GVN-Hoist: Hoisting Computations from Branches

Sebastian Pop and Aditya Kumar

SARC: Samsung Austin R&D Center

November 3, 2016

CFGSimplify's code hoisting

- hoists computations at the beginning of BB
- uses operands equality to detect same computations
- stops at first difference
- ▶ very fast: disabling it slows the compiler: $1688 \rightarrow 1692$ Bn insns (callgrind compiling the test-suite on $\times 86_64$ -linux)

CFGSimplify limits

Original program

```
inv = 1/d;
if (inv >= 0) {
   tmin = min * inv;
   tmax = max * inv;
} else {
   tmin = max * inv;
   tmax = min * inv;
```

CFGSimplify limits

Original program

```
inv = 1/d;
if (inv >= 0) {
   tmin = min * inv;
   tmax = max * inv;
} else {
   tmin = max * inv;
   tmax = min * inv;
}
```

Expressions hoisted

```
inv = 1/d;
x = min * inv;
y = max * inv;
if (inv >= 0) {
   tmin = x;
   tmax = y;
} else {
   tmin = y;
   tmax = x;
}
```

GVN-Hoist: Hoisting Computations from Branches

- removes all limitations of CFGSimplify implementation
- works across several BBs: hoists to a common dominator
- hoist past Id/st side effects: uses Memory-SSA for fast dependence analysis
- reduces code size
- reduces critical path length by exposing more ILP

Optimistic GVN-hoist Algorithm

- 1. compute value number of scalars, loads, stores, calls
- 2. compute insertion points of each type of instructions
- 3. hoist expressions and propagate changes by updating SSA

GVN: Value Numbering Example and Limitations

Simple program

```
a = x + y
b = x + 1
c = y + 1
d = b + c
e = a + 2
f = load d
g = load e
```

GVN: Value Numbering Example and Limitations

Simple program

g = load e

a = x + y b = x + 1 c = y + 1 d = b + c e = a + 2 f = load d

Value Numbering

- (a, 1) (b, 2) (c, 3)
- (d, 4)
- (e, 4)

GVN: Value Numbering Example and Limitations

Simple program

```
a = x + y
b = x + 1
c = y + 1
d = b + c
e = a + 2
f = load d
g = load e
```

Value Numbering

```
(a, 1)
(b, 2)
(c, 3)
(d, 4)
(e, 4)
```

Limitations to current GVN implementation

```
(f, 5)
(g, 6)
// should be (g, 5)
```

GVN-Hoist Step 1: Collect Value Numbers

scalars: use the existing GVN infrastructure

current GVN not accurate for loads and stores: use ad-hoc change

- ▶ loads: VN the gep
- stores: VN the gep and stored value
- calls: as stores, loads, or scalars (following calls' side-effects)

GVN-Hoist Step 2: Compute Insertion Points

insertion point: location where all the operands are available

- compute a common insertion point for a set of instructions having the same GVN (similar to VBEs but not as strict)
- partition the candidates into a smaller set of hoistable candidates when no common insertion points can be found

GVN-Hoist Step 3: Move the Code

- scalars: just move one of the instructions to the hoisting point and remove others; update SSA
- ▶ loads and stores: make geps available, then hoist; update SSA and Memory-SSA

Example

```
define float @f(float %d. float %min. float %max. float %a) {
entry:
 %div = fdiv float 1.000000e+00. %d
 %cmp = fcmp oge float %div. 0.000000e+00
 br i1 %cmp, label %if.then, label %if.else
if.then:
: preds = %entry
 %sub = fsub float %min, %a
 %mul = fmul float %sub, %div
 %sub1 = fsub float %max. %a
 %mul2 = fmul float %sub1, %div
 br label %if.end
if else:
; preds = %entry
 %sub3 = fsub float %max, %a
 %mul4 = fmul float %sub3, %div
 %sub5 = fsub float %min, %a
 %mul6 = fmul float %sub5. %div
 br label %if.end
if.end:
; preds = %if.else, %if.then
 %tmax.0 = phi float [ %mul2, %if.then ], [ %mul6, %if.else ]
 %tmin.0 = phi float [ %mul, %if.then ], [ %mul4, %if.else ]
 %add = fadd float %tmax.0, %tmin.0
 ret float %add
```

Example

```
define float @f(float %d, float %min, float %max, float %a) {
entry:
  %div = fdiv float 1.000000e+00, %d
  %cmp = fcmp oge float %div, 0.000000e+00
 %sub1 = fsub float %max. %a
 %sub = fsub float %min, %a
 %mul2 = fmul float %sub1, %div
 %mul = fmul float %sub, %div
  br i1 %cmp, label %if.then, label %if.else
if.then:
; preds = %entry
  br label %if.end
if.else:
: preds = %entry
  br label %if.end
if end:
: preds = %if.else, %if.then
  %tmax.0 = phi float [ %mul2, %if.then ], [ %mul, %if.else ]
  %tmin.0 = phi float [ %mul, %if.then ], [ %mul2, %if.else ]
 %add = fadd float %tmax.0, %tmin.0
 ret float %add
```

Cost models

tuned on x86_64 and AArch64 Linux: test-suite, SPEC 2k, 2k6, ...

- ▶ limit the number of basic blocks in the path between initial position and the hoisting point
- limit the number of instructions between the initial position and the beginning of its basic block
- do not hoist GEPs (except at -Os)
- limit the number of dependent instructions to be hoisted

Knobs

- -enable-gvn-hoist: enable the GVN-hoist pass (default = on)
- ▶ -Os, -Oz: allow GEPs to be hoisted independently of Id/st
- -gvn-hoist-max-bbs: max number of basic blocks on the path between hoisting locations (default = 4, unlimited = -1)
- -gvn-hoist-max-depth: hoist instructions from the beginning of the BB up to the maximum specified depth (default = 100, unlimited = -1)
- -gvn-hoist-max-chain-length: maximum length of dependent chains to hoist (default = 10, unlimited = -1)
- -gvn-max-hoisted: max number of instructions to hoist (default unlimited = -1)

GVN-Hoist: Evaluation

- ightharpoonup < 1% compile time overhead: 1678
 ightharpoonup 1692 Bn insns (callgrind compiling the test-suite at -O3 on x86_64-linux)
- ▶ more hoists than CFG-simplify: $15048 \rightarrow 25318$ (compiling the test-suite for x86_64 at -O3)

Scalars hoisted	8960
Scalars removed	11940
Loads hoisted	16301
Loads removed	22690
Stores hoisted	50
Stores removed	50
Calls hoisted	7
Calls removed	7
Total Instructions hoisted	25318
Total Instructions removed	34687

Code size reduction

Code-size metric (.text)	Number
Total benchmarks	497
Total gained in size	39
Total decrease in size	58
Median decrease in size	2.9%
Median increase in size	2.4%

- test-suite compiled at -O3 for x86_64-linux
- increase in size due to more inlining
- many effects due to early scheduling of the pass

Discussion

- schedule GVN-hoist pass several times?
- remove CFGSimplify's hoisting?
- hoist + sink interactions (discuss with James Molloy)
- early scheduling in opt needs tuning with target info?
- ▶ make GVN-hoist more aggressive for -Os and -Oz?
- need a better GVN implementation?
- Memory-SSA is easy to use and fast: so please use it! (thanks Danny, Georges, and others)