Math 441 Final HW

Rohan Mukherjee

August 4, 2023

1. We recall that $\mathbb{S}^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| = 1\}$. I claim then that $\mathbb{S}^n \subset [-1,1]^n$. Indeed, if $x \notin [-1,1]^n$, then x has a coordinate who's value is strictly greater in absolute value than 1. Thus its square is strictly greater than 1, contradicting the fact that |x| = 1. $[-1,1] \cong [0,1]$ via the homeomorphism $x \to x/2 + 1/2$, which is a homeomorphism since it is a linear bijection (and linear maps are continuous and have continuous inverses). Since the product of compact sets are compact, $[-1,1]^n$ is compact. I claim that \mathbb{S}^n is closed. Indeed, if $\mathbb{S}^n \ni x_n \to x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have that

$$||x_n|| = 1$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Thus, since $x \mapsto ||x||$ is continuous, we have that

$$1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n|| = ||\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n|| = ||x||$$

Which shows that \mathbb{S}^n is closed, thus \mathbb{S}^n is compact as it is a closed subset of a compact space. Similarly, we recall that $\mathbb{RP}^n := \mathbb{S}^n / \sim$, where $x \sim -x$. The quotient topology is defined so that the projection is a quotient map—in particular, it is continuous (and onto). Thus \mathbb{RP}^n is the continuous image of a compact set, and hence compact.

2. We shall construct the topology in the most obvious way: consider the topology generated by the base containing elements of the form

$$B = \left\{ (a_{ij})_{1 \le i \le m, \ 1 \le j \le n} \mid a_{ij} \in U_{ij} \subset \mathbb{R}, \ U_{ij} \text{ open } \right\}$$

First we need to show that this is indeed a base. It is clear that if we take each $U_{ij} = \mathbb{R}$, then we would get the entire space, thus the basis elements cover $\mathrm{Mat}(m \times n; \mathbb{R})$. Next notice that given $B_1 = \{ (a_{ij}) \mid a_{ij} \in U_{ij} \subset \mathbb{R}, U_{ij} \text{ open } \}$ and $B_2 = \{ (a_{ij}) \mid a_{ij} \in B_{ij} \subset \mathbb{R}, B_{ij} \text{ open } \}$, we have that

$$B_1 \cap B_2 = \left\{ \left. (a_{ij}) \mid a_{ij} \in U_{ij} \cap V_{ij} \right. \right\}$$

And since $U_{ij} \cap V_{ij}$ is always the finite intersection of open sets, it too is open. Thus $B_1 \cap B_2$ is another element in the base, and we are done with that part. Now consider the

"identity" map $(a_{ij}) \mapsto (a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{mn})$. This map is obviously a bijection. If $\prod_{i=1}^{mn} U_i$ is a subbasis element for \mathbb{R}^{mn} , pulling back would give us the set

$$\{(a_{ij}) \mid a_{ij} \in U_{ij}\}$$

(where the ordering and column/row jumping is clear) which is of course open since it is a basis element. Now, if $B = \{(a_{ij}) \mid a_{ij} \in U_{ij} \subset \mathbb{R}, \ U_{ij} \text{ open } \}$ is a subbasis element for the topology we just constructed, it would get mapped to $\prod_{1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n} U_{ij}$, which is indeed open in \mathbb{R}^{mn} . A bjiective open map is a homeomorphism, thus we are done.

- 3. (a) We use the fact from linear algebra that the determinant is a continuous map from Mat(n × n; R) to R. Indeed, the determinant is a polynomial in the entries of the matrix, and since we equipped the matrix with the topology of (effectively) R^{n²}, this map is going to be continuous because the same polynomial from R^{n²} to R is continuous. Each matrix in GL_n(R) has nonzero determinant, and matrices with 0 determinant are not invertible. Thus GL_n(R) = det⁻¹(R \ 0), and since R \ 0 = (-∞,0) ∪ (0,∞) is the union of two open sets, it is open thus GL_n(R) is open.
 - (b) Furthermore, restricting domain preserves continuity, thus det : $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. If $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ were connected, then it's image under a continuous map would be connected. However, its image is $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$, as for any $x \neq 0$, the matrix with 1's along the main diagonal with the first 1 replaced by x has determinant equal to x, and 0 is not the determinant of any invertible matrix, by definition, and since $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ is clearly not connected, we have reached a contradiction.
- 4. (a) Given the Euclidean topology on \mathbb{C} , we recall that $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus K$ where K is countable is path-connected from in class. Call ι the prescribed map. Since $\{\iota(\alpha_1), \ldots, \iota(\alpha_k)\}$ is countable, we have that $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\iota(\alpha_1), \ldots, \iota(\alpha_k)\}$ is path-connected. Since we defined the topology on \mathbb{C} to be so that ι is a homeomorphism, and since the restriction of a homeomorphism is a homeomorphism, $\iota(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\iota(\alpha_1), \ldots, \iota(\alpha_k)\}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ is path-connected. We remark this can also be used to show that $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ where $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is countable is path-connected.
 - (b) $\det(A + z(I A))$ is a polynomial in z, that is not equivalently 0 (since we showed it is nonzero somewhere). By the fundamental theorem of algebra it has finitely many roots, say $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$. Now, since $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ is path-connected, we can find a path $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ with $\gamma(0) = 0$, $\gamma(1) = 1$, and $\gamma(1) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, since $0,1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ (we showed they were not roots), and since γ maps to the subset of \mathbb{C} precisely defined as such so that it excludes the roots of γ .
 - (c) Since A+z(I-A) is clearly a continuous map, and since $\gamma(t)$ is continuous, $A+\gamma(t)(I-A)$ is continuous. We see immediately that $A+\gamma(0)(I-A)=A$, and $A+\gamma(1)(I-A)=I$. By the gluing lemma, given any matrix A,B, we could glue together two of these paths to get a path from A to I then to B, thus $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is path-connected, and we are done.