

A decorative graphic on the left side of the slide consisting of two overlapping parallelograms. The front one is blue and the back one is a light green. They are positioned diagonally, with the blue one partially covering the green one.

Predicting Code Behavior

Remy Bubulka
Coleman Gibson
Kieran Groble



Humans write imperfect software

“... the national cost estimate of an inadequate infrastructure for software testing is \$59.5 billion. The potential cost reduction from feasible infrastructure improvements is \$22.2 billion.” [1]



How to improve this?

- Static Analysis - [2] [3]
- Dynamic Analysis - Valgrind, JUnit
- Move less fast and break fewer things



Issues

- Determining code behavior is an undecidable problem
- Sound static analyses can give a large number of false positives, increasing noise for the developer
- Analysis can be time consuming, taking a day or more for some code bases [4]
- Doesn't have a cool enough name
- Time is money and companies don't like to wait for things



Potential Solution

- To reduce false positives and potentially cut down on running times, move to unsound analyses
- Allow a neural network to examine expressions within a program



Our Sandbox Language

$\langle \text{exp} \rangle ::= \langle \text{lambda-exp} \rangle \mid \langle \text{app-exp} \rangle \mid \langle \text{var-expr} \rangle \mid \langle \text{lit-exp} \rangle$

$\langle \text{lambda-exp} \rangle ::= (\lambda (\langle \text{formal} \rangle) \langle \text{exp} \rangle)$

$\langle \text{formal} \rangle ::= x \mid y$

$\langle \text{app-exp} \rangle ::= (\langle \text{lambda-exp} \rangle \langle \text{exp} \rangle)$

$\mid (\langle \text{prim-proc} \rangle \langle \text{exp} \rangle \langle \text{exp} \rangle)$

$\langle \text{prim-proc} \rangle ::= + \mid - \mid * \mid /$

$\langle \text{var-expr} \rangle ::= x \mid y$

$\langle \text{lit-exp} \rangle ::= -2 \mid -1 \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 2$



Our Data

- Two methods of generation
 - Generate all possible programs up to a certain depth
 - Generate a set of random programs up to a certain depth
- Classify programs by evaluating each line to capture the true behavior
- Gives us a near infinite stream of possible programs

Our Data

```
[03:45:47] [~/Documents/ma-490/project/code-generation/data]
→ wc -l output.txt
483522241 output.txt
[03:50:49] [~/Documents/ma-490/project/code-generation/data]
→ ls
total 28G
drwxr-xr-x 2 kieran users 4.0K Oct 19 21:13 .
drwxr-xr-x 4 kieran users 4.0K Oct 19 21:13 ..
-rw-r--r-- 1 kieran users 0 Oct 19 21:12 .gitkeep
-rw-r--r-- 1 kieran users 28G Oct 20 03:45 output.txt
```


Our Data

```
1 code,output,error
2 -2,-2,0
3 -1,-1,0
4 0,0,0
5 1,1,0
6 2,2,0
7 ((λ (x) x) (* (- 2 (+ -1 1)) (* 1 ((λ (x) x) -2)))),-4,0
8 (+ (* -2 (* (* 1 2) (+ -1 1))) ((λ (y) (+ (* -2 -1) 0))) (+ ((λ (y) y) 2) ((λ (x) 2) -1))))),2,0
9 ((λ (y) (- (* (+ 0 -2) -1) ((λ (x) ((λ (x) 1) 0)) (- 0 -1)))) ((λ (y) ((λ (y) (- -1 -1)) ((λ (y) y) 1))) ((λ (y) ((λ (y) y) -2)) (/ -2 2))))),1,0
10 ((λ (y) ((λ (y) (- ((λ (y) 2) -2) (/ 2 -1))) ((λ (y) (+ -2 1)) ((λ (y) y) 0)))) ((λ (x) ((λ (y) ((λ (x) x) -2)) ((λ (x) x) 1))) ((λ (y) y) (/ -2 0))))),0,1
11 ((λ (y) (- (/ ((λ (y) 0) 1) ((λ (x) -1) 0)) (- ((λ (y) 2) -1) 0))) (/ 1 1)),-2,0
12 (+ 1 1),2,0
13 (- 2 (- (- ((λ (x) -1) -2) 1) ((λ (x) ((λ (y) -1) -2)) (- 2 2))))),3,0
14 (/ (+ 1 (* 0 -1)) (+ -1 (/ 0 (/ -2 0))))),0,1
15 ((λ (y) ((λ (y) y) -1)) (+ (+ (* -1 0) ((λ (x) x) 1)) (* (- -1 2) -2))))),-1,0
16 ((λ (x) (/ 0 (* (/ 0 0) (+ -1 0)))) (+ (+ ((λ (y) y) 0) (+ -2 -2)) ((λ (x) ((λ (y) 1) 0)) ((λ (x) 2) -2))))),0,1
17 (* ((λ (y) (- (- 2 -2) (+ 2 -2))) -1) (+ 2 ((λ (y) 0) (* 0 -1))))),8,0
18 (- (- ((λ (y) (+ -2 (/ 1 2))) (+ (- 1 1) 0)) ((λ (x) (/ (- -1 1) (* 1 -2))) ((λ (y) y) ((λ (x) -2) -2))))),-2.5,0
19 (- 0 (- (* ((λ (y) 1) -1) ((λ (x) x) 2)) (- (/ -1 -2) -1))))),-0.5,0
20 ((λ (x) -1) ((λ (x) (/ ((λ (x) x) 0) ((λ (y) y) 0))) -1)),0,1
21 ((λ (y) ((λ (x) (* 2 ((λ (x) 1) 0))) -1)) ((λ (y) ((λ (y) y) ((λ (y) 2) -1))) ((λ (y) ((λ (x) -2) 2) (+ 0 2))))),2,0
22 (- -2 1),-3,0
23 ((λ (y) 2) -1),2,0
24 (+ (- (- ((λ (y) y) -2) ((λ (y) y) 2)) ((λ (y) y) ((λ (x) x) 0))) ((λ (y) ((λ (x) (+ 1 2)) 1) 2))),-1,0
25 ((λ (x) ((λ (x) 1) (/ ((λ (x) x) -2) ((λ (x) x) -2)))) ((λ (x) 0) (- -1 (/ 0 2))))),1,0
26 ((λ (y) (+ 1 -1)) ((λ (x) x) ((λ (x) x) 2))),0,0
27 (* ((λ (x) 2) (+ ((λ (y) y) 1) ((λ (x) x) -1))) ((λ (y) ((λ (y) y) (/ -2 0))) ((λ (y) (+ -1 0)) (* 2 0))))),0,1
28 (- 2 0),2,0
29 (- (* (+ -2 (- 1 -2)) (/ (- -1 -2) 2)) (* ((λ (x) ((λ (y) -2) 0)) ((λ (y) y) 2)) ((λ (y) ((λ (y) y) 0)) ((λ (x) x) 2))))),0.5,0
30 ((λ (y) ((λ (x) ((λ (y) -2) (/ -2 -2))) (- 2 0))) (/ (* (* -2 0) ((λ (y) y) 0)) (/ ((λ (x) x) -2) -1))))),-2,0
31 ((λ (y) 2) 1),2,0
32 (/ ((λ (x) x) ((λ (x) (* 0 -2)) (* -2 1))) (/ -1 ((λ (y) (* 2 -2)) ((λ (x) -2) -2))))),0.0,0
33 (* ((λ (y) y) ((λ (y) 0) ((λ (x) 2) 1))) (* -2 2)),-4,0
34 ((λ (y) (/ ((λ (y) ((λ (x) -2) -1)) (* 2 -2)) (/ ((λ (y) y) -1) ((λ (y) y) -2)))) (/ (- (* -2 1) ((λ (x) -2) 0)) -2)),-4.0,0
35 ((λ (y) ((λ (x) (/ (+ -1 2) ((λ (x) 1) -1))) ((λ (x) x) 1))) (+ -1 (* -2 ((λ (y) 2) 1))))),1,0
36 ((λ (y) 0) -2),0,0
37 (* (+ ((λ (y) 1) ((λ (y) y) -1)) (/ -2 ((λ (y) 0) -1))) -2),0,1
38 (* ((λ (y) 0) 2) (/ (- (* 1 -1) ((λ (x) x) -1)) ((λ (y) y) 0))),0,1
39 ((λ (y) y) 0),0,0
40 ((λ (y) y) (- -2 ((λ (y) y) 0))),-2,0
41 ((λ (x) ((λ (y) y) 1)) ((λ (y) 2) ((λ (x) ((λ (y) 0) 2)) (+ 0 0))))),1,0
42 (- -2 (/ ((λ (x) ((λ (x) -1) -2)) ((λ (x) x) 2)) (* (/ -2 1) -1))),-1.5,0
43 (- (- -1 ((λ (x) ((λ (x) 1) 1) 2)) (- ((λ (y) y) 1) ((λ (x) ((λ (x) x) 2)) (+ -2 2))))),-1,0
44 (- (+ ((λ (x) ((λ (x) -1) 0)) ((λ (x) 1) 0)) (/ ((λ (x) x) 1) (* 2 -1))) ((λ (y) ((λ (y) (/ 1 1)) (/ 2 0))) (/ (/ -1 -2) (+ 2 -2))))),0,1
45 (* 0 (- (* (+ 0 -2) (* -1 -2)) ((λ (x) x) ((λ (x) -1) 1))))),0,0
46 ((λ (y) (- (- 0 (/ 2 0)) ((λ (y) y) ((λ (y) y) 0)))) ((λ (y) y) ((λ (x) x) (/ -2 1))))),0,1
47 ((λ (x) (/ 1 ((λ (y) y) -1))) ((λ (x) ((λ (y) ((λ (x) x) -2)) ((λ (x) x) -2))) (+ ((λ (x) x) 1) -2))),-1,0
48 ((λ (x) (- 2 (* ((λ (x) x) 2) (* -1 0))) 0),2,0
49 (/ ((λ (x) ((λ (y) x) (* -2 2))) ((λ (y) 2) 1)) 0),0,1
50 (* ((λ (x) (- 1 1)) ((λ (x) -1) -1)) (+ ((λ (x) (+ 2 1)) ((λ (x) x) 2) 2))),0,0
51 (+ 2 1),3,0
52 (- ((λ (y) (+ (/ 2 -1) ((λ (y) y) 1))) 2) (+ ((λ (x) x) ((λ (y) y) 0)) (* 2 ((λ (x) x) -2))))),3,0
53 (+ ((λ (y) 1) ((λ (y) 1) (* 2 1))) (* (- (- 1 -1) ((λ (x) x) 0)) ((λ (y) y) (* -2 1))))),-3,0
54 (/ ((λ (y) y) (+ 0 ((λ (y) y) 1))) ((λ (y) -2) ((λ (y) ((λ (y) -2) 1) -1))))),-0.5,0
55 (+ -1 (+ 0 1)),0,0
```



Data Preprocessing

- Removed non-unique programs
- Balanced the data to not have a large bias towards working programs
- Tokenized each symbol (plus a “nothing” token)
- Program encoded as an $m \times n$ matrix
 - m : length of the longest program
 - n : number of tokens



Analyses

- Classification
 - Error or no error
- Regression
 - Predict raw output



LSTM - Long Short Term Memory

- An LSTM remembers a value for either long or short time periods
- A simplified recurrent neural network
- Doesn't modify the value as it feeds it back to itself
- Considers the outputs of remembered values when evaluating new ones



Architecture & Results: Error Prediction

- 256 node LSTM layer
- 20% dropout layer
- 2 node output layer
- Softmax activation, accuracy metric
- Trained on random programs up to depth 3
- 99.19% accuracy on the test set

Results - Error Prediction

Code	Confidence of Error	Should Error
(/ 1 1)	0.0001%	No
(/ 2 0)	99.99%	Yes
(/ 0 1)	0.0001%	No
(/ 1 (- 1 1))	34.47%	Yes
(+ 1 0)	0.000099%	No
(/ 1 ((λ (x) 1) 0))	0.0001%	No
(/ 1 ((λ (x) 0) 1))	98.82%	Yes
(+ 0 (* 0 (/ 0 1)))	0.0001%	No
((λ (x) (/ (- 0 0) (- 0 1))) 0)	83.34%	No



Architecture & Results: Output Prediction

- 256 node LSTM layer
- 20% dropout layer
- 1 node output layer
- MSE, MAE metrics
- Trained on programs up to depth 2
- .0096 MSE, .027 MAE

Results - Output Prediction (Good Inputs)

Code	Predicted Output	Actual Output
(+ 2 1)	3.02568173	3
(+ 1 2)	3.05547428	3
((λ) (x) (+ x 1)) 1)	1.39392674	2
(/ 1 2)	0.44873938	0.5
(* 2 (* 2 2))	9.61662197	8
(* 2 2)	4.25841475	4
(- 1 2)	-0.90237552	-1
(* 1 (+ 1 1))	1.83598292	2
'(* 2 (+ 1 1))1	3.6835146	4
1	0.72898144	1
2	-0.44422317	2



Results - Output Prediction (Bad Inputs)

Code	Predicted Output
$(2 + 1)$	2.87946272
$2 + 1$	2.93325639
$1 + 2$	2.00386
$(2 * (1 + 1))$	2.85971975
$(+ \times y)$	-0.4209832
\times	-0.88294739
y	-0.89534634



Citations

- [1] - The Economic Impacts of Inadequate Infrastructure for Software Testing
- [2] - EXE: Automatically Generating Inputs of Death
- [3] - Test Input Generation with Java Pathfinder
- [4] - A Few Billion Lines of Code Later: Using Static Analysis to Find Bugs in the Real World