Composable Statistics

Brian Beckman

May 23, 2019

4 Contents

5	1		2			
6		1.1 TODO TANGLE, NOWEB				
7		1.2 TODO GRAPHICS				
8		1.3 CLOJURE				
9		1.4 TODO HOW TO USE THIS DOCUMENT	2			
10	2	INTRODUCTION	2			
11		2.1 TODO: GENERATE NEW RANDOM DATA	3			
12	3	RUNNING COUNT	3			
13	_	3.1 THREAD-SAFE				
14		3.2 AVOIDING REDUCE				
15	4	RUNNING MEAN	4			
16	-	4.1 REMOVING OUTPUT COUPLING				
17			5			
18	5	CORE.ASYNC 66				
19	J	5.1 SHALLOW TUTORIAL	_			
20		5.2 DEEP TUTORIAL				
21	6	ASYNC DATA STREAMS	2			
	U	6.1 ASYNC RUNNING MEAN	_			
22		6.1 ASTING KONNING MEAN	U			
23	7	RUNNING STDDEV 1	_			
24		7.1 BRUTE-FORCE (SCALAR VERSION)	-			
25		7.2 DEF Z2S: SMALLER EXAMPLE				
26		7.3 REALLY DUMB RECURRENCE				
27		7.4 SCHOOL VARIANCE	8			
28		7.5 DEFN MAKE SCHOOL STATS MAPPER				
29		7.6 DEFN MAKE RECURRENT STATS MAPPER	9			
30		7.7 DEFN MAKE WELFORD'S STATS MAPPER	9			
31	8	WINDOWED STATISTICS 2				
32		8.1 DEF Z3S: MORE SAMPLE DATA	1			
22		8.2 DEEN MAKE SUIDING STATS MAPPER 2	1			

		LMAN FILTER	22
35		BASIC LINEAR ALGEBRA	
36	9.2	DEFN SYMMETRIC PART	24
37	9.3	DEFN ANTI-SYMMETRIC PART	24
38		DEFN KALMAN UPDATE: GENERAL EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER	
39	9.5	DEFN MAKE-KALMAN-MAPPER	29
		FOR VISUALIZATION DEFN PLAY DATA	30
		USSIAN PROCESSES RECURRENT LINEAR REGRESSION	31 31

4 1 COMPOSABLE STATISTICS

1.1 TODO TANGLE, NOWEB

- This will become a fully literate program via org-babel tangle and noweb. At present, it is an org file
- converted directly from an old iPython (Jupyter) notebook. Jupyter proved not to scale well.

48 1.2 TODO GRAPHICS

We still have to work out how to embed graphics in this file.

50 1.3 CLOJURE

- ⁵¹ We prefer Clojure to Python for this exercise due to Clojure's concurrency primitives, especially atoms and
- core.async. Python is growing and improving rapidly, so we may return to it someday.

53 1.3.1 TODO PROJECT.CLJ

- At present, the critical file project.clj is external to this document. It will be one of the first to tangle.
- The best sites for learning Clojure by example are clojuredocs.org and 4clojure.org. A recommended book is Clojure for the Brave and True.

57 1.4 TODO HOW TO USE THIS DOCUMENT

- 58 Explain how to run Clojure code inside an org-mode buffer, how to tangle and weave, etc.
- Install leiningen https://leiningen.org/ (this is all you need for Clojure)

2 INTRODUCTION

- We want to compute descriptive statistics in constant memory. We want exactly the same code to run over
- sequences distributed in space as runs over sequences distributed in time. Sequences distributed in space
- ${}_{63}\quad are\ vectors,\ lists,\ arrays,\ lazy\ or\ not.\ Sequences\ distributed\ over\ time\ are\ asynchronous\ streams.\ Descriptive$
- statistics range from count, mean, max, min, and variance to Kalman filters and Gaussian processes. We
- decouple computation from data delivery by packaging computation in composable functions.
 - Some sample scalar data:

```
67 (def zs [-0.178654, 0.828305, 0.0592247, -0.0121089, -1.48014, -0.315044, -0.324796, -0.676357, 0.16301, -0.858164])
```

69 2.1 TODO: GENERATE NEW RANDOM DATA

3 RUNNING COUNT

```
The traditional and obvious way with reduce and reductions (https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.
   core/reduce). Reduce takes three arguments: a binary function, an initial value, and a space-sequence of
72
   inputs.
73
   (reduce
74
        (fn [count datum] (inc count)); binary function
75
        \Omega
                                             ; initial value
76
                                             ; space sequence
        zs)
77
   10
      ... with all intermediate results:
79
   (reductions (fn [c z] (inc c)) 0 zs)
                                      2 3 4 5 6 7
                                                              9
                                   1
                                                           8
```

3.1 THREAD-SAFE

85

87

89

Overkill for sequences in space, but safe for multiple threads from asynchronous streams. It also shows (1) *let-over-lambda* (LOL): closing over mutable state variables, and (2) transactional mutation, i.e., *atomic updates*. LOL is sematically equivalent to data encapsulation in OOP, and transactions are easier to verify than is OOP with locks and mutexes.

The following has a defect: we need initial-count both to initialize the atom and to initialize the reduce call. This defect must be traded off against the generalizable form or *functional type* of the reducible, namely (estimate, measurement) \rightarrow estimate. We get rid of this defect later.

```
(let [initial-count 0]; Must use this twice below.
90
        (reduce
91
            ; Let-over-lambda (anonymous "object") follows.
92
            ; "Atom" is a transactional (thread-safe) type in Clojure.
93
            (let [running-count (atom initial-count)]
                ; That was the "let" of "LOL." Here comes the lambda:
95
                ; Reducible closure over "running-count."
96
                (fn [c z]; Here's the "lambda" of "LOL"
97
                    (swap! running-count inc); transactional update
                    @running-count))
99
                    ; safe "read" of the atom ~~> new value for c
100
            initial-count
101
            zs))
   10
103
     Showing all intermediate results:
104
   (let [initial-count 0]
```

```
105
        (reductions ; <-- this is the only difference to above
106
107
            (let [running-count (atom initial-count)]
                 (fn [cz]
108
                     (swap! running-count inc)
109
                     @running-count)) ; ~~> new value for c
110
111
            initial-count
            zs))
112
                                 1
                                    2
                                       3
                                          4 5 6
                                                   7
                                                      8
                                                          9
                                                             10
```

3.2 AVOIDING REDUCE

114

116

118

119

120

122

123

124

133

135

137

139

144

145

Reduce only works in space, not in time. Avoiding reduce decouples the statistics code ("business logic") from the space environment ("plumbing"). That spaces environment delivers data from vectors, lists, etc.). We want to be able to switch out an environment that delivers data from space for an environment that delivers data points z from time.

The following is a thread-safe LOL, without reduce. We *map* the LOL over a space-sequence in memory to produce exactly the same result as with reduce. The mappable LOL does not need an accumulator argument for count.

Below, we map *exactly* the same mappable LOL over asynchronous streams.

A subtle defect: the output is still coupled to the computing environment through print. We get rid of that, too, below.

```
(dorun; <-- Discard 'nil's produced by "print."
(map
(let [running-count (atom 0)]
(fn [z]; <-- one fewer argument
(swap! running-count inc)
(print (str @running-count " "))))
zs))</pre>
```

4 RUNNING MEAN

Consider the following general scheme for recurrence: *a new statistic is an old statistic plus a correction*.

The *correction* is a *gain* times a *residual*. For running mean, the residual is the difference between the new measurement z and the old mean x. The gain is 1/(n+1), where n is *count-so-far*. n is a statistic, too, so it is an *old* value, computed and saved before the current observation z arrived.

/The correction therefore depends only on the new input z and on old statistics x and n. The correction does not depend on new statistics/.

Mathematically, write the general recurrence idea without subscripts as

$$x \leftarrow x + K(z - x)$$

or, with Lamport's notation, wherein new versions of old values get a prime, as an equation

$$x' = x + K(z - x)$$

(z does not have a prime; it is the only exception to the rule that new versions of old quantities have primes).

Contrast the noisy traditional form, which introduces another variable, the index n. This traditional form is objectively more complicated than either of the two above:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + K(n) (z_{n+1} - x_n)$$

```
(dorun
146
        (map
147
            (let [running-stats (atom {:count 0, :mean 0})]
                 (fn [z]
149
                      (let [{x :mean, n :count} @running-stats
150
                            n+1 (inc n); cool variable name!
151
                                 (/ 1.0 n+1)]
                          (swap! running-stats conj
153
                                  [:count n+1]
154
                                  [:mean (+ x (* K (- z x)))]))
155
```

```
(println @running-stats)))
156
            zs))
157
   {:count 1, :mean -0.178654}
158
   {:count 2, :mean 0.3248255}
   {:count 3, :mean 0.2362919}
160
   {:count 4, :mean 0.1741917}
   {:count 5, :mean -0.15667464000000003}
162
   {:count 6, :mean -0.183069533333333333}}
163
   {:count 7, :mean -0.20331617142857145}
   {:count 8, :mean -0.262446275}
   {:count 9, :mean -0.2151733555555556}
166
   {:count 10, :mean -0.27947242}
167
```

The swap above calls conj on the current contents of the atom running-stats and on the rest of the arguments, namely [:count n+1, :mean ...]. conj is the idiom for "updating" a hashmap, the hashmap in the atom, the hashmap that starts off as {:count 0, :mean 0}.

171 4.1 REMOVING OUTPUT COUPLING

Remove println from inside the LOL function of z. Now the LOL function of z is completely decoupled from its environment. Also, abstract a "factory" method for the LOL, *make-running-stats-mapper*, to clean up the line that does the printing.

4.1.1 MAKE-RUNNING-STATS-MAPPER

```
(defn make-running-stats-mapper []
176
        (let [running-stats (atom {:count 0 :mean 0 :datum 0})]
177
            (fn [z]
178
                (let [{x :mean, n :count, _ :datum} @running-stats
179
                      n+1 (inc n)
180
                          (/ 1.0 n+1)]
                     (swap! running-stats conj
182
                            [:count n+1]
183
                            [:mean (+ x (* K (- z x)))]
184
                            [:datum z]))
                @running-stats)))
186
   (clojure.pprint/pprint (map (make-running-stats-mapper) zs))
188
   ({:count 1, :mean -0.178654, :datum -0.178654}
    {:count 2, :mean 0.3248255, :datum 0.828305}
190
    {:count 3, :mean 0.2362919, :datum 0.0592247}
191
    {:count 4, :mean 0.1741917, :datum -0.0121089}
192
    {:count 5, :mean -0.1566746400000003, :datum -1.48014}
    {:count 6, :mean -0.1830695333333337, :datum -0.315044}
194
    \{: count 7, :mean -0.20331617142857145, :datum -0.324796\}
195
    {:count 8, :mean -0.262446275, :datum -0.676357}
196
    {:count 9, :mean -0.215173355555556, :datum 0.16301}
    {:count 10, :mean -0.27947242, :datum -0.858164})
198
```

4.2 NUMERICAL CHECK

The last value of the running mean is -0.279...42. Check that against an independent calculation.

1. DEFN MEAN

199

```
202 (defn mean [zs] (/ (reduce + zs) (count zs)))
203 (println (mean zs))

-0.27947242
```

5 CORE.ASYNC

For data distributed over time, we'll use Clojure's core.async. Core.async has some subtleties that we analyze below.

5.1 SHALLOW TUTORIAL

216 https://github.com/clojure/core.async/blob/master/examples/walkthrough.clj

5.2 DEEP TUTORIAL

215

217

219

221

223

224

226

227

228

230

232

234

237

239

The asynchronous, singleton go thread is loaded with very lightweight *pseudothreads* (my terminology, not standard; most things you will read or see about Clojure.async does not carefully distinguish between threads and pseudothreads, and I think that's not helpful).

Pseudothreads are lightweight state machines that pick up where they left off. It is feasible to have thousands, even millions of them. Pseudothreads don't block, they *park*. *Parking* and *unparking* are very fast. We can write clean code with pseudothreads because our code looks like it's blocked waiting for input or blocked waiting for buffer space. Code with blocking I/O is easy to write and to understand. Code in go forms doesn't actually block, just looks like it.

Some details are tricky and definitely not easy to divine from the documentation. Hickey's video from InfoQ 2013 (https://www.infoq.com/presentations/core-async-clojure) is more helpful, but you can only appreciate the fine points after you've stumbled a bit. I stumbled over the fact that buffered and unbuffered channels have different synchronization semantics. Syntactically, they look the same, but you cannot, in general, run the same code over an unbuffered channel that works on a buffered channel. Hickey says this, but doesn't nail it to the mast; doesn't emphasize it with an example, as I do here in this deep tutorial. He motivates the entire library with the benefits of first-class queues, but fails to emphasize that, by default, a channel is not a queue but a blocking rendezvous. He does mention it, but one cannot fully appreciate the ramifications from a passing glance.

5.2.1 COMMUNICATING BETWEEN THREADS AND PSEUDOTHREADS

Write output to unbuffered channel c via >! on the asynchronous go real-thread and read input from the same channel c via <!! on the UI/REPL println real-thread. We'll see later that writing via >!! to an unbuffered channel blocks the UI real-thread, so we can't write before reading unbuffered on the UI/REPL real-thread. However, we can write before reading on a non-blocking pseudothread, and no buffer space is needed.

```
241 (let [c (chan)] ;; unbuffered chan
242 (go (>! c 42)) ;; parks if no space in chan
243 (println (<!! c)) ;; blocks UI/REPL until data on c
244 (close! c)) ;; idiom; may be harmless overkill</pre>
```

245 42

246

247

249

254

269

271

272

282

In general, single-bang forms work on go pseudothreads, and double-bang forms work on real, heavy-weight, Java threads like the UI/REPL thread behind this notebook. In the rest of this notebook, "thread" means "real thread" and we write "pseudothread" explicitly when that's what we mean.

I don't address thread leakage carefully in this tutorial, mostly because I don't yet understand it well. I may overkill by closing channels redundantly.

251 5.2.2 CHANNEL VOODOO FIRST

Writing before reading seems very reasonable, but it does not work on unbuffered channels, as we see below. Before going there, however, let's understand more corners of the example above.

The go form itself returns a channel:

```
(clojure.repl/doc go)
255
   clojure.core.async/go
257
   ([& body])
   Macro
259
     Asynchronously executes the body, returning immediately to the
260
     calling thread. Additionally, any visible calls to <!, >! and alt!/alts!
261
     channel operations within the body will block (if necessary) by
     'parking' the calling thread rather than tying up an OS thread (or
263
     the only JS thread when in ClojureScript). Upon completion of the
264
     operation, the body will be resumed.
265
     Returns a channel which will receive the result of the body when
267
     completed
268
```

I believe "the calling thread" above refers to a pseudothread inside the go real-thread, but I am not sure because of the ambiguities in the official documentation between "blocking" and "parking" and between "thread" and "well, we don't have a name for them, but Brian calls them 'pseudothreads'."

Is the channel returned by go the same channel as c?

```
(let [c (chan)]
273
        (println {:c-channel c})
274
        (println {:qo-channel (qo (>! c 42))})
275
        (println {:c-coughs-up (<!! c)})
276
        (println {:close-c (close! c)}))
277
   {:c-channel #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0xaf89904 clojure.co
278
   {:go-channel #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x12aae339 clojure
   {:c-coughs-up 42}
280
   {:close-c nil}
281
```

No, c is a different channel from the one returned by 90. Consult the documentation for 90 once more:

```
(clojure.repl/doc go)

284 ------
285 clojure.core.async/go
286 ([& body])
287 Macro
288 Asynchronously executes the body, returning immediately to the
289 calling thread. Additionally, any visible calls to <!, >! and alt!/alts!
290 channel operations within the body will block (if necessary) by
```

'parking' the calling thread rather than tying up an OS thread (or

the only JS thread when in ClojureScript). Upon completion of the

291

```
operation, the body will be resumed.
293
294
      Returns a channel which will receive the result of the body when
      completed
296
      We should be able to read from the channel returned by go; call it d:
297
    (let [c (chan)
298
          d (go (>! c 42))] ;; 'let' in Clojure is sequential,
299
                                ;; like 'let*' in Scheme or Common Lisp,
300
                                 ;; so 'd' has a value, here.
301
         (println {:c-coughs-up (<!! c), ;; won't block
302
                     :d-coughs-up (<!! d)}) ;; won't block
303
         (close! c)
         (close! d))
305
    {:c-coughs-up 42, :d-coughs-up true}
306
      d's coughing up true means that the body of the go, namely (>! c 42) must have returned true,
307
   because d coughs up "the result of the body when completed." Let's see whether our deduction matches
308
   documentation for >!:
    (clojure.repl/doc >!)
310
311
   clojure.core.async/>!
312
   ([port val])
313
      puts a val into port. nil values are not allowed. Must be called
314
      inside a (go ...) block. Will park if no buffer space is available.
      Returns true unless port is already closed.
316
      Sure enough. But something important is true and not obvious from this documentation. Writing to c
317
   inside the go block parks the pseudothread because no buffer space is available: c was created with a call to
318
   chan with no arguments, so no buffer space is allocated. Only when reading from c does the pseudothread
319
   unpark. How? There is no buffer space. Reading on the UI thread manages to short-circuit any need for a
320
   buffer and unpark the pseudothread. Such short-circuiting is called a rendezvous in the ancient literature of
321
   concurrency. Would the pseudothread unpark if we read inside a go block and not on the UI thread?
322
    (let [c (chan)
323
          d (go (>! c 42))
324
           e (go (<! c))]
325
         (clojure.pprint/pprint {
           :c-channel c, :d-channel d, :e-channel e,
327
           :e-coughs-up (<!! e), ;; won't block
           :d-coughs-up (<!! d)}) ;; won't block
329
         (close! c)
330
         (close! d)
331
         (close! e))
332
    {:c-channel
333
```

334

335

336

337

339

340

:d-channel

:e-channel

:e-coughs-up 42,

:d-coughs-up true}

#object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x5479b32d "clojure.core.async

#object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x49575da "clojure.core.async.

#object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x21970642 "clojure.core.async

Yes, the pseudothread that parked when 42 is put on c via >! unparks when 42 is taken off via <!. Channel d represents the parking step and channel e represents the unparking step. All three channels are different.

So now we know how to short-circuit or rendezvous unbuffered channels. In fact, the order of reading and writing (taking and putting) does not matter in the nebulous, asynchronous world of pseudothreads. How Einsteinian is that? The following takes (reads) from c on e before puting (writing) to c on d. That's the same as above, only in the opposite order.

```
(let [c (chan)
         e (go (<! c))
349
          d (go (>! c 42))]
350
        (clojure.pprint/pprint {
351
          :c-channel c, :d-channel d, :e-channel e,
352
          :e-coughs-up (<!! e), ;; won't block
353
          :d-coughs-up (<!! d) }) ;; won't block
354
        (close! c)
        (close! d)
356
        (close! e))
357
   {:c-channel
358
    #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x79bbcb7d "clojure.core.async
359
    :d-channel
360
    #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x292870 "clojure.core.async.in
    :e-channel
362
    #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x77beb8dc "clojure.core.async
363
    :e-coughs-up 42,
364
    :d-coughs-up true}
```

5.2.3 PUTS BEFORE TAKES CONSIDERED RISKY

341

343

344

346

>!!, by default, blocks if called too early on an unbuffered real thread. We saw above that parked pseudothreads don't block: you can read and write to channels in go blocks in any order. However, that's not true with threads that actually block. The documentation is obscure, though not incorrect, about this fact.

```
(clojure.repl/doc >!!)
(clojure.repl/doc | clojure.repl/doc |
```

When is "no buffer space available?" It turns out that the default channel constructor makes a channel with no buffer space allocated by default.

```
(clojure.repl/doc chan)
378
379
   clojure.core.async/chan
380
   ([] [buf-or-n] [buf-or-n xform] [buf-or-n xform ex-handler])
381
     Creates a channel with an optional buffer, an optional transducer
382
     (like (map f), (filter p) etc or a composition thereof), and an
     optional exception-handler. If buf-or-n is a number, will create
384
     and use a fixed buffer of that size. If a transducer is supplied a
385
     buffer must be specified. ex-handler must be a fn of one argument -
386
     if an exception occurs during transformation it will be called with
     the Throwable as an argument, and any non-nil return value will be
388
     placed in the channel.
```

We can test the blocking-on-unbuffered case as follows. The following code will block at the line (>!! c 42), as you'll find if you uncomment the code (remove #_ at the beginning) and run it. You'll have to interrupt the Kernel using the "Kernel" menu at the top of the notebook, and you might have to restart the Kernel, but you should try it once.

```
394 #_(let [c (chan)]
395 (>!! c 42)
396 (println (<!! c))
397 (close! c))</pre>
```

390

392

393

399

407

414

415

416

429

431

432

The following variation works fine because we made "buffer space" before writing to the channel. The only difference to the above is the 1 argument to the call of chan.

```
400 (let [c (chan 1)]

401 (>!! c 42)

402 (println (<!! c))

403 (close! c))

404 42
```

The difference between the semantics of the prior two examples is not subtle: one hangs the kernel and the other does not. However, the difference in the syntax is subtle and easy to miss.

We can read on the asynchronous go pool from the buffered channel c because the buffered write (>!!c) on the UI thread doesn't block:

1. ORDER DOESN'T MATTER, SOMETIMES

We can do things backwards, reading before writing, even without a buffer. Read from channel (<! c) on the async go thread "before" writing to (>!! c 42) on the REPL / UI thread. "Before," here, of course, means syntactically or lexically "before," not temporally.

```
(let [c (chan) ;; NO BUFFER!
418
              d (go (<! c)) ;; park a pseudothread to read c
              e (>!! c 42)] ;; blocking write unparks c's pseudothread
420
            (println {:c-hangs '(<!! c),
                       :d-coughs-up (<!! d),
422
                       :what's-e
                                     e } )
            (close! c) (close! d))
424
        {:c-hangs (<!! c), :d-coughs-up 42, :what's-e true}
       Why did >!! produce true? Look at docs again:
        (clojure.repl/doc >!!)
427
```

([port val])

clojure.core.asvnc/>!!

puts a val into port. nil values are not allowed. Will block if no

buffer space is available. Returns true unless port is already closed.

Ok, now I fault the documentation. >!! will block if there is no buffer space available *and* if there is no *rendezvous* available, that is, no pseudothread parked waiting for <!. I have an open question in the Google group for Clojure about this issue with the documentation.

To get the value written in into c, we must read d. If we tried to read it from c, we would block forever because >!! blocks when there is no buffer space, and c never has buffer space. We get the value out of the go nebula by short-circuiting the buffer, by a rendezvous, as explained above.

e's being true means that c wasn't closed. (>!! c 42) should hang.

```
d (go (<! c)) ;; park a pseudothread to read c
441
              e (>!! c 42) ;; blocking write unparks c's pseudothread
              f '(hangs (>!! c 43))] ;; is 'c' closed?
443
            (println {:c-coughs-up '(hangs (<!! c)),
                      :d-coughs-up (<!! d),
                      :what's-e
                                    e,
                      :what's-f
                                    f } )
447
            (close! c) (close! d))
448
       {:c-coughs-up (hangs (<!! c)), :d-coughs-up 42, :what's-e true, :what's-f (hangs (>!!
449
```

StackOverflow reveals a way to find out whether a channel is closed by peeking under the covers (https://stackoverflow.com/questions/24912971):

```
(let [c (chan) ;; NO BUFFER!
452
              d (go (<! c)) ;; park a pseudothread to read c
453
              e (>!! c 42) ;; blocking write unparks c's pseudothread
454
              f (clojure.core.async.impl.protocols/closed? c)]
455
            (println {:c-coughs-up '(hangs (<!! c)),
                       :d-coughs-up (<!! d),
457
                       :c-is-open-at-e?
458
                       :c-is-open-at-f?
459
            (close! c) (close! d))
```

{:c-coughs-up (hangs (<!! c)), :d-coughs-up 42, :c-is-open-at-e? true, :c-is-open-at-f

2. ORDER DOES MATTER, SOMETIMES

(let [c (chan) ;; NO BUFFER!

433

435

436

437

440

450

451

461

462

463

465

Order does matter this time: Writing blocks the UI thread without a buffer and no parked read (rendezvous) in the go nebula beforehand. I hope you can predict that the following will block even before you run it. To be sure, run it, but you'll have to interrupt the kernel as before.

```
#_(let [c (chan)
e (>!! c 42); blocks forever
d (go (<! c))]

(println {:c-coughs-up '(this will hang (<!! c)),
cd-coughs-up (<!! d),
:what's-e e})

(close! c) (close! d))
```

5.2.4 TIMEOUTS: DON'T BLOCK FOREVER

In all cases, blocking calls like >!! to unbuffered channels without timeout must appear *last* on the UI, non-go, thread, and then only if there is some parked pseudothread that's waiting to read the channel by short-circuit (rendezvous). If we block too early, we won't get to the line that launches the async go nebula and parks the short-cicuitable pseudothread—parks the rendezvous.

The UI thread won't block forever if we add a timeout. alts!! is a way to do that. The documentation and examples are difficult, but, loosely quoting (emphasis and edits are mine, major ones in square brackets):

```
(alts!! ports & {:as opts})
```

478

481

482

483

484

487

488

490

492

494

495

498

499

500

502

504

520

522

This destructures all keyword options into opts. We don't need opts or the :as keyword below.

Completes at most one of several channel operations. [/Not for use inside a (go ...) block./] ports is a vector of channel endpoints, [A channel endpoint is] either a channel to take from or a vector of [channel-to-put-to val-to-put] pairs, in any combination. Takes will be made as if by <!!, and puts will be made as if by >!!. If more than one port operation is ready, a non-deterministic choice will be made unless the :priority option is true. If no operation is ready and a :default value is supplied, [=default-val:default=] will be returned, otherwise alts!! will [/block/ xxxxpark?] until the first operation to become ready completes. Returns [val port] of the completed operation, where val is the value taken for takes, and a boolean (true unless already closed, as per put!) for puts. opts are passed as :key val ... Supported options: :default val - the value to use if none of the operations are immediately ready:priority true - (defaultnil) when true, the operations will be tried in order. Note: there is no guarantee that the port exps or val exprs will be used, nor in what order should they be, so they should not be depended upon for side effects.

```
(alts!! ...) returns a [val port] 2-vector.
```

(second (alts!! ...)) is a wrapper of channel c We can't write to the resulting timeout channel because we didn't give it a name.

That's a lot of stuff, but we can divine an idiom: pair a channel c that *might* block with a fresh timeout channel in an alts!!. At most one will complete. If c blocks, the timeout will cough up. If c coughs up before the timeout expires, the timeout quietly dies (question, is it closed? Will it be left open and leak?)

For a first example, let's make a buffered thread that won't block and pair it with a long timeout. You will see that it's OK to write 43 into this channel (the [c 43] term is an implied write; that's clear from the documentation). c won't block because it's buffered, it returns immediately, long before the timeout could expire.

```
(let [c (chan 1)
         a (alts!!; outputs a [val port] pair; throw away the val
507
                    ; here are the two channels for 'alts!!'
508
            [[c 43] (timeout 2500)])]
509
        (clojure.pprint/pprint {:c c, :a a})
        (let [d (go (<! c))]
511
            (println {:d-returns (<!! d)}))</pre>
        (close! c))
513
   {:c
514
    #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x5eb1f1d2 "clojure.core.async
515
516
    [true
517
     #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x5eb1f1d2 "clojure.core.async
   {:d-returns 43}
519
```

But, if we take away the buffer, the timeout channel wins. The only difference to the above is that instead of creating c via (chan 1), that is, with a buffer of length 1, we create it with no buffer (and we quoted out the blocking read of d with a tick mark).

```
continuous continuous
```

```
(clojure.pprint/pprint {:c c, :a a})
527
        (let [d (go (<! c))]
            (println {:d-is d})
529
            '(println {:d-returns (<!! d)})) ;; blocks
530
        (close! c))
531
   { : c
532
    #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x78891bbd "clojure.core.async
533
534
    [nil
535
     #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x6ecb4a56 "clojure.core.async
   {:d-is #object[clojure.core.async.impl.channels.ManyToManyChannel 0x467acbd0 clojure.core.a
537
```

538 6 ASYNC DATA STREAMS

The following writes at random times (>!) to a parking channel echo-chan on an async go fast pseudothread. The UI thread block-reads (<!!) some data from echo-chan. The UI thread leaves values in the channel and thus leaks the channel according to the documentation for close! here https: //clojure.github.io/core.async/api-index.html#C. To prevent the leak permanently, we close the channel explicitly.

```
(def echo-chan (chan))
544
545
             [z zs] (go (Thread/sleep (rand 100)) (>! echo-chan z)))
546
   (dotimes [_ 3] (println (<!! echo-chan)))</pre>
548
   (println {:echo-chan-closed?
              (clojure.core.async.impl.protocols/closed? echo-chan) })
550
   (close! echo-chan)
551
   (println {:echo-chan-closed?
552
               (clojure.core.async.impl.protocols/closed? echo-chan) })
553
   -0.0121089
554
   -0.315044
   0.0592247
556
   {:echo-chan-closed? false}
   {:echo-chan-closed? true}
558
```

We can chain channels, again with leaks that we explicitly close. Also, we must not >! (send) a nil to repl-chan, and <! can produce nil from echo-chan after the timeout and we close echo-chan.

```
(clojure.repl/doc <!)
(clojure.repl/doc | clojure.repl/doc | cl
```

Every time you run the block of code below, you will probably get a different result, by design.

```
(def echo-chan (chan))
(def repl-chan (chan))
(from the second seco
```

567

```
;; unless we don't close the channel at the end of this code-block.
573
   ;; (dotimes [_ 10] (go (>! repl-chan (<! echo-chan))))
575
576
   ;; Instead of throwing an exception, just put a random character
   ;; like \? down the pipe after the echo-chan is closed:
578
579
    (dotimes [_ 10] (go (>! repl-chan (or (<! echo-chan) \?))))
580
581
    (doseq
              [z zs] (go (Thread/sleep (rand 100)) (>! echo-chan z)))
582
583
    (dotimes [ 3]
584
        (println (<!! (second (alts!! [repl-chan
                                            (timeout 500)])))))
586
   ;; Alternatively, we can avoid the exception by NOT closing echo-chan.
588
   ;; Not closing echo chan will leak it, and that's a lousy idea.
590
    (close! echo-chan)
591
   (close! repl-chan)
593
   -0.676357
594
   0.0592247
595
   -1.48014
      Reading from echo-chan may hang the UI thread because the UI thread races the internal go thread
597
   that reads echo-chan, but the timeout trick works here as above.
    (def echo-chan (chan))
599
    (def repl-chan (chan))
600
601
    (dotimes [_ 10] (go (>! repl-chan (or (<! echo-chan) \?))))
602
            [z zs] (go (Thread/sleep (rand 100)) (>! echo-chan z)))
    (doseq
603
    (dotimes [ 3]
604
        (println (<!! (second (alts!! [echo-chan
605
                                            (timeout 500)])))))
606
    (close! echo-chan)
608
    (close! repl-chan)
   nil
610
   nil
   nil
612
      println on a go pseudoprocess works if we wait long enough. This, of course, is bad practice or "code
613
   smell."
614
    (def echo-chan (chan))
615
616
              [z zs] (go (Thread/sleep (rand 100)) (>! echo-chan z)))
617
    (dotimes [_ 3] (go (println (<! echo-chan))))</pre>
619
   (Thread/sleep 500); no visible output if you remove this line.
620
   (close! echo-chan)
621
   -0.0121089
   -0.178654
623
   -0.676357
```

6.1 ASYNC RUNNING MEAN

628

630

632

653

654

656

657

658

660

661

6.1.1 DEFN ASYNC-RANDOMIZED-SCAN

We want running-stats called at random times and with data in random order. A *transducer*, (map mapper), lets us collect items off the buffer. The size of the buffer does not matter, but we must specify it. Notice that the side-effector effector is passed in, so async-randomized-scan remains decoupled from its environment.

In this style of programming, the asynchronous stream might sometimes be called a *functor*, which is anything that's mappable, anything you can map over.

```
(defn async-randomized-scan [zs mapper effector]
633
       (let [transducer (map mapper)
634
              ; give buffer length if there is a transducer
              echo-chan (chan (buffer 1) transducer)]
636
            (doseq [z zs]
637
                (go (Thread/sleep (rand 100)) (>! echo-chan z)))
638
            (dotimes [_ (count zs)] (effector (<!! echo-chan)))</pre>
            (close! echo-chan)))
640
   (async-randomized-scan zs (make-running-stats-mapper) println)
642
   {:count 1, :mean -0.676357, :datum -0.676357}
643
   {:count 2, :mean -0.2566735, :datum 0.16301}
   {:count 3, :mean 0.1049860000000002, :datum 0.828305}
645
   \{: count 4, : mean 0.07571227500000002, : datum -0.0121089\}
646
   {:count 5, :mean 0.0724147600000002, :datum 0.0592247}
647
   {:count 6, :mean -0.0826816999999997, :datum -0.858164}
   {:count 7, :mean -0.2823186, :datum -1.48014}
649
   {:count 8, :mean -0.287628275, :datum -0.324796}
650
   {:count 9, :mean -0.2906744666666663, :datum -0.315044}
651
   {:count 10, :mean -0.27947241999999994, :datum -0.178654}
652
```

We don't need to explicitly say buffer, but I prefer to do.

6.1.2 DEFN MAKE SOW REAP

The effector above just prints to the console. Suppose we want to save the data?

The following is a version of Wolfram's Sow and Reap that does not include tags. It uses atom for an effectful store because a let variable like result is not a var and alter-var-root won't work on (let [result []] ..). An atom might be overkill.

make-sow-reap returns a message dispatcher in the style of *The Little Schemer*. It responds to namespaced keywords::sow and::reap. In the case of::sow, it returns an effector function that conj's its input to the internal result atomically. In the case of::reap, it returns the value of the result accumulated so-far.

```
(do (defn make-sow-reap []
663
            (let [result (atom [])]
664
                 (fn [msq]
665
                      (cond
666
                           (identical? msg ::sow)
                          (fn [x] (swap! result #(conj % x)))
668
                          (identical? msg ::reap)
669
                          @result))))
670
        (let [accumulator (make-sow-reap)]
672
             (async-randomized-scan zs
```

```
(make-running-stats-mapper)
(accumulator ::sow))
(1ast (accumulator ::reap)))
(677 :count 10 :mean -0.27947242 :datum -0.858164
```

Occasionally, there is some floating-point noise in the very low digits of the mean because asyncrandomized-scan scrambles the order of the inputs. The mean should always be almost equal to -0.27947242.

6.1.3 DEFN ASYNC NON RANDOM SCAN

678

699

Of course, the mean of any permutation of the data zs is the same, so the order in which data arrive does not change the final result, except for some occasional floating-point noise as mentioned above.

```
(do (defn async-non-random-scan [zs mapper effector]
            (let [transducer (map mapper)
684
                  echo-chan (chan (buffer 1) transducer)]
                (go (doseq [z zs] (>! echo-chan z)))
                (dotimes [_ (count zs)] (effector (<!! echo-chan)))</pre>
                (close! echo-chan)))
        (let [accumulator (make-sow-reap)]
            (async-non-random-scan zs (make-running-stats-mapper)
691
                                     (accumulator ::sow))
692
            (last (accumulator ::reap)))
693
                       :count 10
                                 :mean
                                         -0.27947242
                                                    :datum
                                                            -0.858164
```

6.1.4 DEFN SYNC SCAN: WITH TRANSDUCER

Here is the modern way, with transduce, to reduce over a sequence of data, in order. It's equivalent to the non-random async version above. The documentation for transduce writes its parameters as xform f coll, and then says

reduce with a transformation of f (xf). If init is not supplied, (f) will be called to produce it.

Our xform is transducer, or (map mapper), and our f is conj, so this is an idiom for mapping because (conj), with no arguments, returns [], an appropriate init.

We now have complete symmetry between space and time, space represented by the vector zs and time represented by values on echo-chan in random and in non-random order.

7 RUNNING STDDEV

7.1 BRUTE-FORCE (SCALAR VERSION)

The definition of variance is the following, for N > 1:

$$\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (z_i - \bar{z}_N)^2$$

The sum is the *sum of squared residuals*. Each residual is the difference between the \$i\$-th datum z_i and the mean \bar{z}_N of all N data in the sample. The outer constant, 1/(N-1) is Bessel's correction.

7.1.1 DEFN SSR: SUM OF SQUARED RESIDUALS

The following is *brute-force* in the sense that it requires all data up-front so that it can calculate the mean.

4 7.1.2 DEFN VARIANCE

725 Call ssr to compute variance:

```
726 (do
727 (defn variance [sequ]
728 (let [n (count sequ)]
729 (case n
730 0 0
731 1 (first sequ)
732 #_default (/ (ssr sequ) (- n 1.0)))))
733 (variance zs) )
734 0.3951831517200817
```

7.2 DEF Z2S: SMALLER EXAMPLE

736 Let's do a smaller example:

```
737 (do (def z2s [55. 89. 144.])
738 (variance z2s) )
739 2017.0
```

7.3 REALLY DUMB RECURRENCE

Remember our general form for recurrences, $x \leftarrow x + K \times (z - x)$?

We can squeeze running variance into this form in a really dumb way. The following is really dumb because:

- 1. it requires the whole sequence up front, so it doesn't run in constant memory
- 2. the intermediate values are meaningless because they refer to the final mean and count, not to the intermediate ones
- But, the final value is correct.

744

That was so dumb that we won't bother with a thread-safe, stateful, or asynchronous form.

7.4 SCHOOL VARIANCE

757

758

759

761

762

For an easy, school-level exercise, prove the following equation:

$$\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (z_i - \bar{z}_N)^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} (z_i^2) - N \bar{z}_N^2 \right)$$

Instead of the sum of squared residuals, ssr, accumulate the sum of squares, ssq.

School variance is exposed to catastrophic cancellation because ssq grows quickly. We fix that defect below.

We see that something is not best with this form because we don't use the old variance to compute the new variance. We do better below.

Of course, the same mapper works synchronously and asynchronously.

7.5 DEFN MAKE SCHOOL STATS MAPPER

and test it both synchronously and asynchronously, randomized and not:

```
(defn make-school-stats-mapper []
764
       (let [running-stats (atom {:count 0, :mean 0,
765
                                    :variance 0, :ssq 0})]
            (fn [z]
767
                (let [{x :mean, n :count, s :ssq} @running-stats
768
                      n+1 (inc n)
769
                           (/1.0 n+1)
                           (-zx)
771
                           (+ x (* K r)) ;; Isn't prime notation nice?
                           (+ s (* z z))]
773
                    (swap! running-stats conj
                            [:count
                                       n+1]
775
776
                            [:mean
                                       x' ]
                            [:ssq
                                       s'1
777
                            [:variance (/ (-s'(*n+1x'x'))(max 1 n))]))
778
                @running-stats)))
779
780
   (clojure.pprint/pprint (sync-scan z2s (make-school-stats-mapper)))
781
782
   (async-randomized-scan z2s (make-school-stats-mapper) println)
783
784
   (async-non-random-scan z2s (make-school-stats-mapper) println)
   [{:count 1, :mean 55.0, :variance 0.0, :ssq 3025.0}
    {:count 2, :mean 72.0, :variance 578.0, :ssq 10946.0}
787
    {:count 3, :mean 96.0, :variance 2017.0, :ssq 31682.0}]
788
   {:count 1, :mean 55.0, :variance 0.0, :ssq 3025.0}
   {:count 2, :mean 72.0, :variance 578.0, :ssq 10946.0}
   {:count 3, :mean 96.0, :variance 2017.0, :ssq 31682.0}
791
   {:count 1, :mean 55.0, :variance 0.0, :ssq 3025.0}
```

```
793 {:count 2, :mean 72.0, :variance 578.0, :ssq 10946.0}
794 {:count 3, :mean 96.0, :variance 2017.0, :ssq 31682.0}
```

7.6 DEFN MAKE RECURRENT STATS MAPPER

We already know the recurrence for the mean:

797

821

822

823

$$x \leftarrow x + K \cdot (z - x) = x + \frac{1}{n+1}(z - x)$$

We want a recurrence with a similar form for the variance. It takes a little work to prove, but it's still a school-level exercise. K remains 1/(n+1), the value needed for the new mean. We could define a pair of gains, one for the mean and one for the variance, but it would be less pretty.

$$v \leftarrow \frac{(n-1)v + K n (z-x)^2}{\max(1,n)}$$

```
(defn make-recurrent-stats-mapper []
800
        (let [running-stats (atom {:count 0, :mean 0,
801
                                      :variance 0})1
802
            (fn [z]
803
                 (let
                      [{x :mean, n :count, v :variance} @running-stats
804
                       n+1 (inc n)
805
                            (/ 1.0 (inc n))
806
                            (-zx)
807
                            (+ x (* K r))
808
                       ssr (+ (* (- n 1) v); old ssr is (* (- n 1) v)
809
                               (* K n r r))]
                     (swap! running-stats conj
811
                                         n+1]
                             [:count
812
                             [:mean
                                         x' ]
813
                             [:variance (/ ssr
                                                 (max 1 n)))))
814
                @running-stats)))
815
816
   (async-non-random-scan z2s (make-recurrent-stats-mapper) println)
817
   {:count 1, :mean 55.0, :variance 0.0}
818
   {:count 2, :mean 72.0, :variance 578.0}
   {:count 3, :mean 96.0, :variance 2017.0}
820
```

7.7 DEFN MAKE WELFORD'S STATS MAPPER

The above is equivalent, algebraically and numerically, to Welford's famous recurrence for the sum of squared residuals S. In recurrences, we want everything on the right-hand sides of equations or left arrows to be be old, *prior* statistics, except for the new observation / measurement / input z. Welford's requires the new, *posterior* mean on the right-hand side, so it's not as elegant as our recurrence above. However, it is easier to remember!

$$S \leftarrow S + (z - x_N) (z - x_{N+1}) = S + (z - x) (z - (x + K (z - x)))$$
827 (do (defn make-welfords-stats-mapper []
828 (let [running-stats (atom {:count 0, :mean 0, :variance 0})]
829 (fn [z]
830 (let [{x :mean, n :count, v :variance} @running-stats
831 n+1 (inc n)
832 K (/ 1.0 n+1)
833 r (- z x)

```
x'
                                 (+ x (* K r))
834
                            ssr (+ (* (- n 1) v)
835
836
                                    ;; only difference to recurrent variance:
                                    (* (- z x) (- z x')))]
837
                          (swap! running-stats conj
                                  [:count
839
                                              x' ]
                                  [:mean
840
                                  [:variance (/ ssr
                                                        (max 1 n)))))
841
                     @running-stats)))
842
843
        (async-non-random-scan
844
          z2s (make-welfords-stats-mapper) println)
845
   {:count 1, :mean 55.0, :variance 0.0}
846
   {:count 2, :mean 72.0, :variance 578.0}
847
   {:count 3, :mean 96.0, :variance 2017.0}
848
```

8 WINDOWED STATISTICS

849

850

851

852

854

858

859

862

863

Suppose we want running statistics over a history of fixed, finite length. For example, suppose we have N = 10 data and we want the statitics in a window of length w = 3 behind the current value, inclusively. When the first datum arrives, the window and the total include one datum. The window overhangs the left until the third datum. When the fourth datum arrives, the window contains three data and the total contains four data. After the tenth datum, we may consider three more steps marching the window "off the cliff" to the right. The following figure illustrates (the first row corresponds to n = 0, not to n = 1):

We won't derive the following formulas, but rather say that they have been vetted at least twice independently (in a C program and in a Mathematica program). The following table shows a unit test that we reproduce. The notation is explained after the table.

Denote prior statistics by plain variables like \mathfrak{m} and corresponding posteriors by the same variables with primes like \mathfrak{m}' . The posteriors \mathfrak{j} and \mathfrak{u} do not have a prime.

	variable	description
	n	prior count of data points; equals 0 when considering the first point
	z	current data point
	w	fixed, constant, maximum width of window; $w \ge 1$
	j	posterior number of points left of the window; $j \ge 0$
	u	posterior number of points including <i>z</i> in the running window; $1 \le u \le w$
	m	prior mean of all points, not including z
	m′	posterior mean of all points including z
861	m_{j}	prior mean of points left of the window, lagging w behind m
	m_i'	posterior mean of points left of the window
	\mathfrak{m}_w'	posterior mean of points in the window, including the current point z
	ν	prior variance, not including z
	v'	posterior variance of all points including z
	v_{i}	prior variance of points left of the window, lagging w behind u_n
	v_i'	posterior variance of points left of the window
	v_w'	posterior variance of points within the window

The recurrences for m, v, m_j , and v_j have only priors (no primes) on their right-hand sides. The values of m_w and v_w are not recurrences because the non-primed versions do not appear on the right-hand sides of equations 10 and 13. Those equations are simply transformations of the posteriors (values with primes) m', m'_i , v', and v'_i .

$$\begin{split} &j = \max(0, n+1-w) \\ &u = n-j+1 \\ &m' = m + \frac{z-m}{n+1} \\ &m'_j = \begin{cases} m_j + \frac{z_j - m_j}{j} & j > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &m'_w = \frac{(n+1)\,m' - j\,m'_j}{u} \\ &v' = \frac{(n-1)\,v + \frac{n}{n+1}\,(z-m)^2}{\max(1,n)} \\ &v'_j = \begin{cases} \frac{j-2}{j-1}\,v_j + \frac{1}{j}\,\left(z_j - m_j\right)^2 & j > 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &v'_w = \frac{n\,v' + (n-w)\,v'_j + (n+1)\,m'^2 - j\,m'_j{}^2 - u\,m'_w{}^2}{\max(1,u-1)} \end{split}$$

Here is sample data we can compare with the unit test above.

8.1 DEF Z3S: MORE SAMPLE DATA

```
def z3s [0.857454, 0.312454, 0.705325, 0.8393630, 1.637810, 0.699257, -0.340016, -0.213596, -0.0418609, 0.054705])
```

The best algorithm we have found for tracking historical data is to keep a FIFO queue in a Clojure *vector* of length w. This is still constant memory because it depends only on the length w of the window, not on the length of the data stream.

8.1.1 DEFN PUSH TO BACK

```
(defn push-to-back [item vek] (conj (vec (drop 1 vek)) item)
```

8.2 DEFN MAKE SLIDING STATS MAPPER

```
(defn make-sliding-stats-mapper [w]
877
        (let [running-stats (atom {:n 0, :m 0, :v 0,
878
                                       :win (vec (repeat w 0)),
879
                                       :mw 0, :vw 0,
                                       :mj 0, :vj 0})]
881
             (fn [z]
882
                 (let [{:keys [m n v win mj vj]} @running-stats
883
                              (first win)
                              (push-to-back z win)
                        win'
885
                              (double (inc n))
886
                              (double (dec n))
                        n-1
887
                              (/1.0 n+1)
888
                        Κv
                              (* n K)
889
                              (-zm)
890
                              (\max 0, (-n+1 w))
891
                              (-n+1j)
892
                              (+ m (* K r))
                        m′
893
```

```
rj
                             (-zjmj)
894
                       mj'
                             (if (> j 0), (+ mj (/ rj j)), 0)
895
                             (/ (- (* n+1 m') (* j mj')) u)
896
                       mw'
                       v'
                                 (+ (* n-1 v) (* Kv r r))
897
                                  (max 1 n))
                       vj'
                             (if (> j 1)
899
                                  (let [j21 (/ (- j 2.0))
900
                                                (- j 1.0))]
901
                                      (+ (* j21 vj)
902
                                          (/ (* rj rj) j)))
903
                                 0)
904
                             (let [t1 (- (* n v')
                       vw'
905
                                           (* (- n w) vj'))
                                    t2 (- (* n+1 m' m')
907
908
                                           (* j mj' mj'))
                                    t3 (- (* u mw' mw'))]
909
                                     (+ t1 t2 t3)
910
                                      (\max 1 (-u 1)))
911
                       ]
912
                     (swap! running-stats conj
913
                             [:n
                                     n+1 ]
914
                                     m'
                             [:m
                                         1
915
                             [:v
                                     v'
916
                                     mj']
                             [:mj
                             [:vj
                                     vj′]
918
                             [:mw
                                     mw']
919
                                     vw'
                             [:vw
920
                                    win']))
                             [:win
921
                 @running-stats)))
922
923
   (clojure.pprint/print-table
924
        [:n :mw :vw]
        (sync-scan z3s (make-sliding-stats-mapper 3)))
926
927
        :n |
                                :mw
                                                          :VW
928
      1.0 |
                           0.857454
                                                          0.0
930
      2.0
                           0.584954 | 0.14851250000000005
931
      3.0 |
               0.62507766666666666 | 0.07908597588033339
932
               0.6190473333333333 | 0.07499115039433346
      4.0
      5.0 |
               1.060832666666668
                                         0.2541686787463333
934
      6.0 |
                           1.05881 | 0.25633817280899995
935
      7.0 I
               0.665683666666668
                                         0.9787942981023336
936
      8.0 | 0.04854833333333333 |
                                         0.3215618307563336
937
      9.0 | -0.19849096666666663 | 0.022395237438003604
938
   | 10.0 | -0.06691730000000007 | 0.01846722403596973 |
939
      ... passing the unit test.
```

KALMAN FILTER

940

BASIC LINEAR ALGEBRA

Go for high performance with CUDA or Intel KML later.

Add the following lines to project.clj in the directory that contains this org file:

```
9.1.1 TODO: FULLY LITERATE: TANGLE PROJECT.CLJ
```

944

```
[net.mikera/core.matrix "0.62.0"]
   [net.mikera/vectorz-clj "0.48.0"]
947
   [org.clojure/algo.generic "0.1.2"]
      Smoke test:
949
    (require '[clojure.core.matrix :as ccm])
950
    (ccm/set-current-implementation :vectorz)
951
    (ccm/shape
952
        (ccm/array [[1 2 3]
953
                      [1 3 8]
954
                      [2 7 4]]))
955
                                              3
                                                 3
956
      Bits and pieces we will need:
957
    (ccm/transpose
        (ccm/array [[1 2 3]
959
                      [1 3 8]
960
                      [2 7 4]]))
961
   #vectorz/matrix [[1.0,1.0,2.0],
   [2.0, 3.0, 7.0],
963
   [3.0,8.0,4.0]]
      mmul is multiadic (takes more than two arguments). This is possible because matrix multiplication is
965
   associative.
    (let [A (ccm/array [[1 2 3]
967
                          [1 3 8]
968
                          [2 7 4]])]
969
        (ccm/mmul (ccm/transpose A) A (ccm/inverse A)))
970
   #vectorz/matrix [[1.0000000000003,1.0,2.00000000000000],
   [2.000000000000093, 3.000000000001, 6.99999999999999],
972
   [3.00000000000006, 8.0, 3.99999999999999]]
973
   9.1.2 DEFN LINSPACE
974
    (defn linspace
975
     "A sequence of $n$ equally spaced points in the doubly closed
976
    interval $[a,b]$, that is, inclusive of both ends."
977
      [abn]
978
      (let [d (/ (- b a) (dec n))]
979
        (map (fn [x] (+ a (* x d))) (range n))))
980
    (clojure.pprint/pprint (linspace 2 3. 3))
981
   (2.0 \ 2.5 \ 3.0)
982
```

9.2 DEFN SYMMETRIC PART

983

1004

1007

```
(do (defn symmetric-part [M]
984
             (ccm/div (ccm/add M (ccm/transpose M)) 2.0))
985
        (symmetric-part [[1 2 3]
                            [1 3 8]
987
                            [2 7 4]])
988
                                                     2.5
                                            1.0
                                                1.5
                                            1.5
                                                 3.0
                                                     7.5
                                            2.5
                                                7.5
                                                     4.0
```

9.3 DEFN ANTI-SYMMETRIC PART

```
(do (defn anti-symmetric-part [M]
991
              (ccm/div (ccm/sub M (ccm/transpose M)) 2.0))
992
         (anti-symmetric-part [[1 2 3]
993
                                     [1 3 8]
                                     [2 7 4]])
995
                                              0.0
                                                    0.5
                                                         0.5
                                              -0.5
                                                    0.0
                                                         0.5
996
                                              -0.5
                                                   -0.5
                                                         0.0
    (let [M [[1 2 3]
997
               [1 3 8]
998
               [2 7 4]]]
999
         (ccm/sub (ccm/add (symmetric-part M)
1000
                        (anti-symmetric-part M))
1001
                    M))
1002
                                              0.0
                                                   0.0
                                                        0.0
                                              0.0
                                                   0.0
                                                        0.0
1003
                                              0.0
                                                   0.0
                                                        0.0
```

9.3.1 DEFN MATRIX ALMOST =

```
1005 (require '[clojure.algo.generic.math-functions :as gmf])
```

The following isn't the best solution: neither relative nor absolute differences are robust. Units in Last Place (ULP) are a better criterion, however, this will unblock us for now.

```
(do
          (defn matrix-almost=
1008
             ([m1 m2 eps]
1009
              "Checks for near equality against a given absolute difference."
1010
1011
             (mapv (fn [row1 row2]
                         (mapv (fn [e1 e2] (gmf/approx= e1 e2 eps))
1012
                               row1 row2))
1013
                    m1 m2))
1014
             ([m1 m2]
1015
              "Checks for near equality against a default absolute difference of 1.0e-9"
1016
              (matrix-almost= m1 m2 1.0e-9))
1017
1018
         (let [M [[1 2 3]
1019
                   [1 3 8]
1020
                   [2 7 4]]]
1021
             (matrix-almost= (ccm/add (symmetric-part M)
                                          (anti-symmetric-part M))
1023
                               M))
                                      )
1024
```

9.3.2 DEFN SIMILARITY TRANSFORM

1026

1029

1047

1054

```
(defn similarity-transform [A M]
(ccm/mmul A M (ccm/transpose A)))
```

9.3.3 VECTORS, ROW VECTORS, COLUMN VECTORS

1030 The library (like many others) is loose about matrices times vectors.

```
1031 (ccm/mmul

1032 (ccm/matrix [[1 2 3]

1033 [1 3 8]

1034 [2 7 4]])

1035 (ccm/array [22 23 42]))

1036 #vectorz/vector [194.0,427.0,373.0]
```

Pedantically, a matrix should only be allowed to left-multiply a column vector, i.e., a 1×3 matrix. The Clojure library handles this case.

```
(ccm/mmul
1039
         (ccm/matrix [[1 2 3]
1040
                          [1 3 8]
1041
                         [2 7 4]])
1042
         (ccm/array [[22] [23] [42]]))
1043
    #vectorz/matrix [[194.0],
1044
    [427.0],
1045
    [373.0]]
1046
```

Non-pedantic multiplication of a vector on the right by a matrix:

```
1048 (ccm/mmul

1049 (ccm/array [22 23 42])

1050 (ccm/matrix [[1 2 3]

1051 [1 3 8]

1052 [2 7 4]]))

1053 #vectorz/vector [129.0,407.0,418.0]
```

Pedantic multiplication of a row vector on the right by a matrix:

```
1055 (ccm/mmul

1056 (ccm/array [[22 23 42]])

1057 (ccm/matrix [[1 2 3]

1058 [1 3 8]

1059 [2 7 4]]))

1060 #vectorz/matrix [[129.0,407.0,418.0]]
```

9.3.4 SOLVING INSTEAD OF INVERTING

1061

1062

1063

1071

Textbooks will tell you that, if you have Ax = b and you want x, you should compute $A^{-1}b$. Don't do this; the inverse is numerically risky and almost never needed:

```
(ccm/mmul
        (ccm/inverse
1065
             (ccm/array [[1 2 3]
1066
                           [1 3 8]
1067
                           [2 7 4]]))
1068
         (ccm/array [22 23 42]))
1069
    #vectorz/vector [22.05882352941177,-0.4705882352941142,0.2941176470588234]
1070
```

Instead, use a linear solver. Almost everywhere that you see $A^{-1}b$, visualize solve(A,b). You will get a more stable answer. Notice the difference in the low-significance digits below. The following is a more 1072 reliable answer: 1073

```
(require '[clojure.core.matrix.linear :as ccml])
1074
    (ccml/solve
1075
         (ccm/array [[1 2 3]
1076
                       [1 3 8]
1077
                      [2 7 4]])
1078
         (ccm/array [22 23 42]))
1079
    (ccml/solve
1080
         (ccm/matrix [[1 2 3]
1081
                       [1 3 8]
1082
                       [2 7 4]])
1083
         (ccm/matrix [22 23 42]))
1084
    #vectorz/vector [22.058823529411764,-0.4705882352941176,0.2941176470588236]
1085
    (ccm/shape (ccm/matrix [[22] [23] [42]]))
1086
                                                3
                                                 1
1087
```

9.3.5 DEFN SOLVE MATRIX

We need solve to work on matrices: 1089

```
(defn solve-matrix
1090
      "The 'solve' routine in clojure.core.matrix only works on Matrix times Vector.
1091
     We need it to work on Matrix times Matrix. The equation to solve is
1092
1093
     Ann * Xnm = Bnm
1095
     Think of the right-hand side matrix Bnm as a sequence of columns. Iterate over
1096
     its transpose, treating each column as a row, then converting that row to a
1097
     vector, to get the transpose of the solution X."
1098
      [Ann Bnm]
1099
      (ccm/transpose (mapv (partial ccml/solve Ann) (ccm/transpose Bnm))))
1100
    (solve-matrix
1101
        (ccm/matrix [[1 2 3]
1102
                     [1 3 8]
                     [2 7 4]])
1104
        (ccm/matrix [[22] [23] [42]]
                                          ))
1105
```

```
22.058823529411764
-0.4705882352941176
0.2941176470588236
```

```
(solve-matrix
1107
         (ccm/matrix [[1 2 3]
1108
                          [1 3 8]
1109
                         [2 7 4]])
1110
         (ccm/matrix [[22 44]
                          [23 46]
1112
                          [42 84]]))
                                  22.058823529411764
                                                         44.11764705882353
                                 -0.4705882352941176
                                                       -0.9411764705882352
1114
                                  0.2941176470588236
                                                        0.5882352941176472
```

DEFN KALMAN UPDATE: GENERAL EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER

Use Clojure's destructuring to write the Kalman filter as a binary function. See http://vixra.org/abs/ 1116 1606.0348

xn1 denotes a vector x with dimension $n \times 1$, that is, a column vector of height n. Pnn denotes a covariance matrix of dimension $n \times n$, and So on.

The math is as follows (notice step 6 has the same form as all earlier statistics calculations in this document):

Letting inputs:

1106

1118

1120

1122

1123

1130

1131 1132

1134

- $x_{n,1}$ be the current, best estimate of the \$n\$-dimensional state of a system
- $P_{n,n}$ be the current, best estimate of the $n \times n$ covariance of state $x_{n,1}$ 1124
- $z_{m,1}$ be the current, \$m\$-dimensional observation 1125
- $H_{m,n}$ be linearized observation model to be inverted: $z_{m,1} = H_{m,n} \cdot x_{n,1}$ 1126
- A_{n,n} be linearized dynamics 1127
 - $Q_{n,n}$ be process noise (covariance) accounting for uncertainty in $A_{n,n}$
- $R_{m,m}$ be observation noise (covariance) accounting for uncertainty in $z_{m,1}$ 1129
 - and intermediates and outputs:
 - $x'_{n,1}$ (intermediate; update) be the estimate of the state after enduring one time step of linearized
- $x''_{n,1}$ (output; prediction) be the estimate of the state after dynamics and after information from the 1133 observation $z_{m,1}$
- $P'_{n,n}$ (intermediate; *update*) be the current, best estimate of the $n \times n$ covariance of state $x_{n,1}$ after 1135 dynamics
- $P''_{n,n}$ (output; prediction) be the current, best estimate of the $n \times n$ covariance of state $x_{n,1}$ after dy-1137 namics and oservation $z_{m,1}$
- The steps are: 1139
- 1. Update state estimate: $\mathbf{x}'_{n,1} = \mathbf{A}_{n,n} \mathbf{x}_{n,1}$ 1140
- 2. Update state covariance: $P'_{n,n} = Q_{n,n} + (A_{n,n} P_{n,n} A_{n,n}^{\intercal})$ 1141
- 3. Covariance-update scaling matrix: $D_{m,m} = R_{m,m} + (H_{m,n} P'_{n,n} H^T_{m,n})$

```
4. Kalman gain: K_{n,m} = P_{n,n} H_{m,n}^{T} D_{m,m}^{-1}
1143
           (a) written as \mathbf{K}_{n,m}^{\intercal} = \text{solve}(\mathbf{D}_{m,m}^{\intercal}, \mathbf{H}_{m,n} \mathbf{P}_{n,n}^{\intercal})
       5. Innovation: predicted observation residual: \mathbf{r}_{m,1} = \mathbf{z}_{m,1} - \mathbf{H}_{m,n} \mathbf{x}'_{n,1}
1145
       6. State prediction: \mathbf{x}''_{n,1} = \mathbf{x}'_{n,1} + \mathbf{K}_{n,m} \mathbf{r}_{m,1}
1146
       7. Covariance reduction matrix: L_{n,n} = I_{n,n} - K_{n,m} H_{m,n}
1147
       8. Covariance prediction: P''_{n,n} = L_{n,n} P'_{n,n}
1148
     (defn kalman-update [{:keys [xn1 Pnn]} {:keys [zm1 Hmn Ann Qnn Rmm]}]
1149
       (let [x'n1]
                        (ccm/mmul Ann xn1)
                                                                          ; Predict state
1150
               P'nn
                        (ccm/add
1151
                         Qnn (similarity-transform Ann Pnn)) ; Predict covariance
1152
               Dmm
                        (ccm/add
1153
                         Rmm (similarity-transform Hmn P'nn)); Gain precursor
               DTmm
                        (ccm/transpose Dmm)
                                                                           ; Support for "solve"
1155
               HP'Tmn (ccm/mmul Hmn (ccm/transpose P'nn))
                                                                           ; Support for "solve"
1156
               ; Eqn 3 of http://vixra.org/abs/1606.0328:
1157
                        (solve-matrix DTmm HP'Tmn)
               KTmn
               Knm
                        (ccm/transpose KTmn)
                                                                           ; Kalman gain
1159
               ; innovation = predicted obn residual
1160
                        (ccm/sub zml (ccm/mmul Hmn x'nl))
               rm1
1161
               x''n1
                        (ccm/add x'n1 (ccm/mmul Knm rm1))
                                                                           ; final corrected estimate
1162
                        (ccm/dimension-count xn1 0)
1163
1164
               ; new covariance ? catastrophic cancellation ?
                        (ccm/sub (ccm/identity-matrix n)
1165
                                    (ccm/mmul Knm Hmn))
1166
               P''nn
                        (ccm/mmul Lnn P'nn)]
                                                                           ; New covariance
1167
1168
            {:xn1 x''n1, :Pnn P''nn}))
1169
    9.4.1 UNIT TEST
    Let the measurement model be a cubic:
1171
     (defn Hmn-t [t]
1172
       (ccm/matrix [[(* t t t) (* t t) t 1]]))
1173
       Ground truth state, constant with time in this unit test:
1174
     (def true-x
1175
          (ccm/array [-5 -4 9 -3]))
1176
     (require '[clojure.core.matrix.random :as ccmr])
1177
     (defn fake [n]
1178
       (let [times
                          (range -2.0 2.0 (/ 2.0 n))
1179
               Hmns
                          (mapv Hmn-t times)
1180
               true-zs (mapv #(ccm/mmul % true-x) Hmns)
1181
               zm1s
                          (mapv # (ccm/add
                                      (ccm/array
1183
                                        [[(ccmr/rand-gaussian)]]))
1184
                                 true-zs)]
1185
          {:times times, :Hmns Hmns, :true-zs true-zs, :zmls zmls}))
```

```
(def test-data (fake 7))
1187
      A state cluster is a vector of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{P}:
1188
    (def state-cluster-prior
1189
      {:xn1 (ccm/array [[0.0] [0.0] [0.0] [0.0]])
1190
       :Pnn (ccm/mul 1000.0 (ccm/identity-matrix 4))})
1191
      An obn-cluster is a vector of z, H, A, Q, and R. Obn is short for observation.
1192
    (def obn-clusters
1193
      (let [c (count (:times test-data))]
1194
         (mapv (fn [zml Hmn Ann Qnn Rmm]
                  {:zml zml, :Hmn Hmn, :Ann Ann, :Qnn Qnn, :Rmm Rmm})
1196
                (:zmls test-data)
                (:Hmns test-data)
1198
                (repeat c (ccm/identity-matrix 4))
                (repeat c (ccm/zero-matrix 4 4))
1200
                (repeat c (ccm/identity-matrix 1))
1201
               )))
1202
    (clojure.pprint/pprint (reduce kalman-update state-cluster-prior obn-clusters))
1203
    {:xn1 #vectorz/matrix [[-4.900886700347095],
1204
    [-4.1922940308673535]
1205
    [8.804508118620738],
1206
    [-2.1922826490975567]
1207
1208
     #vectorz/matrix [[0.03208215055213958,-5.478256737134757E-15,-0.0874691388122202,-8.77076
    [-2.3568386825489895E-15, 0.03637145347999561, -5.2632377622874316E-14, -0.05541947257604415]
1210
    [-0.08746913881223455, -2.570860191397628E-14, 0.2822249372573019, -1.1334683192032458E-14],
1211
    [4.6455894686658894E-15, -0.05541947257607027, -6.734196533741965E-15, 0.15110531309503664]]
1212
      Notice how close the estimate x_{n \times 1} is to the ground truth, [-5, -4, 9, -3] for x. A chi-squared test would
1213
    be appropriate to complete the verification (TODO).
1214
```

9.5 DEFN MAKE-KALMAN-MAPPER

1215

Just as we did before, we can convert a *foldable* into a *mappable* transducer and bang on an asynchronous stream of data. This only needs error handling to be deployable at scale. Not to minimize error handling: it's a big but separable engineering task.

```
(do (defn make-kalman-mapper [{:keys [xn1 Pnn]}]
1219
            ;; let-over-lambda (LOL); here are the Bayesian priors
            (let [estimate-and-covariance (atom {:xn1 xn1, ;; prior-estimate
1221
                                                     :Pnn Pnn, ;; prior-covariance
1222
1223
                ;; here is the mapper (mappable)
1224
                 (fn [{:keys [zm1 Hmn Ann Qnn Rmm]}]
1225
                     (let [{xn1 :xn1, Pnn :Pnn} @estimate-and-covariance]
1226
                          (let [ ;; out-dented so we don't go crazy reading it
1227
                    (ccm/mmul Ann xn1)
            x'n1
                                                              ; Predict state
1228
            P'nn
                    (ccm/add
1229
                     Qnn (similarity-transform Ann Pnn)) ; Predict covariance
1230
            Dmm
                    (ccm/add
                     Rmm (similarity-transform Hmn P'nn)); Gain precursor
1232
            DTmm
                    (ccm/transpose Dmm)
                                                              ; Support for "solve"
1233
```

```
HP'Tmn (ccm/mmul Hmn (ccm/transpose P'nn))
                                                             ; Support for "solve"
1234
            ; Eqn 3 of http://vixra.org/abs/1606.0328
1235
                    (solve-matrix DTmm HP'Tmn)
1236
                    (ccm/transpose KTmn)
                                                             ; Kalman gain
1237
            ; innovation = predicted obn residual
1238
                    (ccm/sub zm1
                                  (ccm/mmul Hmn x'n1))
1239
            x''n1
                    (ccm/add x'n1 (ccm/mmul Knm rm1))
                                                             ; final corrected estimate
1240
                    (ccm/dimension-count xn1 0)
1241
            ; new covariance ? catastrophic cancellation ?
1242
                    (ccm/sub (ccm/identity-matrix n)
1243
                              (ccm/mmul Knm Hmn))
1244
            P''nn
                    (ccm/mmul Lnn P'nn)]
1245
                              (swap! estimate-and-covariance conj
                                     [:xn1 x''n1]
1247
                                     [:Pnn P''nn])
                     @estimate-and-covariance)
1249
        ;; The following line maps over a fixed sequence in memory
1251
1252
        #_(clojure.pprint/pprint (last
                                     (map (make-kalman-mapper state-cluster-prior)
1253
                                     obn-clusters)))
1255
        #_(async-randomized-scan obn-clusters
1256
                                 (make-kalman-mapper state-cluster-prior)
                                 clojure.pprint/pprint)
1258
1259
        (let [accumulator (make-sow-reap)]
1260
            (async-randomized-scan obn-clusters
                                     (make-kalman-mapper state-cluster-prior)
1262
                                     (accumulator ::sow))
1263
            (last (accumulator ::reap)))
1264
   '(:xn1 #vectorz/matrix ((-4.900886700347148)
1265
   (-4.192294030867246)
    (8.804508118620767)
1267
   (-2.1922826490976326))
                             :Pnn #vectorz/matrix ((0.032082150552151974 -2.061805587372234E-14
1268
    (-2.0542053301431107E-14\ 0.03637145347999924\ 1.6667006316750665E-14\ -0.055419472576077405)
1269
   (-0.08746913881223978\ 1.2614909117303341E-14\ 0.28222493725725306\ -1.032854357596591E-14)
1270
    (3.589142871796014E-15 - 0.05541947257607085 - 6.630113125183357E-15 0.15110531309504277)))
1271
   10
        OZ FOR VISUALIZATION
1272
   From https://github.com/metasoarous/oz/blob/master/examples/clojupyter-example.
   ipynb
1274
   (require '[clojupyter.misc.helper :as helper])
1275
    (helper/add-dependencies '[metasoarous/oz "1.6.0-alpha2"])
1276
1277
    (require '[oz.notebook.clojupyter :as oz])
        DEFN PLAY DATA
   10.1
    (do (defn play-data [& names]
1279
          (for [n names
                 i (range 20)]
1281
            {:time i :item n :quantity (+ (Math/pow (* i (count n)) 0.8) (rand-int (count n)))
1282
```

```
1283
        (def stacked-bar
1284
          {:data {:values (play-data "munchkin" "witch" "dog" "lion" "tiger" "bear")}
1285
           :mark "bar"
1286
           :encoding {:x {:field "time"}
1287
                       :y {:aggregate "sum"
1288
                            :field "quantity"
1289
                            :type "quantitative"}
1290
                       :color {:field "item"}}))
1291
        (oz/view! stacked-bar)
1292
   #object[oz.notebook.clojupyter$view_BANG_$reify__21517 0x76220794 "oz.notebook.clojupyter$
1293
   ;; Create spec, then visualize
    (def spec
1295
      {:data {:url "https://gist.githubusercontent.com/metasoarous/4e6f781d353322a44b9cd3e4597
       :mark "point"
1297
       :encoding {
1298
         :x {:field "Horsepower", :type "quantitative"}
         :y {:field "Miles_per_Gallon", :type "quantitative"}
         :color {:field "Origin", :type "nominal"}}})
1301
    (oz/view! spec)
1302
   #'composable-statistics.core/spec#object[oz.notebook.clojupyter$view_BANG_$reify__21517 0x.
1303
    (oz/view!
1304
      [:div
1305
       [:h1 "A little hiccup example"]
       [:p "Try drinking a glass of water with your head upside down"]
1307
       [:div {:style {:display "flex" :flex-direction "row"}}
        [:vega-lite spec]
1309
        [:vega-lite stacked-bar]]])
   #object[oz.notebook.clojupyter$view_BANG_$reify__21517 0x6479346b "oz.notebook.clojupyter$
1311
```

11 GAUSSIAN PROCESSES

The Extended Kalman Filter above is a generalization of linear regression.

11.1 RECURRENT LINEAR REGRESSION

1315 Emacs 26.2 of 2019-04-12, org version: 9.2.2