

Practice Problems Set 8

Fall 25

1. First-Order Logic

Consider a neighborhood with different types of houses and residents. Each resident can own zero or more houses. A set of properties are represented as the following predicates and relationships:

- $\text{isResident}(x)$: Person x lives in the neighborhood.
- $\text{isHouse}(y)$: House y is located in the neighborhood.
- $\text{owns}(x, y)$: Person x owns house y .

Express the following statements in first-order logic using the defined predicates:

- (a) John is a resident.

Solution:

$\text{isResident}(\text{John})$

- (b) Tom owns a house but is not a resident.

Solution:

$(\exists y : \text{isHouse}(y) \wedge \text{owns}(\text{Tom}, y)) \wedge \neg \text{isResident}(\text{Tom})$

- (c) Mary owns two houses.

Solution:

$\exists y_1, y_2 : (\text{isHouse}(y_1) \wedge \text{isHouse}(y_2) \wedge y_1 \neq y_2 \wedge \text{owns}(\text{Mary}, y_1) \wedge \text{owns}(\text{Mary}, y_2))$

- (d) Every resident owns at least one house.

Solution:

$\forall x : (\text{isResident}(x) \rightarrow \exists y : (\text{isHouse}(y) \wedge \text{owns}(x, y)))$

- (e) No two residents own the same house.

Solution:

$\neg \exists x_1, x_2, y : (\text{isResident}(x_1) \wedge \text{isResident}(x_2) \wedge x_1 \neq x_2 \wedge \text{isHouse}(y) \wedge \text{owns}(x_1, y) \wedge \text{owns}(x_2, y))$

2. 3-COLOR Problem

A graph is *3-colorable* if its vertices can be colored with three colors (say Red, Blue, Green) such that no two adjacent vertices have the same color. The 3-COLOR problem tests if a finite undirected graph is 3-colorable. Show that 3-COLOR \in **NP** using Fagin's Theorem.

Solution:

By Fagin's Theorem, it suffices to write a \exists SO sentence to describe the 3-colorability of undirected graphs. Let E be the binary predicate indicating edges of the graph, then the sentence can be written as:

$$\exists B \exists G \exists R : \left(\forall x : (B(x) \vee G(x) \vee R(x)) \wedge \right. \\ \left. \forall x \forall y : \left(E(x, y) \rightarrow \left((\neg B(x) \vee \neg B(y)) \wedge (\neg G(x) \vee \neg G(y)) \wedge (\neg R(x) \vee \neg R(y)) \right) \right) \right)$$

The formula is actually a \exists MFO formula because the second-order variables B, G, R are all existentially quantified.