

Math 334: Problem Set 2

Rohan Mukherjee

October 12, 2022

1. Let $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{y}$. If we have equality, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle &= \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\| \iff \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \\ &\iff 2 \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle^2}{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle^2}{\|\mathbf{y}\|^4} \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Now let $\lambda = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle / \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$. By plugging λ in, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2\lambda \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \lambda^2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 &= 0 \iff \langle \mathbf{x} - \lambda \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \lambda \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0 \\ &\iff \|\mathbf{x} - \lambda \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 0 \iff \mathbf{x} - \lambda \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{y} \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

2. Note,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 &= \langle \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \\ &= 2(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2}{4} &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot (\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - (\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot 4\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

3. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$. If $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, simply choose $\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and note that $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$. So now

let $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, say

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, because $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there are a_i and a_j not both 0. Then, choose

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -a_j \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ a_i \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } -a_j \text{ is in the } i\text{-th row and } a_i \text{ is in the } j\text{-th row.}$$

and note that $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Also note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle &= 0a_1 + 0a_2 + \cdots + 0a_{i-1} + a_i \cdot (-a_j) + 0a_{i+1} + \cdots + 0a_{j-1} + a_j \cdot a_i + 0a_{j+1} + \cdots + 0a_n \\ &= -a_i a_j + a_i a_j \\ &= 0 \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathbf{x}_i = the number of Chris Pratt movies in 2021- i , and \mathbf{y}_i = the amount of wheat produced in China in 2021- i . If you take all the data and find the correlation, you get that the correlation is about 0.5273, which is much higher than I would've guessed.

The base case is $n = 2$, so let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2\|^2 &= \langle \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}_1\|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \rangle + \|\mathbf{x}_2\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}_1\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_2\|^2 \text{ because of the property above.} \end{aligned}$$

Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that if $i \neq j$, then $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle = 0$. Suppose now that there is some m so that

$$\|\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_m\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x}_1\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_2\|^2 + \cdots + \|\mathbf{x}_m\|^2$$

We see that if $x_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with the same property, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_{m+1}\|^2 &= \langle \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_{m+1}, \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_{m+1} \rangle \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_m\|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_{m+1} \rangle + \|\mathbf{x}_{m+1}\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{x}_m\|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_{m+1} \rangle + \cdots + 2\langle \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_{m+1} \rangle + \|\mathbf{x}_{m+1}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the induction hypothesis and because $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, we see that the above equals

$$\|\mathbf{x}_1\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_2\|^2 + \cdots + \|\mathbf{x}_{m+1}\|^2 \quad \square$$

4. Let $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 0.1. *If $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $n > 1$, $\min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\text{angle between } v_i \text{ and } v_j\} \leq 2\pi/n$.*

Proof. Suppose instead that $\min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\text{angle between } v_i \text{ and } v_j\} > 2\pi/n$. If we start at the origin, and move counterclockwise, the sum of the angles between two vectors that are next to each other will be equal to 2π . But this is a contradiction, because the sum of all these angles must be $> n \cdot 2\pi/n = 2\pi$. \square

Lemma 0.2. For $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have that $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\| \cos(\text{angle between } \mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{y})$

Proof. If we treat \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} as points in \mathbb{R}^2 , we can say that the chord connecting them is equal to $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}$. Now note that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$$

Now if we treat all 3 vectors as line segments, you can use the law of cosines to get that

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - 2\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\| \cos(\text{angle between } \mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{y})$$

Now if we match these equations and rearrange, we get that

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\| \cos(\text{angle between } \mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{y})$$

The final thing to note is that this equation still works when these vectors don't make a triangle, i.e. when $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{x}$. If $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$, then the angle between \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} is 0, so $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \cdot 1 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \cdot \cos(0)$. If $\mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{x}$, then the angle between \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} is π , and $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{x} \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \cdot (-1) = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \cdot \cos(\pi)$. \square

Because $\|\mathbf{v}_i\| = 1$ for all i , and $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \|\mathbf{v}_i\| \|\mathbf{v}_j\| \cos(\text{angle between } v_i \text{ and } v_j)$, we have that

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \cos\left(\min_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\text{angle between } v_i \text{ and } v_j\}\right) \geq \cos(2\pi/n)$$

This is because the inner product will be greatest when the two vectors are closest to each other. The inequality also gets flipped because $\cos(x)$ is decreasing.

First, if $\varepsilon \geq 1$, choose $n = 8$. We see that

$$\cos(2\pi/n) = \cos(\pi/4) = \sqrt{2}/2 > 0 \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

Now, if $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, choose

$$n > \frac{2\pi}{\arccos(1 - \varepsilon)}$$

We also see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\pi}{n} &< \arccos(1 - \varepsilon) \\ \implies \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) &> \cos(\arccos(1 - \varepsilon)) = 1 - \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

because $\cos(x)$ is decreasing. Then by our discussion above, we have proven that this n works for every list $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$. \square

5. If we let $\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx$, then we shall note that $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g, f \rangle$, as multiplication is commutative, and that $\langle af(x) + bg(x), h(x) \rangle = a\langle f(x), h(x) \rangle + b\langle g(x), h(x) \rangle$ because the integral is known to be a linear operator. The final thing to note is that $\langle f, f \rangle \geq 0$, because the integral of a non-negative function is going to be non-negative. So now we can say the following. Let $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\|f(x)\| \neq 0 \neq \|g(x)\|$. Then,

$$0 \leq \langle f(x) - tg(x), f(x) - tg(x) \rangle = \|f(x)\|^2 - 2t\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle + t^2\|g(x)\|^2$$

Now choose $t = \frac{\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle}{\|g(x)\|^2}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|f\|^2 - 2\frac{\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle^2}{\|g(x)\|^2} + \frac{\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle^2}{\|g(x)\|^4} \|g(x)\|^2 \\ \implies \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle^2 &\leq \|f(x)\|^2 \|g(x)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now if we take the square root on both sides, and write out what all of these symbols mean, we get

$$\left| \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx \right| \leq \left(\int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 g(x)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \quad \square$$