Regulated Research Institutional/Industrial Setting Form (1C) This form must be completed AFTER experimentation by the adult supervising the student research conducted

in a regulated research institution, industrial setting or any work site other than home, school or field.

| Student's Name(s) Title of Project | | Elena Grajales Investigating the Role of Neuronal Pentraxin 2 (NPTX2) in the Progression of Parkinsons's Disease | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Dic | I you or your prostantial guidan If no, describe his/her project | oxy (e.g. graduate student, postdoc, employee) mentor or provide ce to the student researcher? your and/or your institution's role with the student researcher and t (e.g. supervised use of equipment on site without ongoing mentorship | Ø | Yes | 0 | No | | |
| b. | If yes, complet | re questions 2 – 5. | | | | | | |
| Use | e questions 3, 4 | and 5 to detail how the student's project was similar and/or | | Yes | Ø | No | | |
| Des | developed the Though he proceed, E | hypotheses or engineering goals for the research project r mentor gave Elena direction on how to lena gave very insightful contributions to the | | | | | | |
| b. c. | Methodolog that NPTX2 disease, Ele been assoc and therefor analyzed and i Data analy | y was suggested by her mentor but after finding may have been decreased in Parkinson's ena had the astute idea that NPTX2 may have iated with dementia in the Parkinson's patients re led her to search for the patient records. Interpreted data Sis was completed by Elena but conclusions | | | | | | |
| | b. le or be compared by the co | be completed by esponses must be or estudent(s) conduct Did you or your prosubstantial guidan a. If no, describe his/her project and sign below. b. If yes, completed by the student's result of the | le of Project Investigating the Role of Neuronal Pentraxin 2 (NPTX2) in the Progression of Pari be completed by the Supervising Adult in the Setting (NOT the Student(s)) after experime esponses must be on the form as it is required to be displayed at student's project booth; please do not pre e student(s) conducted research at my work site: Did you or your proxy (e.g. graduate student, postdoc, employee) mentor or provide substantial guidance to the student researcher? a. If no, describe your and/or your institution's role with the student researcher and his/her project (e.g. supervised use of equipment on site without ongoing mentorship and sign below. b. If yes, complete questions 2–5. Is the student's research project a subset of your ongoing research or work? Use questions 3, 4 and 5 to detail how the student's project was similar and/or different from ongoing research or work at your site. Describe the independence and creativity with which the student: a. developed the hypotheses or engineering goals for the research project Though her mentor gave Elena direction on how to proceed, Elena gave very insightful contributions to the research question. b. designed the methodology for his/her research project Methodology was suggested by her mentor but after finding that NPTX2 may have been decreased in Parkinson's disease, Elena had the astute idea that NPTX2 may have been associated with dementia in the Parkinson's patients and therefore led her to search for the patient records. c. analyzed and interpreted data Data analysis was completed by Elena but conclusions were drawn through discussions between Elena and her | le of Project Investigating the Role of Neuronal Pentraxin 2 (NPTX2) in the Progression of Parkins be completed by the Supervising Adult in the Setting (NOT the Student(s)) after experimenta esponses must be on the form as it is required to be displayed at student's project booth; please do not print e student(s) conducted research at my work site: Did you or your proxy (e.g. graduate student, postdoc, employee) mentor or provide substantial guidance to the student researcher? a. If no, describe your and/or your institution's role with the student researcher and his/her project (e.g. supervised use of equipment on site without ongoing mentorship and sign below. b. If yes, complete questions 2 – 5. Is the student's research project a subset of your ongoing research or work? Use questions 3, 4 and 5 to detail how the student's project was similar and/or different from ongoing research or work at your site. Describe the independence and creativity with which the student: a. developed the hypotheses or engineering goals for the research project Though her mentor gave Elena direction on how to proceed, Elena gave very insightful contributions to the research question. b. designed the methodology for his/her research project Methodology was suggested by her mentor but after finding that NPTX2 may have been decreased in Parkinson's disease, Elena had the astute idea that NPTX2 may have been associated with dementia in the Parkinson's patients and therefore led her to search for the patient records. c. analyzed and interpreted data Data analysis was completed by Elena but conclusions were drawn through discussions between Elena and her | Investigating the Role of Neuronal Pentraxin 2 (NPTX2) in the Progression of Parkinsons's be completed by the Supervising Adult in the Setting (NOT the Student(s)) after experimentation: esponses must be on the form as it is required to be displayed at student's project booth; please do not print double student(s) conducted research at my work site: Did you or your proxy (e.g. graduate student, postdoc, employee) mentor or provide substantial guidance to the student researcher? a. If no, describe your and/or your institution's role with the student researcher and his/her project (e.g. supervised use of equipment on site without ongoing mentorship and sign below. b. If yes, complete questions 2-5. Is the student's research project a subset of your ongoing research or work? Use questions 3, 4 and 5 to detail how the student's project was similar and/or different from ongoing research or work at your site. Describe the independence and creativity with which the student: a. developed the hypotheses or engineering goals for the research project Though her mentor gave Elena direction on how to proceed, Elena gave very insightful contributions to the research question. b. designed the methodology for his/her research project Methodology was suggested by her mentor but after finding that NPTX2 may have been associated with dementia in the Parkinson's disease, Elena had the astute idea that NPTX2 may have been associated with dementia in the Parkinson's patients and therefore led her to search for the patient records. c. analyzed and interpreted data Data analysis was completed by Elena but conclusions were drawn through discussions between Elena and her | Investigating the Role of Neuronal Pentraxin 2 (NPTX2) in the Progression of Parkinsons's Diseated be completed by the Supervising Adult in the Setting (NOT the Student(s)) after experimentation: | | |

(Continued on next page)

Regulated Research Institutional/Industrial Setting Form (1C) Continued

| Stu | ıdent's Name(s) | Elena Grajales | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | |
| 4. | Detail the studen performed). Diffe | t's role in conducting the research (e.g. data collection, specific procedures erentiate what the student observed and what the student actually did. | | |
| | majority of the dat | oper training on how to conduct the Western Blot, Elena performed the a collection as well as data analysis. Tissue dissection and preparation a qualifies scientist due to lack of proper qualifications by the student. | | s |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 5. | If yes, how many | s) work on the project as part of a group? individuals were in the group and who were they (e.g. high school te students, faculty, professional researchers)? | □ Yes | ☑ No |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | institutional regulation | student has conducted the work as indicated above and that any required review latory board (IRB/IACUC/IBC) has been obtained. Copies are attached if applicately ledge that the student will be presenting this work publicly in competition and I be regarding any requirements for my review and/or restrictions of what is publici | able. have communicati | (a) |
| | Khalil S | aadipour K | enior Sci | entist |
| | New York | < university school of medicine 121 | 5/2019 igned (must be aft | ter exneri- |
| | Institution 540 First | st Ave, New York, NY, 10016, USA menta | tion) (mm/dd/yy) | @ nyumo |

Address

Date Signed (must be after experimentation) (mm/dd/yy) khalil. Saadipour @ nyumc. Email/Phone 64 6 204 1205