

Binary Exponentiation

Binary exponentiation (also known as exponentiation by squaring) is a trick which allows to calculate a^n using only $O(\log n)$ multiplications (instead of $O(n)$ multiplications required by the naive approach).

It also has important applications in many tasks unrelated to arithmetic, since it can be used with any operations that have the property of **associativity**:

$$(X \cdot Y) \cdot Z = X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$$

Most obviously this applies to modular multiplication, to multiplication of matrices and to other problems which we will discuss below.

Algorithm

Raising a to the power of n is expressed naively as multiplication by a done $n - 1$ times: $a^n = a \cdot a \cdot \dots \cdot a$. However, this approach is not practical for large a or n .

$$a^{b+c} = a^b \cdot a^c \text{ and } a^{2b} = a^b \cdot a^b = (a^b)^2.$$

The idea of binary exponentiation is, that we split the work using the binary representation of the exponent.

Let's write n in base 2, for example:

$$3^{13} = 3^{1101_2} = 3^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 3^1$$

Since the number n has exactly $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$ digits in base 2, we only need to perform $O(\log n)$ multiplications, if we know the powers $a^1, a^2, a^4, a^8, \dots, a^{2^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor}}$.

So we only need to know a fast way to compute those. Luckily this is very easy, since an element in the sequence is just the square of the previous element.

$$\begin{aligned} 3^1 &= 3 \\ 3^2 &= (3^1)^2 = 3^2 = 9 \\ 3^4 &= (3^2)^2 = 9^2 = 81 \\ 3^8 &= (3^4)^2 = 81^2 = 6561 \end{aligned}$$

So to get the final answer for 3^{13} , we only need to multiply three of them (skipping 3^2 because the corresponding bit in n is not set): $3^{13} = 6561 \cdot 81 \cdot 3 = 1594323$

The final complexity of this algorithm is $O(\log n)$: we have to compute $\log n$ powers of a , and then have to do at most $\log n$ multiplications to get the final answer from them.

The following recursive approach expresses the same idea:

$$a^n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n == 0 \\ (a^{\frac{n}{2}})^2 & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ and } n \text{ even} \\ (a^{\frac{n-1}{2}})^2 \cdot a & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ and } n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Implementation

First the recursive approach, which is a direct translation of the recursive formula:

```
long long binpow(long long a, long long b) {
    if (b == 0)
        return 1;
    long long res = binpow(a, b / 2);
    if (b % 2)
        return res * res * a;
    else
        return res * res;
}
```

The second approach accomplishes the same task without recursion. It computes all the powers in a loop, and multiplies the ones with the corresponding set bit in n . Although the complexity of both approaches is identical, this approach will be faster in practice since we don't have the overhead of the recursive calls.

```
long long binpow(long long a, long long b) {
    long long res = 1;
    while (b > 0) {
        if (b & 1)
            res = res * a;
        a = a * a;
        b >>= 1;
    }
    return res;
}
```

Applications

Effective computation of large exponents modulo a number

Problem: Compute $x^n \bmod m$. This is a very common operation. For instance it is used in computing the [modular multiplicative inverse](#).

Solution: Since we know that the modulo operator doesn't interfere with multiplications $(a \cdot b \equiv (a \bmod m) \cdot (b \bmod m) \pmod m)$, we can directly use the same code, and just replace every multiplication with a modular multiplication:

```
long long binpow(long long a, long long b, long long m) {
    a %= m;
    long long res = 1;
    while (b > 0) {
        if (b & 1)
            res = res * a % m;
        a = a * a % m;
        b >>= 1;
    }
    return res;
}
```

Note: It's possible to speed this algorithm for large $b \gg m$. If m is a prime number $x^n \equiv x^{n \bmod (m-1)} \pmod m$ for prime m , and $x^n \equiv x^{n \bmod \phi(m)} \pmod m$ for composite m . This follows directly from Fermat's little theorem and Euler's theorem, see the article about [Modular Inverses](#) for more details.

Effective computation of Fibonacci numbers

Problem: Compute n -th Fibonacci number F_n .

Solution: For more details, see the [Fibonacci Number article](#). We will only go through an overview of the algorithm. To compute the next Fibonacci number, only the two previous ones are needed, as $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$. We can build a 2×2 matrix that describes this transformation: the transition from F_i and F_{i+1} to F_{i+1} and F_{i+2} . For example, applying this transformation to the pair F_0 and F_1 would change it into F_1 and F_2 . Therefore, we can raise this transformation matrix to the n -th power to find F_n in time complexity $O(\log n)$.

Applying a permutation k times

Problem: You are given a sequence of length n . Apply to it a given permutation k times.

Solution: Simply raise the permutation to k -th power using binary exponentiation, and then apply it to the sequence. This will give you a time complexity of $O(n \log k)$.

```
vector<int> applyPermutation(vector<int> sequence, vector<int> permutation) {
    vector<int> newSequence(sequence.size());
    for(int i = 0; i < sequence.size(); i++) {
        newSequence[i] = sequence[permutation[i]];
    }
    return newSequence;
}

vector<int> permute(vector<int> sequence, vector<int> permutation, long long k) {
    while (k > 0) {
        if (k & 1) {
            sequence = applyPermutation(sequence, permutation);
        }
        permutation = applyPermutation(permutation, permutation);
        k >>= 1;
    }
    return sequence;
}
```

Note: This task can be solved more efficiently in linear time by building the permutation graph and considering each cycle independently. You could then compute k modulo the size of the cycle and find the final position for each number which is part of this cycle.

Fast application of a set of geometric operations to a set of points

Problem: Given n points p_i , apply m transformations to each of these points. Each transformation can be a shift, a scaling or a rotation around a given axis by a given angle. There is also a "loop" operation which applies a given list of transformations k times ("loop" operations can be nested). You should apply all transformations faster than $O(n \cdot length)$, where $length$ is the total number of transformations to be applied (after unrolling "loop" operations).

Solution: Let's look at how the different types of transformations change the coordinates:

- Shift operation: adds a different constant to each of the coordinates.
- Scaling operation: multiplies each of the coordinates by a different constant.
- Rotation operation: the transformation is more complicated (we won't go in details here), but each of the new coordinates still can be represented as a linear combination of the old ones.

As you can see, each of the transformations can be represented as a linear operation on the coordinates. Thus, a transformation can be written as a 4×4 matrix of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

that, when multiplied by a vector with the old coordinates and a unit gives a new vector with the new coordinates and a unit:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' & y' & z' & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Why introduce a fictitious fourth coordinate, you ask? That is the beauty of [homogeneous coordinates](#), which find great application in computer graphics. Without this, it would not be possible to implement affine operations like the shift operation as a single matrix multiplication, as it requires us to *add* a constant to the coordinates. The affine transformation becomes a linear transformation in the higher dimension!)

Here are some examples of how transformations are represented in matrix form:

- Shift operation: shift x coordinate by 5, y coordinate by 7 and z coordinate by 9.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 7 & 9 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Scaling operation: scale the x coordinate by 10 and the other two by 5.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Rotation operation: rotate θ degrees around the x axis following the right-hand rule (counter-clockwise direction).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, once every transformation is described as a matrix, the sequence of transformations can be described as a product of these matrices, and a "loop" of k repetitions can be described as the matrix raised to the power of k (which can be calculated using binary exponentiation in $O(\log k)$). This way, the matrix which represents all transformations can be calculated first in $O(m \log k)$, and then it can be applied to each of the n points in $O(n)$ for a total complexity of $O(n + m \log k)$.

Number of paths of length k in a graph

Problem: Given a directed unweighted graph of n vertices, find the number of paths of length k from any vertex u to any other vertex v .

Solution: This problem is considered in more detail in [a separate article](#). The algorithm consists of raising the adjacency matrix M of the graph (a matrix where $m_{ij} = 1$ if there is an edge from i to j , or 0 otherwise) to the k -th power. Now m_{ij} will be the number of paths of length k from i to j . The time complexity of this solution is $O(n^3 \log k)$.

Note: In that same article, another variation of this problem is considered: when the edges are weighted and it is required to find the minimum weight path consisting exactly k edges. As shown in that article, this problem is also solved by exponentiation of the adjacency matrix. The matrix would have the weight of the edge from i to j , or ∞ if there is no such edge. Instead of the usual operation of multiplying two matrices, a modified one should be used: instead of multiplication, both values are added, and instead of a summation, a minimum is taken. That is: $result_{ij} = \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} (a_{ik} + b_{kj})$.

Variation of binary exponentiation: multiplying two numbers modulo m

Problem: Multiply two numbers a and b modulo m . a and b fit in the built-in data types, but their product is too big to fit in a 64-bit integer. The idea is to compute $a \cdot b \pmod m$ without using bignum arithmetics.

Solution: We simply apply the binary construction algorithm described above, only performing additions instead of multiplications. In other words, we have "expanded" the multiplication of two numbers to $O(\log m)$ operations of addition and multiplication by two (which, in essence, is an addition).

$$a \cdot b = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 2 \cdot \frac{a}{2} \cdot b & \text{if } a > 0 \text{ and } a \text{ even} \\ 2 \cdot \frac{a-1}{2} \cdot b + b & \text{if } a > 0 \text{ and } a \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Note: You can solve this task in a different way by using floating-point operations. First compute the expression $\frac{a \cdot b}{m}$ using floating-point numbers and cast it to an unsigned integer q . Subtract $q \cdot m$ from $a \cdot b$ using unsigned integer arithmetics and take it modulo m to find the answer. This solution looks rather unreliable, but it is very fast, and very easy to implement. See [here](#) for more information.

Practice Problems

- [UVa 1230 - MODEX](#)
- [UVa 374 - Big Mod](#)
- [UVa 11029 - Leading and Trailing](#)
- [Codeforces - Parking Lot](#)
- [Leetcode - Count good numbers](#)
- [Codechef - Chef and Raffles](#)
- [Codeforces - Decoding Genome](#)
- [Codeforces - Neural Network Country](#)
- [Codeforces - Magic Gems](#)
- [SPOJ - The last digit](#)
- [SPOJ - Locker](#)
- [LA - 3722 Jewel-eating Monsters](#)
- [SPOJ - Just add it](#)
- [Codeforces - Stairs and Lines](#)

Contributors: [jakobkogler](#) (41.95%) [gabrielismoes](#) (17.98%) [tcNickolas](#) (11.24%) [back2sqr1](#) (5.99%) [RodionGork](#) (5.62%) [Electron1997](#) (3.0%) [adamant-pwn](#) (2.62%) [shark_s](#) (2.25%) [Morass](#) (1.87%) [turfaa](#) (1.87%) [roundspice](#) (1.5%) [wangwillian0](#) (0.75%) [arjunUpatel](#) (0.37%) [snymm2](#) (0.37%) [PAndaContron](#) (0.37%) [rskbansal](#) (0.37%) [zhangdavid275](#) (0.37%) [ahmedibrahim404](#) (0.37%) [wikku](#) (0.37%) [algmyr](#) (0.37%) [vatsalsharma376](#) (0.37%)