## OFFICIAL ABSTRACT and CERTIFICATION

-			and the control of th			name of the last o		
Implementation of Novel Sector Weight and Google Trends Data Objectives using MOEA/D Curtails Systematic Risk for Quintessential Investors  Abishek Ravindran W Tresper Clarke High School, Westbury, NY, United States							Category Pick one only — mark an "X" in box at right Animal Sciences	
The Markowitz Mean-Variance model provides a computational framework for developing optimized portfolios but fails to account for the risk associated with human behavior. This study proposes an extended Markowitz Mean-Variance model that incorporates sector-weights and Google Trends data as objectives to bolster the model's applicability to retail investors. As the complexity of the problem renders an exact solution unattainable, a multi-objective evolutionary algorithm based on decomposition (MOEA-D) was implemented for its efficacy in creating a diverse population of solutions in a single run.  The study's purpose was two-fold. First, the implementation of MOEA-D was optimized through parameter fine-tuning with 36 combinations of parameters. Next, the efficacy of the supplementary objectives was tested discretely through two experimental groups and one control group: Google Trends, sector-weights, and a standard bi-objective model, respectively. The following parameters were elucidated as effective for the specificities of the problem: population = 230, mutation rate = .03, crossover rate = .6, and number of iterations = 120,000. The behavioral objective showed promise in developing portfolios that minimized losses during the depth of the financial crisis with an out-of-sample loss of -0.00043 as opposed to the losses of -0.00051 for the bi-objective model, while the model with sector-weights exhibited the lowest variance of 0.000502675 in the out-of-sample data set. While frequent rebalancing would be necessary to ensure favorable results due to greater variance over the out-of-sample data set, Google Trends data exhibits great promise as a supplementary objective for portfolio optimization, warranting further analysis.						ata B B C B B C C & & E E E E E E E	Behavioral & Social Sciences Biochemistry Biomedical & Health Sciences Biomedical Engineering Cellular & Molecular Biology Chemistry Computational Biology & Bioinformatics Earth & Environmental Sciences Embedded Systems Energy: Sustainable Materials and Design Engineering Mechanics Environmental Engineering Materials Science	
1.	<ul> <li>As a part of this research project, the student directly handled, manipulated, or interacted with (check ALL that apply):</li> </ul>						Mathematics Microbiology	
	☐ human participants	☐ potentially hazardou	us biologic	al agent	S		hysics & Astronomy lant Sciences	
	☐ vertebrate animals	☐ microorganisms	□ rDN	Α	□ tissue		obotics & Intelligent	
2.	I/we worked or used equipmen or industrial setting:	t in a regulated researc	h instituti	on 🔳 🗅	∕es □ No	о S Т	Machines Systems Software Translational Medical	
3.	This project is a continuation of	previous research.		Yes	□ No	5	ciences	
4.	My display board includes non- depictions of humans (other tha		s/visual	□ Yes	■ No			
5.	This abstract describes only pro- reflects my/our own independe work only			■ Yes year's	□No			
6.	I/we hereby certify that the abs above statements are correct ar	-		■ Yes work.	□No			
ar	nis stamp or embossed seal attes nd state laws and regulations and een obtained including the final c	d that all appropriate r	eviews ar	nd appro	vals have			

