MASR — Meta Abstract Semantics Representation

Brian Beckman

10 Apr 2023

Contents

1	Abstract			
2	Issue 2.1 2.2 2.3	es with ASDL ASDL is Moribund	3 3 4 4	
	2.4	ASDL is Ambiguous	4	
3	Clojure Solves ASDL Issues			
4	MAS	SR Terms and Heads	5	
5	Spec	CS CS	7	
	5.1	intent	7	
	5.2	unit	13	
	5.3	symbol	13	
	5.4		13	
	5.5	access	13	
	5.6	deftype	13	
	5.7	7 I	13	
	5.8	abi	13	
	5.9	stmt	13	
	5.10	expr	13	
		*	13	
		restriction_arg	13	
		binop	13	
			13	
		cmpop	13	
			13	
			13	
			13	
		, 0	13	
		dimension	13	

(c) Brian Beckman, 2023; Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike CC-BY-SA

6	Change Log	14
	5.32 Term-Like Items	14
	5.31 Implicit Terms	
	5.30 enumtype	
	5.29 type_stmt	
	5.28 case_stmt	
	5.27 do_loop_head	
	5.26 array_index	
	5.25 tbind	13
	5.24 call_arg	13
	5.23 attribute_arg	13
	5.22 attribute	13
	5.21 alloc_arg	13

1 Abstract

Abstract Semantics Representation (ASR) is an innovative intermediate representation¹ (IR) for multiple LCompilers.² ASR is independent of the particular programming language under compilation. Current compiler front-ends targeting ASR include LFortran³ and LPython.⁴ ASR is also agnostic to the compiler back end. Current back ends targeted *from* ASR include LLVM, x86, C, and WASM⁵

Being agnostic means that it is easy to write new compilers, both at the front end and the back end. For example, LFortran predates LPython. When the need for a Python compiler arose, only a Python front end was necessary. Within a few days, a new end-to-end compiler, LPython, was created.

LCompiler back ends are completely reusable because ASR eliminates all original language syntax from the IR, in sharp contrast to typical practice, which treat semantics as decorations on syntax trees.

In addition to being more flexible, LCompilers are faster than average because optimizers are not hampered by useless syntactic structure.

Current specifications for ASR are written in ASDL,⁶ a metalanguage similar in spirit to yacc but less rich, by design.⁷ To build an LCompiler like LFortran or LPython, the ASDL grammar for ASR is parsed and a library in C++ is generated. Compiler front ends call this library to transform and emit ASR trees.

ASDL has several deficiencies, and MASR, ⁸ described in this document, alleviates them.

This document is pedagogical, explaining MASR and teaching how to extend and maintain its Clojure code.

2 Issues with ASDL

2.1 ASDL is Moribund

ASDL has not progressed since originally published in 1987. We know of no other projects adopting ASDL. We should replace ASDL with a modern metalanguage that has a robust, lively ecosystem.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intermediate_representation

²https://github.com/lcompilers/libasr

³https://lfortran.org/

⁴https://lpython.org/

 $^{^{5}}$ https://webassembly.org/

⁶https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract-Type_and_Scheme-Definition_

⁷https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yacc

⁸pronounced "maser;" it is a Physics pun

2.2 ASDL is Incomplete

All work with ASR is currently done with opaque binary representations and code written in C++. As usual with such, it's more time-consuming and error-prone than necessary to prototype, verify, validate, visualize, modify, and debug.

2.3 ASDL is Volatile

The ASDL for ASR changes frequently, for good reasons. However, stand-aside tools like asr-tester⁹ must chase the ASDL specification. Just keeping up with ASDL consumes almost all development time for asr-tester. We should unify the language for expressing ASR with the tools that verify and test ASR.

2.4 ASDL is Ambiguous

There are many syntactic and semantic ambiguities in the ASDL grammar. For example, the specification integer* might mean an ordered collection of integer or an unordered collection, with duplicate elements allowed or not.

ASDL cannot express such distinctions. In practice, the C++ implementations implicitly make these distinctions. In one place integer* means an ordered collection. In another place, integer* means an unordered collection, but only the generated C++ code knows where.

Hiding fine distinctions in specifications in the generated code is not good engineering practice in the face of a known better solution.

3 Clojure Solves ASDL Issues

ASR expressions, being trees, have a natural representation in S-Expressions. ¹⁰ Clojure, being a modern Lisp, natively handles S-Expressions. Clojure is modern with a robust, lively ecosystem.

Clojure.spec, ¹¹ is a *force majeure* for precision, completeness, verification, and validation. The collection of MASR specs amounts to a meta-type system for ASR.

Clojure specs are arbitrary predicates, capable of expressing type-system logic beyond the typical capabilities of hard-coded type systems. Clojure specs can be invoked at run time, while a compiler is built, and at compile-time, while the code for the compiler is being generated. That flexibility affords new opportunities, say for experiments in dependent types and concurrency types.¹². In the short run, clojure.spec will help us make more things explicit and relieve pressure on C++ programmers.

This document may lag the Clojure code. The document mirrors an ASR snapshot in ${\rm ASDL}.^{13}$

 $^{^{9}}$ https://github.com/rebcabin/asr-tester

 $^{^{10} \}mathrm{https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/S-expression}$

¹¹https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.spec.alpha

¹²https://rholang.io/

¹³[https://github.com/rebcabin/masr/blob/main/ASR_2023_APR_06_snapshot.

4 MASR Terms and Heads

Terms are the "objects" or "productions" of ASR, items to the left-hand side of an equals sign in the ASDL grammar. Table 1 exhibits terms that are

- explicitly specified in ASDL, like symbol or dimension
- used but not defined in ASDL, like symbol_table
- term-like but not defined in ASDL, like identifier

The definitions in Table 1 have been abbreviated and edited for presentation.

Heads are things like Local and CaseStmt that appear on the right-hand sides of terms equations in Table 1. There are of two kinds of heads:

function-like heads — have parentheses and typed parameters,
 e.g., CaseStmt (expr*, stmt*)

enum-like heads — no parentheses, e.g., Local

MASR has a Clojure spec and syntactic sugar for each head. There are about 250 heads by a recent count.

Table 1: Terms (nodes) in the ASDL grammar (things left of equals signs):

	term	n the ASDL grammar (things left of equals signs): partial expansion
	unit	TranslationUnit(symbol_table, node*)
2	symbol	many heads
3	storage_type	Default Save Parameter Allocatable
4	access	Public Private
5		Local In Out InOut
6	intent	
7	deftype	Implementation Interface
8	presence	Required Optional
	abi	Source LFortranModule Intrinsic
9	stmt	many heads
10	expr	many heads
11	ttype	<pre>Integer(int, dimension*) </pre>
12	restriction_arg	RestrictionArg(ident, symbol)
13	binop	Add Sub BitRShift
14	logicalbinop	And Or Xor NEqv Eqv
15	cmpop	Eq NotEq Lt LtE Gt GtE
16	integerboz	Binary Hex Octal
17	arraybound	LBound UBound
18	arraystorage	RowMajor ColMajor
19	cast_kind	RealToInteger IntegerToReal
20	dimension	(expr? start, expr? length)
21	alloc_arg	(expr a, dimension* dims)
22	attribute	Attribute(ident name, attr-arg* args)
23	attribute_arg	(ident arg)
24	call_arg	(expr? value)
25	tbind	Bind(string lang, string name)
26	array_index	(expr? left, expr? right, expr? step)
27	do_loop_head	(expr? v, expr? start expr? end, expr? step)
28	case_stmt	CaseStmt(expr*, stmt*)
29	type_stmt	TypeStmtName(symbol, stmt*)
30	enumtype	IntegerConsecutiveFromZero
	implicit	
31	symbol_table	Clojure maps
32	symtab_id	an int
	*term-like	
0	dimensions	dimension*, via Clojure vectors or lists
0	atoms	int float bool nat bignat
0	identifier	by regex
0	identifiers	identifier*, via Clojure sets

5 Specs

The following sections

- summarize the Clojure specs for all ASR terms and heads
- pedagogically explain the architecture and approach taken in the Clojure code. The architecture is the remainder from several experiments. For example, defrecord and defprotocol for polymorphism was tried and discarded in favor of multi-specs.¹⁴

The tests in <code>core_test.clj</code> exhibit many examples that pass and, more importantly, fail the specs. The specs are written in the source file <code>specs.cl</code>. The best way to learn the code is to study the tests and to run them in the Clojure REPL or in the CIDER debugger in Emacs. ¹⁵

We present the terms somewhat out of the order of Table 1. First is *intent*, as it is the archetype for several enum-like terms and heads.

5.1 intent

5.1.1 Sets for Contents

An ASR intent is one of the symbols

Local, In, Out, InOut, ReturnVar, Unspecified.

The spec for the contents of an intent is simply this set of enum-like heads. Any Clojure set (e.g., in $\#\{\ldots\}$ brackets) doubles as a predicate function that tests for set membership. The result of the test is the candidate member if the candidate is present in the set. In the following two examples, the set appears in the function position of the usual Clojure function-call syntax (function args*):

```
(#{'Local 'In 'Out 'InOut 'ReturnVar 'Unspecified} 'Local)
```

Local

When the candidate element, say fubar, is not in the set, the result is nil, which does not print:

```
(#{'Local 'In 'Out 'InOut 'ReturnVar 'Unspecified} 'fubar)
```

Any predicate function can be registered as a Clojure spec. ¹¹ Therefore the spec for *intent contents* is just the set of valid members. The name of the spec is ::intent-enum.

5.1.2 Specs have Fully Qualified Keyword Names

The double colon in ::intent-enum is shorthand; it implicitly signifies that intent-enum is a keyword in the namespace masr.specs. The names of all Clojure specs must be fully qualified in namespaces.

¹⁴https://clojure.org/guides/spec

 $^{^{15} {\}rm https://docs.cider.mx/cider/debugging/debugger.html}$

The namespace masr.specs covers all names defined in the entire file specs.clj, where the syntax ::intent-enum is valid. In files other than specs.clj, one explicitly writes the namespace, as in :masr.specs/intent-enum. More on that later.

```
(s/def ::intent-enum
  #{'Local 'In 'Out 'InOut 'ReturnVar 'Unspecified})
```

5.1.3 How to Use Specs

To check an expression like 'Local against the ::intent-enum spec, write

```
(s/valid? ::intent-enum 'Local)
;; => true
(s/valid? ::intent-enum 'fubar)
;; => false
```

To produce conforming or invalid instances inline to other code, write

```
(s/conform ::intent-enum 'Local)
;; => Local
(s/conform ::intent-enum 'fubar)
;; => :clojure.spec.alpha/invalid
```

To generate a few conforming samples, write

```
(gen/sample (s/gen ::intent-enum) 5)
;; => (Unspecified Unspecified Out Unspecified Local)
```

or, with conformance explanation (trivial in this case):

```
(s/exercise ::intent-enum 5)
;; => ([Out Out]
;;         [ReturnVar ReturnVar]
;;         [In In]
;;         [Local Local]
;;         [ReturnVar ReturnVar])
```

Strip out the conformance information as follows:

```
(map second (s/exercise ::intent-enum 5))
;; => (In ReturnVar Out In ReturnVar)
```

s/valid?, s/conform, gen/sample, and s/exercise pertain to any Clojure specs, no matter how complex or rich.

5.1.4 The Spec that Contains the Contents

::intent-enum is just the spec for the *contents* of an intent, not for the intent itself. The spec for the intent itself is an implementation of a polymorphic Clojure *multi-spec*¹⁴, ::asr-term.

5.1.5 Multi-Specs

A multi-spec is like a tagged union in C. The multi-spec, ::asr-term, as shown below, pertains to all Clojure hash-maps¹⁶ that have a tag named ::term with a value like ::intent or ::storage-type, etc.

A multi-spec has three components:

defmulti¹⁷ — a polymorphic interface that declares the tag-fetcher function,
 ::term in this case, which fetches a tag value from any candidate hashmap. The defmulti dispatches to a defmethod that matches the fetched
tag value, ::intent in this case. ::term is a fully qualified keyword of
course, but keywords double as tag-fetchers for hash-maps in Clojure.¹⁸

defmethod¹⁹ — individual specs, each implementing the interface; in this case, if the ::term of a hash-map matches ::intent, then the corresponding defmethod is invoked (see Section 5.1.7 below).

s/multi-spec — tying together the defmulti and, redundantly, the tag-fetcher.²⁰

5.1.6 Specs for All Terms

Start with a spec for ::term:

```
;; like ::intent, ::symbol, ::expr, ...
(s/def ::term qualified-keyword?)
```

The spec says that any fully qualified keyword, like ::intent, is a MASR term. This spec leaves room for growth of MASR by adding more fully qualified keywords for more MASR types.

s/def stands for clojure.spec.alpha/def, the def macro in the clojure.spec.alpha namespace. The namespace is aliased to s.

Next, specify the defmulti polymorphic interface term (no colons) for all term specs:

```
(defmulti term ::term)
```

This defmulti dispatches to a defmethod based on the results of applying the keyword-qua-function::term to a hash-map:

```
(::term {::term ::intent ...})
```

equals::intent.

The spec is named ::term and the tag-fetcher is named ::term. They don't need to be the same. They could have different names.

¹⁶https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.core/hash-map

¹⁷https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.core/defmulti

¹⁸https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6915531

 $^{^{19} \}verb|https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.core/defmethod|$

²⁰Multi-specs allow re-tagging, but we do not need that level of generality.

5.1.7 Spec for intent

If applying ::term to a Clojure hash-map produces ::intent, the following spec, which specifies all intents, will be invoked:

```
(defmethod term ::intent [_]
  (s/keys :req [::term ::intent-enum]))
```

This spec states that an *intent* is a Clojure hash-map with at least a ::term keyword and an ::intent-enum keyword. The following shows a valid example:

5.1.8 The Multi-Spec, Again: ::asr-term

Where did::asr-term come from? It's the s/multi-spec:

```
;; name of the mult-spec defmulti tag fn
;; -----
(s/def ::asr-term (s/multi-spec term ::term))
```

This states that ::asr-term is a multi-spec that ties defmulti term to the tagfetcher ::term.

5.1.9 Another asr-term

Another asr-term for an enum-like is that for storage-type:

```
(s/def ::storage-type-enum
  #{'Default, 'Save, 'Parameter, 'Allocatable})

(defmethod term ::storage-type [_]
  (s/keys :req [::term ::storage-type-enum]))
```

All enum-like specs follow this pattern. All one must do to define a new asr-term is specify the contents and write a defmethod.

5.1.10 Syntax Sugar

{::term ::intent, ::intent-enum 'Local}, a valid asr-term instance, is long and ugly. Write a short function, intent, via s/conform, explained in section 5.1.3:

so that instances have a shorter expressions:

All our specs are like that: a long-form hash-map and a short-form sugar function that does a conformance check.

(c) Brian Beckman, 2023; Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike CC-BY-SA

(c) Brian Beckman, 2023; Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike CC-BY-SA

- **5.2** unit
- 5.3 symbol
- 5.3.1 **TODO** Variable
- 5.4 storage_type
- 5.5 access
- 5.6 deftype
- 5.7 presence
- 5.8 abi
- 5.9 stmt
- 5.10 expr
- **5.11** ttype
- 5.12 restriction_arg
- **5.13** binop
- 5.14 logicalbinop
- 5.15 cmpop
- 5.16 integerboz
- 5.17 arraybound
- 5.18 arraystorage
- 5.19 cast_kind
- 5.20 dimension
- 5.21 alloc_arg
- 5.22 attribute
- 5.23 attribute_arg
- 5.24 call_arg
- 5.25 tbind
- 5.26 array_index
- 5.27 do_loop_head
- 5.28 case_stmt
- 5.29 type_stmt
- **5.36** rian Reckman 2023; CC-BY-SA 13

5.31 Implicit Terms

Terms used, explicitly or implicitly, but not defined in ASDL.

(c) Brian Beckman, 2023; Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike CC-BY-SA

Some items specified in ASDL as *symbol_table* are actually *symtab_id*.

- 5.31.1 symtab_id
- 5.31.2 symbol_table
- 5.32 Term-Like Items
- 5.32.1 dimensions
- 5.32.2 atoms
- 5.32.3 identifier
- 5.32.4 identifiers

6 Change Log

2023-06-Apr :: Start.