




1 goFlux: A user-friendly way to calculate GHG fluxes 2 yourself, regardless of user experience

3 Karelle Rheault ^{1*}, Jesper Riis Christiansen ^{1*}, and Klaus Steenberg
4 Larsen ^{1*}

5 ¹ Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, 1958
6 Frederiksberg C, Denmark * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: 

Submitted: 15 December 2023

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright
and release the work under a
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

7 Summary

8 This new R package goFlux has been developed for calculating greenhouse gas (GHG) flux
9 estimates from static chamber measurements. Compared to previous software developed for
10 the same purpose, the goFlux package is not limited to the linear regression approach (LM),
11 but also estimates GHG fluxes from a non-linear regression approach, the Hutchinson and
12 Mosier model (HM). An automatic selection procedure has been implemented in the package
13 to help users select the best flux estimate (LM or HM) based on objective criteria. In addition,
14 this package can be used to import raw data directly downloaded from a vast selection of
15 instruments (LI-COR, LGR, GAIA2TECH, Gasmeter, Picarro and PP-Systems).

16 The package is divided into five steps: 1. import raw data into R; 2. identify measurements; 3.
17 calculate GHG flux estimates (LM and HM); 4. automatically select the best flux estimate
18 (LM or HM) based on our default choices of objective criteria; 5. and visually inspect the
19 results on plots that can be saved as pdf. For a detailed protocol on how to use this package,
20 visit the webpage <https://qepanna.quarto.pub/goflux/>.

21 Statement of need

22 Non-steady state chambers are widely used for measuring soil greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes,
23 such as CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, NH₃, CO, and water vapor (H₂O). While linear regression (LM) is
24 commonly used to estimate GHG fluxes, this method tends to underestimate the pre-deployment
25 flux (f_0). Indeed, non-linearity is expected when the gas concentration increases or decreases
26 inside a closed chamber, due to changes in gas gradients between the soil and the air inside the
27 chamber. In addition, lateral gas flow and leakage contribute to non-linearity. Many alternative
28 to LM have been developed to try and provide a more accurate estimation of f_0 , for instance
29 the method of Hutchinson & Mosier (1981) (HM), which was implemented in the [HMR](#) package
30 by Pedersen et al. (2010). However, non-linear models have a tendency to largely overestimate
31 some flux measurements, due to an exaggerated curvature. Therefore, the user is expected to
32 decide which method is more appropriate for each flux estimate. With the advent of portable
33 greenhouse gas analyzers (e.g. [Los Gatos Research \(ABB\) laser gas analyzers](#)), soil GHG fluxes
34 have become much easier to measure, and more efficient ways to calculate these flux estimates
35 are needed in order to process large amounts of data. A recent approach was developed by
36 Hüppi et al. (2018) to restrict the curvature in the HM model for a more reliable flux estimate.
37 In the HM model, the curvature is controlled by the kappa parameter. Hüppi et al. (2018)
38 suggest the use of the kappa.max to limit the maximal curvature allowed in the model (see
39 their R package [gasfluxes](#), available on the CRAN). This procedure introduces less arbitrary
40 decisions in the flux estimation process.

41 Previous software developed to calculate GHG fluxes are limited in many aspects that the
42 goFlux package is meant to overcome. Most are limited to the linear regression approach
43 (e.g. *Flux Puppy*, and the R packages *flux* (Jurasinski et al., 2022) and *FluxCalR* (Zhao,
44 2019)), others do not include data pre-processing (e.g. the R packages *HMR* (Pedersen et al.,
45 2010), *flux* and *gasfluxes* (Fuss, 2023)), or if they do, they are compatible with only a few
46 designated systems (e.g. *Flux Puppy* and *FluxCalR*), and almost none include an automatic
47 selection of the best flux estimate (LM or HM) based on objective criteria.

48 This new R package, goFlux is meant to be “student proof”, meaning that no extensive
49 knowledge or experience is needed to import raw data into R, choose the best model to
50 calculate fluxes (LM or HM), quality check the results objectively and obtain high quality flux
51 estimates. The package contains a wide range of functions that allows the user to import
52 raw data from a variety of instruments (LI-COR, LGR, GAIA2TECH, Gasmeter, Picarro and
53 PP-Systems); calculate fluxes from a variety of GHG (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, NH₃, CO and H₂O)
54 with both linear (LM) and non-linear (HM) flux calculation methods; interactively identify
55 measurements (start and end time) if there are no automatic chamber recordings (e.g. LI-
56 COR smart chamber); plot measurements for easy visual inspection; and quality check the
57 measurements based on objective criteria.

58 Acknowledgements

59 We acknowledge Qiaoyan Li, Annelie Skov Nielsen and Frederik Nygaard Philipsen for their
60 constant feedback that greatly improved the package. This study was supported by the
61 SilvaNova project funded by the NOVO Nordisk Foundation (grant no. NNF200C0059948).

62 References

- 63 Fuss, R. (2023). *Gasfluxes: Greenhouse gas flux calculation from chamber measurements*.
64 <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gasfluxes>
- 65 Hüppi, R., Felber, R., Krauss, M., Six, J., Leifeld, J., & Fuß, R. (2018). Restricting the
66 nonlinearity parameter in soil greenhouse gas flux calculation for more reliable flux estimates.
67 *PLOS ONE*, 13(7), e0200876. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200876>
- 68 Hutchinson, G. L., & Mosier, A. R. (1981). Improved Soil Cover Method for Field Measurement
69 of Nitrous Oxide Fluxes. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 45(2), 311–316. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1981.03615995004500020017x>
- 70
- 71 Jurasinski, G., Koebsch, F., Guenther, A., & Beetz, S. (2022). *Flux: Flux rate calculation*
72 *from dynamic closed chamber measurements*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=flux>
- 73 Pedersen, A. R., Petersen, S. O., & Schelde, K. (2010). A comprehensive approach to
74 soil-atmosphere trace-gas flux estimation with static chambers. *European Journal of Soil*
75 *Science*, 61(6), 888–902. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01291.x>
- 76 Zhao, J. (2019). FluxCalR: A r package for calculating CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from static
77 chambers. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43), 1751. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01751>
78