Math 320: Chapter 1

rctcwyvrn

September 2020

1 Common sets

- Natural numbers N (Rudin uses J)
 - Set notation $\{1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots\}$
 - Closed under addition and multiplication
 - Not closed under subtraction (might get a negative number)
- Integers \mathbb{Z}
 - Set notation $\ldots -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots$
 - Closed under subtraction and addition
 - But not under division
- Rationals Q
 - Set notation: $\left\{\frac{m}{n}: m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$
 - * Where $\frac{m_1}{n_1} = \frac{m_2}{n_2}$ iff $m_1 * n_2 = m_2 * n_1$
 - * Our intuitive idea of division
 - Alternative we could define \mathbb{Q} in a more straightforward way
 - * Define \mathbb{Q} as the set of ordered pairs $\{(m,n): m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
 - * We also need to make sure the equivalent fractions are accounted for
 - * where (m_1,n_1) is equivalent to (m_2,n_2) (ie $(m_1,n_1)\sim (m_2,n_2)$) if $m_1n_2=m_2n_1$
 - Closed under addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division (if divisor is non-zero)
 - Question: Are the rationals sufficient for everything we want to do in real analysis (in calculus)?
 - * Can we do things in calculus?
 - * Can we take limits? (and have them work properly?)
 - Nope! (note: the name of this course is real analysis, not rational analysis

- The problem is that the rationals have holes, they're not "filled in all the way" like the reals are

Example: Holes in the rationals

(Rudin 1.1a) We want to show that there's a number we can't reach in the rationals $(\sqrt{2})$. $\nexists p \in \mathbb{Q} : p^2 = 2$

Proof:

By contradiction: Suppose that $\exists p \in \mathbb{Q}: p^2 = 2$. Then since p is rational, we can write $p = \frac{m}{n}, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- \bullet WLOG we may suppose that m and n are not both even (because if not then we could just divide both by 2 and have a new m and n and repeat until this statement is true)
 - Q: How can we be sure that this dividing by 2 will eventually end? (More explicitly, how many times do we need to do it?)
- We have $2 = p^2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2}$

$$2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2} \tag{1}$$

$$m^2 = 2n^2 \tag{2}$$

$$m^2 = 2n^2 \tag{2}$$

- ullet So m must be even
- Ie m = 2k for some odd integer k

$$2n^2 = m^2 (3)$$

$$2n^2 = (2k)^2 (4)$$

$$n^2 = 2k^2 \tag{5}$$

- \bullet So n must be even, but we said that one of them had to be
- Contradiction!

Example:

(Rudin 1.1b)

- Let $A = \{ p \in \mathbb{Q} : p > 0, p^2 < 2 \}$
- Let $B = \{ p \in \mathbb{Q} : p > 0, p^2 > 2 \}$
- Consider the area where the two sets meet. We know that they meet at $\sqrt{2}$, which is not a rational.
- So we know that the set of rationals has little holes in it, like $\sqrt{2}$ which make us unable to use limits, which are important for real analysis

Then:

- $\forall p \in A, \exists q \in A : p < q \text{ (A does not have a largest element)}$
- Similarly B does not have a smallest element $(\forall p \in B, \exists q \in B: q < p$

Proof:

First one

- Consider arbitrary $p \in A$. Try $q = \frac{2p+2}{2+p}$
- Check $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, the denominator is non-zero and rational, so the result is rational.
- Check q > 0, both numerator and denominator are positive
- Check $q^2 < 2$.

$$q^2 = \frac{(2p+2)^2}{(2+p)^2} \tag{6}$$

$$=\frac{2(p^2-2)}{(p+2)^2}+2\tag{7}$$

- The fraction is less than zero, because the numerator is negative $(p^2 < 2 \text{ because } p \in A)$, so $q^2 < 2)$
- Check that p < q. Well $q = p + \frac{2-p^2}{2+p}$, which is positive, so q > p

Second one

• Exercise (Try the exact same choice of q)

3

Q: Where did that q come from? (Think calculus)

Q: Why do we care so much that there is $q^2 = 2$, but don't care that there isn't a $q^2 = -1$?

1.1 Ordered Sets

Definition: Set order

An **order** (<) on a set S is a relation st

- Every pair of distinct elements $x, y \in S$, exactly one of x < y, x > y, x = y is true
- Transitivity: For $x, y, z \in S$, $x < y \ y < z$ implies x < z

Definition: Ordered set

A pair (S, <) of an order and a set (duh)

ullet Notation: Just S if the order is obvious from the context

Example: Ordered sets

With ordering x < y if y - x is positive

- $S = \mathbb{N}$
- $S = \mathbb{Z}$
- \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} etc

Example: English set

S as the set of English words, < is the dictionary order (by letters)

 \bullet "a" < "a
a" < "ab" < "b"

Notation:

- x < y and y > x are the same
- $x \le y$ means x < y or x = y

Definition: Bounded above

Let S be an ordered set and E be a subset of S ($E \subset S$)

We say E is **bounded above** if there exists an element $\theta \in S: \forall x \in E: x \leq \theta$

 θ is an **upper bound(ub)** of E

• Note: This requires a "universal bounding set" or else the idea of being bounded above doesn't make sense

Example:

Let $S=\mathbb{Q}$ with the standard ordering. Let E=A (from the last section, $0< a,\, a^2<2)$

• Then E is bounded above by p=2 (or really any element $p>\sqrt{2}$)

For $p \in E$, check 2 - p

$$2 - p = \frac{4 - p^2}{(2 + p)} \tag{8}$$

$$> \frac{4-2}{2+n} \tag{9}$$

$$> 0$$
 (10)

Example:

Let S=A and E=A (not a proper subset), in this case E is not bounded above

• We know that for any element $\theta \in E$ there exists another element in E that is larger (from last lecture)

Definition: Bounded below

Let S be an ordered set, $E\subset S.$ E is bounded below if $\exists \beta\in S: \forall x\in E: \beta\leq x$

• β is called a **lower bound (lb)**