ADA-2024: Homework 2

Rachit Arora, 2022384 Mahi Mann, 2022272

February 10, 2024

Note: throughout this doc we'll be assuming that the array A is indexed from 1 to n.

1 Subproblem Definition

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{MC}(\mathrm{i,\,op,\,streak}) = \mathrm{maximum\,\,score\,\,upto\,\,the}\,\,\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{th}}\,\,\mathrm{index\,\,when}\,\,\mathit{op}\,\,\mathrm{(op} \in \{\mathrm{Ring,\,Ding}\}) \\ \mathrm{was\,\,said\,\,at\,\,the}\,\,\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{th}}\,\,\mathrm{index,\,\,and\,\,the\,\,current\,\,streak}\,\,\mathrm{of\,\,saying}\,\,\mathit{op}\,\,\mathrm{is}\,\,\mathit{streak}. \end{split}$$

2 Recurrence of the subproblem

Let's consider the base cases first.

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{MC}(i,\,\mathrm{op},\,\mathrm{streak}) = (-\infty) \\ &\mathrm{MC}(1,\,\mathrm{Ring},\,1) = \,A[1] \\ &\mathrm{MC}(1,\,\mathrm{Ding},\,1) = (-A[1]) \end{split}$$

Note that we're not working with the absolute values of A[i] here. These base cases handle either sign, and so will the recurrence relations below.

Now we come to the actual recurrences:

$$\begin{split} & MC(i,\, Ring,\, 1) = A[i] + max(\{\,\, MC(i\text{-}1,\, Ding,\, s) \mid s \, \in \{1,2,3\}\}) \\ & MC(i,\, Ding,\, 1) = (\text{-}A[i]) + max(\{\,\, MC(i\text{-}1,\, Ring,\, s) \mid s \, \in \{1,2,3\}\}) \\ & MC(i,\, Ring,\, s) = A[i] + MC(i\text{-}1,\, Ring,\, s\text{-}1) \,\, (s \in \{2,3\}) \\ & MC(i,\, Ding,\, s) = (\text{-}A[i]) + MC(i\text{-}1,\, Ding,\, s\text{-}1) \,\, (s \in \{2,3\}) \end{split}$$

Essentially, if the streak is 1, then the streak of the opposite saying ended at the last index. And if the streak is 2 or 3, the last index was forced to have the same saying.

An case-wise analysis for the border cases has been omitted for the sake of sanity. The actual implementation in code is much cleaner, please refer to pseudocode or C++ implementation.

3 Subproblem that solves the actual problem

Because of how we've defined the subproblem, there are 6 subproblems at index n. We simply take the maximum as follows:

```
\max(\{ MC(n, op, streak) | op \in \{Ring, Ding\}, streak \in \{1, 2, 3\} \})
```

4 Algorithm Description

4.1 Idea

Pretty much described above. We'll have a 3-dimensional array of size 6n with all the answers. We'll start with the base cases, and index 3 onwards, compute all subproblems in index order.

4.2 Pseudocode

Here, we are assuming that $(-\infty)$ is an arbritrarily large negative integer bound

(Next page)

Algorithm 1 MaxChickens

```
1: function MaxChickens(A,n)
         MC \leftarrow array of dimension (n \times 2 \times 3)
 2:
 3:
         Ring \leftarrow 1
 4:
         Ding \leftarrow 2
 5:
         MC[1][Ring][2] \leftarrow (-\infty)
 6:
 7:
         MC[1][Ring][3] \leftarrow (-\infty)
 8:
         MC[1][Ding][2] \leftarrow (-\infty)
         MC[1][Ding][3] \leftarrow (-\infty)
 9:
         MC[1][Ring][1] \leftarrow A[1]
10:
         MC[1][Ding][1] \leftarrow (-A[1])
11:
12:
         for i = 2, 3, \dots, n do
13:
              for streak = 1, 2, 3 do
14:
                   MC[i][Ring][streak] \leftarrow (-\infty)
15:
                   MC[i][Ding][streak] \leftarrow (-\infty)
16:
                  if streak = 0 then
17:
                       for j = 1, 2, 3 do
18:
                            if MC[i-1][Ding][j] \neq (-\infty) then
19:
                                 \mathbf{if}\ \mathrm{MC}[i\text{-}1][\mathrm{Ding}][j] + \mathrm{A}[i] > \mathrm{MC}[i][\mathrm{Ring}][1]\ \mathbf{then}
20:
                                      MC[i][Ring][1] \leftarrow MC[i-1][Ding][j] + A[i]
21:
                                 end if
22:
                            end if
23:
                            if MC[i-1][Ring][j] \neq (-\infty) then
24:
                                 \mathbf{if}\ \mathrm{MC}[i\text{-}1][\mathrm{Ring}][j]\ \text{-}\ \mathrm{A}[i] > \mathrm{MC}[i][\mathrm{Ding}][1]\ \mathbf{then}
25:
                                      MC[i][Ding][1] \leftarrow MC[i-1][Ring][j] - A[i]
26:
                                 end if
27:
                            end if
28:
                       end for
29:
                  else
30:
                       if MC[i-1][Ring][streak-1] \neq (-\infty) then
31:
                            MC[i][Ring][streak] \leftarrow MC[i-1][Ring][streak-1] + A[i]
32:
33:
                       end if
                       if MC[i-1][Ding][streak-1] \neq (-\infty) then
34:
                            MC[i][Ding][streak] \leftarrow MC[i-1][Ding][streak-1] - A[i]
35:
                       end if
36:
37:
                   end if
              end for
38:
39:
         end for
         ans \leftarrow (-\infty)
40:
         for i \in \{\text{Ring, Ding}\}\ \mathbf{do}
41:
              for s \in \{1, 2, 3\} do ans \leftarrow \max(\text{ans, MC}[n][i][s])
42:
              end for
43:
         end for
44:
         return ans
45:
46: end function
```

5 Running time

For every index i, there are at most $c \ge 6$ constant-time addition and comparison operations (for some natural number c), so

$$T(i) \le T(i-1) + c$$

We will now prove that T(n) = O(n) by induction on n.

Base case: at n=1, two values were initialised, so $2 \le c$ addition and comparison operations.

Inductive Hypothesis: $T(k) \leq ck$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Inductive Step:

$$T(k+1) \le T(k) + c$$

 $\le ck + c$ (by Inductive Hypothesis)
 $= O(k+1)$

Therefore, our algorithm runs in O(n) time (linear in n).

6 Addendum 1: C++ implementation

```
#include <bits/stdc++.h>
using namespace std;

#define RING 0
#define DING 1

int main(){
   ios_base::sync_with_stdio(false);
   cin.tie(nullptr);
   int n;
   cin >> n;
   int A[n];
   for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i){
      cin >> A[i];
   }
```

```
int MC[n][2][3];
MC[0][RING][1] = MC[0][DING][1] = MC[0][RING][2] = MC[0][DING][2] = INT_MIN;
MC[O][RING][O] = A[O];
MC[O][DING][O] = (-A[O]);
for(int i = 1; i < n; ++i){
    for(int streak = 0; streak < 3; ++streak){</pre>
        MC[i] [RING] [streak] = MC[i] [DING] [streak] = INT_MIN;
        if(streak == 0){
            for(int j = 0; j < 3; ++j){
                if(MC[i-1][DING][j] != INT_MIN)
                    MC[i][RING][0] = max(MC[i][RING][0], A[i] + MC[i-1][DING][j]);
                if(MC[i-1][RING][j] != INT_MIN)
                    MC[i][DING][0] = max(MC[i][DING][0], MC[i-1][RING][j] - A[i]);
            }
        }else{
            if(MC[i-1][RING][streak-1] != INT_MIN)
                MC[i][RING][streak] = MC[i-1][RING][streak-1] + A[i];
            if(MC[i-1][DING][streak-1] != INT_MIN)
                MC[i][DING][streak] = MC[i-1][DING][streak-1] - A[i];
        }
    }
}
int ans = INT_MIN;
for(int i = RING; i <= DING; ++i){</pre>
    for(int s = 0; s < 3; ++s){
        ans = \max(ans, MC[n-1][i][s]);
    }
}
```

```
cout << ans << '\n';
}</pre>
```

7 Addendum 2: Tests

```
I ran these tests on my C++ implementation:
Input:
1 2 3 4 -1 -2 -3 -4
Output:
14
Comments:
DING RING RING DING RING DING DING
Input:
8
-1 -2 -3 -4 1 2 3 4
Output:
14
Comments:
Negative of an array gives the same answer as expected (invert the sayings)
Input:
100000
1 1 1 1 .... (100000 ones)
Output:
50000
Comments:
RING RING DING (repeat this pattern)
Also, here's a timed test of size 200000 to demonstrate how fast this algorithm
is:
$ g++ a.cpp
time python -c "t=200000; print(str(t)+' 1'*t)" | ./a.out
100000
python -c "t=200000;print(str(t)+' 1'*t)" 0.01s user 0.01s system 70% cpu 0.022 total
./a.out 0.02s user 0.00s system 69% cpu 0.034 total
```