U.S. Department of the Interior

U.S. Geological Survey

Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Atmospheric Deposition of Inorganic Reactive Nitrogen at the Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge, 2017–19

By Gregory A. Wetherbee

Abstract

The Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge (RFNWR) in Colorado is home to increasingly rare, xeric tallgrass prairie. The RFNWR is also located near many combustion and agricultural sources of inorganic reactive nitrogen (Nr) which emit Nr to the atmosphere. Wet atmospheric deposition of Nr was monitored at RFNWR during 2017–19 by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Comparison of measured Nr deposition amounts to critical load values indicated local urban air pollution is at a level that could impair the protected RFNWR habitat.

Background


Inorganic reactive nitrogen (Nr) is emitted to the atmosphere as nitrate (NO₃⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺) by many combustion and agricultural sources, thus forming air pollution (Galloway and others, 2002). Atmospheric deposition of air pollution by precipitation (known as wet deposition) back to the Earth's surface can harm ecosystems (Clark and Tilman, 2008). The Nr is used by plants as a nutrient, but too much of this nutrient can cause invasive species, such as weeds, to grow more rapidly than native grasses and shrubs, which can change natural ecosystems (Padgett and Allen, 1999). The Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge (RFNWR) in Colorado is home to increasingly rare, xeric tallgrass prairie (Nelson, 2010) and it is also located near many sources of Nr air pollution. This study shows how the RFNWR ecosystem is affected by atmospheric Nr deposition derived from these sources

Monitoring Wet Deposition of Inorganic Reactive Nitrogen from **Air Pollution**

Wet deposition (by rain and snow) of atmospheric Nr and other pollutants was measured at RFNWR during 2017–19 (study period) at the CO86 monitoring site in figure 1. The CO86 site was operated by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) as part of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program/Nationa Trends Network (NADP/NTN). The NADP/NTN has served as the Nation's wet-deposition monitoring network since 1978 (NADP, 2023).

Methods

Weekly composite samples of wet-deposited pollutants were collected, processed, and analyzed by standard NADP methods (NADP, 2023). Samples were filtered (0.45 microns) and then analyzed for concentrations of



N Map_ area

COLORADO

Figure 1. Monitoring site CO86 (Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge, Colorado) within the National Atmospheric Deposition Program/National Trends Network (NADP, 2023), used for monitoring wet deposition (by precipitation) of inorganic reactive nitrogen and other pollutants to the Earth's surface. [Photograph by Gregory Wetherbee, U.S. Geological Survey]



monitored ions, including the Nr components NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺. From these data, total Nr concentrations were calculated, in milligrams per liter (mg/L). Precipitation depth was measured by a continuously recording precipitation gage (Wetherbee and others, 2021). Measured amounts of annual precipitation were multiplied by the Nr concentrations to calculate total, annual loads of Nr—defined as the mass of Nr contamination deposited to the RFNWR ecosystem by precipitation.

Sources of Nr in air pollution deposited in precipitation at the CO86 monitoring site were evaluated. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's computer model HYSPLIT (Stein and others, 2015) was used to determine the paths air masses traveled enroute to the RFNWR for various altitudes for 24 hours prior to each precipitation occurrence that contributed to samples collected at CO86. The paths, known as back trajectories, point back to regions where Nr pollution was entrained into the atmosphere.

What Was Found and Learned?

Annual precipitation depth was slightly below normal in 2017 (48.8 millimeters [mm]), followed by a drier year in 2018 (42.2 mm), and then the wettest of the study period was 2019 (54.5 mm; National Weather Service, 2019). Fewer days with rain and snowstorms occurred during 2017 and 2018 than during 2019 (fig. 2).

Annual measurements (in units of kilograms per hectare) of wet-deposited ions are shown in figure 3. These are the amounts of each measured constituent deposited onto the RFNWR land and water surfaces as either dissolved or on particles smaller than 0.45 microns in the precipitation. Measured Nr deposition increased between 2017–19, even though 2018 was not as wet as 2017 (fig. 2).

Urban Deposition Monitoring

Site CO86 is in an urban location by NADP standards because the population density is greater than 400 people per square kilometer (km²) within 15 km of the site (NADP, 2023). As such, the NADP/NTN data for CO86 wet deposition are excluded from Total Deposition of Atmospheric Nitrogen (TDep) maps to prevent broad interpolation of urban measurements into nonurban regions of the maps (NADP, 2019). However, this protocol causes the maps to underrepresent Nr deposition in urban ecosystems like the RFNWR.

The TDep maps are often used to determine critical load exceedances for the National Critical Loads Database (CLAD, 2021). A critical load is the threshold amount of deposited air contaminants, when exceeded, can cause harm to ecosystem resources (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2021). Figure 4 shows when data from the CO86 site are inserted into the 2017–19 TDep maps (CO86 measured wet Nr plus TDep dry N), critical load exceedances are indicated, whereas excluding CO86 data indicates no exceedances (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2021).




Figure 2. Record of precipitation depth (2017–19) at monitoring site CO86 (Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge, Colorado) within the National Atmospheric Deposition Program/National Trends Network (NADP, 2023).




Figure 3. Annual wet depositions (2017–19) of atmospheric ions at Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge, Colorado, including inorganic reactive nitrogen at monitoring site CO86 within the National Atmospheric Deposition Program/Nationa Trends Network (NADP, 2023).

Results of back-trajectory modeling of storm directions, shown in figure 5 indicate the greatest deposits of Nr predominantly came from storms tracking from north and northeast of the RFNWR. This region has many urban, agricultural, and other industrial Nr emission sources (Wetherbee and others, 2019).

Conclusions

Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge has rural characteristics, but its unique habitats receive atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition in excess of the critical loads for herbaceous plants and shrubs. Back trajectory modeling indicates nearby urban and agricultural areas northeast of the refuge as likely source regions of nitrogen emissions. This study demonstrates the value of including urban monitoring data for wet deposition of inorganic, reactive nitrogen in regionally interpolated maps of total atmospheric N deposition for protection of natural resources.




Figure 4. Annual loads (2017–19) of measured and estimated inorganic reactive nitrogen, with comparison to critical loads for Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge, Colorado. Critical loads are from the National Critical Loads Database (2021). [Nr, inorganic reactive nitrogen; kg ha-1, kilograms per hectare; 6, standard deviation; NADP, National Atmospheric Deposition Program; N, nitrogen; TDep; Total Deposition of atmospheric nitrogen]




Figure 5. Pollution rose diagrams showing HYSPLIT (Stein and others, 2015) modeled back trajectories of storms and associated weekly inorganic reactive nitrogen concentrations and wet depositions for precipitation samples collected at monitoring site C086, Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge (Colorado), 2017-19. [NADP, National Atmospheric Deposition Program; Nr, inorganic reactive nitrogen; N, nitrogen; mg/L, milligrams per liter; kg ha⁻¹, kilograms per hectare]

References Cited

Clark, C.M., and Tilman, D., 2008, Loss of plant species after chronic low-level nitrogen deposition to prairie grasslands: Nature, v. 451, no. 7179, p. 712–715, accessed September 19, 2022, at https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06503.

Critical Loads of Atmospheric Deposition [CLAD] Science Committee, 2021, CLAD National critical load database (NCLD), version 3.2: National Atmospheric Deposition Program, accessed December 3, 2021, at https://nadp.slh.wisc.edu/clad-national-critical-load-database/

Galloway, J.N., Cowling, E.B., Seitzinger, S.P., and Socolow, R.H., 2002, Reactive nitrogen—Too much of a good thing?: Ambio—A journal of the human environment, v. 31, no. 2, p. 60–63, accessed September 19, 2022, at https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-31.2.60.

National Atmospheric Deposition Program, 2019 [NADP], 2017 Total deposition measurement model fusion maps: Madison, Wis., Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene, University of Wisconsin, accessed September 19, 2022, at https://nadp.slh.wisc.edu/wp-content/

National Atmospheric Deposition Program [NADP], 2023, National Atmospheric Deposition Program data: Madison, Wis., Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene, University of Wisconsin, accessed January 6, 2023, at https://nadp.slh.wisc.edu.

National Weather Service, 2019, Denver's 2019 annual climate summary: National Weather Service, accessed March 20, 2023, at https://www.weather.gov/bou/Denver_2019_climate_summary.

Nelson, J.K., 2010, Vascular flora of the Rocky Flats area, Jefferson County, Colorado, USA: Phytologia, v. 92, no. 2, p. 121–150.

Padgett, P.E., and Allen, E.B., 1999, Differential responses to nitrogen fertilization in native shrubs and exotic annuals common to Mediterranean coastal sage scrub of California: Plant Ecology, v. 144, no. 1, p. 93–101, accessed September 19, 2022, at https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009895720067.

Stein, A.F., Draxler, R.R., Rolph, G.D., Stunder, B.J.B., Cohen, M.D., and Ngan, F., 2015, NOAA's HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system: Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, v. 96, p. 2059–2077, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2021, Critical loads mapper version 2.2.2: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, accessed

Wetherbee, G.A., Benedict, K.B., Murphy, S.F., and Elliott, E.M., 2019, Inorganic nitrogen wet deposition gradients in the Denver-Boulder metropolitan area and Colorado Front Range—Preliminary implications for Rocky Mountain National Park and interpolated deposition maps: Science of the Total Environment, v. 691, p. 1027–1042, accessed September 19, 2022, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.528.

Wetherbee, G.A., Murphy, S.F., Repert, D.A., Heindel, R.C., and Liethen, E.A., 2021, Chemical analyses and precipitation depth data for wet deposition samples collected as part of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program in the Colorado Front Range, 2017–2019, U.S. Geological Survey data release, accessed January 6, 2023, at https://doi.org/10.5066/P9OOIQ0E.

> Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

For sale by U.S. Geological Survey, Information Services, Box 25286, Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225, 1–888–ASK–USGS

Suggested citation:

Wetherbee, G.A., 2023, Atmospheric deposition of inorganic reactive nitrogen at the Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge, 2017–19: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2023–1027, 1 sheet, available at https://doi. org/10.3133/ofr20231027.

Associated data for this publication:

Wetherbee, G.A., Murphy, S.F., Repert, D.A., Heindel, R.C., and Liethen, E.A., 2021, Chemical analyses and precipitation depth data for wet deposition samples collected as part of the National Atmospheric Deposition Program in the Colorado Front Range, 2017–2019, U.S. Geological Survey data release, accessed January 6, 2023, at https://doi.org/10.5066/P900IQ0E

National Atmospheric Deposition Program [NADP], 2023, National Atmospheric Deposition Program: National Atmospheric Deposition Program, accessed January 6, 2023, at https://nadp.slh.wisc.edu/.

Publishing support provided by the Science Publishing Network, Denver and Reston Publishing Service Centers.

ISSN Online: 2331-1258 https://doi.org/10.3133/ ofr20231027