CO 250: Introduction to Optimization

Module 2: Linear Programs (Simplex – A First Attempt)

© University of Waterloo 1/

A Naive Strategy for Solving an LP

- **Step 1.** Find a feasible solution, x.
- **Step 2.** If x is optimal, STOP.
- **Step 3.** If LP is unbounded, STOP.
- **Step 4.** Find a "better" feasible solution.



Many details missing!

Questions

- How do we find a feasible solution?
- How do we find a "better" solution?
- Will this ever terminate?

The **SIMPLEX** algorithm works along these lines.

In this lecture: A first attempt at this algorithm.

©University of Waterloo 2/

First Example

Consider

$$\max (4,3,0,0)x + 7$$
s.t.
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$$

Remarks

- We have a feasible solution: $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 2, \text{ and } x_4 = 1.$
- The objective function is $z = 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 7$.

Question

The feasible solution has objective value: $4 \times 0 + 3 \times 0 + 7 = 7$.

• Can we find a feasible solution with value larger than 7?

YES!

©University of Waterloo

First Example

Consider

$$\max \quad (4,3,0,0)x+7$$
 s.t.
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4 \geq 0$$

Remarks

- We have a feasible solution: $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 2, \text{ and } x_4 = 1.$
- The objective function is $z = 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 7$.

Idea

Increase x_1 as much as possible, and keep x_2 unchanged, i.e.,

$$x_1=t$$
 for some $t\geq 0$ as large as possible $x_2=0$

©University of Waterloo 4/

$$\max \quad (4,3,0,0)x+7$$
 s.t.
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4 \geq 0$$

$$x_1 = t$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$x_3 = ?$$

$$x_4 = ?$$

Choose $t \ge 0$ as large as possible.

It needs to satisfy

- 1. the equality constraints, and
- 2. the non-negativity constraints.

Satisfying the Equality Constraints

$$\max \quad (4,3,0,0)x + 7$$
s.t.
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$$

$$x_1 = t$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$x_3 = ?$$

$$x_4 = ?$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0\\1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x$$

$$= x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 3\\1 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} + x_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= t \begin{pmatrix} 3\\1 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \begin{pmatrix} 2\\1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_3\\0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\x_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= t \begin{pmatrix} 3\\1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_3\\x_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - t \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark

Equality constraints hold for any choice of t.

©University of Waterloo 6 /

Satisfying the Non-Negativity Constraints

$$x_1 = t$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - t \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Choose $t \geq 0$ as large as possible.

$$x_1 = t \ge 0$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$x_3 = 2 - 3t \ge 0$$

$$x_4 = 1 - t > 0$$

$$t \le \frac{2}{3}$$

$$t < 1$$

Thus, the largest possible t is $\min \{1, \frac{2}{3}\} = \frac{2}{3}$. The new solution is

$$x = (t, \ 0, \ 2 - 3t, \ 1 - t)^{\top} = \left(\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}\right)^{\top}$$

©University of Waterloo 7 /

Repeating the Argument?

$$\max \quad (4,3,0,0)x + 7$$
 s.t.
$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0$$

$$x_1 = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$x_3 = 0$$

$$x_4 = \frac{1}{3}$$

Question

Is the new solution optimal? NO!

Question

Can we use the same trick to get a better solution? NO!

What made it work the first time around?

©University of Waterloo 8/

Remark

The LP needs to be in "canonical" form.

$$x_1 = 0$$

$$x_2 = 0$$

$$x_3 = 2$$

$$x_4 = 1$$

Revised strategy:

Step 1. Find a feasible solution, x.

Step 2. Rewrite LP so that it is in "canonical" form.

Step 3. If x is optimal, STOP.

Step 4. If LP is unbounded, STOP.

Step 5. Find a "better" feasible solution.



©University of Waterloo 9/

From Here to a Complete Algorithm

- (1) Define what we mean by "canonical" form.
- (2) Prove that we can always rewrite LPs in canonical form.



algorithm known as the **SIMPLEX**.

First on "To do list":

- Define basis and basic solutions.
- Define canonical forms.

© University of Waterloo 10 / 10