

Identification Analysis

Kosuke Imai

Harvard University

STAT186/GOV2002 CAUSAL INFERENCE

Fall 2018

Difficulties of Observational Studies

- Observational studies \rightsquigarrow No randomization of treatment assignment

$$\{Y_i(1), Y_i(0)\} \not\perp T_i$$

- Treatment assignment mechanism is often unknown
- Possible existence of observed and unobserved confounders
- Credible causal inference in observational studies
- Key questions:
 - 1 What is your identification assumption?
 - 2 What is your identification strategy?

Identification vs. Statistical Inference

- Identification: How much can you learn about the estimand if you had an infinite amount of data?
- Statistical Inference: How much can you learn about the estimand from a finite sample?
- Identification precedes statistical inference
- Key questions for **identification analysis**:
 - ① What can be learned without making any assumption other than the ones which we know are satisfied by the design?
 - ② What is a minimum set of assumptions required for the point identification of an estimand?
 - ③ Can we characterize the identification region if we relax some or all of these assumptions?
- **Law of Decreasing Credibility**: The credibility of inference decreases with the strength of the assumptions maintained
(Manski. 2007. *Identification for Prediction and Decision*. Harvard UP)

Identification of the Average Treatment Effect

- Identification assumptions:

- 1 Overlap (i.e., no extrapolation):

$$0 < \Pr(T_i = 1 \mid \mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{x}) < 1 \text{ for any } \mathbf{x}$$

- 2 Ignorability (exogeneity, unconfoundedness, no omitted variable, selection on observables, etc.)

$$\{Y_i(1), Y_i(0)\} \perp\!\!\!\perp T_i \mid \mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{x} \text{ for any } \mathbf{x}$$

- Under these assumptions:

$$\tau = \mathbb{E}(Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)) = \mathbb{E}\{\mu(1, \mathbf{X}_i) - \mu(0, \mathbf{X}_i)\}$$

- Regression-based Estimator:

$$\hat{\tau}_{\text{reg}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{\hat{\mu}(1, \mathbf{X}_i) - \hat{\mu}(0, \mathbf{X}_i)\}$$

Regression-based Estimation

- Example: Logistic regression

$$\mu(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta \cdot t + \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta \cdot t + \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$$

- The Estimator:

$$\hat{\tau}_{\text{reg}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\exp(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + \mathbf{X}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})}{1 + \exp(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + \mathbf{X}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})} - \frac{\exp(\hat{\alpha} + \mathbf{X}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})}{1 + \exp(\hat{\alpha} + \mathbf{X}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})} \right\}$$

- Variance for the **Conditional Average Treatment Effect**:

$$\mathbb{V}(\hat{\tau}_{\text{reg}} \mid \mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{V} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}(1, \mathbf{X}_i) - \hat{\mu}(0, \mathbf{X}_i) \mid \mathbf{X} \right)$$

Asymptotic Variance Calculation

- **Delta method:**

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{V}(\hat{\mu}(1, \mathbf{X}_i) \mid \mathbf{X}) + \mathbb{V}(\hat{\mu}(0, \mathbf{X}_i) \mid \mathbf{X}) - 2\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}(1, \mathbf{X}_i), \hat{\mu}(0, \mathbf{X}_i) \mid \mathbf{X}) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i' \neq i} \text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}(1, \mathbf{X}_i) - \hat{\mu}(0, \mathbf{X}_i), \hat{\mu}(1, \mathbf{X}_{i'}) - \hat{\mu}(0, \mathbf{X}_{i'}) \mid \mathbf{X}) \right\}$$

- **Bootstrap** (Unconditional inference for the ATE):

- 1 Independently sample n observations with replacement
- 2 Fit the logistic regression and compute $\hat{\tau}_{\text{reg}}$
- 3 Repeat

- **Quasi-Bayesian Monte Carlo** (Zelig; King et al. 2000. *Amer. J. Political Sci*):

- 1 Sample (α, β, γ) from $\mathcal{N}((\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}), \widehat{\mathbb{V}}((\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma})))$
- 2 Compute τ_{reg}
- 3 Repeat

Analysis of Bounds

- What can we learn about the ATE if we make no assumption?
- **No-assumption bounds** as the starting point of analysis:

$$[-\Pr(Y_i = 0 \mid T_i = 1)\Pr(T_i = 1) - \Pr(Y_i = 1 \mid T_i = 0)\Pr(T_i = 0), \\ \Pr(Y_i = 1 \mid T_i = 1)\Pr(T_i = 1) + \Pr(Y_i = 0 \mid T_i = 0)\Pr(T_i = 0)]$$

- The width of the bounds is 1: “A glass is half empty/full”
- **Monotone treatment selection** (Manski and Pepper. 2000. *Econometrica*):

$$[\mathbb{E}(Y_i \mid T_i = 1)\Pr(T_i = 1) + \ell \cdot \Pr(T_i = 0) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i \mid X_i), \\ \mathbb{E}(Y_i) - \mathbb{E}(Y_i \mid T_i = 0)\Pr(T_i = 0) - \ell \cdot \Pr(T_i = 1)].$$

where $\ell \leq Y_i$

Perry Preschool Project

- Randomized evaluation of HighScope early childhood curriculum
- A couple of hundred at-risk children in Ypsilanti (Michigan)
- Follow-ups at older ages
- High school graduation by age 19: 67% (treated) vs. 49% (control)
- What would the graduation rate be if only some children receive the intervention? (Manski. 1997. *Rev. Econ. Stud.*)
 - no-assumption bounds: [16%, 100%]
 - intervention can never hurt: [49%, 67%]
 - independent potential outcomes: [33%, 83%]
 - 10% receives the intervention: [39%, 59%]
 - 90% receives the intervention: [57%, 77%]

Statistical Inference for Bounds

- 1 Confidence intervals for the true bounds:

$$[\hat{\tau}_{\text{lower}} - z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{\text{lower}}, \hat{\tau}_{\text{upper}} + z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{\text{upper}}]$$

where $\sigma_{\text{lower}}^2 = \mathbb{V}(\hat{\tau}_{\text{lower}})$ and $\sigma_{\text{upper}}^2 = \mathbb{V}(\hat{\tau}_{\text{upper}})$

- 2 Confidence intervals for the true value:

$$[\hat{\tau}_{\text{lower}} - z_{1-\alpha} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{\text{lower}}, \hat{\tau}_{\text{upper}} + z_{1-\alpha} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{\text{upper}}]$$

- If $\tau = \tau_{\text{lower}}$ or $\tau = \tau_{\text{upper}}$, the coverage prob. converges to $1 - \alpha$
- If $\tau_{\text{lower}} < \tau < \tau_{\text{upper}}$, the coverage prob. converges to 1

More Efficient Interval (Imbens and Manski. 2004. *Econometrica*)

- Consider the estimation of $\theta = \mathbb{E}(Y_i(1))$ with $0 \leq Y_i(1) \leq 1$
- No assumption bounds:

$$[\theta_{\text{lower}}, \theta_{\text{upper}}] = [\mu_1 \pi, \mu_1 \pi + (1 - \pi)] \text{ where } \mu_1 = E(Y_i | T_i = 1)$$

- Symmetric confidence interval:

$$[\hat{\theta}_{\text{lower}} - D, \hat{\theta}_{\text{upper}} + D]$$

where we choose D such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr(\hat{\theta}_{\text{lower}} - D \leq \theta \leq \hat{\theta}_{\text{upper}} + D \mid \hat{\pi}) \\ = & 1 - \Pr(\theta < \hat{\theta}_{\text{lower}} - D \mid \hat{\pi}) - \Pr(\theta > \hat{\theta}_{\text{upper}} + D \mid \hat{\pi}) \\ \geq & \Phi\left(\sqrt{n\hat{\pi}} \cdot \frac{D + 1 - \pi}{\sigma_1 \pi}\right) - \Phi\left(-\sqrt{n\hat{\pi}} \cdot \frac{D}{\sigma_1 \pi}\right) = 1 - \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Concluding Remarks

- Distinction between associational and causal relationships
- Do not just report coefficients: e.g., calculate ATE/ATT
- Causal inference in observational studies requires additional assumptions
 - ① Overlap
 - ② Ignorability
- These assumptions may be difficult to defend
- Identification analysis \rightsquigarrow partial identification
 - ① What can data alone tell us about causal effects?
 - ② How can some identification assumptions narrow bounds?
- Credibility of untestable assumptions