

CO 250: Introduction to Optimization

Module 2: Linear Programs (Certificates)

Recap and a Question

Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming

For any linear program, **exactly one** of the following holds:

- It is infeasible.
- It has an optimal solution.
- It is unbounded.

Questions

Consider a linear program.

- If it is infeasible, how can we **prove** it?
- If we have an optimal solution, how can we **prove** it is optimal?
- If it is unbounded, how can we **prove** it?

This can be always be done!

Proving Infeasibility

The following linear program is infeasible:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & (3, 4, -1, 2)^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & -6 & 7 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ & x \geq 0\end{array}$$

Question

How can we prove this problem is, in fact, infeasible?

We **cannot** try all possible assignments of values to x_1, x_2, x_3 , and x_4 .

Claim

There is no solution to (1), (2) and $x \geq 0$ where

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & -6 & 7 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} (1) \\ (2) \end{matrix}$$

Proof

Construct a new equation:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -1 \times (1) : & (-3 & 2 & 6 & -7)x & = & -6 \\ + \quad 2 \times (2) : & (4 & -2 & -4 & 8)x & = & 4 \\ \hline & (1 & 0 & 2 & 1)x & = & -2 \end{array} \quad (\star)$$

Suppose there exists $\bar{x} \geq 0$ satisfying (1), (2). Then \bar{x} satisfies (\star) :

$$\underbrace{(1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1)}_{\geq 0} \bar{x} = \underbrace{-2}_{< 0}.$$

Contradiction!

Repeat using **matrix formulations**.

Proof

Suppose for a contradiction there is a solution \bar{x} to $x \geq 0$ and

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & -6 & 7 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}}_A x = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}_b \quad Ax = b$$

Construct a new equation:

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}}_{y^T} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & -6 & 7 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} x = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}}_{y^T} \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(1 \ 0 \ 2 \ 1)x = -2 \quad (\star) \quad y^T Ax = y^T b$$

Since \bar{x} satisfies the equations it satisfies (\star) :

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\geq 0^T} \underbrace{\bar{x}}_{\geq 0} = \underbrace{-2}_{< 0}.$$
$$\underbrace{y^T A}_{\geq 0^T} \underbrace{\bar{x}}_{\geq 0} = \underbrace{y^T b}_{< 0}$$

Contradiction.

Proposition

There is no solution to $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$, if there exists y where

$$y^T A \geq 0^T \quad \text{and} \quad y^T b < 0.$$

Exercise

Give a proof of this proposition.

Question

If no solution to $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$ can we always prove it in that way?

YES!!!!!!

Farkas' Lemma

If there is no solution to $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$, then there exists y where

$$y^T A \geq 0^T \quad \text{and} \quad y^T b < 0.$$

Proving Optimality

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & z(x) := (-1 \ -4 \ 0 \ 0)x + 4 \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 6 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} \\ & x \geq 0\end{array}$$

Optimal solution:

$$\bar{x}_1 = 0$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 0$$

$$\bar{x}_3 = 4$$

$$\bar{x}_4 = 5$$

Question

How can we prove this solution is, in fact, optimal?

We **cannot** try all possible feasible solutions.

Optimal solution:

$$\bar{x}_1 = 0$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 0$$

$$\bar{x}_3 = 4$$

$$\bar{x}_4 = 5$$

$$\max \quad z(x) := (-1 \ -4 \ 0 \ 0)x + 4$$

s.t.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 6 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x \geq 0$$

Claim

- \bar{x} is feasible solution of value 4. (easy)
- 4 is an **upper bound**.

Proof

Let x' be an **arbitrary** feasible solution. Then

$$z(x') = \underbrace{(-1 \ -4 \ 0 \ 0)}_{\leq 0} \underbrace{x'}_{\geq 0} + 4$$

Proving Unboundedness

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & z := (-1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)x \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ & \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & x \geq 0\end{array}$$

Problem
is unbounded

Question

How can we prove that this problem is unbounded?

Idea

Construct a family of **feasible solutions** $x(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and show that as t goes to infinity, the value of the objective function goes to infinity.

$$\max \quad z := (-1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)x$$

s.t.

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$x(t) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Claim 1

$x(t)$ is feasible for all $t \geq 0$.

Claim 2

$z \rightarrow \infty$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\max \quad z := (-1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)x$$

s.t.

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_A x = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_b$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$x(t) := \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\bar{x}} + t \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}_r$$

Claim 1

$x(t)$ is feasible for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof

$$x(t) = \bar{x} + tr \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \quad \text{as } \bar{x}, r \geq 0.$$

$$Ax(t) = A[\bar{x} + tr] = \underbrace{A\bar{x}}_b + t \underbrace{Ar}_0 = b.$$

$$\max \quad z := \underbrace{(-1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)}_{c^T} x$$

s.t.

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_A x = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_b$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$x(t) := \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\bar{x}} + t \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}_r$$

Claim 2

$z \rightarrow \infty$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof

$$z = c^T x(t) = c^T [\bar{x} + tr] = c^T \bar{x} + t \underbrace{c^T r}_{=1 > 0}.$$

Exercise

Generalize and prove the following proposition.

Proposition

The linear program,

$$\max\{c^T x : Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$$

is unbounded if we can find \bar{x} and r such that

$$\bar{x} \geq 0, \quad r \geq 0, \quad A\bar{x} = b, \quad Ar = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c^T r > 0.$$

Recap

1. For linear programs, exactly one of the following holds. It is
 - (A) infeasible,
 - (B) unbounded, or
 - (C) has an optimal solution.
2. If (A) occurs, there is a short **proof** of that fact.
3. If (B) occurs, there is a short **proof** of that fact.
4. For an optimal solution, there is a short **proof** that it is optimal.

Remark

We have not yet shown you how to **find** such proofs.