The following paper shows an application of hashing to Bioinformatics.

G. Rizk, D. Lavenier, R. Chikhi, *DSK: k-mer counting with very low memory usage*, Bioinformatics (2013) [PDF] [Webpage]

## **ABSTRACT**

**Summary:** Counting all the k-mers (substrings of length k) in DNA/RNA sequencing reads is the preliminary step of many bioinformatics applications. However, state of the art k-mer counting methods require that a large data structure resides in memory. Such structure typically grows with the number of distinct k-mers to count. We present a new streaming algorithm for k-mer counting, called DSK (disk streaming of k-mers), which only requires a fixed, user defined amount of memory and disk space. This approach realizes a memory, time and disk trade-off. The multi-set of all k-mers present in the reads is partitioned and partitions are saved to disk. Then, each partition is separately loaded in memory in a **temporary hash table**. The k-mer counts are returned by **traversing each hash table**. Low abundance k-mers are optionally filtered. DSK is the first approach that is able to count all the 27-mers of a human genome dataset using only 4.0 GB of memory and moderate disk space (160 GB), in 17.9 hours.

This lab is intended to study variations of hashing. Since the interest is primarily algorithmic efficiency, we will ignore physical device considerations. You will have 2 hashing schemes: mine and yours. Mine has three variations. You will have three collision handling strategies: linear probing, quadratic probing, and chaining. You will have two variations on the table structure: bucket of size one or buckets of size three. The table below shows all the combinations that you need to handle.

	Hashing Scheme	Bucket size	Collision Handling Scheme	Print Data Items Across
1	Division modulo 120	bucket size = 1	Linear Probing	5
2	Division modulo 120	bucket size = 1	Quadratic Probing	5
3	Division modulo 120	bucket size = 1	Chaining	5
4	Division modulo 113	bucket size = 1	Linear Probing	5
5	Division modulo 113	bucket size = 1	Quadratic Probing	5
6	Division modulo 113	bucket size = 1	Chaining	5
7	Division modulo 41	bucket size = 3	Linear Probing	3
8	Division modulo 41	bucket size = 3	Quadratic Probing	3
9	Your hashing scheme	bucket size = 1	Linear Probing	5
10	Your hashing scheme	bucket size = 1	Quadratic Probing	5
11	Your hashing scheme	bucket size = 1	Chaining	5

- You need to write a single routine which does hashing using division. It should accept parameters on the modulo divisor and the bucket size.
- You need write your own hashing method. It may NOT be a form of division.
- You need to write methods to handle collisions using linear probing, quadratic probing and chaining.
  - O Although it is not a requirement to do so, if you plan carefully, you can write one method with parameters to handle both linear and quadratic probing. For quadratic probing, use c1 and c2 values from the homework problem. Consider repeating schemes 2, 5, 8, and 10 with different values of c1 and c2.
  - O You may use library list methods to handle the list required for chaining. Use an open addressing scheme (do the chaining within the table) and use a stack to keep track of free space. Please note that this is not what the book means by chaining.
- There will be 120 table slots for all the schemes. They will just be grouped differently for schemes 7-8, which uses buckets of size three. You may assume that the slots are large enough to also contain a pointer or dynamic reference to facilitate the chaining.
- For the required minimal input you are to use the data in the file LabHashingInput.txt. Supplement this as necessary with your own input to exercise your program's features.
- For each scheme, print the table after all the data values are stored, along with statistics on collisions, items unable to be stored, plus anything else you think is reasonable or useful. Be sure to handle all reasonable error situations.

**ANALYSIS:** The written analysis is extremely important. Discuss the ramifications of the different hashing and collision resolution techniques. Compare the schemes and figure out what is good and bad about each one. What would you do to address some of the problems you encountered? What did you learn about load factors? What are the ramifications of deleting items from your hash table? Why is it useful and relevant to Bioinformatics?