

# Wason Selection Task Experiment: Technical Documentation

Cognitive Systems Project

January 8, 2026

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Experiment Overview</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Experimental Design</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Design . . . . .	2
2.2	Independent Variable . . . . .	2
2.3	Dependent Variables . . . . .	2
2.4	Participant Flow . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Front-End Architecture</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Stack . . . . .	2
3.2	State Model . . . . .	3
3.3	Component Structure . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Task Logic and Measurement</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Card Model . . . . .	3
4.2	Selection Logic . . . . .	3
4.3	First Card Selected . . . . .	3
4.4	Selection Changes . . . . .	3
4.5	Final Selection . . . . .	3
4.6	Correctness . . . . .	3
4.7	Timing . . . . .	4
4.8	Confidence . . . . .	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Back-End and Data Storage</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1	Infrastructure . . . . .	4
5.2	Authentication . . . . .	4
5.3	Security Model . . . . .	4
5.4	Write Procedure . . . . .	4
<b>6</b>	<b>Data Schema</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1	Core Fields . . . . .	4
6.2	Derived Measures . . . . .	5
6.3	Data Handling . . . . .	5
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>5</b>

# 1 Experiment Overview

The experiment implements a single-trial, browser-based version of the Wason Selection Task to study the effect of contextual framing on conditional reasoning.

Participants evaluate a conditional rule of the form *If P, then Q* by selecting which of four cards must be turned over to test the rule.

The logical structure is held constant across conditions; only the semantic context varies.

Three conditions are used:

- abstract (numbers and colors)
- familiar real-world (age and alcohol)
- unfamiliar structured (rule-based access scenario)

Participants are randomly assigned to exactly one condition.

## 2 Experimental Design

### 2.1 Design

Between-subjects, single-factor design with one trial per participant.

### 2.2 Independent Variable

Context type with three levels:

- abstract
- familiar
- unfamiliar structured

Assignment is uniform random.

### 2.3 Dependent Variables

Recorded per participant:

- **Correctness:** exact match between final selection and condition-specific correct set
- **Time to submission:** task submit time minus task start time (ms)
- **Selection changes:** number of card toggle actions
- **First card selected:** identifier of first toggled card
- **Confidence:** self-report, 0–100

### 2.4 Participant Flow

Introduction → task → confidence rating → debrief. Backward navigation is not permitted.

## 3 Front-End Architecture

### 3.1 Stack

Client-side application using:

- Vite
- React
- TypeScript
- Tailwind CSS

The application is deployed as a static website.

### 3.2 State Model

A single global session state controls the application. A discrete screen variable determines the rendered view:

- `start`
- `task`
- `grade`
- `end`

Transitions are strictly forward-only.

### 3.3 Component Structure

- `App.tsx`: global state and transitions.
- `screens/`: UI per experimental stage.
- `tasks.ts`: condition definitions and correct sets.
- `types.ts`: shared type definitions.
- `api.ts`, `supabase.ts`: authentication and persistence.

Screen components are stateless with respect to experimental logic.

## 4 Task Logic and Measurement

### 4.1 Card Model

Each task consists of four cards identified as `c1--c4`. Identifiers are constant; labels vary by condition.

### 4.2 Selection Logic

Card interaction is implemented as a toggle:

- selecting adds the card to the selection set
- selecting again removes it

All toggles are centrally handled.

### 4.3 First Card Selected

The first toggle event sets `first_card_selected`. This value is immutable after initialization.

### 4.4 Selection Changes

Each toggle increments `selection_changes`. This counts exploratory behavior independently of correctness.

### 4.5 Final Selection

The final selection is the unordered set of cards selected at submission.

### 4.6 Correctness

A response is correct iff:

- all required cards are selected
- no additional cards are selected

Partial or superset selections are scored as incorrect.

## 4.7 Timing

Task duration is measured using `performance.now()`:

$$\text{time} = \text{task\_submit\_ms} - \text{task\_start\_ms}$$

## 4.8 Confidence

Confidence is collected post-task on a 0–100 scale.

# 5 Back-End and Data Storage

## 5.1 Infrastructure

Data are stored using Supabase (PostgreSQL). No custom server is used.

## 5.2 Authentication

Participants are authenticated via anonymous Supabase auth. The resulting UUID serves as the participant identifier.

No personally identifying data are collected.

## 5.3 Security Model

Row Level Security enforces:

- insert-only access
- authenticated users only
- user may insert only their own rows
- no client-side reads, updates, or deletes

The public API key does not grant privileged access.

## 5.4 Write Procedure

Data are written exactly once, after confidence submission. A saving state prevents duplicate inserts. Errors are surfaced to the participant and allow retry.

# 6 Data Schema

Each row represents one completed session.

## 6.1 Core Fields

- `session_id`: client-generated primary key
- `experiment_id`: experiment/version label
- `user_id`: anonymous Supabase UUID
- `condition`: assigned context
- `task_start_ms`, `task_submit_ms`
- `selection_changes`

- `first_card_selected`
- `final_selection`
- `correct`
- `confidence`
- `created_at`

## 6.2 Derived Measures

- time to submission
- exploratory intensity
- initial reasoning strategy

## 6.3 Data Handling

The database is immutable from the client. All exclusions or corrections are performed offline.

# 7 Conclusion

This document specifies the complete experimental logic, measurement definitions, and data guarantees of the implemented Wason Selection Task system.