



# CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT ***STATISTICS***

STATE FISCAL YEAR 2016  
July 2015 — June 2016



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statistics-Introduction.....	1
Abuse & Neglect 2007-2016 .....	3
Reports .....	4
Map of Investigations .....	6
Map of Assessments .....	7
Map of Substantiations .....	8
<b>Substantiations</b>	
Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect	
By Reporting Source .....	9
By Category .....	10
Neglect by Type .....	11
Physical Abuse by Type .....	12
Physical Abuse by Type of Injury .....	13
Sexual Abuse by Type .....	14
<b>Child Categories</b>	
Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect	
By Age of Child .....	15
By Gender of Child .....	16
By Race of Child .....	17
<b>Perpetrators</b>	
Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect, Alleged Perpetrator	
By Relationship to Child .....	18
By Race of Perpetrator .....	19
By Gender of Perpetrator .....	20
By Age of Perpetrator .....	21
<b>Summaries by County</b>	
Findings of Investigations and Assessment Conclusions .....	22
Findings of Investigations .....	24
Conclusions of Assessments .....	26

# STATISTICS – INTRODUCTION

The Oklahoma Department of Human Services (DHS) is charged with the responsibility of promptly investigating or assessing all accepted reports of alleged child abuse and neglect by the person responsible for the child's care. Persons responsible for the care of children are parents, guardians, custodians, foster parents, any other adults living in the home of the child, and operators, owners, or employees of child care facilities or homes. DHS is further charged with maintaining a record of the investigation findings and assessment conclusions. Reports of all investigations are forwarded to the district attorney with recommendations for disposition.

DHS Child Welfare staff work to provide maximum safety for children and to enable families to remain together, if at all possible. The majority of families that are identified through a report of abuse or neglect can be assisted through services from either community agencies or, if appropriate, through voluntary services from DHS. In serious cases of abuse or neglect, however, court intervention and removal of the children from their homes may be necessary. Removal of a child from his or her home can occur either through a court order or, in the case of an emergency, by law enforcement. *DHS Child Welfare staff does not have the authority to remove children from their homes.*

This statistical document for state fiscal year 2016 (July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016) reflects data on child abuse and neglect reports received and accepted for investigation. Also included in this document is an alternative category of response to reports, the assessment. Assessments are conducted when the allegation of abuse or neglect does not constitute a serious or immediate threat to a child's health or safety. Investigations are conducted when the report contains allegations of serious threats to the alleged child victim's safety.

This statistical document reflects the findings categories that are possible once an investigation has been completed.

- A finding of *substantiated* means the Department has determined, after an investigation of a report of child abuse or neglect and based upon some credible evidence, that child abuse or neglect has occurred.
- A finding of *unsubstantiated* means the Department has determined, after an investigation of a report of child abuse or neglect, that insufficient evidence exists to fully determine whether child abuse or neglect has occurred.
- A finding of *ruled out* means a report in which a child protective services specialist has determined, after an investigation of a report of child abuse or neglect, that no child abuse or neglect has occurred.
- A finding of *failure to cooperate* means the person responsible for the child's health, safety or welfare (PRFC) does not cooperate in an assessment or investigation by refusing to allow access to the child victim for observation and an interview.

- A finding of *reasonable parental discipline* means that the person responsible for a child used ordinary force and age-appropriate reasonable discipline. This finding results in expungement of record of the referral and assessment or investigation.

Investigations of deaths typically take over a year to complete due to their complicated nature and the necessity of waiting for certain information from other entities. Thus, including the death statistics significantly delays the production of this entire statistical document. It is for this reason that a separate statistical document pertaining solely to child deaths and near deaths will be produced later as an addendum to this report.

DHS received **80,573** reports on families and determined after screening that **34,676** reports had allegations that met the definition of abuse and neglect and required investigation or assessment. There were **61,683** children for whom an investigation was completed and a finding made. There were **2,754** children for whom an assessment was completed. Findings are not made in assessments.

# ABUSE AND NEGLECT


The following chart gives a summary of children for whom an investigation or assessment of abuse and neglect was completed and who were substantiated to be victims during the span of the past decade.

**NOTE:** This number is a duplicated count of children since a child could be the subject of more than one investigation or assessment.

## Children Substantiated to be Victims of Child Abuse and Neglect

State Fiscal Years 2007 – 2016

Fiscal Year	Investigated/Assessed	Substantiated	Percent Substantiated	Fiscal Year	Investigated/Assessed	Substantiated	Percent Substantiated
2007	62,559	13,191	21.09%	2012	44,232	9,842	22.25%
2008	61,327	11,714	19.10%	2013	57,088	11,418	20.00%
2009	53,394	8,605	16.12%	2014	69,410	14,159	20.40%
2010	45,811	7,248	15.82%	2015	66,868	15,252	22.81%
2011	48,393	8,110	16.76%	2016	64,437	15,187	23.57%



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# REPORTS

The following table details the number of reports received and screened, by county, during fiscal year 2016. Each report is screened to assure that it meets the statutory definition of abuse and neglect and that it is within the legal scope of DHS. Once a report is accepted, an investigation or assessment is completed on behalf of each alleged child victim identified in the report. A report may have only one identified child victim, or there may be multiple identified child victims. During fiscal year 2016, there were **80,573** reports received and screened. After screening, **34,676** reports were accepted for investigation or assessment.

## Child Abuse and Neglect Reports by County

*State Fiscal Year 2016*

County of Investigation/ Assessment	Reports Received	Screened Out	Screen Out Rate	Reports Accepted	Acceptance Rate
<b>State Totals</b>	<b>80,573</b>	<b>45,897</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>34,676</b>	<b>43%</b>
Adair	621	304	49%	317	51%
Alfalfa	96	56	58%	40	42%
Atoka	342	171	50%	171	50%
Beaver	35	29	83%	6	17%
Beckham	492	255	52%	237	48%
Blaine	263	161	61%	102	39%
Bryan	1,201	643	54%	558	46%
Caddo	543	273	50%	270	50%
Canadian	1,946	1,086	56%	860	44%
Carter	1,104	615	56%	489	44%
Cherokee	1,306	760	58%	546	42%
Choctaw	372	191	51%	181	49%
Cimarron	10	7	70%	3	30%
Cleveland	4,526	2,717	60%	1,809	40%
Coal	165	97	59%	68	41%
Comanche	2,245	1,174	52%	1,071	48%
Cotton	103	58	56%	45	44%
Craig	243	129	53%	114	47%
Creek	1,560	933	60%	627	40%
Custer	509	293	58%	216	42%
Delaware	703	416	59%	287	41%
Dewey	82	44	54%	38	46%
Ellis	63	48	76%	15	24%
Garfield	1,300	698	54%	602	46%
Garvin	647	324	50%	323	50%
Grady	905	501	55%	404	45%
Grant	52	33	63%	19	37%
Greer	153	81	53%	72	47%
Harmon	59	34	58%	25	42%
Harper	25	21	84%	4	16%
Haskell	294	168	57%	126	43%
Hughes	314	177	56%	137	44%

County of Investigation/ Assessment	Reports Received	Screened Out	Screen Out Rate	Reports Accepted	Acceptance Rate
Jackson	481	278	58%	203	42%
Jefferson	142	91	64%	51	36%
Johnston	313	151	48%	162	52%
Kay	1,077	553	51%	524	49%
Kingfisher	190	92	48%	98	52%
Kiowa	180	98	54%	82	46%
Latimer	194	103	53%	91	47%
LeFlore	1,344	721	54%	623	46%
Lincoln	730	360	49%	370	51%
Logan	835	481	58%	354	42%
Love	187	94	50%	93	50%
Major	132	65	49%	67	51%
Marshall	350	160	46%	190	54%
Mayes	937	507	54%	430	46%
McClain	581	349	60%	232	40%
McCurtain	735	378	51%	357	49%
McIntosh	519	302	58%	217	42%
Murray	254	144	57%	110	43%
Muskogee	2,176	1,263	58%	913	42%
Noble	309	175	57%	134	43%
Nowata	250	137	55%	113	45%
Okfuskee	264	153	58%	111	42%
Oklahoma	14,907	8,787	59%	6,120	41%
Okmulgee	1,033	607	59%	426	41%
Osage	771	398	52%	373	48%
Ottawa	819	398	49%	421	51%
Pawnee	397	239	60%	158	40%
Payne	1,628	1,005	62%	623	38%
Pittsburg	1,220	617	51%	603	49%
Pontotoc	896	476	53%	420	47%
Pottawatomie	1,746	1,043	60%	703	40%
Pushmataha	333	163	49%	170	51%
Roger Mills	70	43	61%	27	39%
Rogers	1,483	882	59%	601	41%
Seminole	685	348	51%	337	49%
Sequoyah	1,304	763	59%	541	41%
Stephens	986	543	55%	443	45%
Texas	251	126	50%	125	50%
Tillman	179	117	65%	62	35%
Tulsa	14,384	8,476	59%	5,908	41%
Wagoner	1,163	655	56%	508	44%
Washington	1,044	574	55%	470	45%
Washita	178	114	64%	64	36%
Woods	149	83	56%	66	44%
Woodward	488	288	59%	200	41%

## INVESTIGATIONS


The map below details a **duplicated** count of children, by county, who were the subject of an investigation during the state fiscal year.

## Number of Children Investigated for Alleged Child Abuse or Neglect

By County *State Fiscal Year 2016*

*TOTAL: 61,683*

Adair	604	Cotton	79	Jackson	364	McIntosh	363	Roger Mills	38
Alfalfa	91	Craig	170	Jefferson	108	Murray	213	Rogers	991
Atoka	253	Creek	999	Johnston	291	Muskogee	1,621	Seminole	701
Beaver	8	Custer	397	Kay	961	Noble	269	Sequoyah	972
Beckham	449	Delaware	523	Kingfisher	185	Nowata	182	Stephens	795
Blaine	191	Dewey	66	Kiowa	165	Okfuskee	175	Texas	206
Bryan	892	Ellis	30	Latimer	153	Oklahoma	10,986	Tillman	113
Caddo	490	Garfield	1,078	LeFlore	1,081	Okmulgee	807	Tulsa	10,410
Canadian	1,469	Garvin	586	Lincoln	668	Osage	722	Wagoner	895
Carter	983	Grady	722	Logan	599	Ottawa	713	Washington	868
Cherokee	1,021	Grant	31	Love	140	Pawnee	254	Washita	128
Choctaw	343	Greer	153	Major	93	Payne	1,268	Woods	129
Cimarron	5	Harmon	65	Marshall	285	Pittsburg	1,085	Woodward	358
Cleveland	3,018	Harper	8	Mayes	830	Pontotoc	753		
Coal	122	Haskell	224	McClain	414	Pottawatomie	1,232		
Comanche	1,781	Hughes	282	McCurtain	640	Pushmataha	326	<b>Total</b>	<b>61,683</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services



## ASSESSMENTS


The map below details a **duplicated** count of children, by county, who were the subject of an assessment during the state fiscal year.

### Number of Children Assessed for Alleged Child Abuse or Neglect By County

State Fiscal Year 2016

TOTAL: 2,754

Adair	30	Cotton	5	Jackson	43	McIntosh	8	Roger Mills	6
Alfalfa	4	Craig	1	Jefferson	4	Murray	12	Rogers	47
Atoka	11	Creek	50	Johnston	5	Muskogee	70	Seminole	26
Beaver	0	Custer	11	Kay	44	Noble	14	Sequoyah	39
Beckham	18	Delaware	36	Kingfisher	11	Nowata	9	Stephens	33
Blaine	6	Dewey	3	Kiowa	2	Okfuskee	5	Texas	19
Bryan	57	Ellis	4	Latimer	9	Oklahoma	469	Tillman	3
Caddo	28	Garfield	61	LeFlore	54	Okmulgee	40	Tulsa	433
Canadian	64	Garvin	24	Lincoln	50	Osage	25	Wagoner	38
Carter	32	Grady	32	Logan	18	Ottawa	35	Washington	44
Cherokee	25	Grant	5	Love	8	Pawnee	19	Washita	3
Choctaw	10	Greer	9	Major	14	Payne	59	Woods	7
Cimarron	0	Harmon	2	Marshall	10	Pittsburg	37	Woodward	16
Cleveland	152	Harper	3	Mayes	46	Pontotoc	31		
Coal	3	Haskell	11	McClain	10	Pottawatomie	65		
Comanche	85	Hughes	7	McCurtain	15	Pushmataha	10	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,754</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# SUBSTANTIATIONS

The map below details the number of children, by county, who were substantiated to be victims of child abuse and neglect.


**NOTE:** These numbers reflect a duplicated count of children substantiated to be victims of child abuse and neglect.

## Children Substantiated to be Victims of Child Abuse or Neglect By County

State Fiscal Year 2016

TOTAL: 15,187

Adair	149	Cotton	14	Jackson	116	McIntosh	83	Roger Mills	9
Alfalfa	39	Craig	45	Jefferson	33	Murray	28	Rogers	284
Atoka	32	Creek	196	Johnston	70	Muskogee	441	Seminole	137
Beaver	1	Custer	128	Kay	273	Noble	123	Sequoyah	162
Beckham	135	Delaware	58	Kingfisher	60	Nowata	25	Stephens	173
Blaine	84	Dewey	22	Kiowa	48	Okfuskee	42	Texas	48
Bryan	135	Ellis	8	Latimer	27	Oklahoma	2,833	Tillman	25
Caddo	119	Garfield	241	LeFlore	222	Okmulgee	197	Tulsa	2,680
Canadian	408	Garvin	123	Lincoln	140	Osage	182	Wagoner	172
Carter	263	Grady	172	Logan	199	Ottawa	126	Washington	242
Cherokee	187	Grant	8	Love	38	Pawnee	85	Washita	28
Choctaw	85	Greer	49	Major	36	Payne	383	Woods	54
Cimarron	2	Harmon	34	Marshall	52	Pittsburg	225	Woodward	112
Cleveland	689	Harper	2	Mayes	255	Pontotoc	203		
Coal	26	Haskell	36	McClain	50	Pottawatomie	265		
Comanche	361	Hughes	91	McCurain	159	Pushmataha	100	<b>Total</b>	<b>15,187</b>




# SUBSTANTIATIONS

The chart below provides information on the reporting source for each substantiated abuse and neglect investigation in state fiscal year 2016. Law enforcement is the most frequent reporting source of child maltreatment.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect by Reporting Source

State Fiscal Year 2016

Reporting Source	Count	Percent	Reporting Source	Count	Percent
Law Enforcement	4,490	29.56%	Neighbor	248	1.63%
CWS Staff	1,781	11.73%	Private Agency	128	0.84%
Relative	1,333	8.78%	Unknown	101	0.67%
School	1,106	7.28%	Child Care Provider	98	0.65%
Parent	1,056	6.95%	Physician	88	0.58%
Hospital	1,018	6.70%	Foster/Kinship Parent	47	0.31%
Private Individual	992	6.53%	Adult and Family Services	43	0.28%
Social Worker (Non AFS/CWS)	864	5.69%	Victim - Self Referral	26	0.17%
Counselor/Therapist	771	5.08%	Alleged Perpetrator	6	0.04%
Nurse/Other Medical Professional	530	3.49%	Medical Examiner	4	0.03%
Public Social Agency	454	2.99%	Dentist	3	0.02%
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>15,187</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# SUBSTANTIATIONS


The chart below shows the categories of substantiated abuse and neglect. Neglect continues to be the highest single category of child maltreatment.

**NOTE:** Children can be victims of more than one type of abuse or neglect so totals of substantiated abuse and neglect by category are greater than the total number of substantiated reports.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect by Category

State Fiscal Year 2016

Category	Count	Percent
Neglect	26,401	86.89%
Abuse	3,070	10.10%
Sexual Abuse	915	3.01%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>30,386</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# SUBSTANTIATIONS


Threat of harm is the most frequent type of neglect substantiated in fiscal year 2016.

**NOTE:** Children can be victims of more than one type of abuse or neglect so totals of substantiated abuse and neglect by category are greater than the total number of substantiated reports.

## Substantiated Child Neglect by Type

State Fiscal Year 2016

Category	Count	Percent	Category	Count	Percent
Threat of Harm	13,324	50.47%	Educational	239	0.91%
Exposure to Domestic Violence	4,753	18.00%	Sexual Behavior – Lack of Supervision	143	0.54%
Failure to Protect	3,294	12.48%	Other	141	0.53%
Inadequate or Dangerous Shelter	1,717	6.50%	Failure to Provide Adequate Nutrition	137	0.52%
Lack of Supervision	1,227	4.65%	Failure to Obtain Psychiatric Attention	75	0.28%
Abandonment	492	1.86%	Failure to Thrive	66	0.25%
Inadequate Physical Care	400	1.52%	Near Death	42	0.16%
Failure to Obtain Medical Attention	327	1.24%	Death	24	0.09%
			<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>26,401</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# SUBSTANTIATIONS


For substantiated cases, the table and chart below details the types of physical abuse inflicted on child victims.

**NOTE:** Children can be victims of more than one type of abuse or neglect so totals of substantiated abuse and neglect by category are greater than the total number of substantiated reports.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect, Physical Abuse by Type

State Fiscal Year 2016

Category	Count	Percent	Category	Count	Percent
Threat of Harm	1,467	47.79%	Pinching/Twisting/Gouging	19	0.62%
Beating/Hitting/Slapping	551	17.95%	Burning/Scalding	16	0.52%
Beating/Hitting - Instrument	316	10.29%	Biting	12	0.39%
Other	234	7.62%	Kicking	11	0.36%
Mental Injury	133	4.33%	Ritual Abuse	8	0.26%
Choking	111	3.62%	Fabricated or Induced Illness (MSBP)	5	0.16%
Injury from Spanking	51	1.66%	Shaking	3	0.10%
Confinement	43	1.40%	Suffocating	2	0.07%
Thrown	32	1.04%	Cutting/Puncturing	1	0.03%
Near Death	32	1.04%	Poisoning	1	0.03%
Death	22	0.72%			
			<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,070</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# SUBSTANTIATIONS


For substantiated cases, the types of injuries resulting from physical abuse inflicted on child victims are listed below.

**NOTE:** Children can be victims of more than one type of abuse or neglect so totals of substantiated abuse and neglect by category are greater than the total number of substantiated reports.

## Substantiated Child Abuse, Physical Abuse by Type of Injury

State Fiscal Year 2016

Category	Count	Percent	Category	Count	Percent
Substance Abuse	7,310	86.53%	Cause of Near Death Unknown	6	0.07%
Bruises/Welts	264	3.13%	Excessive Use of Force/Restraint	6	0.07%
Methamphetamine Exposure	240	2.84%	Vaginal Injury/Irregularities	5	0.06%
Other	128	1.52%	Electrocution	5	0.06%
Environmental Drug Exposure	64	0.76%	Stab/Knife Wound(s)	5	0.06%
Fractures-Not Skull	54	0.64%	Cause of Death Unknown	4	0.05%
None Apparent	53	0.63%	Gun Shot Wound(s)	3	0.04%
Abrasions/Lacerations	48	0.57%	Bite Marks	3	0.04%
Head Trauma	40	0.47%	Near Drowning	2	0.02%
Skull Fracture	32	0.38%	Strangulation/Ligature Marks	2	0.02%
Burns/Scalding	31	0.37%	Sprains/Dislocations	1	0.01%
Medical Condition Untreated	31	0.37%	Hyperthermia/Hypothermia	1	0.01%
Failure to Thrive	30	0.36%	Sexually Transmitted Diseases	1	0.01%
Mental Trauma	28	0.33%	Rectal Injury/Irregularities	1	0.01%
Poisoning	14	0.17%	Suicide	1	0.01%
Cuts/Punctures	12	0.14%	Asphyxiation	1	0.01%
Internal Injuries	7	0.08%			
Drowning	7	0.08%			
			<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8,447</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# SUBSTANTIATIONS


The types of sexual abuse inflicted on child victims are listed below.

**NOTE:** Children can be victims of more than one type of abuse or neglect so totals of substantiated abuse and neglect by category are greater than the total number of substantiated reports.

## Substantiated Sexual Abuse by Type

State Fiscal Year 2016

Category	Count	Percent	Category	Count	Percent
Fondling	276	30.15%	Pornography - Participation	16	1.75%
Exposure to Adult Sexuality	143	15.63%	Exhibitionism	15	1.64%
Vaginal Penetration Through Intercourse	103	11.26%	Sexual Exploitation	14	1.53%
Other	92	10.05%	Vaginal Penetration Through Instrumentation	11	1.20%
Oral/Genital Contact	76	8.31%	Voyeurism	9	0.98%
Digital Vaginal Penetration	47	5.14%	Digital Anal Penetration	6	0.66%
Age Inappropriate Sexual Behavior	38	4.15%	Anal Penetration Through Instrumentation	3	0.33%
Pornography - Exposure	34	3.72%	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>915</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
Anal Penetration Through Intercourse	32	3.50%			



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services



# CHILD CATEGORIES


The chart below shows the age categories of child victims.

**NOTE:** These numbers reflect a duplicated count of children substantiated to be victims of child abuse and neglect.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect by Age of Child

State Fiscal Year 2016

Age	Count	Percent
Under 1 Year	2,561	16.87%
1-2 Years	2,377	15.65%
3-6 Years	3,912	25.76%
7-11 Years	3,801	25.02%
12 Years and Older	2,536	16.70%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15,187</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# CHILD CATEGORIES


As indicated in the chart below, slightly more females are abused and neglected than males.

**NOTE:** These numbers reflect a duplicated count of children substantiated to be victims of child abuse and neglect.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect by Gender of Child

State Fiscal Year 2016

Gender	Count	Percent
Female	7,601	50.05%
Male	7,535	49.61%
Unknown	51	0.34%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15,187</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# CHILD CATEGORIES


The race of children in substantiated reports of child abuse or neglect is shown below. White children continue to have the highest rate of victimization. However, white children are victimized at a lower rate than their representation in the general population while American Indian/Alaskan Native and Black/African American children are victimized at a higher rate than their representation in the general population.

**NOTE:** These numbers reflect a duplicated count of children substantiated to be victims of child abuse and neglect.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect by Race of Child

State Fiscal Year 2016

Race	Count	Percent
White	9,335	61.47%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	3,399	22.38%
Black/African American	2,309	15.20%
No Race Selected	51	0.34%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	46	0.30%
Asian	45	0.30%
Unable to determine	2	0.01%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15,187</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# PERPETRATORS


The relationship of the perpetrator to the child victim in substantiated incidents of abuse and neglect is shown on the chart below. Mothers are identified as perpetrators more often than fathers.

**NOTE:** In some incidents of substantiated child abuse and neglect, more than one perpetrator is identified so the chart reflects information on both primary and secondary perpetrator.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect, Alleged Perpetrator By Relationship to Child

State Fiscal Year 2016

Category	Count	Percent	Category	Count	Percent
Mother	10,703	43.86%	Foster Parent	226	0.93%
Father	7,371	30.20%	Adoptive Parent	221	0.91%
No Relation	2,286	9.37%	Other Relative	204	0.84%
Stepparent	1,259	5.16%	Custodian/Guardian	136	0.56%
No Selection/Unknown	671	2.75%	Group Home Employee	127	0.52%
Grandparent	643	2.64%	Hosp/Secure Facility Employee	45	0.18%
Child Care Employee	268	1.10%	Teacher	2	0.01%
Uncle/Aunt	237	0.97%	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24,399</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# PERPETRATORS


The race of the identified perpetrators of substantiated abuse or neglect is shown below.

**NOTE:** In some incidents of substantiated child abuse and neglect, more than one perpetrator is identified so the chart reflects information on both primary and secondary perpetrators.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect by Race of Perpetrator

State Fiscal Year 2016

Race	Count	Percent
White	16,500	67.62%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	3,613	14.81%
Black/African American	3,515	14.41%
Perpetrator Unknown	513	2.10%
Unable to Determine	100	0.41%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	81	0.33%
Asian	77	0.32%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24,399</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# PERPETRATORS


The chart below shows the gender of identified perpetrators. As in previous years, females are more frequently the identified perpetrators.

**NOTE:** In some incidents of substantiated child abuse and neglect, more than one perpetrator is identified so the chart reflects information on both primary and secondary perpetrators.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect by Gender of Perpetrator

State Fiscal Year 2016

Gender	Count	Percent
Female	12,726	52.16%
Male	11,151	45.70%
Perpetrator Unknown	513	2.10%
Unknown	9	0.04%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24,399</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# PERPETRATORS


The age range of identified perpetrators is shown below.

**NOTE:** In some incidents of substantiated child abuse and neglect, more than one perpetrator is identified so the chart reflects information on both primary and secondary perpetrators.

## Substantiated Child Abuse and Neglect by Age of Perpetrator

State Fiscal Year 2016

Age	Count	Percent
Under 18 Years	62	0.25%
18-21 Years	1,353	5.55%
22-25 Years	3,828	15.69%
26-30 Years	6,002	24.60%
31 Years and Older	12,339	50.57%
Age Unknown	302	1.24%
Perpetrator Unknown	513	2.10%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24,399</b>	<b>100.00%</b>



Source: DHS Child Welfare Services

# SUMMARY

## Findings of Investigations and Assessment Conclusions by County

State Fiscal Year 2016

County	Investigated/Assessed				Substantiated				Substantiated Rate
	Abuse	Neglect	Both	Total	Abuse	Neglect	Both	Total	
<b>State Totals</b>	<b>7,875</b>	<b>47,236</b>	<b>9,326</b>	<b>64,437</b>	<b>1,589</b>	<b>12,305</b>	<b>1,293</b>	<b>15,187</b>	<b>24%</b>
Adair	55	480	99	634	15	119	15	149	24%
Alfalfa	3	75	17	95	1	29	9	39	41%
Atoka	33	201	30	264	5	25	2	32	12%
Beaver	0	8	0	8	0	1	0	1	13%
Beckham	47	359	61	467	14	110	11	135	29%
Blaine	36	135	26	197	8	68	8	84	43%
Bryan	96	727	126	949	11	111	13	135	14%
Caddo	62	405	51	518	14	96	9	119	23%
Canadian	262	1,068	203	1,533	46	331	31	408	27%
Carter	91	796	128	1,015	21	222	20	263	26%
Cherokee	101	804	141	1,046	18	157	12	187	18%
Choctaw	31	289	33	353	2	74	9	85	24%
Cimarron	0	5	0	5	0	2	0	2	40%
Cleveland	517	2,189	464	3,170	76	552	61	689	22%
Coal	10	97	18	125	3	23	0	26	21%
Comanche	290	1,319	257	1,866	48	301	12	361	19%
Cotton	14	61	9	84	4	8	2	14	17%
Craig	16	127	28	171	4	34	7	45	26%
Creek	163	739	147	1,049	40	134	22	196	19%
Custer	39	319	50	408	16	103	9	128	31%
Delaware	65	411	83	559	14	43	1	58	10%
Dewey	3	52	14	69	6	14	2	22	32%
Ellis	4	24	6	34	2	6	0	8	24%
Garfield	176	795	168	1,139	39	177	25	241	21%
Garvin	45	488	77	610	9	108	6	123	20%
Grady	90	547	117	754	20	140	12	172	23%
Grant	3	27	6	36	2	4	2	8	22%
Greer	12	128	22	162	1	43	5	49	30%
Harmon	7	52	8	67	5	29	0	34	51%
Harper	0	10	1	11	0	2	0	2	18%
Haskell	40	165	30	235	7	26	3	36	15%
Hughes	45	213	31	289	11	74	6	91	31%
Jackson	35	314	58	407	11	92	13	116	29%
Jefferson	13	78	21	112	6	23	4	33	29%
Johnston	19	244	33	296	4	61	5	70	24%
Kay	105	763	137	1,005	16	243	14	273	27%
Kingfisher	18	147	31	196	2	54	4	60	31%
Kiowa	18	136	13	167	4	41	3	48	29%



County	Investigated/Assessed				Substantiated				Substantiated Rate
	Abuse	Neglect	Both	Total	Abuse	Neglect	Both	Total	
Latimer	15	130	17	162	6	20	1	27	17%
LeFlore	145	818	172	1,135	22	184	16	222	20%
Lincoln	91	539	88	718	17	114	9	140	19%
Logan	58	474	85	617	12	171	16	199	32%
Love	9	126	13	148	3	35	0	38	26%
Major	10	82	15	107	2	33	1	36	34%
Marshall	24	234	37	295	1	48	3	52	18%
Mayes	86	666	124	876	32	206	17	255	29%
McClain	55	299	70	424	7	38	5	50	12%
McCurtain	78	477	100	655	28	118	13	159	24%
McIntosh	47	263	61	371	10	62	11	83	22%
Murray	17	164	44	225	6	17	5	28	12%
Muskogee	191	1,265	235	1,691	34	367	40	441	26%
Noble	27	211	45	283	13	93	17	123	43%
Nowata	27	138	26	191	2	19	4	25	13%
Okfuskee	16	137	27	180	2	39	1	42	23%
Oklahoma	1,492	8,109	1,854	11,455	316	2,234	283	2,833	25%
Okmulgee	69	669	109	847	11	173	13	197	23%
Osage	96	534	117	747	15	140	27	182	24%
Ottawa	108	529	111	748	13	96	17	126	17%
Pawnee	33	201	39	273	6	74	5	85	31%
Payne	161	959	207	1,327	43	305	35	383	29%
Pittsburg	113	869	140	1,122	10	206	9	225	20%
Pontotoc	99	585	100	784	19	165	19	203	26%
Pottawatomie	150	936	211	1,297	30	204	31	265	20%
Pushmataha	35	255	46	336	3	88	9	100	30%
Roger Mills	2	33	9	44	0	6	3	9	20%
Rogers	135	743	160	1,038	39	229	16	284	27%
Seminole	88	563	76	727	15	110	12	137	19%
Sequoyah	136	721	154	1,011	12	140	10	162	16%
Stephens	86	605	137	828	5	147	21	173	21%
Texas	46	142	37	225	10	35	3	48	21%
Tillman	9	88	19	116	6	19	0	25	22%
Tulsa	1,261	8,043	1,539	10,842	286	2,178	216	2,680	25%
Wagoner	110	694	129	933	12	146	14	172	18%
Washington	76	700	136	912	10	215	17	242	27%
Washita	25	84	22	131	7	15	6	28	21%
Woods	12	108	16	136	4	49	1	54	40%
Woodward	73	246	55	374	15	87	10	112	30%

All figures provide a duplicated count of children.

# SUMMARY

## Findings of Investigations by County

State Fiscal Year 2016

County	Substantiated	Unsubstantiated	Failure to Cooperate	Ruled Out
<b>State Totals</b>	<b>15,187</b>	<b>40,378</b>	<b>2,071</b>	<b>4,047</b>
Adair	149	445	6	4
Alfalfa	39	41	0	11
Atoka	32	197	3	21
Beaver	1	5	0	2
Beckham	135	279	10	25
Blaine	84	98	5	4
Bryan	135	631	26	100
Caddo	119	334	14	23
Canadian	408	937	45	79
Carter	263	585	23	112
Cherokee	187	708	29	97
Choctaw	85	243	3	12
Cimarron	2	3	0	0
Cleveland	689	1,949	132	248
Coal	26	94	0	2
Comanche	361	1,152	65	203
Cotton	14	46	4	15
Craig	45	109	7	9
Creek	196	723	20	60
Custer	128	227	20	22
Delaware	58	390	10	65
Dewey	22	39	0	5
Ellis	8	19	0	3
Garfield	241	766	25	46
Garvin	123	412	3	48
Grady	172	441	38	71
Grant	8	23	0	0
Greer	49	104	0	0
Harmon	34	28	3	0
Harper	2	6	0	0
Haskell	36	170	1	17
Hughes	91	171	5	15
Jackson	116	236	6	6
Jefferson	33	63	0	12
Johnston	70	176	6	39
Kay	273	643	16	29
Kingfisher	60	81	29	15
Kiowa	48	116	0	1

County	Substantiated	Unsubstantiated	Failure to Cooperate	Ruled Out
Latimer	27	120	1	5
LeFlore	222	759	12	88
Lincoln	140	451	5	72
Logan	199	329	30	41
Love	38	64	5	33
Major	36	50	0	7
Marshall	52	198	6	29
Mayes	255	526	21	28
McClain	50	321	2	41
McCurtain	159	458	15	8
McIntosh	83	228	17	35
Murray	28	161	8	16
Muskogee	441	841	54	285
Noble	123	137	0	9
Nowata	25	122	3	32
Okfuskee	42	124	0	9
Oklahoma	2,833	7,397	394	362
Okmulgee	197	576	1	33
Osage	182	494	26	20
Ottawa	126	546	29	12
Pawnee	85	166	2	1
Payne	383	777	56	52
Pittsburg	225	743	20	97
Pontotoc	203	485	21	44
Pottawatomie	265	799	51	117
Pushmataha	100	220	0	6
Roger Mills	9	28	0	1
Rogers	284	644	12	51
Seminole	137	522	6	36
Sequoyah	162	737	6	67
Stephens	173	351	42	229
Texas	48	138	6	14
Tillman	25	85	0	3
Tulsa	2,680	6,619	624	487
Wagoner	172	651	17	55
Washington	242	447	15	164
Washita	28	94	2	4
Woods	54	73	0	2
Woodward	112	207	8	31

All figures provide a **duplicated** count of children.

# SUMMARY

## Conclusions of Assessments by County

State Fiscal Year 2016

County	Services Provided	Services Recommended	Both	None	Failure to Cooperate
<b>State Totals</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>730</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>101</b>
Adair	13	2	6	9	0
Alfalfa	0	0	0	4	0
Atoka	4	0	4	3	0
Beaver	0	0	0	0	0
Beckham	0	3	1	13	1
Blaine	1	3	0	2	0
Bryan	14	1	41	1	0
Caddo	14	0	7	3	4
Canadian	10	5	33	15	1
Carter	2	10	1	16	3
Cherokee	12	1	12	0	0
Choctaw	8	0	1	0	1
Cimarron	0	0	0	0	0
Cleveland	11	33	26	78	4
Coal	1	0	1	1	0
Comanche	18	11	16	37	3
Cotton	2	0	2	1	0
Craig	0	0	1	0	0
Creek	4	15	16	15	0
Custer	0	1	0	10	0
Delaware	21	1	1	13	0
Dewey	2	0	0	1	0
Ellis	4	0	0	0	0
Garfield	42	0	14	3	2
Garvin	3	0	5	15	1
Grady	10	1	15	5	1
Grant	5	0	0	0	0
Greer	4	2	2	1	0
Harmon	0	0	2	0	0
Harper	0	0	0	3	0
Haskell	0	3	0	8	0
Hughes	4	0	0	3	0
Jackson	9	2	8	24	0
Jefferson	4	0	0	0	0
Johnston	2	0	0	3	0
Kay	0	17	7	20	0
Kingfisher	0	0	2	3	6
Kiowa	0	0	2	0	0

County	Services Provided	Services Recommended	Both	None	Failure to Cooperate
Latimer	2	2	0	5	0
LeFlore	17	2	5	29	1
Lincoln	0	23	7	14	6
Logan	0	2	10	1	5
Love	7	0	0	1	0
Major	8	2	3	1	0
Marshall	0	1	0	9	0
Mayes	19	0	22	5	0
McClain	0	2	0	7	1
McCurtain	7	0	5	3	0
McIntosh	2	2	0	3	1
Murray	7	4	0	1	0
Muskogee	18	7	29	11	5
Noble	0	3	2	9	0
Nowata	5	0	3	1	0
Okfuskee	3	0	0	2	0
Oklahoma	68	106	91	190	14
Okmulgee	0	33	0	7	0
Osage	3	2	18	1	1
Ottawa	5	2	17	11	0
Pawnee	3	8	4	4	0
Payne	11	10	22	11	5
Pittsburg	6	14	7	10	0
Pontotoc	7	1	6	14	3
Pottawatomie	3	11	9	38	4
Pushmataha	1	1	8	0	0
Roger Mills	0	0	0	6	0
Rogers	2	4	29	11	1
Seminole	12	2	9	3	0
Sequoyah	0	9	0	30	0
Stephens	4	5	2	22	0
Texas	1	6	4	7	1
Tillman	0	0	2	1	0
Tulsa	110	47	171	84	21
Wagoner	22	1	1	14	0
Washington	24	0	18	0	2
Washita	0	1	0	2	0
Woods	3	0	0	4	0
Woodward	1	7	0	5	3

All figures provide a **duplicated** count of children.

Produced by the Office of Performance Outcomes and  
Accountability and Child Welfare Services  
in collaboration with DHS Design Services.

S16047 DHS Issued 11/16

This publication is authorized by Oklahoma Department of Human Services Director Ed Lake and printed by DHS in accordance with state and federal regulations at a cost of \$88.42 for 85 copies. Copies have been deposited with the Publications Clearinghouse of the Oklahoma Department of Libraries.

