Proximal Methods

Ran Wang

January 26, 2021

Chapter 1

Proximal Algorithms

1.1 Motivation

In this chapter, we briefly outline the problem of minimizing functions that are not necessarily differentiable. A typical example is the l_1 -regularized problem. For example, the object might look like

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - x_i^t \beta)^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1.$$

Here, β is the parameter we want to find. Should we not have $\lambda \|\beta\|_1$, then everything is differentiable and can be solved use quasi-Newton methods, among other things. However, the absolute value function is not differentiable everywhere, which causes problems.

The first solution is by consider $\mathit{sub-differentials}. \text{Sub-differentials}$ are defined as

$$\partial f(x) = \{ y \mid f(z) \ge f(x) + y^T(z - x) \text{ for all } z \in \text{dom } f \},$$

where dom f is the domain of the function. Note that if a function is differentiable then $\partial f = \{ \nabla f \}$. However, in general case, the sub-sdifferential is not a singleton.

For simplicity, we assume all the functions we discuss are subdifferentiable.

1.2 Proximal Algorithms

The proximal operator is defined as

$$\operatorname{prox}_f(v) = \operatorname*{argmin}_x \left(f(x) + (1/2) \|x - v\|_2^2 \right).$$

As simple as the definition might look like, it has quite some nice results. The first one is a fixed-point properties. That is, the point x^* minimizes f if and only if

$$x^* = \operatorname{prox}_f(x^*)$$
.

Proof. First we show that if x^* is the minimizer, then $x^* = \operatorname{prox}_f(x^*)$. Note that for any x,

$$f(x) + (1/2) \|x - x^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} \ge f(x^{\star}) = f(x^{\star}) + (1/2) \|x^{\star} - x^{\star}\|_{2}^{2},$$

and thus by definition, $x^* = \operatorname{prox}_f(x^*)$.

Now consider the reverse case, let $\tilde{x} = \operatorname{prox}_f(v)$. Take the subdifferential operator, we see that this is equivalent to

$$0 \in \partial f(\tilde{x}) + (\tilde{x} - v).$$

Taking $\tilde{x} = v = x^*$, it follows that $0 \in \partial f(x^*)$, so x^* minimizes f.

The second interesting, and rather surprising fact is that, the proximal operator is actually the resolvent of subdifferential operator. More specifically,

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f} = (I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}.$$

Proof. If $z \in (I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(x)$, then $0 \in \partial f(z) + (1/\lambda)(z - x)$. This implies $0 \in \partial_z \left(f(z) + (1/2\lambda) \|z - x\|_2^2 \right)$. Now, since we can prove that $f(z) + (1/2\lambda) \|z - x\|_2^2$ is strongly convex, we can deduce that $z = \operatorname{argmin} \left(f(u) + (1/2\lambda) \|u - x\|_2^2 \right)$. \square

Finally, let us look at the case of minimzing f + g where f is differentiable and g is not. A famous algorithms goes,

$$x^{k+1} := \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda^k a} (x^k - \lambda^k \nabla f(x^k)).$$

To see why, consider the fixed point version that is $x^* = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda g} (x^* - \lambda \nabla f(x^*))$, we show that if this is true then x is indeed the solution to

$$x^* = \operatorname{argmin}_x f(x) + g(x).$$

Proof. Note that x^* is the minimzer if and only if $0 \in \nabla f(x^*) + \partial g(x^*)$. Now with some straight forward computation,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in \lambda \nabla f\left(x^{\star}\right) + \lambda \partial g\left(x^{\star}\right) \\ 0 &\in \lambda \nabla f\left(x^{\star}\right) - x^{\star} + x^{\star} + \lambda \partial g\left(x^{\star}\right) \\ \left(I + \lambda \partial g\right)\left(x^{\star}\right) &\ni \left(I - \lambda \nabla f\right)\left(x^{\star}\right) \\ x^{\star} &= \left(I + \lambda \partial g\right)^{-1} \left(I - \lambda \nabla f\right)\left(x^{\star}\right) \\ x^{\star} &= \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda g}\left(x^{\star} - \lambda \nabla f\left(x^{\star}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$