

Math 504 HW4

Rohan Mukherjee

December 4, 2023

1. (a) Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ by $\varphi(0)(x) = x$ and $\varphi(1)(x) = -x$. Then φ is a homomorphism and $\mathbb{Z}/m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2 = \langle (1, 0), (0, 1) \rangle$, since this group contains $\langle (1, 0) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/m$ and $\langle (0, 1) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/2$, so it contains their product. Now, notice that $(0, 1)(1, 0)(0, 1) = (-1, 1)(0, 1) = (-1, 0) = -(1, 0)$. Similarly, $2 \cdot (0, 1) = (0, 0)$, and lastly, $m(1, 0) = (m, 0) = (0, 0)$. So, $\langle (1, 0), (0, 1) \mid m(1, 0) = 2(0, 1) = (0, 0), (0, 1)(1, 0)(0, 1) = -(1, 0) \rangle = D_m$.
- (b) We see that D_m acts faithfully on the set of vertices of a regular m -gon by definition, since D_m is the group of symmetries of the m -gon. If two elements of D_m induced the same permutation of the vertices, then they would be the same symmetry. So, letting π_{D_m} be the permutation representation of D_m on the vertices of the m -gon, π_{D_m} is an injective homomorphism from D_m to S_m , so D_m is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_m .
- (c) We recall from the above that $D_m = \langle r, s \mid r^m = s^2 = e, srs = r^{-1} \rangle$. We claim that D_m is an isomorphic copy of $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$. We need only verify the relations. Clearly $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^2 = I$. Geometrically, since $\begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \end{pmatrix}$ is a rotation matrix, and rotates by $\frac{2\pi}{m}$ radians, its order is just m . Finally, an explicit calculations shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \\ -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & -\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \\ -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(-\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & -\sin\left(-\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \\ \sin\left(-\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) & \cos\left(-\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Which is indeed the inverse of r (just rotating clockwise $2\pi/m$ radians).

2. (a) Since $S_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, which is abelian, we have the chain $1 \trianglelefteq S_2$. Since $\langle (123) \rangle$ is a subgroup of index 2 in S_3 , we have the chain $1 \trianglelefteq \langle (123) \rangle \trianglelefteq S_3$. Lastly, conjugating each generator of $\langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \leq A_4$ shows they are still in the group, so this subgroup is normal, and of order 4. Lastly, we claim that $\{e, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\} = \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \leq A_4$ is normal. By Theorem 2.8 on the last homework, conjugating any element with 2 transpositions will also have 2 transpositions. The above group is precisely the group where each element has exactly 2 transpositions, so this subgroup is normal. We get the chain $1 \trianglelefteq V_4 \cong \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \trianglelefteq A_4 \trianglelefteq S_4$, since $\langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle$ is a group of order 4 isomorphic to the Klein 4-group V_4 (it has no element of order 4).
3. (a) $[(ijk), (ijl)] = (kji)(lji)(ijk)(ijl) = (kji)(lik) = (kj)(il)$.
(b) Next, $[(ik), (ij)] = (ik)(ij)(ik)(ij) = (ik)(jk) = (ijk)$.
(c) Finally, $[(ikl), (ijm)] = (lki)(mji)(ikl)(ijm) = (lki)(mkl) = (l)(kim) = (kim)$.
4. (1) Recall that $\text{Sgn}(\sigma) : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ is a homomorphism. Now, $\text{Sgn}(\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau) = \text{Sgn}(\sigma^{-1})\text{Sgn}(\tau^{-1})\text{Sgn}(\sigma)\text{Sgn}(\tau) = \text{Sgn}(\sigma)^2\text{Sgn}(\tau)^2$, since $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is commutative, and $(-1)^{-1} = -1$, and $1^{-1} = 1$. Since $(-1)^2 = 1$ and $1^2 = 1$, the above is simply equal to 1, so the commutator is even, and in A_n . First, $[S_2, S_2]$ contains the identity, and is contained in $\langle 1 \rangle$, so it just equals 1 ($A_2 = \langle 1 \rangle$). For $n \geq 3$, we have at least 3 distinct elements, so by problem 3, we can get any 3-cycle (ijk) by $[(ik), (ij)]$ which generates A_n .
- (2) The above proof showed that $[S_n, S_n] \leq A_n$, and by part (c) of question 3, given any 3-cycle (kim) in A_n , we can find two numbers l, j that are none of k, i, m (since $n \geq 5$), to see that $[(ikl), (ijm)] = (kim)$. Since A_n is generated by 3-cycles, we have all of A_n , and we are done.
5. (1) Clearly, each automorphism of \mathbb{Z}/q is uniquely determined by where 1 is sent. That is, given $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/q)$, $f(x) = xf(1)$. Thus every automorphism is of the form $f(x) = rx$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}/q$. Clearly $f(x) = 0x$ is not an automorphism. We shall now show that $f_r(x) = rx$ is an automorphism for each $r \neq 0$. r admits a multiplicative inverse mod q , since by Bezout's lemma we can find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $rx + yq = 1$, i.e. $rx \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Now, $f_r(x)$ has inverse $f_{r^{-1}}(x)$, since $(f_r \circ f_{r^{-1}})(x) = r^{-1}rx = x$, with the left inverse holding similarly. Also, $f_r(x + y) = r(x + y) = rx + ry = f_r(x) + f_r(y)$, so each f_r is indeed an automorphism. Finally, let $\varphi : \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)$ be defined by $f_r(x) \mapsto r$. φ is well-defined since if $f_r(x) = f_t(x)$, then $r \cdot 1 = t \cdot 1$. φ is clearly bijective,

so all we have left to check is that it is a homomorphism. We see that $(f_r \circ f_t)(x) = rt x$, so $f_r \circ f_t \mapsto rt = \varphi(f_r) \cdot \varphi(f_t)$. Thus, we have shown that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/q) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)$.

- (2) let G be a group of order p^2 (assume $p = q$). We know by the class equation that $Z(G) \neq \langle 1 \rangle$, so $|Z(G)| = p$ or p^2 . In the second case G is abelian, and in the first $G/Z(G)$ has prime order, hence is cyclic, hence G is abelian. Now, if G has an element of order p^2 , then G is cyclic and isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}/p^2 . Otherwise, every element has order dividing p by Langrange. Let x be an element of order p , and take $y \in G \setminus \langle x \rangle$. Now, $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq G$, so $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle$ is a subgroup of G , and we have the following tower:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle < \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \leq G \\ \implies p < |\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle| \leq p^2 \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle = G$. Finally, $p^2 = |\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle| = |\langle x \rangle| |\langle y \rangle| / |\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle| = p^2 / |\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle|$, so $\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$, and we have concluded that $G = \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle \cong \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$.

Suppose instead that $p < q$. Then take $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$. Since Q has index the smallest prime dividing $|G|$, we have that $Q \trianglelefteq G$. Next, $|P \cap Q| \mid |Q| = q$ and $|P \cap Q| \mid |P| = p$, so $|P \cap Q| = 1$, since p, q are prime. Thus, PQ is a subgroup of order pq , so $PQ = G$, and we have concluded that $G \cong Q \rtimes P$ for some automorphism $\psi : P \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Q) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)$. Letting $P = \langle x \rangle$, if $p \nmid q-1$, then $|\psi(x)| \mid p$ and $|\psi(x)| \mid q-1$, so $|\psi(x)| = 1$ and we only get the trivial automorphism, which yields the direct product $\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/q = \mathbb{Z}/pq$. Else, $\text{Aut}(Q)$ has precisely one group subgroup of order p , $\langle \varphi(x) \rangle$. Since the image of \mathbb{Z}/p is a subgroup of order dividing p , it either equals 1 or p , and in the second case the image is just $\langle \varphi(x) \rangle$. In particular, we can specify each homomorphism $\psi : P \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Q)$ by specifying where 1 maps to in $\langle \varphi(x) \rangle$. Thus define $\psi_i : P \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Q)$ by $1 \mapsto \varphi^i(x)$. Notice that this yields p different automorphisms. We now claim that $Q \rtimes_{\psi_i} P \cong Q \rtimes_{\psi_1} P$ for all $i \neq 0$. Notice that since $\langle \psi_1 \rangle = \text{Aut}(Q)$, we can find an integer k such that $\psi_1 = \psi_i^k$, since $\psi_i \neq \text{id}_Q$. Define the following map from $Q \rtimes_{\psi_1} P$ to $Q \rtimes_{\psi_i} P$:

$$\varphi : (a, x) \mapsto (a, x^k)$$

We see that $(a, x)(b, x) = (a\psi_1(b), x^2)$, and that $(a, x^k)(b, x^k) = (a\psi_{x^k}(b), x^{2k}) = (a\psi_x^k(b), x^{2k}) = (a\psi_i^k(b), x^{2k}) = (a\psi_1(b), x^{2k})$, so we can indeed extend the above map to a homomorphism. Finally, the above map is surjective since $x \mapsto x^k$ is an isomorphism since $p \nmid k$. Thus there is only one nonabelian group of order pq , $\mathbb{Z}/q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p$.

6. We claim that there exists a non-trivial semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}/m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/n$ iff $\gcd(\phi(m), n) \neq 1$, where $\phi(m)$ is the Euler totient function. This question is fully equivalent to asking when there is a non-trivial homomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/m)$. We recall from the book that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/m) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\phi(m)$. We need only specify where the generator 1 of \mathbb{Z}/n goes to determine a unique homomorphism. Suppose that $1 \mapsto f(x)$. Then $|\langle f(x) \rangle| |\mathbb{Z}/n| = n$ and $|\langle f(x) \rangle| \mid |\mathbb{Z}/\phi(m)| = \phi(m)$. Thus, $|\langle f(x) \rangle| \mid \gcd(\phi(m), n)$. If the right hand side equals 1 then 1 can only map to the identity element or it would break this condition. Suppose instead that it is d . Find a prime p dividing d , and find an element $g(x) \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ so that $|g(x)| = p$. Now the map $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/m)$ sending $1 \mapsto g(x)$ is a non-identity homomorphism, and hence induces a non-trivial semi-direct product, completing the proof.