Solution to Practice 3k

1(a)

$$\begin{array}{ll} L(\alpha \vec{z} + \vec{w}) &= L(\alpha z_1 + w_1, \alpha z_2 + w_2) \\ &= (0, \alpha z_1 + w_1, \alpha z_2 + w_2) \\ &= (0, \alpha z_1, \alpha z_2) + (0, w_1, w_2) \\ &= \alpha (0, z_1, z_2) + (0, w_1, w_2) \\ &= \alpha L(\vec{z}) + L(\vec{w}) \end{array}$$

To find [L], we first compute:

$$L(1,0) = (0,1,0)$$
 and $L(0,1) = (0,0,1)$

so
$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

1(b)

$$\begin{split} L(\alpha \vec{z} + \vec{w}) &= L(\alpha z_1 + w_1, \alpha z_2 + w_2, \alpha z_3 + w_3, \alpha z_4 + w_4) \\ &= (\alpha z_1 + w_1, \alpha z_2 + w_2, \alpha z_3 + w_3) \\ &= (\alpha z_1, \alpha z_2, \alpha z_3) + (w_1, w_2, w_3) \\ &= \alpha (z_1, z_2, z_3) + (w_1, w_2, w_3) \\ &= \alpha L(\vec{z}) + L(\vec{w}) \end{split}$$

To find [L], we first compute:

$$L(1,0,0,0) = (1,0,0)$$
 $L(0,1,0,0) = (0,1,0)$
 $L(0,0,1,0) = (0,0,1)$ $L(0,0,0,1) = (0,0,0)$

so
$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

1(c)

$$\begin{split} L(\alpha \vec{z} + \vec{w}) &= L(\alpha z_1 + w_1, \alpha z_2 + w_2) \\ &= ((1+i)(\alpha z_1 + w_1), (1-i)(\alpha z_1 + w_1) + (1+i)(\alpha z_2 + w_2)) \\ &= ((1+i)(\alpha z_1) + (1+i)w_1, (1-i)(\alpha z_1) + (1-i)w_1 + (1+i)(\alpha z_2) + (1+i)w_2) \\ &= ((1+i)(\alpha z_1), (1-i)(\alpha z_1) + (1+i)(\alpha z_2)) + ((1+i)w_1, (1-i)w_1 + (1+i)w_2) \\ &= \alpha ((1+i)z_1, (1-i)z_1 + (1+i)z_2) + ((1+i)w_1, (1-i)w_1 + (1+i)w_2) \\ &= \alpha L(\vec{z}) + L(\vec{w}) \end{split}$$

To find [L], we first compute:

$$L(1,0) = (1+i, 1-i)$$
 and $L(0,1) = (0, 1+i)$

so
$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 1+i & 0\\ 1-i & 1+i \end{bmatrix}$$

2(a) Many counterexamples are possible. I choose to show that M does not preserve scalar multiplication, by letting $\alpha = (2 + i3)$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 = 1 + i$ (and $\mathbf{v}_2 = 0$).

We see that M(1+i) = 1, so (2+3i)M(1+i) = (2+3i)(1) = 2+3i.

We also see that $M((2+3i)(1+i)) = M(2+2i+3i+3i^2) = M(-1+5i) = -1$.

So we have that $M(\alpha \mathbf{v}_1) \neq \alpha M(\mathbf{v}_1)$.

2(b) Note that this mapping is not even a linear mapping on the reals. So an easy counterexample is to see that M(1,1)=(1,1), M(2,2)=(4,4), M(1,1)+M(2,2)=(1,1)+(4,4)=(5,5), but M((1,1)+(2,2))=M(3,3)=(9,9), so $M(1,1)+M(2,2)\neq M((1,1)+(2,2)).$