

CSE HW4

Rohan Mukherjee

July 17, 2024

1. (a) We define the following functions:

$$\text{cipher} - \text{encode}(\text{nil}) = \text{nil}$$
$$\text{cipher} - \text{encode}(\text{cons}(x, L)) = \text{cons}(\text{nc}(x), \text{cipher} - \text{encode}(L)) \quad \text{for any } L: \text{List}$$

and

$$\text{cipher} - \text{decode}(\text{nil}) = \text{nil}$$
$$\text{cipher} - \text{decode}(\text{cons}(x, L)) = \text{cons}(\text{pc}(x), \text{cipher} - \text{decode}(L)) \quad \text{for any } L: \text{List}$$

2. (a)

<code>crazy_caps.encode(nil)</code>	<code>= nil</code>
<code>crazy_caps.encode(cons(x, nil))</code>	<code>= cons(x, nil)</code>
<code>crazy_caps.encode(cons(x, cons(y, nil)))</code>	<code>= cons(x, cons(y, nil))</code>
<code>crazy_caps.encode(cons(x, cons(y, cons(z, L))))</code>	<code>= cons(x, cons(y, cons(uc(z),</code> <code>crazy_caps.encode(L))))</code> for any L: List
<code>crazy_caps.decode(nil)</code>	<code>= nil</code>
<code>crazy_caps.decode(cons(x, nil))</code>	<code>= cons(x, nil)</code>
<code>crazy_caps.decode(cons(x, cons(y, nil)))</code>	<code>= cons(x, cons(y, nil))</code>
<code>crazy_caps.decode(cons(x, cons(y, cons(z, L))))</code>	<code>= cons(x, cons(y, cons(lc(z),</code> <code>crazy_caps.decode(L))))</code> for any L: List

3. (a) Let $P(S)$ for a list S be the claim that $\text{keep}(\text{echo}(S)) = S$. We will prove this by structural induction. First notice that,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{keep}(\text{echo}(\text{nil})) &= \text{keep}(\text{nil}) && \text{definition of echo} \\ &= \text{nil} && \text{definition of keep}\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $P(L)$ holds for some list L . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{keep}(\text{echo}(\text{cons}(a, L))) &= \text{keep}(\text{cons}(a, \text{cons}(a, \text{echo}(L)))) && \text{definition of echo} \\ &= \text{cons}(a, \text{drop}(\text{cons}(a, \text{echo}(L)))) && \text{definition of keep} \\ &= \text{cons}(a, \text{keep}(\text{echo}(L))) && \text{definition of drop} \\ &= \text{cons}(a, L) && \text{inductive hypothesis}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by structural induction, $P(S)$ holds for all lists S , which completes the proof.

- (b) Notice that:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{keep}(\text{cons}(1, \text{cons}(2, \text{echo}(L)))) &= \text{cons}(1, \text{drop}(\text{cons}(2, \text{echo}(L)))) && \text{definition of keep} \\ &= \text{cons}(1, \text{keep}(\text{echo}(L))) && \text{definition of drop} \\ &= \text{cons}(1, L) && \text{by part (a)}\end{aligned}$$

4. (a) We define:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{prefix}(0, L) &= \text{nil} \\ \text{prefix}(n + 1, \text{nil}) &= \text{undefined} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{prefix}(n + 1, \text{cons}(x, L)) &= \text{cons}(x, \text{prefix}(n, L)) \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}, L: \text{List}\end{aligned}$$

We continue to define:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{suffix}(0, L) &= L \\ \text{suffix}(n + 1, \text{nil}) &= \text{undefined} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{suffix}(n + 1, \text{cons}(x, L)) &= \text{suffix}(n, L) \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}, L: \text{List}\end{aligned}$$

5. (a) We prove this first claim by cases. If $L = \text{nil}$, then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{concat}(L, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) &= \text{concat}(\text{nil}, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) \\ &= \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}) && \text{def of concat} \\ &\neq \text{nil}\end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, we can write $L = \text{cons}(a, R)$ for some list R . Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{concat}(L, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) &= \text{concat}(\text{cons}(a, R), \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) \\ &= \text{cons}(a, \text{concat}(R, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}))) && \text{def of concat} \\ &\neq \text{nil}\end{aligned}$$

and this last list is not nil since it at least contains a . Thus, the claim holds.

- (b) Let $P(S)$ for a list S be the claim that $\text{last}(\text{concat}(S, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}))) = b$. We prove the claim by structural induction on S . First, if $S = \text{nil}$, then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{last}(\text{concat}(S, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}))) &= \text{last}(\text{concat}(\text{nil}, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}))) && S = \text{nil} \\ &= \text{last}(\text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) && \text{def of concat} \\ &= b && \text{def of last}\end{aligned}$$

So the base case holds. Now suppose that the claim holds for some list L . Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{last}(\text{concat}(\text{cons}(a, L), \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}))) &= \text{last}(\text{cons}(a, \text{concat}(L, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})))) && \text{def of concat} \\ &= \text{last}(\text{concat}(L, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}))) && \text{def of last} \\ &= b && \text{inductive hypothesis}\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof by structural induction. I don't see where you were meant to use part (a) in the above proof. I believe it might be used to show that the first statement is not undefined, since the inner list is not nil, but I don't see how that is necessary if you order the arguments in this way (you basically repeat part (a) in the proof).

- (c) Notice that,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{last}(\text{rev}(\text{cons}(a, R))) &= \text{last}(\text{concat}(\text{rev}(R), \text{cons}(a, \text{nil}))) && \text{def of rev} \\ &= a && \text{by part (b)}\end{aligned}$$

6. (a) We define the function as follows:

worm-latin-encode(L)	= nil	$L = \text{"bird"}$
worm-latin-encode(L)	= L	$L: \text{List}, cc(L) = -1$
worm-latin-encode(L)	= concat(["w", a, "orm"], R)	A
worm-latin-encode(L)	= concat(suffix(cc(L), L), concat(prefix(cc(L), L), ["orm"])))	$L: \text{List}, cc(L) \geq 1$

Where A stands for " $L: \text{List}, cc(L) = 0, L = \text{cons}(a, R)$ for some $R : \text{List}$ ". Note that if $cc(L) = 0$, then L has a vowel in the first position, so it is not nil and thus of the above form: $\text{cons}(a, R)$ for some other list R .