## ChandonnetPythonProject

December 16, 2022

## 1 Python Project

## 1.0.1 Ray Chandonnet

## $1.0.2 \quad 12/16/2022$

```
[3]: import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
     # from os import chdir, getcwd
     # wd=qetcwd
     path = "/users/raychandonnet/Dropbox (Personal)/Merrimack College - MS in Data⊔
      →Science/DSE5002/Python Project/"
     cost_of_living=pd.read_csv(path + "cost_of_living.csv")
     # Step 1 - Break the City up - requires a lot of cleaning because city and
      →country are in the same field separated by
     # a comma, and US cities also have the state in form city, st, country. I want
     ⇔to break these up into separate columns
     # to link to the country code data, then pull in job info for those city /
     ⇔country combos
     # First, split the city into three separate fields
     cost_of_living[['City','State','Country']]=cost_of_living['City'].str.
      ⇔split(pat=",",n=2,expand=True)
     # This leaves the city state and country correct for US cities, but has the
     ⇔country in the city column for
     # non-US cities and "None" for country, so we have to fix that It's two steps:
     # First, repalce all the "None" in Country column with the State value which is \Box
     ⇔where the Country is
     # Then eliminate the dups by putting "None" in the State field for alkl those. \Box
     ⇔So we basically just swapped values
     # to get the country in the right place
     cost_of_living['Country']=cost_of_living['Country'].
     ofillna(cost_of_living['State']) # Puts the state value in country where NA
     cost_of_living['State']=np.
      ⇔where(cost_of_living['State'] == cost_of_living['Country'], "-", cost_of_living['State'])
     # It took me more time than I care to admit to realize that my states and
      ⇔countries had padded whitespace and so weren't
```

```
# matching when I tried to merge in the country code data!!! Sigh....using the
 \hookrightarrowstrip method fixes that
cost_of_living['Country']=cost_of_living['Country'].str.strip()
cost_of_living['State']=cost_of_living['State'].str.strip()
# This leaves us with City, State and Country, with country spelled out
# Now I can merge in the country codes from that file into my table. This will,
→ let me connect to data in the salary
\# and jobs files once I do some stuff with that data, if I need to use a code \sqcup
⇔instead of the country spelled out
countrycodes=pd.read excel(path+"country codes.xlsx")
cost_of_living=pd.
 -merge(left=cost_of_living,right=countrycodes,how='left',on='Country')
# Now read in the salaries file and do some data wrangling here as well to_\sqcup
⇔convert the time stamp to a date,
# and put the cities and countries in the right columns
salaries=pd.read_csv(path+"Levels_Fyi_Salary_Data.csv") # read data
salaries=salaries[salaries['title']=="Data Scientist"] # Extract all the Data_
 ⇔Scientist jobs
salaries['timestamp'] = pd.to_datetime(salaries['timestamp']) # convert date
salaries=salaries.rename({"timestamp":"date"},axis=1) #rename date column
salaries[['City', 'State', 'Country']]=salaries['location'].str.
 ⇒split(pat=",",n=2,expand=True)
# Now fill in "United States" where country is blank, delete the state for
 →non-US locations, and remove whitespace
salaries['Country']=salaries['Country'].fillna("United States") # Fills in_
 → 'United States' for all blank countries
salaries['State']=np.where(salaries['Country']!='United___
 →States',"-",salaries['State']) # delete erroneous states for non-US
salaries['Country']=salaries['Country'].str.strip()
salaries['State'] = salaries['State'].str.strip()
# Now I'm going to enrich the data a bit to make it more granular and make more
 ⇔sense:
# First, I calculate "cashcomp" as cash compensation, = base + bonus, because
→when you are looking at affordability,
# stock grants don't count; They're a way to build wealth, not pay bills. (Yuu
⇔could argue I should only count base
# salary since bonuses are discretionary and lumpy)
salaries['cashcomp']=salaries['basesalary']+salaries['bonus'] # calculate totalu
⇔cash comp
salaries=salaries[salaries['cashcomp']!=0] # eliminate zeros where salary and
 ⇔bonus not listed
# Now, I create a new field standardized column called explevel which groups \Box
 ⇒jobs based on years of experience,
# with people with 5 years or less labeled "Junior" and those with more than
 \hookrightarrow that labeled "Senior".
```

```
# This will add granularity to my salary indexes and reduce the risk that jobs ...
 →are not evenly distributed
# across levels of experience for every city and country combination.
# I could make it more and more granular but there isn't enough data to really,
 →dig much deeper and subset further than that
salaries['explevel']=np.
 Gwhere(salaries['yearsofexperience']<=5, "Junior", "Senior")</pre>
# Now comes the heavy lifting. I'm going to aggregate by country and
→experience level, and again by city, country
# and experience level, calculating mean salary for each subset. Then, since
⇒in the cost of living data, the indexes
# are calculated using NYC as baseline (100 index), I will calculate a "salary"
⇔index" for each country/city and
# experience combination, relative to that same experience level in NYC
sal_by_ctry=salaries.groupby(['Country','explevel'],as_index=False).agg(
    avg_salary=('cashcomp', np.mean)) # Calculate mean salaries by country and
 ⇒job level
sal by city=salaries.
 -groupby(['Country','City','State','explevel'],as_index=False).agg(
    avg_salary=('cashcomp', np.mean)) # Calculate mean salaires by city,
⇔country and level
NYCsal=salaries[salaries['City'] == "New York"] # Extract all the NYC jobs
NYC_by_level=NYCsal.groupby('explevel').agg(
    NYC_avg_salary=('cashcomp', np.mean)) # Calculate mean salaries by level
⇔for NYC
# Here comes the magic! Now that I have average salaries for NYC by level, I_{\sqcup}
⇔can use those to create a
# salary index, with NYC as the baseline at 100, for each city/country/level,
\hookrightarrow combo I found.
# Once that cost of living index is calculated, I can derive an "affordability"
 \rightarrow index
# dividing the salary index for that city/country/level into the cost of \Box
⇔living index for that city/country
# So for example if the salary index is 80 (80% of NYC) but the cost of living
\rightarrow index is 40 (50% of NYC),
# then that location for that experience level is twice as affordable as NYC_{\square}
\hookrightarrow (80/40=2x) or affordability = 200
# meaning your money goes twice as far
# Let's start with cities because they're easier because they map directly to_{\sqcup}
 → the cost_of_living data
afford city level=pd.
 omerge(left=sal_by_city,right=NYC_by_level,how='left',on='explevel') # Pull∪
 → in NYC salarary by level
```

```
afford_city_level['sal_index']=afford_city_level['avg_salary']/

afford_city_level['NYC_avg_salary']*100 # Calculate salary index

afford_city_level=pd.

amerge(left=afford_city_level,right=cost_of_living,how='left',on=['City','State','Country'])

afford_city_level['affordability']=afford_city_level['sal_index']/

afford_city_level['Cost of Living Plus Rent Index']*100
```

[]: