Can we convert a square matrix to a Convenient form [a11 0] without changing

important Properties?

- · Value of Det
- · Invertibility
- · Rank & Nullity
- · Char. polynomial
- · Eigenvalues
- · Eigenspace dimension

 $\frac{1}{1}$ If AER^{nxn} a PER^{nxn} is invertible, then, the transformation

$$A \longrightarrow P^{-1}AP \qquad (**)$$

Preserves all properties (*)

Call (**) a similarity transformation

A is similar to P-1 AP

Date: Thu 11/8/18

Lecture # 14: Diagonalization

 $\frac{PF}{\text{det}(AI - P^{-1}AP)} = \text{det}(AP^{-1}IP - P^{1}AP)$ $= \text{det}[P^{-1}(AI - A)P]$ $= \text{det}(P^{-1})[\text{det}(AI - A)] \text{det}(P)$ $= \frac{1}{AOL(D)}[\text{det}(AI - A)] \text{det}(P)$

$$\rho^{-1}A\rho = \frac{1}{5}\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3\\ -1 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3\\ 2 & 0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3\\ 1 & 2\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0\\ 0 & -3\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow e - va/s$$

$$\lambda = 2, -3$$

Def It there's a similarity transf. $A \mapsto P'AP$ 5.t. P'AP is diagonal, then A is diagonalizable (diag'ble) The same of the sa

1hm Let AER xn, TFAE:

- (a) A is diagonalizable
- (b) A has n lin. indep. e-vecs

PF (a) \Rightarrow (b) Assume A is diagible with

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1}A\,\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (*)$$

Call the Col.s of $P: \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, ... \vec{p}_n$. They are L.T. Since P is invertible We are done if we show $\vec{p}_1, ..., \vec{p}_n$ are ℓ -vecs.

Left - multiply (*) by P:

$$P(P^{-1}AP) = P(D) \quad (=AP)$$

$$= [\vec{p}_{1} \dots \vec{p}_{n}] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \vec{p}_1 & \dots & \lambda_n \vec{p}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

But also

$$AP = A[\vec{p}_1 \dots \vec{p}_n] = [A\vec{p}_1 \dots Ap_n]$$

so we have

$$A\vec{p}_1 = \lambda_1 \vec{p}_1, \ldots, A\vec{p}_n = \lambda_n \vec{p}_n$$

i.e. $\vec{p}_1, \dots \vec{p}_n$ are lin. indep. e-vecs

Lecture # 14: Diagonalization

Date: Thu 11/8/18

Pr. (contid)

(b) => (a). Suppose A has lin. indep. e-vecs $P = [\vec{P}_1 \dots \vec{P}_n]$ has lin. indep. Cols, so P is invertible.

Claim: AP = PD where $D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$ Reason:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
AP = \begin{bmatrix} A\vec{p}_1 & \dots & A\vec{p}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \vec{p}_1 & \dots & \lambda_n & \vec{p}_n \end{bmatrix} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_1 & \dots & \vec{p}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = PD
\end{array}$$

By right multiplying by P-1 We get P-'AP=D

There is no easy way to determine if A has lin. indep. e-vecs. The following thm gives us @ least one shortcut.

Thm If $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ is a set of distinct e-vals of A (so $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ whenever $i \neq j$) then any set $\{\vec{p}_1, \ldots \vec{p}_k\}$ of assoc. e-vecs is lin. indep.

Consequence: If the n e-vals of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are distinct then the assoc. e-vecs $\vec{P}_{11}, \dots, \vec{P}_{n}$ are lin. indep. # A is diagible by $P = [P_{1}, \dots, P_{n}]$

Lecture # 14: Diagonalization

Date: Thu 11/8/18

Summary of Diagonalization Procedure

- 1. Find all e-vals $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ & assoc. e-vecs.
- 2. Decide whether A is diagible.

Determine whether there are n lin. indep. e-vecs (there are if there are n distinct e-vals)

3. If A is diag'ble, form p=[p1,...,pn]
Then p-1AP is diagonal.

$$Ex \mid Diagonalize A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find e-vais:

Need cnar. poly:
$$det(A-\lambda I) = |2-\lambda| 3$$

$$= (2-\lambda)(1-\lambda) - 12$$

$$= 2 - 2\lambda - \lambda + \lambda^2 - 12$$

$$= (10-3)(1-\lambda)$$

$$= (10-3)(1-\lambda) - 12$$

$$= (10-3)(1-\lambda) -$$

evais: \ = 5, \ = -2

Date: Thu 11/8/18

Ex. 1) (contid)

Find e-vecs:
For
$$\lambda = 5$$

 $0 = A = 5I = \begin{bmatrix} 2-5 & 3 \\ 4 & 1-5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 3 \\ 4 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\Rightarrow -3x_1 + 3x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$
 $4x_1 - 4x_2 = 0 \text{ evec: } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{7},$

For
$$\lambda = -2$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = A + 2I = \begin{bmatrix} a + a & 3 \\ 4 & 1 + a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow 4X_1 + 3X_2 = 0 \Rightarrow 4X_1 = -3X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_2 = \frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_2 = \frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_2 = \frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_1$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_2$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}{4}X_1$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1 = -\frac{3}$$

Lecture # 14: Diagonalization

Date: Thu 11/8/18

We can also rewrite $P^{-1}AP = D$ to find a representation for A:

$$P^{-1}AP=D$$

Multiplying (on the left) by P
$$P(P^{-1}AP) = PD$$

$$AP = PD$$

Multiplying on the right by P^{-1} $(AP) P^{-1} = PD P^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 A = PDP-1

 $E_{x,2}$ From the previous example confirm that $A = PDP^{-1}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{7}{=} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 5 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{!}{\neq} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\frac{1}{7}\begin{bmatrix}5 & 6\\5 & -8\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}4 & 3\\-1 & 1\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{7} \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 21 \\ 28 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Date: Thu 11/8/18

Lecture # 14: Diagonalization

We know
$$A = PDP^{-1}$$

$$= (DDP_{-1})(DDP_{-1})(DDP_{-1})$$

$$\Longrightarrow A_{ij} = (DDP_{-1})_{ij}$$

$$= bD(b_{-i},b)D(b_{-i},b)D(b_{-i},b)Db_{-i}$$

$$D^{4} = \begin{bmatrix} (5)^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & (-3)^{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 135 & 0 \\ 0 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$$