$ . This shows that

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{g_i g g_i^{-1} \in H} |c_H(g_i^{-1} g g_i)|^2 = \frac{|G : H|}{|G|} \sum_{h \in H} |c_H(h)|^2 = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} |c_H(h)|^2 = \langle c_H, c_H \rangle.$$

We first seek to show that if  $c_H$  is any class function on  $H$ , then  $\text{Ind}_H^G(c_H)$  restricts to itself on  $H$ . This is true because the condition  $ghg^{-1} \in H$  is equivalent to  $h \in g^{-1}Hg$ , and by hypothesis this is only true if  $g \in H$ . Thus,

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(c_H)(h) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h' \in H} c_H((h')^{-1} h h') = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h' \in H} c_H(h) = c_H(h).$$

Notice that if  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are two characters of  $H$ , then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_H^G(a\chi_1 + b\chi_2) &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x : xgx^{-1} \in H} (a\chi_1(xgx^{-1}) + b\chi_2(xgx^{-1})) \\ &= a \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x : xgx^{-1} \in H} \chi_1(xgx^{-1}) + b \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x : xgx^{-1} \in H} \chi_2(xgx^{-1}) = a \text{Ind}_H^G(\chi_1) + b \text{Ind}_H^G(\chi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Holding for any complex numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . In particular,  $c_\chi = \text{Ind}_H^G(\chi - d\chi_1)$  is an integer linear combination of two characters. This tells us that  $c_\chi = c_1\chi_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m c_i\chi_i$  for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with the  $\chi_i$  being the distinct irreducible characters of  $G$ . In the setting that  $\chi$  is not the trivial character on  $H$ , and noting that restricting the trivial character

clearly gives the trivial character, by Frobenius reciprocity we see that

$$\langle c_\chi, \chi_1 \rangle_G = \langle \chi - d, \chi_1 \rangle_H = \langle \chi, \chi_1 \rangle_H - d \langle \chi_1, \chi_1 \rangle_H = -d.$$

Where we recall that  $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = 0$  if  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are distinct irreducible characters. Thus  $c_\chi = -d\chi_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m c_i \chi_i$ . By the previous result, we know that  $\|c_\chi\|_G^2 = \|\chi - d\|_H^2$ . Now, since  $\chi$  and the trivial character are distinct irreducibles, we clearly have that  $\|\chi - d\chi_1\|_H^2 = d^2 + 1$ . It follows then that  $\|c_\chi\|_G^2 = d^2 + 1$ . Since distinct characters are orthogonal, this tells us that  $\|\sum_{i=2}^m c_i \chi_i\|_G^2 = 1$ , so precisely one of the  $c_i = \pm 1$  for  $i \geq 2$ , and the rest are 0. Recalling that the restriction of  $\text{Ind}_H^G(c_H) = c_H$  for any class function  $c_H$  on  $H$ ,

$$c_\chi(1) = \chi_H(1) - d = 0$$

This shows that  $c_i = 1$ , since for any character  $\chi_G$  of  $G$ ,  $\chi_G(1)$  equals the dimension of the representation, and is importantly positive. Thus we can write  $c_\chi = \chi_G - \chi_G(1)$  for some irreducible representation  $\chi_G$  of  $G$ . In the case where  $\chi$  is the trivial character on  $H$ , we are inducing the 0 class function, so taking the trivial character on  $G$  will suffice for  $\chi_G$ . Notably, we have induced a degree  $d$  irreducible character and ended up with another degree  $d$  irreducible character of  $G$ . Now define

$$N = \{g \in G \mid xgx^{-1} \notin H \text{ for all } x \in G\} \cup \{1\}.$$

Let  $n \in N$ . Then  $n$  is not conjugate to anything in  $H$ . In particular, if  $c_H$  is any class function,  $\text{Ind}_H^G(c_H)(n) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{xgx^{-1} \in H} c_H(xgx^{-1}) = 0$  because the sum is empty. Conversely, if  $g \neq 1 \in G$  is so that  $xgx^{-1} = h \in H$  for some  $x \in G$ , we see first that  $h \neq 1$ . Thus by question 2 there is an irreducible character  $\chi_H$  of  $H$  so that  $\chi_H(h) \neq \chi_H(1)$ . In particular, if we define  $c_H = \chi_H - \chi_H(1)$ ,  $\chi_H(h) \neq 0$ . Write  $c_G = \text{Ind}_H^G \chi_H = \chi_G - \chi_G(1)$  for some irreducible character  $\chi_G$  of  $G$ . Then,

$$c_G(h) = \sum_{g_i h g_i^{-1}} c_H(g_i h g_i^{-1})$$

For the final time,  $g_i h g_i^{-1} \in H$  means  $h \in g_i^{-1} H g_i$  which is only true if  $g_i = 1$ . Thus the above sum evaluates to  $c_H(h) \neq 0$ . Since a class function on  $G$  is constant on conjugacy classes, we see that  $c_G(xgx^{-1}) = c_G(g) \neq 0$ . If we write  $\pi_G$  as the representation of  $\chi_G$ , this means that  $g \notin \ker \pi_G$ . Thus  $N = \bigcap_{\pi_G} \ker \pi_G$ , which shows that  $N$  is the intersection of normal subgroups of  $G$  and hence itself normal.

4. Let  $\lambda = (2, 1)$ . If we let  $T_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} 2 & \\ \hline 3 & \end{array} \right|$ ,  $T_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} 3 & \\ \hline 2 & \end{array} \right|$ , we can see that the Tabloids of  $\lambda$  are

$$a = \{T_1\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} 2 & \\ \hline 3 & \end{array} \right|, \frac{2}{3} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline 3 & \end{array} \right| \right\}, c = \{T_2\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} 3 & \\ \hline 2 & \end{array} \right|, \frac{3}{2} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline 2 & \end{array} \right| \right\}, b = \left\{ \frac{2}{1} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} 3 & \\ \hline 1 & \end{array} \right|, \frac{3}{1} \left| \begin{array}{c|c} 2 & \\ \hline 1 & \end{array} \right| \right\}.$$

We see, noting that the only nontrivial element of the column group is (13), that  $V_{T_1} = a - b$  and by similar reasoning  $V_{T_2} = c - b$ . We claim that  $\text{span}\{V_{T_1} + V_{T_2}\}$  is a submodule of  $S^\lambda$ . Note that  $(12)V_{T_1} = a - c$ ,  $(12)V_{T_2} = b - c$ . Thus  $(12)(V_{T_1} + V_{T_2}) = a - c + b - c = a + b - 2c = a + c - 2b = V_{T_1} + V_{T_2}$ , since  $1 = -2$  in characteristic 3. Similarly, one calculates  $(123)V_{T_1} = b - c$  and  $(123)V_{T_2} = a - c$ , so  $(123)(V_{T_1} + V_{T_2}) = b - c + a - c = a + b - 2c = a + c - 2b = V_{T_1} + V_{T_2}$ . Thus  $\text{span}\{V_{T_1} + V_{T_2}\}$  is a submodule of  $S^\lambda$ , which shows that for this choice of  $\lambda$   $S^\lambda$  is not irreducible. Notably, this representation collapses into the identity representation of  $S_3$ , since every element of  $S_3$  acts as the identity on the basis elements of  $S^\lambda$ .