Do You Even Handle Effects?

## Direct-Style Effect System in Scala

Agenda

- 👋 Who Am I?

- ❤️ Effects and 💔 Side Effects

- 🎛️ Scala Monadic Effect Systems

- 🛠️ Build Your Own Effects System in Direct-Style

- ➕ Adding Monadic Operations

- 🏁 Conclusions and References

Who Am I?

- Hello there 👋, I'm **Riccardo Cardin**,
  - An Enthusiastic Scala Lover since 2011 💯

Effects and Side Effects

Why We ❤ Functional Programming

- We have the **substitution model** for reasoning about programs

```scala
def plusOne(i: Int): Int = i + 1
def timesTwo(i: Int): Int = plusOne(plusOne(i))
```

- The substitution model enables **local reasoning** and **referential transparency**
  - Original program and the substituted program are *equivalent*
- We call these functions *pure* functions

We Live in an Imperfect World 💔

> Model a coin toss, but with a twist: the gambler might be too drunk and lose the coin

```scala
import scala.util.Random

def drunkFlip(): String = {
  val caught = Random.nextBoolean()
  val heads =
    if (caught) Random.nextBoolean()
    else throw new Exception("We dropped the coin")
  if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
}
```

We Live in an Imperfect World 💔

- We can't use the substitution model for all programs

  - If the `drunkFlip` function throws an *exception*, the substitution model breaks

- Programs that interact with a context outside the function

  - The result of the `drunkFlip` function depends on the state of the world

- Multiple calls to `drunkFlip` can return different results

Side Effects

- **Side Effect**: An *unpredictable change* in the state of the world
    - *Unmanaged*, they just happen

```scala
// What happens if b is equal to zero?
def divide(a: Int, b: Int): Int = a / b
```

- We call `divide` an *impure* function
- The best we can do is to **track** and push them to the *boundaries* of our system

The Effect Pattern

When a side effect is *tracked* and *controlled* we call it an **effect**

1. The *type* of the function should tell us what effects it can perform
   and what's the type of the result
   - The `drunkFlip` deals with *non-determinism* and *errors*

2. We must separate the *description* from making it happen
   - We want a *recipe* of the program.
   - **Deferred execution**

The pattern lets us use the **substitution model** again 🚀 🎉

The Effect Pattern

Effect Pattern Checklist

1. Does the type of the program tell us

   a. what **kind of effects** the program will perform; and

   b. what **type of value** it will produce?

2. When externally-visible side effects are required, is the effect description **separate** from the execution?

© Adam Rosien, Essential Effects

Fun Fact 🤡

Which is the first try of an effect system on the JVM? 🤔

🤪 **Java Checked Exceptions** 🤪

```
String readFile(String path) throws IOException { /*... */ }
```

🔥 They *tracks* the side effects with the *exception type*
🔥 We must provide a *handler* for the effect (exception)
🤷 They *don't defer* the execution and are *hard to compose*

An Effect Example

We can use **Monads**, `F[A]`, (which fits well for the task)

- Composing function returning effects is not trivial
  - `F[_]` : We can use `flatMap` and `map`
  - Different monads are *hard to compose* (Monad Transformers)

- The `Option[A]` type models the conditional lack of a value

```scala
val maybeInt: Option[Int] = Some(42)
val maybeString: Option[String] = maybeInt.map(_.toString)
```

Gently Reminder

Calling `map` and `flatMap` is cumbersome and boring 😴

```scala
val maybeString: Option[String] = Some(42).flatMap { maybeInt =>
  maybeInt.map(i => i.toString)
}
```

We can use a *for-comprehension* to make it more readable 🥹

```scala
val maybeString: Option[String] = for {
  maybeInt <- Some(42)
  i <- maybeInt
} yield i.toString
```

Effect Systems

An **Effect System** is the implementation of the *Effect Pattern*

- It expresses side effects with **dedicated types**

- It replaces side-effecting operations in standard libraries

- It provides structures to manage effects

In an effect system, a side effect 👎 becomes a **tracked** effect 👍

Scala Monadic Effect Systems

Cats Effect

- Cats Effect uses the `IO[A]` data type to model effects
  - `IO[A]` is an *über effect* that models any effectful computation that returns a value of type `A` and can fail with a `Throwable`
  - It's a *monad* so we must use `flatMap` and `map` to compose effectful functions
  - `IO[A]` is **referentially transparent** and **lazy**
  - Redefines the effectful part of the Standard Library
  - Implements *structured concurrency*

Cats Effect

Let's rewrite the `drunkFlip` function using the `IO` effect

```scala
def drunkFlip: IO[String] =
  for {
    random <- Random.scalaUtilRandom[IO]
    caught <- random.nextBoolean
    heads <-
      if (caught) random.nextBoolean
      else IO.raiseError(RuntimeException("We dropped the coin"))
  } yield if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
```

The `drunkFlip` function returns a *recipe* of the program

Cats Effect

The library provides many ways to *run* the effect

```scala
object Main extends IOApp.Simple {
  def run: IO[Unit] = drunkFlip.flatMap(result => IO.println(result))
}
```

There are also some *unsafe* methods to run the effect

```scala
val result: String = drunkFlip.unsafeRunSync()
val resultF: Future[String] = drunkFlip.unsafeToFuture()
```

Cats Effect

The `IO[A]` hides the exact side effects that were performed. We can make them explicit using *Tagless Final* syntax and an MTL library

```scala
def drunkFlipF[F[_]: Monad](using R: Raise[F, String], A: Random[F]): F[String] =
  for {
    caught <- A.nextBoolean
    heads <-
      if (caught) A.nextBoolean
      else R.raise("We dropped the coin")
  } yield if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
```

The cognitive load is higher here 😱

ZIO

- `ZIO[R, E, A]` introduces the error type `E` and dependencies `R` in the effect definition

  - It's still a monad on the `A` type (`map` and `flatMap`)

  - It provides a *rich algebra* on the `ZIO` type to avoid monad transformers

  - It's a *referentially transparent* and *lazy* effect

  - It provides *structured concurrency* primitives

  - ...still a über effect

ZIO

The `drunkFlip` function using `ZIO` effect is the following:

```scala
def drunkFlip: ZIO[Random, String, String] =
  for {
    caught <- Random.nextBoolean
    heads <-
      if (caught) Random.nextBoolean
      else ZIO.fail("We dropped the coin")
  } yield if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
```

Effects are *explicit* in the `R` type, and we can fail with *custom errors*

ZIO

Running the effect means providing needed dependencies or *layers*

```scala
object Main extends ZIOAppDefault {
  override def run =
    drunkFlip.flatMap { result =>
      Console.printLine(result)
    }.provideLayer(ZLayer.succeed(RandomLive))
}
```

- We can use intersection type: `Random & Console`

- We must fulfill *all the dependencies* at once to run the effect

Kyo: Meet Algebraic Effects

What if we can have types *listing Effect separately* and *handling* them virtually *once at a time*?

Algebraic Effects and Effect Handlers do exactly that 🎉

- The type of the function tells us exactly what effects it uses

- **Kyo** is a novel library implementing Algebraic Effects

```
def drunkFlip: String < (IO & Abort[String]) = ???
```

Kyo: Meet Algebraic Effects

- Each effect has its own *rich algebra* to describe the operations

```scala
def drunkFlip: String < (IO & Abort[String]) = for {
  caught <- Random.nextBoolean
  heads  <- if (caught) Random.nextBoolean else Abort.fail("We dropped the coin")
} yield if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
```

- Kyo uses a *monad* to represent the effectful computation
  - The `<` type is an alias for a monad indeed 😅
  - We still have to use `flatMap` and `map`

Kyo: Meet Algebraic Effects

We can decide to *handle each effect separately* (no über effect)

```
val partialResult: Result[String, String] < IO = Abort.run { drunkFlip }
```

- `Abort.run` is called an **Effect Handler**
  - It executes the `Abort` effect. The `IO` effect is *left untouched*
- Virtually, we can define our effect handler without changing the original recipe
  - For example, for testing purposes

Build Your Own Effects System

(with love ❤️)

Build Your Own Effects System 🛠️

- All the effect systems we've seen are based on *monads* properties to *compose effectful functions*

    - They use combinators, `flatMap` and `map`, for sequencing

    - Programs are represented by **values**

    - They are *referentially transparent* and *lazy*

However, their step curve is *steep* and *hard to learn* for newbies 😱

Can we do better (or at least different)? 🤔

What's the Direct-Style? 🛝

```scala
val caught = scala.util.Random.nextBoolean() // <- No monads here
```

However, we need **deferred execution** and to track the effects

```scala
val caught: Random => Boolean = r => r.nextBoolean
```

- Working with *functions* instead of *values* could be cumbersome 🙄
  - ...or maybe not? 🤔

Let's try to build an effect system using *functions* instead of *values* 🛠️

Model the Effects' Algebra 🛠️

We'll focus on the `drunkFlip` example. We need effects that model
✔️ non-determinism (`Random`),
✔️ errors (`Raise`)

```scala
trait Random {
  def nextBoolean: Boolean // <- Algebra of the effect
}
trait Raise[-E] { // <- `E` represents the error type
  def raise(error: => E): Nothing
}
```

Access Std Library as an Effect

We need now to wrap the standard library with the effects

```scala
object Random {
  private val unsafe = new Random {
    override def nextBoolean: Boolean =
      scala.util.Random.nextBoolean()
  }
}
```

We call the variable `unsafe` ☣ because it gives *direct*, *uncontrolled* access to the side effect

Access Std Library as an Effect

We want to give tracked access to the side effects. Let's add some functions (a DSL) to our `object Random`

```scala
object Random {
  def nextBoolean(using r: Random): Boolean = r.nextBoolean
}
```

To generate a random `Boolean`, we need to *provide* an instance of the `Random` effect

- Calling `Random.nextBoolean` produces a *recipe* for the program

Wrap It All Together

We have now all the bricks to build the `drunkFlip` function again 🙌

```scala
def drunkFlip(using Random, Raise[String]): String = {
    val caught = Random.nextBoolean
    val heads  =
      if (caught) Random.nextBoolean
      else Raise.raise("We dropped the coin")
    if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
}
```

Is it magic 🪄? Variables `caught` and `heads` are treated as `Boolean`?! 🤯

Welcome Context Functions 👋

- Scala 3 introduces **Context Functions**, fancy anonymous functions with only *implicit context parameters*

```scala
val program: (Raise[String], Random) ?=> String = drunkFlip
```

- Treated as **values** in contexts with the same implicit parameters
  - However, they are *recipes* to obtain the result

```scala
def drunkFlip(using Random, Raise[String]): String = {
  val caught: Boolean = Random.nextBoolean // 🤯
```

Welcome Context Functions 👋

Behind the scenes, the Scala compiler rewrites the context function using a *surrogate type, not visible to the user*

```scala
trait ContextFunctionN[-T1, ..., -TN, +R]:
  def apply(using x1: T1, ..., xN: TN): R
```

Our `program` is rewritten as:

```scala
val program: new ContextFunction2[Raise[String], Random, String] {
  def apply(using Raise[String], Random): String = drunkFlip
}
```

Handle the Effects

- Handlers are the structures that effectively *run* effectful functions

```scala
object Raise {
  def raise[E](error: => E)(using r: Raise[E]): Nothing = r.raise(error)
  def run[E, A](program: Raise[E] ?=> A): E | A =
    boundary {
      given unsafe: Raise[E] = new Raise[E] {
        override def raise(error: => E): Nothing = break(error)
      }
      program
    }
}
```

Handle the Effects

- The Handler for the `Raise[E]` effect provides the `given` instance of the context parameter
  - We used the `boundary` and `break` functions to *control* the effect

```
val program: Random ?=> String | String = Raise.run { drunkFlip }
```

- The `Raise.run` handler *runs* the `Raise` effect, leaving the `Random` effect *untouched* 🥷
  - It's *curryfication*, but on a context parameters level

Handle the Effects

- Changing the handler changes the *behavior* of the program
  - We can handle a `Raise[E] ?=> A` as an `Either[E, A]`

```scala
object Raise {
  def either[E, A](program: Raise[E] ?=> A): Either[E, A] =
    boundary {
      given r: Raise[E] = new Raise[E] {
        override def raise(error: => E): Nothing = break(Left(error))
      }
      Right(program)
    }
}
```

Handle the Effects

Implementing the `Random` handler is relatively easy 👍

```scala
def run[A](program: Random ?=> A): A = program(using Random.unsafe)
```

We can even provide a test version of the `Random` effect

```scala
def test(fixed: Boolean)(program: Random ?=> Boolean) = {
  program(using new Random() {
    override def nextBoolean: Boolean = fixed
  })
}
```

Handle the Effects

- We can run all the effects of the `drunkFlip` function *stacking* the handlers
  - We should do it at the *boundaries* of the system

```
val result: Either[String, String] = Random.run {
  Raise.either {
    drunkFlip
  }
}
```

...and we're done 🎉

Properties of the Effect System

- We can say this Effect System uses **Direct-Style Effect Handlers**
- It implements the *Effect Pattern*
  - The type tells us the used *effects* and the type of the *result*
  - The execution is *deferred*

```
type Effect[E, A] = E ?=> A
```

- We have lost *referential transparency* 😰

Goodbye Referential Transparency 👋

```scala
def drunkFlip(using Random, Raise[String]): String = {
    val genRand = Random.nextBoolean
    val caught = genRand
    val heads  =
      if (caught) genRand
      else Raise.raise("We dropped the coin")
    if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
}
```

- `genRand` is eagerly evaluated:

  - Is all hope lost? 😱

The `def` Trick 🪄

```scala
def drunkFlip(using Random, Raise[String]): String = {
    def genRand = Random.nextBoolean
    val caught = genRand
    val heads  =
      if (caught) genRand
      else Raise.raise("We dropped the coin")
    if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
}
```

- Using the `def` keyword, we can *defer* the evaluation of `genRand`
  - But do we need referential transparency? 🤔

Where's My `IO` Effect?

- Sometimes bad things happen. *Unpredictable* errors are thrown
- We want to execute an effectful function in a *dedicated process*

```scala
trait IO {}// Maybe Deferred would be a better name

object IO {
  def apply[A](program: => A): IO ?=> A = program
  def runBlocking[A](program: IO ?=> A): Try[A] = {
    val es: ExecutorService = Executors.newVirtualThreadPerTaskExecutor()
    Try { es.submit(() => program(using new IO {})).get() }
  }
}
```

Do We Like Direct-Style?

💔 We lost referential transparency, but…

👍 We still have **deferred execution**

👍 We can still **track effects**

👍 We have a syntax that is **easy to read and write**

👎 A novel approach with many unknowns

Probably, it is not the best solution for every problem, but it is a **valid alternative** in 80% of the cases 🤓

Where's My `IO` Effect?

- We can use Java Virtual Threads
  - Virtual Threads are implemented using *continuations*
  - They represent *fibers* 🧶, or *green threads* on the JVM
  - From Java 24, they are also safe for `synchronized` blocks 🎉
  - They support *structured concurrency* 🤝

```scala
val program: IO ?=> Int = IO {
   42 / 0
}
val result: Try[Int] = IO.runBlocking { program }
```

Adding Monadic Operations

Bonus Track

What if we can define `flatMap` and `map` in our Effect System 🤓?

We need to play some tricks. Let's define a class surrounding an effect and implement the `flatMap` and `map` functions on it

```scala
final class Effect[F](val unsafe: F)
object Effect {
  extension [F, A](eff: Effect[F] ?=> A) {
    inline def flatMap[B](inline f: A => Effect[F] ?=> B): Effect[F] ?=> B = f(eff)
    inline def map[B](inline f: A => B): Effect[F] ?=> B = eff.flatMap(a => f(a))
  }
}
```

Bonus Track

We need to refactor the effects and the handlers accordingly (the refactor of the `Raise[E]` effect is omitted)

```scala
object Random {
  def nextBoolean(using r: Effect[Random]): Boolean = r.unsafe.nextBoolean

  def run[A](program: Effect[Random] ?=> A): A = program(using unsafe)

  val unsafe = new Effect(new Random {
    override def nextBoolean: Boolean = scala.util.Random.nextBoolean()
  })
}
```

Bonus Track

We can rewrite the `drunkFlip` function using the new DSL:

```scala
def drunkFlip: (Effect[Random], Effect[Raise[String]]) ?=> String = for {
  caught <- Random.nextBoolean
  heads <-
    if (caught) Random.nextBoolean
    else Raise.raise("We dropped the coin")
} yield if (heads) "Heads" else "Tails"
```

If we substitute `inline` functions, we return to the version of `drunkFlip` that doesn't use the `Effect` class 🪄✨

Conclusions and References

Conclusions

- We defined what is a *side effect* and why we don't like it
- We introduced the *Effect Pattern* and the *Effect Systems* to manage side effects in a controlled way
- We explored the *Cats Effect* and *ZIO* libraries as examples of *über effects*
- We introduced the *Kyo* library as an example of *Algebraic Effects*
- We built our own *Effect System* on top of *Context Functions*
- We saw how we can still define `flatMap` and `map` in our *Effect System*

By the way...

YÆS, *Yet Another Effect System*,
is a library implementing what we've seen today 😜

So Long, and

Thanks for All the Fish 🐠!

👋

Final Thoughts?

🙋 Happy to take questions !

References 📚

- [Essential Effects](), Adam Rosien

- [Effect Oriented Programming](), Bill Frasure, Bruce Eckel, James Ward

- [Zionomicon](), John A. De Goes, Adam Fraser, Milad Khajavi

- [Effekt: Capability-passing style for type- and effect-safe, extensible effect handlers in Scala](), Jonathan Brachthäuser , Philipp Schuster, Klaus Ostermann

References 📚

- [Kyo](#), Streamlined Algebraic Effects, Simplified Functional Programming, Peak Scala Performance

- [Scala 3 Context Functions](#)

- [Abilities for the monadically inclined](#)

- [The Ultimate Guide to Java Virtual Threads](#)

- [YÆS, Yet Another Effect System](#), An experimental effect system in Scala using Direct-Style Effect Handlers