

lecture 18, uses of trees for MPL

phil1012 introductory logic

overview

this lecture

- uses of trees for MPL
- how to set up trees to test for various logical properties in MPL
- how to read off models from completed trees
- identifying infinite trees and reading models off the open paths of infinite trees

learning outcomes

- after doing the relevant reading for this lecture, listening to the lecture, and attending the relevant tutorial, you will be able to:
 - use trees to test for various logical properties of MPL formulas
 - read off (counter)models from open paths of MPL trees
 - identify infinite trees and read models of infinite paths

required reading

- sections 10.2 and 10.3 of chapter 10

using trees

using trees

- MPL trees, like PL trees, test for satisfiability, in the first instance.
- but we can use them to test for much more
- again, you need to know how to set up the tree, and how to interpret its results
- in the case of MPL trees, we read off models on which the initial propositions are jointly satisfiable
- these are called **countermodels** in the case of arguments.

-
- we set up and use MPL trees to test for various properties in much the same way as we did for PL trees.
 - let's look at an example of testing some proposition to see whether it is a tautology
-

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

1. $\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx$ Assumption
-

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|---------------------|
| 1. | $\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx$ | ✓ | Assumption |
| 2. | $(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx))$ | | Negated Conditional |
| 3. | $\neg\exists xGx$ | | Negated Conditional |

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|---------------------|
| 1. | $\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx$ | ✓ | Assumption |
| 2. | $(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx))$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 3. | $\neg\exists xGx$ | | Negated Conditional |
| 4. | $\exists xFx$ | | Conjunction |
| 5. | $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$ | | Conjunction |

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|---------------------|
| 1. | $\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx$ | ✓ | Assumption |
| 2. | $(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx))$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 3. | $\neg\exists xGx$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 4. | $\exists xFx$ | | Conjunction |
| 5. | $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$ | | Conjunction |
| 6. | $\forall x\neg Gx$ | | Negated Existential |

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

- | | | | |
|----|---|-------|---------------------|
| 1. | $\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx$ | ✓ | Assumption |
| 2. | $(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx))$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 3. | $\neg\exists xGx$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 4. | $\exists xFx$ | ✓ a | Conjunction |
| 5. | $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$ | | Conjunction |
| 6. | $\forall x\neg Gx$ | | Negated Existential |
| 7. | Fa | | Existential |

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

- | | | | |
|----|---|---------------|---------------------|
| 1. | $\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx$ | ✓ | Assumption |
| 2. | $(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx))$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 3. | $\neg\exists xGx$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 4. | $\exists xFx$ | ✓ a | Conjunction |
| 5. | $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$ | $\setminus a$ | Conjunction |
| 6. | $\forall x\neg Gx$ | | Negated Existential |
| 7. | Fa | | Existential |
| 8. | $Fa \rightarrow Ga$ | | Universal |

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

- | | | | |
|----|---|---------------|---------------------|
| 1. | $\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx$ | ✓ | Assumption |
| 2. | $(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx))$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 3. | $\neg\exists xGx$ | ✓ | Negated Conditional |
| 4. | $\exists xFx$ | ✓ a | Conjunction |
| 5. | $\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)$ | $\setminus a$ | Conjunction |
| 6. | $\forall x\neg Gx$ | | Negated Existential |
| 7. | Fa | | Existential |
| 8. | $Fa \rightarrow Ga$ | ✓ | Universal |
| 9. | $\begin{array}{c} \neg Fa \quad Ga \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \otimes \end{array}$ | | Conditional |

To prove: whether $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology.

1.	$\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx \checkmark$	Assumption
2.	$(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \checkmark$	Negated Conditional
3.	$\neg\exists xGx \checkmark$	Negated Conditional
4.	$\exists xFx \checkmark a$	Conjunction
5.	$\forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx) \setminus a$	Conjunction
6.	$\forall x\neg Gx \setminus a$	Negated Existential
7.	Fa	Existential
8.	$Fa \rightarrow Ga \checkmark$	Universal
$\begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \neg Fa \quad Ga \\ \otimes \quad \neg Ga \\ \otimes \end{array}$		
9.		Conditional
10.		Universal

- we can conclude that $((\exists xFx \wedge \forall x(Fx \rightarrow Gx)) \rightarrow \exists xGx)$ is a tautology, since its negation is not satisfiable.

- to take another example, suppose we want to test whether some argument is a valid argument

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

1.	$\exists xFx$	Assumption
2.	$\exists xGx$	Assumption
3.	$\neg\exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$	Negated Conclusion

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

1.	$\exists xFx$	Assumption
2.	$\exists xGx$	Assumption
3.	$\neg\exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$	Negated Conclusion

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

1.	$\exists xFx$	Assumption
2.	$\exists xGx$	Assumption
3.	$\neg\exists x(Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$	Negated Conclusion
4.	$\forall x\neg(Fx \wedge Gx)$	Negated Existential

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

1.	$\exists xFx \checkmark a$	Assumption
2.	$\exists xGx$	Assumption
3.	$\neg\exists x(Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$	Negated Conclusion
4.	$\forall x\neg(Fx \wedge Gx)$	Negated Existential
5.	Fa	Existential

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

- | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1. | $\exists xFx \checkmark a$ | Assumption |
| 2. | $\exists xGx \checkmark b$ | Assumption |
| 3. | $\neg \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$ | Negated Conclusion |
| 4. | $\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx)$ | Negated Existential |
| 5. | Fa | Existential |
| 6. | Gb | Existential |

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

- | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1. | $\exists xFx \checkmark a$ | Assumption |
| 2. | $\exists xGx \checkmark b$ | Assumption |
| 3. | $\neg \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$ | Negated Conclusion |
| 4. | $\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a$ | Negated Existential |
| 5. | Fa | Existential |
| 6. | Gb | Existential |
| 7. | $\neg (Fa \wedge Ga)$ | Universal |

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

- | | | |
|----|--|---------------------|
| 1. | $\exists xFx \checkmark a$ | Assumption |
| 2. | $\exists xGx \checkmark b$ | Assumption |
| 3. | $\neg \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$ | Negated Conclusion |
| 4. | $\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a$ | Negated Existential |
| 5. | Fa | Existential |
| 6. | Gb | Existential |
| 7. | $\neg (Fa \wedge Ga) \checkmark$ | Universal |
| 8. | $\begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \neg Fa \quad \neg Ga \\ \otimes \end{array}$ | Negated Conjunction |

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

- | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1. | $\exists xFx \checkmark a$ | Assumption |
| 2. | $\exists xGx \checkmark b$ | Assumption |
| 3. | $\neg \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$ | Negated Conclusion |
| 4. | $\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a, b$ | Negated Existential |
| 5. | Fa | Existential |
| 6. | Gb | Existential |
| 7. | $\neg (Fa \wedge Ga) \checkmark$ | Universal |
| 8. | $\begin{array}{c} \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \neg Fa \quad \neg Ga \end{array}$ | Negated Conjunction |
| 9. | $\begin{array}{c} \otimes \quad \neg (Fb \wedge Gb) \end{array}$ | Universal |

To prove: whether $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is a valid argument.

1.	$\exists xFx \checkmark a$	Assumption
2.	$\exists xGx \checkmark b$	Assumption
3.	$\neg \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$	Negated Conclusion
4.	$\forall x \neg(Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a, b$	Negated Existential
5.	Fa	Existential
6.	Gb	Existential
7.	$\neg(Fa \wedge Ga) \checkmark$	Universal
$\swarrow \quad \searrow$		
8.	$\neg Fa \quad \neg Ga$	Negated Conjunction
9.	$\otimes \quad \neg(Fb \wedge Gb) \checkmark$	Universal
$\swarrow \quad \searrow$		
10.	$\neg Fb \quad \neg Gb$	Negated Conjunction
	\otimes	

-
- we can conclude that $\exists xFx, \exists xGx \therefore \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ is not a valid argument, since the premises, $\exists xFx, \exists xGx$, and the negated conclusion, $\neg \exists x(Fx \wedge Gx)$ are jointly satisfiable.
 - we want to be able to read off a countermodel from our tree.
 - how?

how to read off models from open paths

how to read off models from open paths

- a model consists of:
 - a domain
 - a referent for each name which appears on the path
 - an extension for each predicate which appears on the path
-
- where there are n names in the path, we write our domain as follows:
 - domain: $\{1, \dots, n\}$
 - so if there are 3 names in the path, we write our domain as follows:
 - domain: $\{1, 2, 3\}$
 - we then assign each name in the path to an object in the domain as follows:
 - referents: $a:1, b:2, c:3, \dots$
-
- we then assign an extension to each predicate which makes atomic formulas involving the predicate true.
 - if Fa, Ga , and Gb , are all on an open path, then we assign the following extensions to the predicates:
 - extensions: $F: \{1\}, G: \{1, 2\}$.
 - if the predicate H is on the open path but does not occur in an atomic formula, then we assign the following extension to the predicate:
 - extensions: $H: \emptyset$ (Not $H: \{\emptyset\}$).
-

- let's consider an example ...
-

- suppose we want to read a model off of this tree:

1.	$\exists x Fx \checkmark a$	Assumption
2.	$\exists x Gx \checkmark b$	Assumption
3.	$\neg \exists x (Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$	Negated Conclusion
4.	$\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a, b$	Negated Existential
5.	Fa	Existential
6.	Gb	Existential
7.	$\neg (Fa \wedge Ga) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
8.	$\neg Fa \quad \neg Ga$	Negated Conjunction
9.	$\otimes \quad \neg (Fb \wedge Gb) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
10.	$\neg Fb \quad \neg Gb$	Negated Conjunction
	\otimes	

- first step: find the number of names on the open path

1.	$\exists x Fx \checkmark a$	Assumption
2.	$\exists x Gx \checkmark b$	Assumption
3.	$\neg \exists x (Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$	Negated Conclusion
4.	$\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a, b$	Negated Existential
5.	Fa	Existential
6.	Gb	Existential
7.	$\neg (Fa \wedge Ga) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
8.	$\neg Fa \quad \neg Ga$	Negated Conjunction
9.	$\otimes \quad \neg (Fb \wedge Gb) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
10.	$\neg Fb \quad \neg Gb$	Negated Conjunction
	\otimes	

- there are two, so we have the following domain:
 - domain: $\{1, 2\}$

- second step: assign each name in the path to an object in the domain

1.	$\exists x Fx \checkmark a$	Assumption
2.	$\exists x Gx \checkmark b$	Assumption
3.	$\neg \exists x (Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$	Negated Conclusion
4.	$\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a, b$	Negated Existential
5.	Fa	Existential
6.	Gb	Existential
7.	$\neg (Fa \wedge Ga) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
8.	$\neg Fa \quad \neg Ga$	Negated Conjunction
9.	$\otimes \quad \neg (Fb \wedge Gb) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
10.	$\neg Fb \quad \neg Gb$	Negated Conjunction
	\otimes	

- we assign referents in the most natural manner:
 - referents: $a: 1, b: 2$
-

- third step: assign an extension to each predicate which makes atomic formulas involving the predicate true

1.	$\exists x Fx \checkmark a$	Assumption
2.	$\exists x Gx \checkmark b$	Assumption
3.	$\neg \exists x (Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$	Negated Conclusion
4.	$\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a, b$	Negated Existential
5.	\boxed{Fa}	Existential
6.	Gb	Existential
7.	$\neg (Fa \wedge Ga) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
8.	$\neg Fa \quad \neg Ga$	Negated Conjunction
9.	$\otimes \quad \neg (Fb \wedge Gb) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
10.	$\neg Fb \quad \neg Gb$	Negated Conjunction
	\otimes	

- we assign an extension to F which makes Fa true:
 - extensions: $F: \{1\}$

1.	$\exists x Fx \checkmark a$	Assumption
2.	$\exists x Gx \checkmark b$	Assumption
3.	$\neg \exists x (Fx \wedge Gx) \checkmark$	Negated Conclusion
4.	$\forall x \neg (Fx \wedge Gx) \setminus a, b$	Negated Existential
5.	\boxed{Fa}	Existential
6.	\boxed{Gb}	Existential
7.	$\neg (Fa \wedge Ga) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
8.	$\neg Fa \quad \neg Ga$	Negated Conjunction
9.	$\otimes \quad \neg (Fb \wedge Gb) \checkmark$	Universal
	$\swarrow \quad \searrow$	
10.	$\neg Fb \quad \neg Gb$	Negated Conjunction
	\otimes	

- we assign an extension to G which makes Gb true:
 - extensions: $F: \{1\}, G: \{2\}$

-
- here is our completed model:
 - domain: $\{1, 2\}$
 - deferents: $a: 1, b: 2$
 - extensions: $F: \{1\}, G: \{2\}$

oh no! infinite trees

oh no! infinite trees

- unlike PL trees, MPL trees have an interesting feature: they can be infinitely long
 - see pp. 372-373 of the textbook for how to avoid infinite trees in MPL
 - let's consider an example of an infinite tree
-

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a$
2. $(Fa \wedge \exists yGy)$

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a$
2. $(Fa \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
3. $\exists yGy$
4. Fa

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a$
2. $(Fa \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
3. $\exists yGy \checkmark b$
4. Fa
5. Gb

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a, b$
2. $(Fa \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
3. $\exists yGy \checkmark b$
4. Fa
5. Gb
6. $(Fb \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
7. $\exists yGy \checkmark c$
8. Fb
9. Gc

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a, b, c$
2. $(Fa \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
3. $\exists yGy \checkmark b$
4. Fa
5. Gb
6. $(Fb \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
7. $\exists yGy \checkmark c$
8. Fb
9. Gc
10. $(Fc \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
11. $\exists yGy \checkmark d$
12. Fc
13. Gd

-
- this tree is going to go on like this forever
 - it will never be complete
 - it has an infinite path

-
- is the proposition satisfiable or not?
 - is the path saturated or not?
 - it is saturated
 - so it is satisfiable

- we can read off a model

-
- will the proposition always be satisfiable if we have an infinite tree?
 - will infinite paths always be saturated?
 - no and no.
 - here is an example
-

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$
-

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga) \checkmark$
 2. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$
 3. $(Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$
-

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga) \checkmark$
 2. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a$
 3. $(Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$
 4. $Fa \wedge \exists yGy$
-

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga) \checkmark$
 2. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a$
 3. $(Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$
 4. $Fa \wedge \exists yGy \checkmark$
 5. Fa
 6. $\exists yGy$
-

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \wedge (Ga \wedge \neg Ga) \checkmark$
 2. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a, b$
 3. $(Ga \wedge \neg Ga)$
 4. $Fa \wedge \exists yGy \checkmark$
 5. Fa
 6. $\exists yGy \checkmark b$
 7. Gb
 8. $Fb \wedge \exists yGy$
 9. Fb
 10. $\exists yGy \checkmark c$
 11. Gc
-

- here is another infinite path (if we ignore $Ga \wedge \neg Ga$).
- but the proposition is not satisfiable.
- and the path is not saturated.
- and if we were to saturate it, by applying the rule for \wedge to $Ga \wedge \neg Ga$, it would close straight away.

reading models off infinite trees

reading models off infinite trees

- I said we could read a model off of our infinite tree above.
- let's look at how.
- here is our tree again:

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a, b, c$
 2. $(Fa \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
 3. $\exists yGy \checkmark b$
 4. Fa
 5. Gb
 6. $(Fb \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
 7. $\exists yGy \checkmark c$
 8. Fb
 9. Gc
 10. $(Fc \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
 11. $\exists yGy \checkmark d$
 12. Fc
 13. Gd
-

- what is the domain?
 - how many names occur on the open path?
 - an infinite number of names occur
 - so here is our domain:
 - domain: $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
-

- how shall we assign referents to each of the infinite number of names?
 - like this of course:
 - referents: $a: 1, b: 2, c: 3 \dots$
-

- and what about extensions for the predicates?
 - well, there are only two predicates F and G .
 - but we need an assignment which makes them true whenever they appear in atomic propositions on the path.
 - let's look at our tree and think about it . . .
-

To prove: whether $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy)$ is satisfiable.

1. $\forall x(Fx \wedge \exists yGy) \setminus a, b, c$
 2. $(Fa \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
 3. $\exists yGy \checkmark b$
 4. Fa
 5. Gb
 6. $(Fb \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
 7. $\exists yGy \checkmark c$
 8. Fb
 9. Gc
 10. $(Fc \wedge \exists yGy) \checkmark$
 11. $\exists yGy \checkmark d$
 12. Fc
 13. Gd
-

- the pattern for G is: Gb, Gc, Gd, \dots
- the pattern for F is: Fa, Fb, Fc, \dots
- our complete model, then:
 - domain: $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
 - referents: $a: 1, b: 2, c: 3 \dots$

- extensions: $F: \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $G: \{2, 3, \dots\}$.
-

- will you have to read off a model from an infinite tree in the problem sets or the exam?
- possibly. but if so, it won't be a difficult pattern to identify.

wrapping up

this lecture

next lecture

- lecture 19, the formal language GPL