

# MATH 3190 Homework 6

Focus: Notes 8

Due March 30, 2024

Your homework should be completed in R Markdown or Quarto and Knitted to an html or pdf document. You will “turn in” this homework by uploading to your GitHub Math\_3190\_Assignment repository in the Homework directory.

Some of the parts in problems 1 and 2 require writing down some math-heavy expressions. You may either type it up using LaTeX style formatting in R Markdown, or you can write it by hand (neatly) and include pictures or scans of your work in your R Markdown document.

## Problem 1 (10 points)

Three airlines serve a small town in Ohio. Airline A has 52% of all scheduled flights, airline B has 35% and airline C has the remaining 13%. Their on-time rates are 85%, 67%, and 41%, respectively. A flight just left on-time. What is the probability that it was a flight of airline A?

## Problem 2 (13 points)

Suppose we have a data set with each observation  $x_i$  independent and identically exponentially distributed for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . That is,  $x_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$  where  $\lambda$  is the rate parameter. We would like to find a posterior (or at least a function proportional to it) for  $\lambda$ .

### Part a (5 points)

Write down the likelihood function (or a function proportional to it) in this situation. We would call this  $p(x|\lambda)$ .

### Part b (5 points)

Now let  $\lambda$  have a normal prior with mean 0.1 and variance 1:  $\lambda \sim N(1/10, 1)$ . Use this and the likelihood from part a to write down a function that is proportional to the posterior of  $\lambda$  given  $\mathbf{x}$ . We call this  $p(\lambda|\mathbf{x})$ .

### Part c (3 points)

Which would be more appropriate here to obtain samples of  $\lambda$ , the Gibbs or Metropolis algorithm? Explain why. You may want to look on page 8 of Notes 8 in the conjugate prior table.

## Problem 3 (26 points)

Suppose we have the vector  $\mathbf{x} = c(1.83, 1.72, 2.13, 2.49, 0.90, 2.01, 1.51, 3.12, 1.29, 1.54, 2.94, 3.02, 0.93, 2.78)$  that we believe comes from a gamma distribution with shape of 10 and some rate  $\beta$ :  $x_i \sim \text{Gam}(10, \beta)$ . We will use sampling to obtain some information about  $\beta$ . Let's put a gamma prior on  $\beta$  with a shape of  $\alpha_0$  and a rate of 1:  $\beta \sim \text{Gam}(\alpha_0, 1)$ .

### Part a (5 points)

Use the fact that this is a conjugate prior to write down what kind of distribution the posterior of  $\beta$ , which is  $p(\beta|\mathbf{x})$ , is.

### Part b (5 points)

Let  $\alpha_0 = 1$ . In an **R** code chunk, sample 10,000  $\beta$  values from the distribution you wrote down in part a using the `rgamma()` function and report the 95% credible interval for  $\beta$  using the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles.

### Part c (3 points)

Repeat part b with  $\alpha_0 = 10$ .

### Part d (3 points)

Repeat part b with  $\alpha_0 = 100$ .

### Part e (7 points)

Now suppose we have twice as much data (given in the **R** code chunk below). Repeat parts b, c, and d using this `x` vector instead and report the three 95% credible intervals. Note, this new vector `x` will change the shape and rate parameters used in the `rgamma()` functions.

```
x <- c(1.83, 1.72, 2.13, 2.49, 0.90, 2.01, 1.51, 3.12, 1.29, 1.54,  
       2.94, 3.02, 0.93, 2.78, 2.76, 1.70, 1.42, 2.16, 1.07, 2.21,  
       2.38, 2.27, 1.72, 1.44, 1.54, 1.72, 1.87, 1.39)
```

### Part f (3 points)

In this problem, the true  $\beta$  value is 5. Write a sentence or two about the effect adding more data has to these credible intervals by comparing the intervals from parts b-d to the intervals from part e.

## Problem 4 (51 points)

Let's apply the Bayesian framework to a regression problem. In the GitHub data folder, there is a file called `treeseeds.txt` that contains information about species of tree, the count of seeds it produces, and the average weight of those seeds in mg.

### Part a (3 points)

Read in the `treeseeds.txt` file and take the log of the counts and weights. Fit an OLS regression model using `log(weight)` to predict `log(count)`.

### Part b (15 points)

We will walk through the mathematics of obtaining the posterior together here since this problem will focus on coding the Metropolis algorithm. Assuming the true errors are normal with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , it can be shown that each  $y_i$  has the distribution

$$p(y_i|x_i, \beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2\right)$$

So, we can write the likelihood is

$$p(y_i|x_i, \beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2\right)$$

where  $y_i$  is the log(count) for observation  $i$  and  $x_i$  is the log(weight) for observation  $i$ . Note that here we think of  $\mathbf{y}$  as being random and  $\mathbf{x}$  as being fixed. We could, in theory, think of the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  as also being random and put a prior on it. But we won't do that here.

Now, let's just put uniform priors on  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  so the priors are proportional to 1. Also, let's assume  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . This seems reasonable since  $s_e^2$ , the MSE, is 0.877. Of course, we could put a prior on  $\sigma^2$  as well and sample it too, but we will focus on only sampling  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .

Now, with those uniform priors, and plugging in 1 for  $\sigma^2$ , we have that the joint posterior of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  is:

$$p(\beta_0, \beta_1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \propto \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 \right) = f(\beta_0, \beta_1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

Then, we can take the log to get

$$\ln(f(\beta_0, \beta_1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2.$$

Our goal now is to obtain samples of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ . Let's use the Metropolis algorithm to do this. Using the log of the function proportional to the joint posterior of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ ,  $\ln(f(\beta_0, \beta_1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$ , write a Metropolis algorithm in **R**. For  $\beta_0$ , you can use a normal proposal distribution centered at the previous value,  $\beta_0^{(i)}$ , with a standard deviation of 0.8 and for  $\beta_1$ , you can use a normal proposal distribution centered at the previous value,  $\beta_1^{(i)}$ , with a standard deviation of 0.1. The starting values don't matter too much, but we can use  $\beta_0^{(0)} = 10$  and  $\beta_1^{(0)} = -0.5$ . It may be useful to look at the **Notes 8 Script.R** file that is on GitHub in the Notes 8 folder and is on Canvas.

Obtain at least 10,000 samples (set a seed, please) and plot the chains for  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ . For this problem, include:

1. The plot for the  $\beta_0$  chain.
2. The plot for the  $\beta_1$  chain.
3. The 95% credible interval for  $\beta_0$  based on the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles.
4. The 95% credible interval for  $\beta_1$  based on the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles.

### Part c (3 points)

Based on the plots of the chains from part b, does it look like the Metropolis sampling worked fairly well?

### Part d (4 points)

Interpret both of the credible intervals from part b.

### Part e (5 points)

Find and report the integrated autocorrelation time for the  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  chains. Each chain will have their own  $\hat{\tau}_{int}$  value, so you should report two (although they will be similar).

### Part f (3 points)

Based on the integrated autocorrelation time for the  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  chains, how many MCMC samples would you need to generate to get the equivalent of 10,000 independent samples?

### Part g (3 points)

Let's compare these credible intervals to some other intervals. First, obtain the 95%  $t$  confidence intervals for  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  just using the `confint()` function and report them here.

**Part h (10 points)**

Now let's obtain confidence intervals using bootstrapping in a similar way we did with regularization in Notes 7 and HW 4 (this is known as bootstrapping the cases). Set a seed and then using at least 10,000 bootstrap samples, report the 95% percentile confidence intervals for  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  using the `quantile()` function on the values of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  that you obtained in the bootstrap.

**Part i (5 points)**

Write a couple sentences comparing all of the intervals in parts b, g, and h.