

# Math 335 HW6

Rohan Mukherjee

November 14, 2023

1. (a) For the first one, we apply the transformation  $u = 1 - x^2$ . We therefore see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} du \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{u} \Big|_0^1 = 1\end{aligned}$$

So it converges, with value 1.

- (b) For the second one, note that  $x^2 + x \geq x$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then we may say that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}\sqrt[3]{x^2+x}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}\sqrt[3]{x}} = \frac{1}{x^{5/6}}$$

By taking cuberoot (preserves order), inverting both sides (reverses inequality), and multiplying by a positive number ( $1/\sqrt{x}$ ). So we may say that

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}\sqrt[3]{x^2+x}} dx \leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x^{5/6}} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 6x^{1/6} \Big|_{\varepsilon}^1 = 6$$

- (c) First, we apply the transformation  $u = x^{-1}$ , and get that

$$\int_1^{\infty} \tan\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \int_0^1 \frac{\tan(u)}{u^2} du = \int_0^1 \frac{\sin(u)}{\cos(u)u^2} du$$

We notice that on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\cos(u) \leq 1$ , so we can say that this integral is bigger than

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\sin(u)}{u^2} du$$

So suffices to show that the integrand is larger than  $1/2u^{-1}$  around 0. Notice that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\sin(u)}{u^2}}{\frac{1}{u}} = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(u)}{u} = 1$$

So we can certainly find a  $\delta > 0$  so that for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with  $u < \delta$ , we have that  $|\frac{\sin(u)}{\frac{u^2}{u}} - 1| < 1/2$ . Using that  $-x \leq |x|$ , we get that  $1 - \frac{\sin(u)}{\frac{u^2}{u}} < 1/2$ , and rearranging this gives us that  $1/2 < \frac{\sin(u)}{\frac{u^2}{u}}$ . Multiplying both sides by the positive quantity (we chose  $u$  to be positive)  $u^{-1}$ , we get that  $\frac{\sin(u)}{u^2} > 1/2u^{-1}$  for a  $\delta$ -neighborhood around 0. Now applying **Corollary 4.60**, we see that  $\int_0^1 \frac{\sin(u)}{u^2}$  diverges, and finally, we deduce that our original integral,  $\int_1^\infty \tan(x^{-1})$  also diverges (it was bigger than this integral).

2. We recognize that

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-1/5} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{\pi}} x^{-1/5} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx + \int_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^\infty x^{-1/5} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx$$

So, it suffices to show that the latter two integrals converge. For the first one note that  $|\sin(\frac{1}{x})| \leq 1$ , so we can say that

$$\left| \int_0^{\frac{1}{\pi}} x^{-1/5} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx \right| \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{\pi}} x^{-1/5} dx$$

By the triangle inequality and noting that  $x^{-1/5}$  is strictly positive on  $(0, \frac{1}{\pi})$ . Next note that by comparing areas, we could instead think of this integral as the area under the curve of the inverse function, which is a function of  $y$ .  $x^{-1/5}$  maps  $(0, \frac{1}{\pi})$  bijectively to  $(\pi^{1/5}, \infty)$ , so we may say that our integral is equal to

$$\int_{\pi^{1/5}}^\infty \frac{1}{y^5} dy$$

Which clearly converges ( $p = 5 > 1$ ). For the second integral, we first apply the change of variables  $u = x^{-1}$ , and get that

$$\int_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^\infty x^{-1/5} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin(u)}{u^{9/5}} du$$

Using the sharp bound that  $|\sin(x)| \leq x$  on  $[0, \pi]$ , we see that

$$\left| \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin(u)}{u^{9/5}} du \right| \leq \int_0^\pi u^{-4/5} du$$

By doing the same idea from before, we can say this integral equals

$$\int_{\pi^{-4/5}}^\infty \frac{1}{u^{5/4}} du$$

Which clearly converges as again  $p = 5/4 > 1$ . So the entire integral converges.

3. We showed that the arclength of a curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\int_\gamma d\sigma = \int_a^b \|\gamma'\| dt$ .

- (a)  $\gamma' = (-a \sin(t), a \cos(t), b)$ . So we see that  $\|\gamma'\| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ . Our integral is therefore

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} dt = 2\pi\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$

- (b) For the second one, notice that  $\|\gamma'(t)\| = \sqrt{(t^2 - 1)^2 + 4t^2} = \sqrt{t^4 - 2t^2 + 1 + 4t^2} = \sqrt{t^4 + 2t^2 + 1} = \sqrt{(t^2 + 1)^2} = t^2 + 1$ . Our integral therefore becomes

$$\int_0^2 t^2 + 1 dt = \frac{4}{3} + 2$$

- (c) We see that  $\gamma'(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{t^2} + 4 + 4t^2} = \sqrt{(2t + t^{-1})^2} = 2t + t^{-1}$ . As the inside is positive the whole time. Our integral becomes:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} 2t + t^{-1} dt$$

Which sadly doesn't converge! I suppose then the arclength would be infinite.

4. We parameterize the curve using  $\gamma(t) = (t, t^2)$  where  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ . We see that  $\gamma'(t) = (1, 2t)$ , so  $\|\gamma'(t)\| = \sqrt{1 + 4t^2}$ . The x-coordinate (times the length of gamma) becomes

$$\int_{-1}^1 t\sqrt{1 + 4t^2} dt = 0$$

Where we have noticed that the integrand is odd. So the x-coordinate center of mass of our curve is 0. Next we find the length.

$$\int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{1 + 4t^2} dt$$

Applying the change of variables  $2t = \tan(\theta)$ , and noting that our function is even, we get the integral to be

$$\int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sec^3(\theta) d\theta$$

Now I prove the reduction formula for  $\sec^n(\theta)$ . By integration by parts ( $u = \sec^{n-2}(\theta)$ ,  $dv = \sec^2(\theta)$ ), we may say that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sec^n(\theta) d\theta &= \sec^{n-2}(\theta) \tan(\theta) - (n-2) \int \sec^{n-2}(\theta) \tan^2(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \sec^{n-2}(\theta) \tan(\theta) - (n-2) \int \sec^{n-2}(\theta) + \sec^n(\theta) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Adding  $n-2$  copies of our goal integral to both sides, we get that

$$(n-1) \int \sec^n(\theta) d\theta = \sec^{n-2}(\theta) \tan(\theta) - (n-2) \int \sec^{n-2}(\theta) d\theta$$

Dividing both sides by  $n - 1$  yields the familiar result

$$\int \sec^n(\theta) = \frac{\sec^{n-2}(\theta) \tan(\theta)}{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \int \sec^{n-2}(\theta) d\theta$$

So now we can find  $\int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sec^3(\theta) d\theta$ . We have:

$$\int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sec^3(\theta) d\theta = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sec(\theta) \tan(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\sec(\theta) + \tan(\theta)| \right) \Big|_0^{\arctan(2)}$$

We notice that  $\sec(\arctan(2)) = \sqrt{1 + \tan^2(\arctan(2))} = \sqrt{5}$ , and clearly  $\tan(\arctan(2)) = 2$ . So our integral is therefore  $\frac{1}{2}(2\sqrt{5} + \ln(\sqrt{5} + 2))$ , which was the length of our curve. Finally we must find

$$\int_{-1}^1 t^2 \sqrt{1 + 4t^2} dt = \frac{2}{4} \int_0^1 4t^2 \sqrt{1 + 4t^2} dt$$

Once again applying the substitution  $2t = \tan(\theta)$ , we get that our integral is:

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\arctan(2)} \tan^2(\theta) \sec^3(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sec^3(\theta) + \sec^5(\theta) d\theta$$

Now one may see why I did the reduction formula! Forgetting about the  $\frac{1}{4}$ , we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\arctan(2)} -\sec^3(\theta) + \sec^5(\theta) d\theta &= -\int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sec^3(\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{4} \sec^3(\theta) \tan(\theta) \Big|_0^{\arctan(2)} + \frac{3}{4} \int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sec^3(\theta) d\theta \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sec^3(\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} (2\sqrt{5} + \ln(\sqrt{5} + 2)) + \frac{5^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Remembering the  $\frac{1}{4}$ , we see that

$$\int_{-1}^1 t^2 \sqrt{1 + 4t^2} dt = -\frac{1}{32} (2\sqrt{5} + \ln(\sqrt{5} + 2)) + \frac{5^{\frac{3}{2}}}{8}$$

So therefore our final answer, the center of mass of the curve, is

$$\left( 0, \frac{-2\sqrt{5} - \ln(\sqrt{5} + 2) + 4 \cdot 5^{3/2}}{32\sqrt{5} + 16 \ln(\sqrt{5} + 2)} \right)$$

5. First, we prove a mean value theorem.

**Theorem 0.1.** (I don't end up using this theorem). Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \gamma(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  are differentiable everywhere. Then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(x) d\sigma / \text{Length}(\gamma) = f(\gamma(d))$$

for some  $d \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Noting that the line integral preserves inequalities, we may apply the extreme value theorem to  $f$  to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Length}(\gamma) \cdot \min_{t \in [0,1]} f(\gamma(t)) &= \int_0^1 \min_{t \in [0,1]} f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \|\gamma'(t)\| dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \|\gamma'(t)\| dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \|\gamma'(t)\| dt \\
&= \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \text{Length}(\gamma(t))
\end{aligned}$$

So  $\int_0^1 f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \|\gamma'(t)\| dt / \text{Length}(\gamma(t))$  lies between  $\min f(\gamma(t))$  and  $\max_{t \in [0,1]} f(\gamma(t))$ . By the intermediate value theorem, we may say there exists a  $d \in [0, 1]$  so that  $f(\gamma(d)) = \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t)) \cdot \|\gamma'(t)\| dt / \text{Length}(\gamma(t))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 0.2.** (Convex hull!) If  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is convex, then given any  $n$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Omega$ , and given any positive numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  so that  $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* We proceed inductively. Given any two points  $x, y \in \Omega$ , we see that if we are given positive scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Omega$ , with  $\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 = 1$ , i.e.  $\alpha_2 = 1 - \alpha_1$ , we may write  $\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y = \alpha_1 x + (1 - \alpha_1)y$  which is in our set by the definition of convexity. Now suppose that given any  $k$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \Omega$ , and any scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  with  $\sum_1^k \alpha_i = 1$ , we have that  $\sum \alpha_i x_i \in \Omega$ .

So now given any  $k + 1$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}$ , and arbitrary scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}$  that sum to 1, we see that  $1 - \alpha_{k+1} = \sum_i^k \alpha_i$  as they sum to 1. Then  $\sum_1^{k+1} \alpha_i x_i = \alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1} + (1 - \alpha_{k+1}) \sum_1^k \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_{k+1}} x_i$ . We see that  $\sum_1^k \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_{k+1}} = 1$  as the bottom is the constant equal to  $\sum_i^k \alpha_i$  (we may pull this number out of the sum), so by the inductive hypothesis  $\sum_1^k \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_{k+1}} x_i$  is also in  $\Omega$ . Then by the definition of a convex set, we see that  $\sum_1^{k+1} \alpha_i x_i \in \Omega$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

**This is the proof for center of mass of a convex set, not its boundary: (it felt unfitting to remove, although I haven't checked it's correctness)** Now given any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a partition  $P = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  so that  $\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \text{Vol}(X_i)$  (where  $x_i$  is the bottom left corner of  $X_i$ , is within  $\frac{1}{n}$  of  $\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} x dx$  (vector-valued integral)). We see that  $\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \text{Vol}(X_i)$  is of the form described in the second lemma, as  $\sum \text{Vol}(X_i) / \text{Vol}(\Omega) = 1$ , so we see that  $\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \text{Vol}(X_i) \in \Omega$ . This generates a sequence  $(y_n)$ , which converges by our construction, and as each  $y$  is in  $\Omega$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \in \bar{\Omega}$  by bolzano-weirstrass. As  $\Omega$  contains its boundary, we may conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \in \Omega$  as well, which is by our construction its center-of-mass.

**This is where the real proof starts:**

We may say that there exists a partition of  $[0, 1]$  so that

$\left| \frac{1}{\text{Length}(\gamma)} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(t_k) \cdot \|\gamma(t_{k+1}) - \gamma(t_k)\| - \frac{1}{\text{Length}(\gamma)} \int_{\gamma} x d\sigma \right| < \frac{1}{n}$  by how we defined the line integral (and simply dividing both sides by a constant, also note that these are vector-valued). Let  $\varepsilon_n = \sum_1^n \|\gamma(t_{k+1}) - \gamma(t_k)\| - \text{Length}(\gamma)$ . Once should notice that  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  in the limit. We see that the point  $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(t_k) \cdot \frac{\|\gamma(t_{k+1}) - \gamma(t_k)\|}{\text{Length}(\gamma) + \varepsilon_n} \in \Omega$  by Theorem 0.2. Given any sequence  $a_n \rightarrow a$ , and  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ , and any scalar  $c \neq 0$ , I claim that  $a_n/(c + b_n) \rightarrow a/c$ . It is clear that  $a_n/c \rightarrow 0$ . Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , note that  $a_n/c - a_n/(c + b_n) = a_n b_n/(c + b_n)$ . For sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $|b_n| < \varepsilon$ , and  $a_n < \varepsilon + |a|$ . So,  $a_n b_n \leq (|a| + \varepsilon)\varepsilon$ . We can also make  $N$  sufficiently large so that  $|b_n| < \varepsilon$ , so  $b_n > -\varepsilon$ , and therefore  $c + b_n > c - \varepsilon$ . Finally, we see that for sufficiently large  $n$   $a_n b_n/(c + b_n) < (\varepsilon + |a|)\varepsilon/(c + \varepsilon)$ , which is arbitrarily small, completing the mini proof. So we can generate a sequence  $(x_n) = \frac{\|\gamma(t_{k+1}) - \gamma(t_k)\|}{\text{Length}(\gamma) + \varepsilon_n}$  that also converges to the integral. Note that all  $x_n \in \Omega$ , and as  $\Omega$  is closed, we may conclude that its limit is also in  $\Omega$ . Finally, as its limit is indeed going to be the center-of-mass, we have shown that the center in mass lies in  $\Omega$ .

Note that the line segment  $\{(t, 0) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  is a convex set, so if  $\Omega$  didn't contain its boundary, then it wouldn't contain anything at all, which would be an easy disprove. But obviously this problem wouldn't be that easy (this is my reasoning to conclude  $\Omega$  is closed).