

Math 425 HW3

Rohan Mukherjee

April 19, 2023

1. (a) The cantor set contains no open interval of the form $\left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right)$ where $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, since if $k > 3^{m-1}$, then this wouldn't even be in $[0, 1]$, and if $k < 3^{m-1}$, this would correspond to the middle of the closed interval $\left[\frac{3k}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right]$, whose middle is removed in the next step. Suppose there was an element of the cantor set with a coefficient of 1, i.e. $x \in C$ with $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k/3^k$ where $a_l = 1$ and l is the smallest such natural making this true. If after l all the coefficients are all 2, then we could write $x = \sum_{k=1}^l a_k/3^k + 0$ or $\sum_{k=1}^l a_k/3^k + 1/3^l$, which would be in the cantor set regularly (i.e., it didn't actually have a 1 in the ternary expansion). If $a_d = 1$ for the smallest $d > l$ making this true, then $\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} a_k/3^k < x < \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} a_k/3^k + 2/3^l$, which would put $x \in \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right)$ for some k, m , a contradiction. It can't be always 2, so it must be 0 somewhere, which would also allow us to put x in the same set as above, so x cannot be in the cantor set.
 - (b) The cantor set C is the intersection of all previous steps, which are all finite unions of closed sets, so are closed themselves. An infinite intersection of closed intervals is closed, so we see that the cantor set C is closed. Since $C \subset [0, 1]$, it is bounded, and by Heine-Borel it is compact. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $x \in C$, and let I be an open interval of length ε . If we let C_n be the cantor set at step n , we see that $x \in C_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since C_n is the union of a large amount of intervals of length 2^{-n} , and since x is in at least one of these, we can choose $n > \log_2(\varepsilon)$ to see that x is in a cantor set of length $< \varepsilon$. Let x_n be the endpoint of this interval, and if x is an endpoint already, choose the other endpoint. Then x_n will be in the infinite intersection as well (only the middle third will be removed, i.e. the endpoints will be left), so we see that $x_n \in C$. Since we can approach x with a sequence of x_n 's that aren't equal to x , x is a limit point of C , so C is perfect (i.e., if $r > 0$, we can find $n > 1/r$, so that $N_r(x) \setminus x \cap C \supset \{x_n\}$, so it is indeed a limit point). Finally, it is totally disconnected because if $x, y \in C$ let $|x - y| = \delta$. After $\log_2(\delta/2)$ steps, each interval will have length $\delta/2$ and since these are farther away than $\delta/2$ from each other, they cannot possibly be in the same closed interval. So the middle third of some closed interval containing them was removed, which means there is an open interval in the complement of C between them.
2. Although boring, an example is the empty set \emptyset . Since given any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and any $r > 0$, $N_r(x) \setminus x \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$, so we see that \emptyset has no limit points. Since it contains all its limit points (vacuously), and as it is clearly bounded (it is contained in $B_1(0)$), \emptyset is compact by Heine-Borel. Its set of limit points is empty, i.e. has cardinality 0, and is clearly countable.

3. (a) First: since $d(x, y) \geq 0$ for any $x, y \in X$, $\inf\{d(x, y) \mid x \in X \wedge y \in Y\} \geq 0$ by definition of the inf. If $d(\{x\}, A) = 0$, we have two cases: $x \in A$, or $x \notin A$. If $x \in A$ then $x \in \bar{A}$, so we are done. In the other case, by the definition of inf there is some $a_n \in A$ so that $0 = d(\{x\}, A) \leq d(x, a_n) < d(\{x\}, A) + 1/n = 1/n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. This says that $d(x, a_n) \rightarrow 0$ i.e. that $a_n \rightarrow x$, and by the lemma that I put at the top of homework 2, since $x \notin A$, we see that x is a limit point of A , which says that $x \in \bar{A}$. Similarly, if $x \in \bar{A}$, $x \in A$ or $x \in A'$. In the first case, since $x \in \{x\}$ and $x \in A$, $d(x, x) = 0$, we see that $\inf\{d(x, y) \mid y \in A\} \leq 0$ i.e. that $d(\{x\}, A) = 0$. By definition of a limit point, given any $r > 0$ we can find a $y \in N_r(x) \setminus x \cap A$, i.e. there is some y with $0 \leq d(x, y) < 0 + r$. Since the inf is unique, we see that $d(\{x\}, A) = 0$, as claimed.
- (b) Since A is compact, it is limit point compact. Let $\delta = \inf\{d(x, a) \mid a \in A\}$. By definition of the inf, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find an a_n so that $d(x, a_n) < 1/n$. If $x \in A$, then we can pick $a = x$ to see that $d(\{x\}, A) = 0 = d(x, x)$, and we are done. If $x \notin A$, then the $\{a_n\}$ would give us an infinite subset of A , and hence has a limit point in A , say a . For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find some $b_k \in N_{1/2k}(a) \setminus a \cap a_n$. There cannot be a lower bound on $d(x, b_k) - \delta$ because we could throw away all b_k 's which correspond to an indice of a_n with index less than m (Note: there must be infinitely many left), which would force the rest of the b_k 's to have distance $< 1/m + \delta$ from x . Therefore, we can find a b_l so that simultaneously $d(x, b_l) < 1/2l$, and at the same time $d(b_l, a) < 1/2l$. We conclude that $\delta \leq d(x, a) \leq d(x, b_l) + d(b_l, a) = \delta + 1/l$, and since this is true for every l , we see that $d(x, a) = \delta$, which completes the proof.
- (c) Let $x \in \bigcup_{x \in A} N_\varepsilon(x)$. Then $x \in N_\varepsilon(a)$ for some $a \in A$. This means that $d(x, a) < \varepsilon$, which means that $d(\{x\}, A) \leq d(x, a) < \varepsilon$ (by definition of the inf), so $x \in U(A, \varepsilon)$. Let $x \in U(A, \varepsilon)$. Then $\inf\{d(x, a) \mid a \in A\} < \varepsilon$, so $\inf\{d(x, a) \mid a \in A\} = \varepsilon - \xi$ for some $\xi > 0$. We can find a $y \in A$ so that $d(x, y) < \inf\{d(x, a) \mid a \in A\} + \xi/2 = \varepsilon - \xi/2 < \varepsilon$ by definition, so clearly $x \in N_\varepsilon(y)$, and since $N_\varepsilon(y) \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} N_\varepsilon(x)$, $x \in \bigcup_{x \in A} N_\varepsilon(x)$ as claimed.
- (d) Let $V_\varepsilon := \{x \in U \mid d(\{x\}, X \setminus U) > \varepsilon\}$. Given any $u \in U$, $d(\{u\}, X \setminus U) > \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$, because if it was less than all positive η , u would be in the closure of $X \setminus U$, but as $X \setminus U$ is closed, it's closure is itself and we see u is simultaneously in U and U^c , a contradiction. This tells us that $\bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} V_\varepsilon$ covers all of U , and in particular, it covers all of A . Since A is compact, this has a finite subcover, and since $V_\varepsilon \subset V_\xi$ for $\varepsilon < \xi$, this finite subcover would just be the set V_β for some β . This tells us that every point in A has distance at least β to the complement of U , and in particular, we see that for any $a \in A$, $N_{\beta/2}(a) \subset U$ (if not, there would be a point distance $\beta/2$ away from A in the complement of U , contradicting our assumption). We therefore see that $U(A, \beta/2) \subset U$, which completes the proof.

A counterexample is $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Since $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is open, given any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we can find an $r_x > 0$ so that $N_{r_x}(x) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Clearly then $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}} N_{r_x}(x)$, and the union of any collection of open sets is open. Next, add a ball of radius $1/n$ at $(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}, 0)$ (this would cover everything because the harmonic series diverges). If $U(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \varepsilon)$ were to be contained in this union, then we could find an $N > 1/\varepsilon$, but this would tell us that $N_\varepsilon(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k}, 0) \subset N_{1/N}(\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{k}, 0)$, which is a contradiction. So

compactness is necessary.

4. Let V be the open set. Clearly, $\mathfrak{G} = \{(q - a, q + b) \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}, a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$ is an open cover of \mathbb{R} . Let $\mathfrak{U} = \{U \in \mathfrak{G} \mid U \subset V\}$. Let $I_x =$ the union of all sets $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ that contain x . I_x is an open interval because it is the union of overlapping open intervals, i.e. if $x \in (a, b)$ and $x \in (c, d)$, then we can just pick $e = \min\{a, c\}$, $f = \max\{b, d\}$ and we see that

$$(a, b) \cup (c, d) = (e, f)$$

which is just another segment. By induction any finite union of overlapping segments is another segment. Also, suppose there were $y, z \in V$ so that (WLOG) $I_y \not\subset I_z$, and that $I_y \cap I_z \neq \emptyset$. But then $I_y \cup I_z$ would be a subset of V containing z , and so $I_y \subset I_z$, but that's a contradiction. So either $I_y = I_z$ or $I_y \cap I_z = \emptyset$. It is now clear that

$$\bigcup_{x \in V} I_x$$

is an open cover of V . Since there were only countably many things in \mathfrak{G} to start with, this union has at most countably many distinct intervals, which shows that every open set is the union of at most countably many distinct intervals.

5. A good example is $\overline{B}((0, 0), 1) \cup [1, 2] \times \{0\} \cup \overline{B}((3, 0), 1)$. The interior of this set is just $B((0, 0), 1) \cup B((3, 0), 1)$ (i.e., the open balls with the line removed), since anything in the interior of the two side balls is in the interior of this set, and given any $(x, 0) \in [1, 2] \times \{0\}$, and any $r > 0$ $N((x, 0), r)$ contains things not in the set, say, for example, $(x, r/2)$, so $(x, 0)$ cannot possibly be an interior point. Therefore, the interior of our original set is just $B((0, 0), 1) \cup B((3, 0), 1)$ (these are obviously disconnected as both don't intersect the closure of the other). We proved last time that the union of two disjoint open sets are separated, so this interior is not connected. Also, given two connected sets that aren't disjoint, their union is connected. Let A, B be the connected sets in question, with $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. If $A \cup B$ were disconnected, it could be written as $C \cup D$ for some C, D separated. Let $x \in A \cap B$, and WLOG suppose $x \in C$. Now choose any $y \in D$. Then WLOG $y \in A$. We see that $x \in C \cap A$, $y \in D \cap A$. However, $(A \cap C) \cup (A \cap D) = A \cap (C \cup D) = A \cap (A \cup B) = A$, while at the same time, $A \cap C$ and $A \cap D$ are still separated (since the closures of $A \cap C$, $A \cap D$ are contained in the closures of C, D , which are already disjoint). This means that A is not connected, a contradiction. Since all sets in question are closed, and connected (lines and balls are clearly connected), and all overlapping (at either $(0, 1)$ or $(2, 0)$), we conclude that the set I gave is connected too with disconnected interior.
6. Suppose that X is disconnected, then $X = A \cup B$ where $A, B \neq \emptyset$, and $A \cap \overline{B} = \overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$. Importantly, $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Since X is the entire universe, and since $A \cap B = \emptyset$, we see that $A^c = X \setminus A = B$. Also, given any $a \in A'$, we know that $a \in A \cup B$ since $a \in X$. If $a \in B$, then B contains a limit point of A , so $\overline{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. So $a \in A$, and A is closed. The exact same reasoning in the other direction shows B is closed. But $B = A^c$, so A is open, and since $A, B \neq \emptyset$, A is a proper nontrivial subset of X that is both open and closed.