Regulated Research Institutional/Industrial Setting Form (1C)

This form must be completed AFTER experimentation by the adult supervising the student research conducted in a regulated research institution, industrial setting or any work site other than home, school or field.

Student's Name(s)

Ethan Eisenberg and Jack Cox

Title of Project

Stability Enhancement of Perovskite Solar Cells Using Mixed C

To be completed by the Supervising Adult in the Setting (NOT the Student(s)) after experimentation: (Responses must be on the form as it is required to be displayed at student's project booth; please do not print double-sided.) The student(s) conducted research at my work site: 1. Did you or your proxy (e.g. graduate student, postdoc, employee) mentor or provide Yes ☐ No substantial guidance to the student researcher? If no, describe your and/or your institution's role with the student researcher and his/her project (e.g. supervised use of equipment on site without ongoing mentorship and sign below. b. If yes, complete questions 2-5. ☐ No ✓ Yes 2. Is the student's research project a subset of your ongoing research or work? Use questions 3, 4 and 5 to detail how the student's project was similar and/or

3. Describe the independence and creativity with which the student:

different from ongoing research or work at your site.

a. developed the hypotheses or engineering goals for the research project

My group studies the influence of polymers in stabilizing Perovskite solar cells. These students chose to experiment with mixed Pervoskite structures and determine their influence on efficiency and stability of the resulting cells. The students showed creativity in the combination of materials used in creating the Pervoskite films and in the analysis of the effect.

b. designed the methodology for his/her research project

The students designed the methodology for analysis of the films. They measured changes in grain size, performed XRD to determine crystallinity, and experimented with hot casting techniques.

c. analyzed and interpreted data

Students analyzed the data on their own time. They used imaging software as well as examined literature to compare the results to the control. Students also discussed with mentors to verify they have accurately interpreted the data.

(Continued on next page)

Regulated Research Institutional/Industrial Setting Form (1C) Continued

tu	dent's Name(s) Ethan Eisenberg and	Jack Cox		
	Detail the student's role in conducting performed). Differentiate what the students contributed to the engineering of electron transport layer of the photovoltaic measurements. Furthermore, they were a performed tests such as the efficiency, states	udent observed and with a spir the solar cell with a spir s. Students also prepared so, helpful in developing	rhat the student actually n-coating technique of the T I FTO wafers and glass wa the Titanium dioxide precu	r did. Fitanium dioxide thin film layer, or the fers for solar cell fabrication and Ursor solutionMentors, however
5. -	Did the student(s) work on the project If yes, how many individuals were in students, graduate students, faculty,	the group and who we	ere they (e.g. high schoo ers)?	☑ Yes ☑ No l
	The students did not work as part of a group with other high school students. The students worked directly with a graduate student who supervised them in the lab and provided guidance.			
	,			
	I attest that the student has conducte	ad the work as indicat	ed above and that any re	equired review and approval by
	institutional regulatory hoard (IRB/IA	CUC/IBC) has been ob nt will be presenting t	otained. Copies are attac his work publicly in com	thed if applicable. Spetition and I have communicated with
	Miriam Rafailovich	Miriam Rafailovich	Digitally signed by Mirlam Rafallovich Date: 2019.11.19 00:10.17 -05'00'	Dist. Prof.
	Supervising Adult's Printed Name	Signature		Title
	Stony Brook University		0	11/19/2019
	Institution			Date Signed (must be after experi- mentation) (mm/dd/yy)

5164589011

Email/Phone

100 Nichols Road

Address