OS 3/12

Reagan Shirk

March 12, 2020

Pthreads/threads

- You can make each thread do something in one part of a big array (not literally but that's kind of what it's like I think)
 - This is called: data parallelism (splitting up the data between the threads)
- Task parallelism: you put each thread in the same array index and they all do different tasks? I kind of missed the explination

Makefiles and Flags

main.c:

gcc main c -03 -lpthread //may not compile without this flag

- Useful compile flags
- march
- mnative

CPU Scheduling

- Four decisions:
 - Process terminates
 - Process from waiting state to the ready state
 - * I/O arrives
 - Process switches from running to ready state
 - * Timer Interrupt
 - Process switches from running to the waiting state
 - * I/O request
 - There is preemptive and nonpreemptive scheduling
 - * Nonpreemptive: a process continues to run until it either terminates or is waiting
 - * Preemptive: a scheduler can swtich a running process as necessary
 - What is the negative of nonpreemptive scheduling?
 - * Some processes may not get to run if one process decides to hog all of the time
 - * Fine for one main process, maybe not the best for lots of processes though
 - What is the negative for preemptive scheduling?
 - * Less predicatble, more edge cases
 - * You could have two processes trying to edit data at the same time, somehow turns into a race where they both want to finish first
 - * Need to worry about shared data structures
- Dispatcher: main component involved in all of these decisions
 - Looks at all of the processes and determines which ones will and won't run
 - Tasks are:
 - * switching context from one process to another
 - * switching from user mode to kernel mode

- * understands how to pause and resume running processes
- Why do you want your dispatcher to be super fast?
 - * Every time you swtich a process, the dispather runs code
 - · If the swtich takes 1 second and the dispatcher takes 10 seconds, it's unoptimized and slow
 - * Dispatcher speed = dispatcher latency, won't talk much about this but it's important in the real world
- run cat /proc/cprocess id > /status to see the process switches

Measuring Scheduling Performance

- CPU Utilization: how busy is the CPU?
- Throughput: the amount of work done per time unit (60 instructions per microsecond or something like that, could be seen as operation/second)
- Turnaround time: sum of the time spend waiting on the queue, I/O, etc. Everything to completion. When you schedule a task, how long will it take to complete that task?
- Waiting time: how long is a process on the ready queue?
- Response time: the time the scheduler takes to start responding to a task

Scheduling Algorithms

- Think of this from the perspective of one CPU, when you have more than one shit gets weird
- FIFO algorithms make a queue
 - In this situation the term is first come first served scheduling
 - You have three processes:
 - * P1 takes 24 seconds
 - * P2 takes 3 seconds
 - * P3 takes 3 seconds
 - Average waiting times:
 - * If p1 executes first: (0 + 24 + 27) / 3 = 17 ms
 - · This is because p1 doesn't have to wait to execute if it goes first
 - · If p2 follows p1, then p2 has to wait the full 24 seconds before it can execute
 - · If p3 is last, it has to wait for p2 and p1 to execute which is 27 seconds
 - * If it's p2 \rightarrow p3 \rightarrow p1: (0 + 3 + 6) / 3 = 9 ms
 - · This is because p2 doesn't have to wait to execute if it goes first
 - · p3 has to wait the 3 seconds for p2 to execute
 - · p1 has to wait the 6 seconds to execute, 3 for p3 and 3 for p2
 - Pros to FCFS: simple
 - Cons to FCFS: average waiting time could be high
- Shortest job first scheduling
 - Sort the possible jobs and select the smallest task first
 - Pros: optimal method for single core scheduling
 - Cons:
 - * A bit of overhead the the sort
 - * We don't know which task is shortest by default
- Round Robin Scheduling
 - Each task split up into a time quantum