

# Knowledge Representation Techniques

# Propositional logic in AI

- Propositional logic (PL) is the simplest form of logic where all the statements are made by propositions.
- A proposition is a declarative statement which is either true or false. It is a technique of knowledge representation in logical and mathematical form.
  - a) It is Sunday. (True proposition)
  - b) The Sun rises from West (False proposition)
  - c)  $3+3=7$  (False proposition)
  - d) 5 is a prime number. (True proposition)

Propositional logic forms the basis for many AI systems, including expert systems, rule-based systems, and natural language processing.

# Propositional logic in AI

- Propositional logic is a formal language that uses symbols to represent propositions and logical connectives to combine them.
- The symbols used in propositional logic include
  - letters such as  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$ , which represent propositions, and
  - logical connectives/operators such as  $\wedge$  (conjunction/and),  $\vee$  (disjunction/or), and  $\neg$  (negation/not), which are used to combine propositions.
- **Tautology:** a proposition formula which is always true and is also called a valid sentence.
- **Contradiction:** a proposition formula which is always false.
- Statements which are questions, commands, or opinions are not propositions such as "Where is Rohini", "How are you", "What is your name", are not propositions.

# Types of Propositional

**Atomic Proposition:** Atomic propositions are the simple propositions. It consists of a single proposition symbol. These are the sentences which must be either true or false.

**Example:**

P: The sky is blue.

Q: The grass is green.

R:  $2+2=4$ .

S: The Earth orbits the Sun.

**Compound proposition:** Compound propositions are constructed by combining simpler or atomic propositions, using parenthesis and logical connectives.

**Example:**

a) "It is raining today, and street is wet."

b) "Ankit is a doctor, and his clinic is in Mumbai."

# Logical Connectives

- Logical connectives are used to connect two simpler propositions or representing a sentence logically.
- We can create compound propositions with the help of logical connectives.
- There are mainly five connectives, which are given as follows:
  - Negation
  - Conjunction
  - Disjunction
  - Implication
  - Biconditional

# Logical Connectives

- Negation
  - A sentence such as  $\neg P$  is called negation of P.
  - A literal can be either Positive literal or negative literal.
  - For example:  $\neg P$ : The sky is not blue.
- Conjunction:
  - Conjunction of two propositions P and Q is denoted by  $P \wedge Q$  and is read as “P and Q's”.
  - The conjunction is true only if both P and Q are true.
  - For example:  $P \wedge Q$ : The sky is blue and the grass is green.
  - Example: Rohan is intelligent and hardworking.  
 $p = \text{Rohan is intelligent}, q = \text{Rohan is hardworking} \rightarrow p \wedge q$ .

# Logical Connectives

- Disjunction:
  - Disjunction of two propositions P and Q is denoted by  $P \vee Q$  and is read as “P or Q”.
  - The disjunction is true if at least one of P and Q is true.
  - For example:  $P \vee Q$ : The sky is blue or the grass is green.
  - For example: "Ritika is a doctor or Engineer",  
P= Ritika is Doctor. Q= Ritika is Doctor, so we can write  $P \vee Q$ .

# Logical Connectives

**For Negation:**

P	$\neg P$
True	False
False	True

**For Conjunction:**

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$
True	True	True
True	False	False
False	True	False
False	False	False

**For disjunction:**

P	Q	$P \vee Q$
True	True	True
False	True	True
True	False	True
False	False	False



# Logical Connectives

- Implication:
  - Implication of two propositions  $p$  and  $q$  is denoted by  $p \rightarrow q$  and is read as "if  $p$  then  $q$ ".
  - For example, Let  $P$ = It is raining, and  $Q$ = Street is wet,  
If it is raining, then the street is wet, is written as  $P \rightarrow Q$
  - Let,  $P$ : Ram goes to school daily,  $Q$ : Ram gets good marks.

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
True	True	True
True	False	False
False	True	True
False	False	True

- The implication is false only if  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false.

# Logical Connectives

- Biconditional:
  - A sentence such as  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$  is a Biconditional sentence,
  - Examples,
  - If I am breathing, then I am alive
    - $P = \text{I am breathing}$ ,  $Q = \text{I am alive}$ , represented as  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ .
  - I will eat lunch if and only if my mood improves.

**For Biconditional:**

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
True	True	True
True	False	False
False	True	False
False	False	True

# Logical Connectives

Connective symbols	Word	Technical term	Example
$\wedge$	AND	Conjunction	$A \wedge B$
$\vee$	OR	Disjunction	$A \vee B$
$\rightarrow$	Implies	Implication	$A \rightarrow B$
$\Leftrightarrow$	If and only if	Biconditional	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
$\neg$ or $\sim$	Not	Negation	$\neg A$ or $\neg B$

# Precedence of connectives

Precedence	Operators
First Precedence	Parenthesis
Second Precedence	Negation
Third Precedence	Conjunction(AND)
Fourth Precedence	Disjunction(OR)
Fifth Precedence	Implication
Six Precedence	Biconditional

# Properties of Operators

- **Commutativity:**

- $P \wedge Q = Q \wedge P$ , or
- $P \vee Q = Q \vee P$ .

- **Identity element:**

- $P \wedge \text{True} = P$ ,
- $P \vee \text{True} = \text{True}$ .

- **DE Morgan's Law:**

- $\neg (P \wedge Q) = (\neg P) \vee (\neg Q)$
- $\neg (P \vee Q) = (\neg P) \wedge (\neg Q)$ .

- **Double-negation elimination:**

- $\neg (\neg P) = P$ .

- **Associativity:**

- $(P \wedge Q) \wedge R = P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$ ,
- $(P \vee Q) \vee R = P \vee (Q \vee R)$

- **Distributive:**

- $P \wedge (Q \vee R) = (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$ .
- $P \vee (Q \wedge R) = (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$ .

# Translations

Denote:

- $p$  = It is sunny this afternoon
- $q$  = it is colder than yesterday
- $r$  = We will go swimming
- $s$  = we will take a canoe trip
- $t$  = We will be home by sunset

Assume the following sentences:

- It is not sunny this afternoon and it is colder than yesterday.
- We will go swimming only if it is sunny.
- If we do not go swimming then we will take a canoe trip.
- If we take a canoe trip, then we will be home by sunset.

# Translations

Denote:

- $p$  = It is sunny this afternoon
- $q$  = it is colder than yesterday
- $r$  = We will go swimming
- $s$  = we will take a canoe trip
- $t$  = We will be home by sunset

Assume the following sentences:

- It is not sunny this afternoon and it is colder than yesterday.  $\neg p \rightarrow q$
- We will go swimming only if it is sunny.  $p \rightarrow r$
- If we do not go swimming then we will take a canoe trip.  $\neg r \rightarrow s$
- If we take a canoe trip, then we will be home by sunset.  $s \rightarrow t$

# Satisfiability and Validity

- A proposition is **satisfiable** if it is possible to find an interpretation (model) that makes the proposition true.
  - There is at least one interpretation under which the proposition can evaluate to True.
- A proposition is **contingent** if and only if there is some interpretation that satisfies it and some interpretation that falsifies it.
  - For example, the proposition  $(p \wedge q)$  is contingent. If  $p$  and  $q$  are both true, it is true. If  $p$  and  $q$  are both false, it is false.
- A sentence is **unsatisfiable** if and only if it is not satisfied by any truth assignment.
  - For example, the sentence  $(p \wedge \neg p)$  is unsatisfiable. No matter what truth assignment we take, the sentence is always false.



# Satisfiability and Validity

- A proposition is **valid** if and only if all interpretations make the proposition true.
  - For example, the sentence  $(p \vee \neg p)$  is **valid**. If a truth assignment makes  $p$  true, then the first disjunct is true and the disjunction as a whole true. If a truth assignment makes  $p$  false, then the second disjunct is true and the disjunction as a whole is true.
- A proposition is **valid** iff its negation is **not satisfiable**.
- A proposition is **satisfiable** if it is either **contingent** or **valid**.

# Satisfiability and Validity

Check if following are unsatisfiable, contingent or valid

1.  $P \vee Q$
2.  $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg Q$
3.  $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg Q \rightarrow P$

# Satisfiability and Validity

Check if following are unsatisfiable, contingent or valid

1.  $P \vee Q$
2.  $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg Q$
3.  $(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg Q \rightarrow P$

				valid sentence ?
$P$	$Q$	$P \vee Q$	$(P \vee Q) \wedge \neg Q$	$((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg Q) \Rightarrow P$
<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>