

- checkpoint\_schedules: schedules for incremental
- <sup>2</sup> checkpointing of adjoint simulations
- Daiane I. Dolci 1, James R. Maddison<sup>2</sup>, David A. Ham 1, Guillaume
- <sup>4</sup> Pallez <sup>10</sup> <sup>3</sup>, and Julien Herrmann<sup>4</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> 1 Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London, SW72AZ, UK. 2 School of
- 6 Mathematics and Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, EH9 3FD 3
- Inria, University of Rennes, France. 4 CNRS, IRIT, Université de Toulouse.

DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

#### Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🖸
- Archive 🗗

- @matt-graham
- @KYANJO

**Submitted:** 28 September 2023 **Published:** unpublished

### License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a <sup>21</sup> Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

# Summary

checkpointing\_schedules provides schedules for step based incremental checkpointing of the adjoints to computer models. The schedules contain instructions indicating the sequence of forward and adjoint steps to be executed, and the data storage and retrieval to be performed. These instructions are independent of the model implementation, which enables the model authors to switch between checkpointing algorithms without recoding. Conversely, checkpointing\_schedules provides developers of checkpointing algorithms a direct mechanism to convey their work to model authors. checkpointing\_schedules has been integrated into tlm\_adjoint (James R. Maddison et al., 2019), a Python library designed for the automated derivation of higher-order tangent-linear and adjoint models and work is ongoing to integrate it with pyadjoint (Mitusch et al., 2019). This package can be incorporated into other gradient solvers based on adjoint methods, regardless of the specific approach taken to generate the adjoint model.

The use of adjoint calculations to compute the gradient of a quantity of interest resulting from the solution of a system of partial differential equations (PDEs) is widespread and well-established. The resulting gradient may be employed for many purposes, include topology optimisation (Papadopoulos et al., 2021), inverse problems (Plessix, 2006), flow control (Jansen, 2011).

Solving the adjoint to a non-linear time-dependent PDE requires the forward PDE to be solved first. The adjoint PDE is then solved in a reverse time order, but depends on the forward state. Storing the entire forward state in preparation for the adjoint calculation has a memory footprint linear in the number of time steps. For sufficiently large problems this will exhaust the memory of any computer system.

In contrast, checkpointing approaches store only the state required to restart the forward calculation from a limited set of steps. As the adjoint calculation progresses, the forward computation is progressively rerun from the latest available stored state up to the current adjoint step. This enables less forward state to be stored, at the expense of a higher computational cost as forward steps are run more than once. (Griewank & Walther, 2000) proposed a checkpointing algorithm which is optimal under certain assumptions, including that the number of steps is known in advance, and that all the storage has equal access cost. Subsequent authors have produced checkpointing algorithms that relax these requirements in various ways, such as by accounting for different types of storage (e.g. RAM and disk) or by not requiring the number of steps to be known in advance, for example (Aupy et al., 2016; Aupy & Herrmann, 2017; Herrmann, 2020; James R. Maddison, 2023; Schanen et al., 2016; Stumm & Walther, 2009; Zhang & Constantinescu, 2023).



### Statement of need

- This situation is typical across computational mathematics: there exists a diversity of algorithms
- whose applicability and optimality depends on the nature and parameters of the problem to be
- 46 solved. From the perspective of users who wish to construct adjoint solvers this creates the
- 47 need to swap out different checkpointing algorithms in response to changes in the equations,
- discretisations, and computer systems with which they work. Those users will often lack the
- expertise or the time to continually reimplement additional algorithms in their framework.
- 50 Further, such reimplementation work is wasteful and error-prone.
- 51 checkpointing\_schedules provides a number of checkpointing algorithms accessible through a
- common interface and and these are interchangeable without recoding. This can be used in
- conjunction with an adjoint framework such as tlm\_adjoint or pyadjoint and a compatible PDE
- framework, such as Firedrake (Ham et al., 2023) or FEniCS (Alnaes et al., 2015) to enable
- users to create adjoint solvers for their choice of PDE, numerical methods, and checkpointing
- algorithm all without recoding the underlying algorithms.
- 57 Some of the algorithms supported by checkpointing\_schedules have been implemented many
- $_{58}$  times, while for others, such as H-Revolve the only previously published implementation was a
- simple proof of concept in the original paper (Herrmann, 2020). The checkpoint schedule API
- provided by checkpoint\_schedules further provides a toolkit for the implementation of further
- 61 checkpoint schedules, thereby providing a direct route from algorithm developers to users.

## 50 Software description

- 63 Currently, checkpoint\_schedules is able to generate schedules for the following checkpointing
- schemes: Revolve (Stumm & Walther, 2009); disk-revolve (Aupy et al., 2016); periodic-disk
- revolve (Aupy & Herrmann, 2017); two-level (Pringle et al., 2016); H-Revolve (Herrmann,
- 66 2020); and mixed storage checkpointing (James R. Maddison, 2023). It also contains trivial
- 67 schedules which store the entire forward state. This enables users to support adjoint calculations
- with or without checkpointing via a single code path.
- <sup>69</sup> The complete documentation for checkpoint\_schedules is available on the Firedrake project
- 70 website.

## 71 Acknowledgments

- 72 This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council
- $_{73}$  [EP/W029731/1 and EP/W026066/1]. J. R. M. was supported by the Natural Environment
- Research Council [NE/T001607/1]. G. P. was supported in part by the French National
- Research Agency (ANR) in the frame of DASH (ANR-17-CE25- 0004).

## References

- Alnaes, M. S., Blechta, J., Hake, J., Johansson, A., Kehlet, B., Logg, A., Richardson, C., Ring, J., Rognes, M. E., & Wells, G. N. (2015). The FEniCS project version 1.5. Archive of Numerical Software, 3. https://doi.org/10.11588/ans.2015.100.20553
- Aupy, G., & Herrmann, J. (2017). Periodicity in optimal hierarchical checkpointing schemes for adjoint computations. *Optimization Methods and Software*, 32(3), 594–624. https://doi.org/10.1080/10556788.2016.1230612
- Aupy, G., Herrmann, J., Hovland, P., & Robert, Y. (2016). Optimal multistage algorithm for adjoint computation. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, *38*(3), C232–C255. https://doi.org/10.1145/347837.347846



- Griewank, A., & Walther, A. (2000). Revolve: An implementation of checkpointing for
   the reverse or adjoint mode of computational differentiation. ACM Transactions on
   Mathematical Software (TOMS), 26(1), 19–45. https://doi.org/10.1145/347837.347846
- Ham, D. A., Kelly, P. H. J., Mitchell, L., Cotter, C. J., Kirby, R. C., Sagiyama, K., Bouziani,
   N., Vorderwuelbecke, S., Gregory, T. J., Betteridge, J., Shapero, D. R., Nixon-Hill, R.
   W., Ward, C. J., Farrell, P. E., Brubeck, P. D., Marsden, I., Gibson, T. H., Homolya,
   M., Sun, T., ... Markall, G. R. (2023). Firedrake user manual (First edition). Imperial
   College London; University of Oxford; Baylor University; University of Washington. https://doi.org/10.25561/104839
- Herrmann, J. (2020). H-revolve: A framework for adjoint computation on synchronous
   hierarchical platforms. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS), 46(2), 1–25.
   https://doi.org/10.1145/3378672
- Jansen, J. D. (2011). Adjoint-based optimization of multi-phase flow through porous media a review. Computers & Fluids, 46(1), 40-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2010. 09.039
- Maddison, James R. (2023). On the implementation of checkpointing with high-level algorithmic differentiation. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2305.09568*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.09568
- Maddison, James R., Goldberg, D. N., & Goddard, B. D. (2019). Automated calculation of higher order partial differential equation constrained derivative information. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 41(5), C417–C445. https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1209465
- Mitusch, S. K., Funke, S. W., & Dokken, J. S. (2019). Dolfin-adjoint 2018.1: Automated adjoints for FEniCS and firedrake. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(38), 1292. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01292
- Papadopoulos, I. P., Farrell, P. E., & Surowiec, T. M. (2021). Computing multiple solutions of topology optimization problems. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 43(3), A1555–A1582. https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1326209
- Plessix, R.-E. (2006). A review of the adjoint-state method for computing the gradient of a functional with geophysical applications. *Geophys. J. Int*, 167, 495–503. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.02978.x
- Pringle, G., Jones, D. C., Goswami, S., Narayanan, S. H. K., & Goldberg, D. (2016). Providing the ARCHER community with adjoint modelling tools for high-performance oceanographic and cryospheric computation.
- Schanen, M., Marin, O., Zhang, H., & Anitescu, M. (2016). Asynchronous two-level check-pointing scheme for large-scale adjoints in the spectral-element solver Nek5000. *Procedia Computer Science*, 80, 1147–1158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2016.05.444
- Stumm, P., & Walther, A. (2009). Multistage approaches for optimal offline checkpointing.

  SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 31(3), 1946–1967. https://doi.org/10.1137/080718036
- Zhang, H., & Constantinescu, E. M. (2023). Optimal checkpointing for adjoint multistage time-stepping schemes. *Journal of Computational Science*, 66, 101913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2022.101913