Finite Automata

Model where the size of the memory is fixed / limited compared to the size of the input.

Command	Output
\reals	\mathbb{R}
\integers	\mathbb{Z}
\naturals	N
\rationals	Q
\complex	\mathbb{C}

- States: q_1, q_2, q_3
- Transitions: arrows with labels on them
- Start state is the state pointed at with an arrow (\$q_1\$)
- Accept states are the double circled state (\$q_3\$)

Finite automata accept strings as input and the output is either accept or reject

- Begin at start state and then follow arrows with the corresponding input symbols
- Accept if end with accept state and reject if otherwise
- Example: 01011 is accept and 0101 is reject

We want to analyze which strings end in accept and which end in reject

- For this finite automaton, all strings that have 11 in them as a substring are accepted
- Language of M_1 = $L(M_1) = \{w \mid w \text{ has substring } 11\} = A$
- We say that M_1 recognizes A

Formal Definitions

Finite Automata

A finite automaton M is a 5-tuple $M=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$

- Q set of states (must be finite)
- Σ input alphabet (must be finite)
- ullet $\delta:Q imes\Sigma o Q$ transition function
- $ullet q_0 \in Q$ start state
- *F* set of accept states

For string $w=w_1w_2\dots w_k$ with $w_i\in \Sigma$, we say that M accepts w if there exists a sequence of states $r_0,r_1,r_2,\dots,r_n\in Q$ such that:

- ullet $r_0=q_0$
- $r_i = \delta(r_{i-1}, w_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$
- ullet $r_n \in F$

ELanguage

A set of strings (finite or infinite)

- L(M) is the language of M
- $L(M) = \{w | M \text{ accepts } w\}$
- M recognizes L(M)

The empty string arepsilon is the string with length 0 and the empty language \emptyset is the set with no strings.

Regular Language

A language is regular if some finite automaton recognizes it

- $A = \{w | w \text{ contains substring } 11\}$ is regular (see above automaton)
- $B = \{w | w \text{ has an even number of 1s} \}$ is regular (can make automaton for practice)
- $C = \{w | w \text{ has equal number of } 0s \text{ and } 1s\}$ is **not** regular (we will prove)

6 Goal

Understand the regular languages

Regular Operations

Let A and B be languages:

- Union: $A \cup B = \{w | w \in A \text{ or } w \in B\}$
- Concatenation: $A \circ B = AB = \{xy | x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$
- Star: $A^* = \{x_1 \dots x_k | \text{ each } x_i \in A \text{ for } k \geq 0\}$

Note: $arepsilon \in A^*$

Example: $A = \{good, bad\}$ and $B = \{boy, girl\}$

- $A \cup B = \{\text{good}, \text{bad}, \text{boy}, \text{girl}\}$
- $A \circ B = \{\text{goodboy}, \text{goodboy}, \text{badboy}, \text{badgirl}\}$
- $A^* = \{\varepsilon, \text{good}, \text{bad}, \text{goodgood}, \text{goodbad}, \dots\}$

■ Regular Expressions

Built from a set of atomic symbols (akin to numbers in arithmetic expressions) that are combined using symbols (arithmetic operations)

Symbols are from Σ (any letter in the alphabet) or are either \emptyset or ε

These symbols are combined using \cup , \circ , *

Examples with $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$:

- $(0 \cup 1)^* = \Sigma^*$ gives all strings over Σ
- Σ^*1 gives all strings that end with 1
- $\Sigma^* 11\Sigma^*$ gives all strings that contain $11 = L(M_1)$

6 Goal

Show finite automata are equivalent to regular expressions

Proposition: Closure under Union

If A_1,A_2 are regular languages, so is $A_1\cup A_2$

Proof:

Let $M_1=(Q_1,\Sigma,\delta_1,q_1,F_1)$ recognize A_1 and $M_2=(Q_2,\Sigma,\delta_2,q_2,F_2)$ recognize A_2

We wish to construct $M=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$ recognizing $A_1\cup A_2$

- $ullet \ Q = Q_1 imes Q_2 = \{(q_1,q_2) | q_1 \in Q_1, q_2 \in Q_2 \}$
- $q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$
- $egin{aligned} ullet & \delta((q,r),a) = (\delta_1(q,a),\delta_2(r,a)) \ & \circ & F = (F_1 imes Q_2) \cup (Q_1 imes F_2) \end{aligned}$

Proposition: Closure under Concatenation

If A_1, A_2 are regular languages, so is $A_1 \circ A_2$

Proof

Let $M_1=(Q_1,\Sigma,\delta_1,q_1,F_1)$ recognize A_1 and $M_2=(Q_2,\Sigma,\delta_2,q_2,F_2)$ recognize A_2

We wish to construct $M=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$ recognizing $A_1\circ A_2$. This M would need to figure out if there is some way to cut an input word W such that $W=xy,x\in A_1,y\in A_2$

Might think that we could construct such an M such that we first try to go until M_1 accepts and then we switch to M_2

- ullet This could fail because there might be multiple possible places to cut W such that the first half is accepted by M_1
- ullet We **don't** want to just cut W at the first possible point

To solve this, we introduce a new topic: Nondeterministic Finite Automata