MASR Summary

Brian Beckman

23 Apr 2023

Contents

1	Abs	tract	2
2	Sun	nmary and Cheat Sheet	3
	2.1	Specs	3
	2.2	Namespace-Qualified Keywords	3
	2.3		
	2.4	Full-Form	
	2.5	Sugar	3
	2.6	Terms and Heads	4
	2.7	Multi-Specs	6
	2.8	Nested Multi-Specs	7
	2.9	Light Sugar, Heavy Sugar	
3	ASI	DL Back-Channel	11
4	Abs	tract Interpretation	13

1 Abstract

Abstract Semantics Representation (ASR) is a novel intermediate representation (IR)¹ for a new collection of LCompilers [*sic*].² ASR is agnostic to the particular programming language under compilation. Current compiler front-ends targeting ASR include LFortran³ and LPython.⁴ ASR is also agnostic to the back end. ASR currently targets LLVM, x86, C, and WASM⁵

Typical IRs encode semantics as decorations on the Abstract Syntax Tree, (AST)⁶ ASR lifts *semantics* to the top level and expunges the syntax of the surface language as early as possible. Free of syntactical baggage, ASR optimizers are cleaner and faster than average, and ASR back ends are completely reusable. If syntax information is ever necessary, as with semantical-feedback parsing, such information will be encoded as decorations on the ASR, rather than the other way around.

Current specifications for ASR are written in ASDL,⁷ a metalanguage similar in spirit to yacc but less rich, by design.⁸ To build an LCompiler like LFortran or LPython, the ASDL grammar⁹ for ASR is parsed, and a library in C++, libasr,¹⁰ is generated. Compiler front ends call functions in this library to manipulate ASR and to emit code from the back ends.

ASDL has several deficiencies, and MASR ¹¹ alleviates them. Chief among the deficiencies is the lack of type-checking. MASR adds a type system to ASR via Clojure *specs*. ¹² MASR is a complete programming language in its own regard. It is, in fact, a Domain-Specific Language (DSL), ¹³ embedded in Clojure. ¹⁴

We aim to replace ASDL with MASR and to integrate MASR with the LCompiler code base. When so integrated in the future, MASR will be called LASR.

This document is pedagogical, both explaining MASR and teaching how to extend and maintain its Clojure code.

This document may lag the Clojure code. It may also lag libasr, at least until MASR replaces ASDL. The document mirrors an ASDL snapshot.⁹

 $^{^{1} \}verb|https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intermediate_representation|$

²https://github.com/lcompilers/libasr

³https://lfortran.org/

⁴https://lpython.org/

⁵https://webassembly.org/

⁶https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract_syntax_tree

⁷https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract-Type_and_Scheme-Definition_ Language

⁸https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yacc

 $^{^{9} \}texttt{https://github.com/rebcabin/masr/blob/main/ASR_2023_APR_06_snapshot.asdl}$

 $^{^{10} \}rm https://github.com/lfortran/lfortran/tree/c648a8d824242b676512a038bf2257f3b28dad3b/src/libasr$

¹¹pronounced "maser;" it is a Physics pun

¹²https://clojure.org/guides/spec

¹³https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Domain-specific_language

¹⁴https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clojure

2 Summary and Cheat Sheet

2.1 Specs

Clojure specs double as *types*, constituting ASR's type system.¹² The functions s/valid? and s/conform recursively check instances of a form against specs.

2.2 Namespace-Qualified Keywords

Specs are named, defined, and registered via namespace-qualified keywords. All MASR spec keywords are registered in namespace masr.specs. The file specs.clj defines the namespace masr.specs. In the file specs.clj, a double-colon shorthand is available. For example, ::nat in the file specs.clj is short for :masr.specs/nat.

2.3 Three Kinds of Specs

MASR has three kinds of registered specs:

```
simple specs — registered via s/def, as in (s/def ::bool boolean?)
```

entity specs — registered via s/keys; have required and optional attributes; examples below

multi-specs — defined via s/multi-spec, registered via s/def; multi-specs have a distinguished *tag* attribute like tagged unions in C; examples below

MASR multi-specs are tagged collections of entity specs.

2.4 Full-Form

Full-form instances that are checked against specs are Clojure *hash-maps*:¹⁵ collections of key-value pairs like Python dictionaries. For example,

```
;; key value
{::term ::intent,
::intent-enum 'Local}
```

In MASR, all keys in all hash-maps are namespace-qualified keywords. Such keys may have specs registered for them, or not. When a spec is registered for a key, automatic recursive type-checking is invoked.

2.5 Sugar

Every spec *qua* type has a full form as well as several shorter sugared forms. Sugar is defined by functions like Integer and Integer—that return instances in full-form. Sugar comes in two flavors, *light* and *heavy*. See Section 2.9.

¹⁵https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.core/hash-map

2.6 Terms and Heads

MASR defines *terms* and *heads* that describe the semantics of programs. Terms are top-most in the ASR grammar and heads are at the bottom level. There are only two levels.

The following tables summarize this document via conforming examples, written in the recommended sugar form.

Equally important are non-conforming examples. See the body of the reference document, tests in specs.clj, and deftest in core_tests for many non-conforming examples.

Table 1: Atomic and Naked Specs: No Sugar

Spec	Predicate	Example
::bool	boolean?	true
::float	float?	3.142
::int	int?	-1789

Table 2: Top-Level term-like Specs, not in ASDL

Spec	Example
::nat	(nat 42)
::identifier	(identifier 'boofar)
::identifier-set	<pre>(identifier-set ['a 'a])</pre>
::identifier-list	(identifier-list ['a 'a])
::identifier-suit	<pre>(identifier-suit ['a 'b])</pre>
::dimensions	(dimensions [[6 60] [1 42]])

Table 3: Higher-Order Specs, Defined in Terms of Other Specs

Spec	Predicate	Example
::symtab-id	::nat	(symtab-id 42)
::value-attr	::bool	(value-attr false)
::dependencies	::identifier-set	<pre>(dependencies ['a 'b 'c])</pre>
::symbolic-value	TODO empty?	(symbolic-value ())
::value	TODO empty?	(value ())
::type-declaration	TODO nilable symtab-id	(type-declaration nil)
::varnym	::identifier	(varnym 'x)

Table 4: Term Multi-Specs; Like Tagged Unions

Multi-Spec	Term	Example
::asr-term	::dimension	(dimension [6 60])
::asr-term	::intent	(intent 'Local)
::asr-term	::storage-type	(storage-type 'Default)
::asr-term	::abi	(abi 'Source)
::asr-term	::access	(access 'Public)
::asr-term	::presence	(presence 'Required)

Table 5: ::asr-term Specs with Nested Head Multi-Specs

Term	Head	Example
::ttype	::Integer	(Integer 4 [[6 60] [1 42]])
::ttype	::Real	(Real 8 [[6 60] [1 42]])
::ttype	::Complex	(Complex 4 [[6 60] [1 42]])
::ttype	::Logical	(Logical 1 [[6 60] [1 42]])
::symbol	::Variable	(Variable 42 'x (Integer 4))

2.7 Multi-Specs

Instance hash-maps that conform to multi-spec ::asr-term are polymorphic. They have a tag attribute, fetched via ::term, that must match a term defmethod. For instance, the following example is a valid ::asr-term in full-form; its ::term attribute is ::intent:

where ::intent-enum is a simple spec defined and registered via s/def:

```
(s/def ::intent-enum ;; #{...} is a Clojure set.
#{'Local 'In 'Out 'InOut 'ReturnVar 'Unspecified})
```

Its::term,::intent, matches a term defmethod below. The keyword,::term, doubles as an attribute key in the instance and as a function for fetching the::term value from an instance.

Here is another::asr-term in full-form, matching a term defmethod for::abi:

where

```
(s/def ::abi-external ::bool)
```

Other ::asr-term specs follow the obvious pattern. The ::term attributes, ::intent, ::abi, etc., each match a term defmethod:

```
(defmulti term ::term) ;; ::term fetches the tag-value
(defmethod term ::intent [_] ;; tag-value match
   (s/keys :req [::term ::intent-enum])) ;; entity spec
(defmethod term ::dimension [_] ,,,) ;; tag-value match
(defmethod term ::abi [_] ,,,) ;; tag-value match
(defmethod term ::ttype [_] ,,,) ;; tag-value match
(defmethod term ::symbol [_] ,,,) ;; tag-value match
;; etc.
```

Finally, the multi-spec itself is named ::asr-term:

```
;; name of the mult-spec defmulti tag fn
;; -----
(s/def ::asr-term (s/multi-spec term ::term))
```

¹⁶https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.core/defmulti

2.8 Nested Multi-Specs

Contents of multi-specs can, themselves, be multi-specs. Such cases obtain when an ::asr-term has multiple function-like heads. Examples include ::ttype, ::symbol, ::expr, and ::stmt.

The names of all multi-specs in MASR, nested or not, begin with ::asr-and end with either term or <some-term>-head. Examples: ::asr-term and ::asr-ttype-head. There is only one level of nesting: terms above heads.

Here is the ::asr-term entity spec for ::ttype. The *nested* multi-spec is named ::asr-type-head.

```
(defmethod term ::ttype [_]
  (s/keys :req [::term ::asr-ttype-head])) ;; entity spec
```

where

```
(defmulti ttype-head ::ttype-head) ;; tag fetcher
(defmethod ttype-head ::Integer ,,,) ;; tag match
(defmethod ttype-head ::Real ,,,) ,,,
(s/def ::asr-ttype-head ;; name of the multi-spec
    ;; ties together a defmulti and a tag fetcher
    ;; defmulti tag fetcher
    ;; (s/multi-spec ttype-head ::ttype-head))
```

Here is a conforming example in full-form:

Likewise, here is the ::asr-term spec for ::symbol:

Here is a conforming example for :: Variable in full-form, abbreviated:

```
(s/valid?
::asr-term {::term ::symbol,
    ::asr-symbol-head
    {::symbol-head ::Variable
    ::symtab-id (nat 2)
    ::varnym (identifier 'x)
    ::intent (intent 'Local)
    ::ttype (ttype (Integer 4 [[0 42])) ,,, }})
```

2.9 Light Sugar, Heavy Sugar

Light-sugar forms are shorter than full-form, but longer and more explicit than *heavy-sugar*. Heavy-sugar require positional arguments, and are thus more brittle, especially for long specifications with many arguments, where the risk is high of getting arguments out of order in hand-written code.

Light-sugar specs are returned by functions like Integer- whose names have trailing hyphens. Light sugar functions typically have keyword arguments, partitioned into required and optional-with-defaults. The keyword argument lists of light-sugar functions do not depend on order. The following two examples conform to both ::asr-term and to ::ttype:

```
(ttype (Integer- {:dimensions [], :kind 4}))
(ttype (Integer- {:kind 4, :dimensions []}))
```

Heavy-sugar specs are returned by functions like Integer whose names do not have trailing hyphens. Heavy-sugar specs are compatible with current libasr --show-asr syntax. Heavy-sugar functions employ positional arguments that depend on order. Final arguments may have defaults. For example, the following examples conform to both ::asr-term and to ::ttype:

```
(ttype (Integer))
(ttype (Integer 4))
(ttype (Integer 2 []))
(ttype (Integer 8 [[6 60] [1 42]]))
```

Here is a conforming spec for :: Variable in heavy sugar; it also conforms to :: asr-term:

2.9.1 Term Entity-Key Specs

For recursive type checking, as in :: Variable, it is not convenient for terms to conform *only* to ::asr-term. Therefore, we define redundant *term-entity-key* specs, like ::tterm.

Entity-key specs for asr-terms are defined as follows:

```
(s/def ::ttype
  (s/and ::asr-term ;; must conform to ::asr-term
      ;; and have tag ::ttype
      #(= ::ttype (::term %)))) ;; lambda shorthand
```

Because we have several such definitions, we write a helper function and a macro:

Here are some invocations of that macro:

```
(def-term-entity-key dimension)
  (def-term-entity-key abi)
  (def-term-entity-key ttype)
```

Here are some examples of extra conformance tests for sugared specs via term entity-key specs:

2.9.2 Enum-Like Specs

Entity-key specs are defined automatically for all *enum-like* terms via the enum-like macro:

Here are some examples of extra conformance tests for automatically defined term entity-keys for enum-like specs:

2.9.3 Term-Head Entity-Key Specs

For terms like ::symbol and ::stmt with multiple heads like ::Variable and ::Assignment it is convenient to define redundant entity-key specs like the following:

We define these with another macro, def-term-head--entity-key. The definition of this macro is found in the file specs.clj. An example of conformance to :: Variable is found above, in Section 2.9.

We do not define term-head entity-key specs for every term, but only where convenient. For example, we don't define term-head entity-key specs for ::Integer, ::Real, ::Complex, and ::Logical ttypes. Conformance of such specs to ::ttype suffice for recursive type-checking in heavy sugar.

3 ASDL Back-Channel

As an intermediate step from MASR to LASR, we will initially produce ASDL from MASR. Eventually, we will produce C++ from MASR and eliminate the ASDL layer. But, for now, it is easiest to reuse the existing ASDL \rightarrow C++ translator that produces libasr.

The first step is to define heads for self-evaluating symbols in MASR. For example, the enum-like intent type tests for membership in the set

```
#{'Local 'In 'Out 'InOut 'ReturnVar 'Unspecified}
```

This type assists in the validation of bigger types like Variable. A conforming instance in MASR heavy sugar is

```
(Variable 2 'x (Integer 4)

nil [] 'Local

[] [] 'Default

'Source 'Public 'Required

false)
```

But the ASDL output via the --show-asr option in LCompilers produces

```
(Variable 2 x (Integer 4)
[] [] Local
[] [] Default
Source Public Required
false)
```

The constant symbols Local, Default, etc. can be easily accommodated as follows:

```
(def Local 'Local)
(def Default 'Default)
(def Source 'Source)
(def Public 'Public)
(def Required 'Required)
```

and so on. This works because all heads in ASR, including enum-likes, are unique at top level, despite being categorized under terms. No two terms have any heads that are alike.

TODO: Similar definitions for non-constant symbols like x can be made, but collisions with extant definitions must be avoided. This problem of collisions is **unsolved**.

A macro to automate these definitions for any enum-like follows. 17

```
(defmacro symbolate
  "ASDL Back-Channel: create tickless constants
  such as Local for 'Local."
  [a-set-sym]
  (let [a-set (eval a-set-sym)
        cmds (for [e a-set] (list 'def e `'~e))]
        `(list ~@cmds)))
```

¹⁷It is not necessary to understand the implementation of this macro; it is non-trivial. https://clojurians.slack.com/archives/C03S1KBA2/p1682375371440109

4 Abstract Interpretation

MASR is a full programming language in its own right. It is, in fact, a Domain-Specific Language (DSL) embedded in Clojure. An interpreter for MASR may be regarded as a reusable abstract interpreter for the surface languages, initially LFortran and LPython.