# Crete summer school GR basics examples sheet

### Ryan Unger\*

### Contents

1	Orthogonality in Lorentzian vector spaces	1
2	Null hypersurfaces	1
3	The energy-momentum tensor of a scalar field	2
4	Topology of Lorentzian manifolds	3
5	Uniformization of Lorentzian surfaces	4
6	Cauchy stability for ODEs	4

## 1 Orthogonality in Lorentzian vector spaces

Let (V, m) be an (n + 1)-dimensional Lorentzian vector space. Show that:

- a) Two timelike vectors are never orthogonal.
- b) A timelike vector is never orthogonal to a null vector.
- c) Two null vectors are orthogonal if and only if they are collinear.
- d) The orthogonal complement of a null vector is a codimension-one subspace with a degenerate scalar product. The kernel of the scalar product restricted to this subspace is one-dimensional and equal to the span of the null vector.
- e) Continuing the previous point, show that if v is null, then  $(v^{\perp})^{\perp} = \mathbb{R}v$ . (In fact, for any subspace it holds that  $(W^{\perp})^{\perp} = W$ , just as in an inner product space.)

*Hint*: While one can try to prove all of these in a "coordinate free" manner, it is much simpler to work in a standard orthonormal basis.

## 2 Null hypersurfaces

Let  $(\mathcal{M}^{n+1}, g)$  be a Lorentzian manifold and  $\mathcal{H}^n \subset \mathcal{M}^{n+1}$  a smooth embedded hypersurface. We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a null hypersurface if  $T_p\mathcal{H} \subset T_p\mathcal{M}$  is null (as a codimension-one subspace of the Lorentzian vector space  $(T_p\mathcal{M}, g_p)$ ) for every  $p \in \mathcal{H}$ . Show that:

a) There exists a null vector field L defined along  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $L_p \in T_p \mathcal{H}$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{H}$ . This L is both tangent and normal to  $\mathcal{H}$ !

<sup>\*</sup>runger@stanford.edu

b) Show that there exists a function  $f: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\nabla_L L = fL. \tag{2.1}$$

Hint: The goal is show that for any section X of  $T\mathcal{H}$ ,  $g(X, \nabla_L L) = 0$ . Let  $p \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $X_p \in T_p \mathcal{H}$ , and extend  $X_p$  to a vector field  $X \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{H})$  (at least locally near p) such that [L, X] = 0. (Why can this be done?) Now use the symmetry of the connection to show that  $g(\nabla_L L, X) = 0$ .

c) Show that there exists a null vector field L', pointwise proportional to L, such that

$$\nabla_{L'}L' = 0. (2.2)$$

d) Interpret and prove the statement that "any null hypersurface is ruled by null geodesics."

## 3 The energy-momentum tensor of a scalar field

A scalar field is a function  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$  (which often solves a wave equation). We define the energy-momentum tensor of  $\phi$  by

$$T_{\mu\nu} \doteq \partial_{\mu}\phi \partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\alpha}\phi \partial_{\alpha}\phi, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\partial^{\alpha} \phi = g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\beta} \phi$ .

- a) Show that if X is future-directed causal, then  $-T^{\mu}_{\nu}X^{\nu}$  is as well. *Hint*: Choose an orthonormal basis of the tangent space so that X is "standard," i.e., is of the form  $e_0$  or  $e_0 + e_1$ . Use the fact that  $T_{\mu\nu}X^{\mu}X^{\nu}$  is coordinate invariant.
- b) Let X and Y be future-directed timelike vectors at p and  $\{V_{\mu}\}$  a basis of  $T_p\mathcal{M}$ . Show that there exists a constant c>0 such that

$$T(X,Y) \ge c \sum_{\mu} |V_{\mu}\phi|^2.$$
 (3.2)

In this sense T(X,Y) quantitatively "controls all derivatives of  $\phi$ " pointwise.

c) Let X be future-directed timelike and Y be future-directed null. Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\}$  be spacelike vectors so that  $\{Y, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\}$  spans  $Y^{\perp}$ . Show that there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$T(X,Y) \ge c \left( |Y\phi|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |e_i\phi|^2 \right).$$
 (3.3)

This combination misses one direction!

d) Let Y be future-directed null and let  $\underline{Y}$  be a future-directed null vector so that  $g(Y,\underline{Y}) = -2$ . Show that there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$T(Y,\underline{Y}) \ge c \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} |e_i \phi|^2, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}\}$  is a basis of spacelike vectors for  $Y^{\perp} \cap \underline{Y}^{\perp}$ . This combination misses two directions!

Remark 1. In general, the constants c in (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) depend on the metric g, the point p, the vector fields X and Y, and the choice of basis vectors on the right-hand side. In practice one needs some quantitative control this constant so that these estimates are "effective."

## 4 Topology of Lorentzian manifolds

In this exercise, we will see some basic topological properties of Lorentzian manifolds. We wish to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth manifold. The following are equivalent:

- i)  $\mathcal{M}$  admits a Lorentzian metric.
- ii) M admits a time-oriented Lorentzian metric.
- iii)  $\mathcal{M}$  admits a nonvanishing vector field (i.e.,  $X(p) \neq 0$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ ).
- iv) M is noncompact or is compact with vanishing Euler characteristic.

*Proof.* The logic of the proof is as follows: ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) is trivial, iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  iv), iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  ii), and i)  $\Rightarrow$  iv). Here is an outline of some of the parts. We will need the following deep theorem in topology:

**Theorem 2** (Poincaré–Hopf). A closed manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  carries a nonzero vector field if and only if the Euler characteristic  $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ .

Here are now some hints to prove Theorem 1.

a) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth manifold carrying a nonzero vector field X. Let  $g_0$  be a Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{M}$ . (Does such a  $g_0$  always exist?) Show that there exists a positive function  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$  so that

$$g = -f^2 g_0(\cdot, X) \otimes g_0(\cdot, X) + g_0 \tag{4.1}$$

is a Lorentzian metric on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

- b) (\*) Show that every noncompact manifold carries a nonvanishing vector field. *Hint*: Construct a vector field with discrete zeros and isotope the zeros to infinity.
- c) Show that a time-orientable Lorentzian manifold carries an everywhere nonvanishing timelike vector field.
- d) Show that a Lorentzian manifold admits a time-orientable double cover.
- e) Show that a closed Lorentzian manifold has  $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ . Hint: How does  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  behave under finite covering maps?

Corollary 1. Any odd-dimensional smooth manifold admits a Lorentzian metric.

f) (\*) Prove this. Hint: Use Poincaré duality.

Corollary 2. A nonempty closed Lorentzian manifold is not simply connected.

g) (\*) Prove this. Hint: Use Poincaré duality again and aim to show that  $b_1(\mathcal{M}) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  be a closed Lorentzian manifold. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  contains a closed timelike curve.

h) Prove this. Hint: Cover  $\mathcal{M}$  by finitely many sets of the form  $I^+(p_i)$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,N$ . Show that for some  $i, p \in I^+(p_i)$ .

### 5 Uniformization of Lorentzian surfaces

The goal of this exercise is to prove the following important theorem in Lorentzian geometry:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}^2, g)$  be a Lorentzian surface. For any  $p \in \mathcal{M}$  there exist coordinates (t, x) defined in a neighborhood  $U \subset \mathcal{M}$  of p and a smooth, positive function  $\Omega$  on U such that

$$g = \Omega^2(-dt^2 + dx^2) \tag{5.1}$$

in U.

The proof of this fact for Lorentzian metrics is much simpler than for Riemannian metrics. Here is a suggested solution:

- a) Show there exist two null vectors fields X and Y defined in a neighborhood U of p that are linearly independent at every point of U.
- b) Show that there exist functions  $\alpha, \beta \in C^{\infty}(U)$  such that  $[X, Y] = \alpha X + \beta Y$ .
- c) Show that there exist nowhere vanishing functions  $\theta, \zeta \in C^{\infty}(U')$ , where U' is a possibly smaller neighborhood of U, such that  $[\theta X, \zeta Y] = 0$ . Hint: Compute out  $[\theta X, \zeta Y]$  and derive a first order PDE system for  $\theta$  and  $\zeta$  that makes this vanish. Why does a solution exist?
- d) Show that there exists a coordinate chart (u, v) defined near p such that  $\theta X = \partial_u$  and  $\zeta Y = \partial_v$ . Hint: Recall (or prove that) commuting vector fields induce coordinate charts via their flows.
- e) Show that t = u + v, x = v u has the desired properties.

## 6 Cauchy stability for ODEs

Cauchy stability, or continuous dependence on initial data, is a very useful tool when studying wave equations. Here we will see the simplest possible example, which will also introduce us to the notion of bootstrap arguments.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function and suppose  $\bar{y}: I \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth solution of the ODE

$$\frac{d\bar{y}}{dt}(t) = F(t, y(t)) \tag{6.1}$$

with initial condition  $\bar{y}(0) = \bar{y}_0$ , where  $I = [0, T_0]$  is a closed and bounded interval. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  (depending on F,  $T_0$ , and  $\bar{y}_0$ ) such that the solution y(t) of the initial value problem

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = F(t, y(t)),\tag{6.2}$$

$$y(0) = y_0 (6.3)$$

with  $|y_0 - \bar{y}_0| \le \delta$ , exists for  $t \in I$  and satisfies the estimate

$$\sup_{t \in I} |y(t) - \bar{y}(t)| \le \varepsilon. \tag{6.4}$$

We emphasize that this theorem has two parts: the solution  $y: I \to \mathbb{R}$  exists and moreover satisfies the estimate (6.4).

a) First, prove the following continuation criterion.

**Proposition 3.** Let y(t) solve the ODE (6.11) on an interval of the form  $[0, T_*)$  with  $T_* < \infty$ . If

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T_*} |y(t)| < \infty, \tag{6.5}$$

then y can be uniquely smoothly extended to an interval [0,T') with  $T'>T_*$ .

Hint: Use the ODE to prove that y(t) and all of its derivatives are bounded on  $[0, T_*)$ .

b) For  $M \geq 1$ , define the set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\delta,M} \doteq \left\{ T_* \in [0, T_0] : y(t) \text{ exists on } [0, T_*] \text{ and } \sup_{t \in [0, T_*]} |y(t) - \bar{y}(t)| \le 2\delta e^{MT_*} \right\}.$$
 (6.6)

Show that  $A_{\delta,M}$  is nonempty and closed.

c) Show that  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,M}$  is open for M sufficiently large and  $\delta$  sufficiently small (depending on M and  $T_0$ ). Hint: Let  $\tilde{y} \doteq y - \bar{y}$  and use the calculation (mean value theorem)

$$|\tilde{y}(t) - \tilde{y}(0)| \le \int_0^t |F(t', y(t')) - F(t', \bar{y}(t'))| dt'$$
 (6.7)

$$\leq \left( \max_{t' \in [0,t], z \in [\bar{y}(t') - 2\delta e^{Mt'}, \bar{y}(t') + 2\delta e^{Mt'}]} D_z F(t', z) \right) \int_0^t \delta e^{Mt'} dt'.$$
(6.8)

Show that for M sufficiently large,  $\delta$  sufficiently small, and  $T_* \in \mathcal{A}$ , this estimate proves that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_*)} |\tilde{y}(t)| \le \delta e^{MT_*}. \tag{6.9}$$

- d) Conclude Theorem 4 by performing a continuity argument and using Proposition 3.
- e) Generalize Theorem 4 as follows:

**Theorem 5.** Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function and suppose  $\bar{y}: I \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth solution of the ODE

$$\frac{d\bar{y}}{dt} = F(t, y(t)) \tag{6.10}$$

with  $\bar{y}(0) = \bar{y}_0$ , where  $I = (T_{-1}, T_1) \ni 0$  is the maximal domain of definition.<sup>1</sup> For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and compact subinterval  $K \subset I$  containing  $t_0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  (depending on F, K,  $t_0$ , and  $\bar{y}_0$ ) such that the solution y(t) of

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = F(t, y(t)),\tag{6.11}$$

$$y(0) = y_0 (6.12)$$

with  $|y_0 - \bar{y}(t_0)| \leq \delta$ , exists for  $t \in K$  and satisfies the estimate

$$\sup_{t \in K} |y(t) - \bar{y}(t)| \le \varepsilon. \tag{6.13}$$

That is,  $T_1 = \infty$  or  $T_1 < \infty$  and |y(t)| blows up as  $t \nearrow T_1$ , and similarly for  $T_{-1}$ .