

# MASR Summary

Brian Beckman

23 Apr 2023

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Abstract</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Summary and Cheat Sheet</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Specs . . . . .	3
2.2	Namespace-Qualified Keywords . . . . .	3
2.3	Three Kinds of Specs . . . . .	3
2.4	Full-Form . . . . .	3
2.5	Sugar . . . . .	3
2.6	Terms and Heads . . . . .	4
2.7	Multi-Specs . . . . .	6
2.8	Nested Multi-Specs . . . . .	7
2.9	Light Sugar, Heavy Sugar . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>ASDL Back-Channel</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Abstract Interpretation</b>	<b>13</b>

# 1 Abstract

Abstract Semantics Representation (ASR) is a novel intermediate representation (IR)<sup>1</sup> for a new collection of LCompilers [sic].<sup>2</sup> ASR is agnostic to the particular programming language under compilation. Current compiler front-ends targeting ASR include LFortran<sup>3</sup> and LPython.<sup>4</sup> ASR is also agnostic to the back end. ASR currently targets LLVM, x86, C, and WASM<sup>5</sup>

Typical IRs encode semantics as decorations on the Abstract Syntax Tree, (AST)<sup>6</sup> ASR lifts *semantics* to the top level and expunges the syntax of the surface language as early as possible. Free of syntactical baggage, ASR optimizers are cleaner and faster than average, and ASR back ends are completely reusable. If syntax information is ever necessary, as with semantical-feedback parsing, such information will be encoded as decorations on the ASR, rather than the other way around.

Current specifications for ASR are written in ASDL,<sup>7</sup> a metalanguage similar in spirit to yacc but less rich, by design.<sup>8</sup> To build an LCompiler like LFortran or LPython, the ASDL grammar<sup>9</sup> for ASR is parsed, and a library in C++, libasr,<sup>10</sup> is generated. Compiler front ends call functions in this library to manipulate ASR and to emit code from the back ends.

ASDL has several deficiencies, and MASR<sup>11</sup> alleviates them. Chief among the deficiencies is the lack of type-checking. MASR adds a type system to ASR via Clojure *specs*.<sup>12</sup> MASR is a complete programming language in its own regard. It is, in fact, a Domain-Specific Language (DSL),<sup>13</sup> embedded in Clojure.<sup>14</sup>

We aim to replace ASDL with MASR and to integrate MASR with the LCompiler code base. When so integrated in the future, MASR will be called LASR.

This document is pedagogical, both explaining MASR and teaching how to extend and maintain its Clojure code.

This document may lag the Clojure code. It may also lag libasr, at least until MASR replaces ASDL. The document mirrors an ASDL snapshot.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intermediate\\_representation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intermediate_representation)

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/lcompilers/libasr>

<sup>3</sup><https://lfortran.org/>

<sup>4</sup><https://lpython.org/>

<sup>5</sup><https://webassembly.org/>

<sup>6</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract\\_syntax\\_tree](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract_syntax_tree)

<sup>7</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract-Type\\_and\\_Scheme-Definition\\_Language](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract-Type_and_Scheme-Definition_Language)

<sup>8</sup><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yacc>

<sup>9</sup>[https://github.com/rebcabin/masr/blob/main/ASR\\_2023\\_APR\\_06\\_snapshot.asdl](https://github.com/rebcabin/masr/blob/main/ASR_2023_APR_06_snapshot.asdl)

<sup>10</sup><https://github.com/lfortran/lfortran/tree/c648a8d824242b676512a038bf2257f3b28dad3b/src/libasr>

<sup>11</sup>pronounced “maser;” it is a Physics pun

<sup>12</sup><https://clojure.org/guides/spec>

<sup>13</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Domain-specific\\_language](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Domain-specific_language)

<sup>14</sup><https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clojure>

## 2 Summary and Cheat Sheet

### 2.1 Specs

Clojure specs double as *types*, constituting ASR's type system.<sup>12</sup> The functions `s/valid?` and `s/conform` recursively check instances of a form against specs.

### 2.2 Namespace-Qualified Keywords

Specs are named, defined, and registered via *namespace-qualified keywords*. All MASR spec keywords are registered in namespace `masr.specs`. The file `specs.clj` defines the namespace `masr.specs`. In the file `specs.clj`, a double-colon shorthand is available. For example, `::nat` in the file `specs.clj` is short for `:masr.specs/nat`.

### 2.3 Three Kinds of Specs

MASR has three kinds of registered specs:

**simple specs** — registered via `s/def`, as in `(s/def ::bool boolean?)`

**entity specs** — registered via `s/keys`; have required and optional attributes; examples below

**multi-specs** — defined via `s/multi-spec`, registered via `s/def`; multi-specs have a distinguished *tag* attribute like tagged unions in C; examples below

MASR multi-specs are tagged collections of entity specs.

### 2.4 Full-Form

Full-form instances that are checked against specs are Clojure *hash-maps*:<sup>15</sup> collections of key-value pairs like Python dictionaries. For example,

```
;; key          value
{:::term        ::intent,
 ::intent-enum 'Local}
```

In MASR, all keys in all hash-maps are namespace-qualified keywords. Such keys may have specs registered for them, or not. When a spec is registered for a key, automatic recursive type-checking is invoked.

### 2.5 Sugar

Every spec *qua* type has a full form as well as several shorter sugared forms. Sugar is defined by functions like `Integer` and `Integer-` that return instances in full-form. Sugar comes in two flavors, *light* and *heavy*. See Section 2.9.

<sup>15</sup><https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.core/hash-map>

## 2.6 Terms and Heads

MASR defines *terms* and *heads* that describe the semantics of programs. Terms are top-most in the ASR grammar and heads are at the bottom level. There are only two levels.

The following tables summarize this document via conforming examples, written in the recommended sugar form.

Equally important are non-conforming examples. See the body of the reference document, `tests` in `specs.clj`, and `deftest` in `core_tests` for many non-conforming examples.

Table 1: Atomic and Naked Specs: No Sugar

Spec	Predicate	Example
::bool	boolean?	true
::float	float?	3.142
::int	int?	-1789

Table 2: Top-Level *term-like* Specs, not in ASDL

Spec	Example
::nat	(nat 42)
::identifier	(identifier 'boofar)
::identifier-set	(identifier-set ['a 'a])
::identifier-list	(identifier-list ['a 'a])
::identifier-suit	(identifier-suit ['a 'b])
::dimensions	(dimensions [[6 60] [1 42]])

Table 3: Higher-Order Specs, Defined in Terms of Other Specs

Spec	Predicate	Example
::symtab-id	::nat	(symtab-id 42)
::value-attr	::bool	(value-attr false)
::dependencies	::identifier-set	(dependencies ['a 'b 'c])
::symbolic-value	TODO empty?	(symbolic-value ())
::value	TODO empty?	(value ())
::type-declaration	TODO nilable symtab-id	(type-declaration nil)
::varnym	::identifier	(varnym 'x)

Table 4: *Term* Multi-Specs; Like Tagged Unions

Multi-Spec	Term	Example
::asr-term	::dimension	(dimension [6 60])
::asr-term	::intent	(intent 'Local)
::asr-term	::storage-type	(storage-type 'Default)
::asr-term	::abi	(abi 'Source)
::asr-term	::access	(access 'Public)
::asr-term	::presence	(presence 'Required)

Table 5: ::asr-term Specs with Nested *Head* Multi-Specs

Term	Head	Example
::ttype	::Integer	(Integer 4 [[6 60] [1 42]])
::ttype	::Real	(Real 8 [[6 60] [1 42]])
::ttype	::Complex	(Complex 4 [[6 60] [1 42]])
::ttype	::Logical	(Logical 1 [[6 60] [1 42]])
::symbol	::Variable	(Variable 42 'x (Integer 4)...) )

## 2.7 Multi-Specs

Instance hash-maps that conform to multi-spec `::asr-term` are polymorphic. They have a tag attribute, fetched via `::term`, that must match a term defmethod.<sup>16</sup> For instance, the following example is a valid `::asr-term` in full-form; its `::term` attribute is `::intent`:

```
(s/valid? ::asr-term
  {::term      ::intent, ;; matches a defmethod
   ::intent-enum 'Local}) ;; specifies contents
```

where `::intent-enum` is a simple spec defined and registered via `s/def`:

```
(s/def ::intent-enum ;; #{...} is a Clojure set.
  #{'Local 'In 'Out 'InOut 'ReturnVar 'Unspecified})
```

Its `::term`, `::intent`, matches a term defmethod below. The keyword, `::term`, doubles as an attribute key in the instance and as a function for fetching the `::term` value from an instance.

Here is another `::asr-term` in full-form, matching a term defmethod for `::abi`:

```
(s/valid? ::asr-term
  {::term      ::abi, ;; matches a defmethod
   ::abi-enum   'Source ;; specifies contents
   ::abi-external false}) ;; specifies contents
```

where

```
(s/def ::abi-external ::bool)
```

Other `::asr-term` specs follow the obvious pattern. The `::term` attributes, `::intent`, `::abi`, etc., each match a term defmethod:

```
(defmulti term ::term) ;; ::term fetches the tag-value
(defmethod term ::intent [_] ;; tag-value match
  (s/keys :req [::term ::intent-enum])) ;; entity spec
(defmethod term ::dimension [_] ,,,) ;; tag-value match
(defmethod term ::abi [_] ,,,) ;; tag-value match
(defmethod term ::ttype [_] ,,,) ;; tag-value match
(defmethod term ::symbol [_] ,,,) ;; tag-value match
;; etc.
```

Finally, the multi-spec itself is named `::asr-term`:

```
;;      name of the mult-spec    defmulti  tag fn
;;      -----
(s/def ::asr-term (s/multi-spec term ::term))
```

<sup>16</sup><https://clojuredocs.org/clojure.core/defmulti>

## 2.8 Nested Multi-Specs

Contents of multi-specs can, themselves, be multi-specs. Such cases obtain when an `::asr-term` has multiple function-like heads. Examples include `::ttype`, `::symbol`, `::expr`, and `::stmt`.

The names of all multi-specs in MASR, nested or not, begin with `::asr-` and end with either `term` or `<some-term>-head`. Examples: `::asr-term` and `::asr-ttype-head`. There is only one level of nesting: terms above heads.

Here is the `::asr-term` entity spec for `::ttype`. The *nested* multi-spec is named `::asr-type-head`.

```
(defmethod term ::ttype [_]
  (s/keys :req [::term ::asr-ttype-head])) ;; entity spec
```

where

```
(defmulti ttype-head ::ttype-head) ;; tag fetcher
(defmethod ttype-head ::Integer ,,,) ;; tag match
(defmethod ttype-head ::Real ,,,) ,,,
(s/def ::asr-ttype-head ;; name of the multi-spec
  ;; ties together a defmulti and a tag fetcher
  ;;
  ;; -----
  (s/multi-spec ttype-head ::ttype-head))
```

Here is a conforming example in full-form:

```
(s/valid? ::asr-term
  {::term ::ttype,
   ::asr-ttype-head
   {::ttype-head ::Real, ::real-kind 4,
    ::dimensions [[6 60] [1 42]]}})
```

Likewise, here is the `::asr-term` spec for `::symbol`:

```
(defmulti symbol-head ::symbol-head)
(defmethod symbol-head ::Variable [_]
  (s/keys :req [::symbol-head ::symtab-id ::varnym ,,,]))
(defmethod symbol-head ::Module [_] ,,,)
(defmethod symbol-head ::Function [_] ,,,) ,,,
(s/def ::asr-symbol-head
  (s/multi-spec symbol-head ::symbolhead))
```

Here is a conforming example for `::Variable` in full-form, abbreviated:

```
(s/valid?
  ::asr-term {::term ::symbol,
    ::asr-symbol-head
    {::symbol-head ::Variable
      ::symtab-id (nat 2)
      ::varnym (identifier 'x)
      ::intent (intent 'Local)
      ::ttype (ttype (Integer 4 [[0 42]]) ,,, )})
```

## 2.9 Light Sugar, Heavy Sugar

*Light-sugar* forms are shorter than full-form, but longer and more explicit than *heavy-sugar*. Heavy-sugar require positional arguments, and are thus more brittle, especially for long specifications with many arguments, where the risk is high of getting arguments out of order in hand-written code.

Light-sugar specs are returned by functions like `Integer-` whose names have trailing hyphens. Light sugar functions typically have keyword arguments, partitioned into required and optional-with-defaults. The keyword argument lists of light-sugar functions do not depend on order. The following two examples conform to both `::asr-term` and to `::ttype`:

```
(ttype (Integer- {::dimensions [], :kind 4}))
(ttype (Integer- {::kind 4, :dimensions []}))
```

Heavy-sugar specs are returned by functions like `Integer` whose names do not have trailing hyphens. Heavy-sugar specs are compatible with current `libasr --show-asr` syntax. Heavy-sugar functions employ positional arguments that depend on order. Final arguments may have defaults. For example, the following examples conform to both `::asr-term` and to `::ttype`:

```
(ttype (Integer))
(ttype (Integer 4))
(ttype (Integer 2 []))
(ttype (Integer 8 [[6 60] [1 42]]))
```

Here is a conforming spec for `::Variable` in heavy sugar; it also conforms to `::asr-term`:

```
(let [a-valid (Variable 2 'x (Integer 4)
  nil [] 'Local
  [] [] 'Default
  'Source 'Public 'Required
  false)]
  (s/valid? ::asr-term a-valid) := true
  (s/valid? ::Variable a-valid) := true)
```



### 2.9.1 Term Entity-Key Specs

For recursive type checking, as in `::Variable`, it is not convenient for terms to conform *only* to `::asr-term`. Therefore, we define redundant *term-entity-key* specs, like `::tterm`.

Entity-key specs for asr-terms are defined as follows:

```
(s/def ::ttype
  (s/and ::asr-term ;; must conform to ::asr-term
    ;; and have tag ::ttype
    # (= ::ttype (::term %)))) ;; lambda shorthand
```

Because we have several such definitions, we write a helper function and a macro:

```
(defn term-selector-spec [kwd]
  (s/and ::asr-term
    # (= kwd (::term %)))) ;; lambda shorthand
(defmacro def-term-entity-key [term]
  (let [ns "masr.specs"
        tkw (keyword ns (str term))]
    `(s/def ~tkw ;; like ::tterm
      (term-selector-spec ~tkw))))
```

Here are some invocations of that macro:

```
(def-term-entity-key dimension)
(def-term-entity-key abi)
(def-term-entity-key ttype)
```

Here are some examples of extra conformance tests for sugared specs via term entity-key specs:

```
(s/valid? ::asr-term (dimension []))      := true
(s/valid? ::asr-term (dimension '(1 60))) := true
(s/valid? ::asr-term (dimension '()))     := true

(s/valid? ::dimension (dimension []))     := true
(s/valid? ::dimension (dimension '(1 60))) := true
(s/valid? ::dimension (dimension '()))     := true

(s/valid? ::asr-term (ttype (Integer 4))) := true
(s/valid? ::asr-term (ttype (Integer 4 []))) := true

(s/valid? ::ttype (ttype (Integer 4)))    := true
(s/valid? ::ttype (ttype (Integer 4 []))) := true
```

### 2.9.2 Enum-Like Specs

Entity-key specs are defined automatically for all *enum-like* terms via the `enum-like` macro:

```
(defmacro enum-like [term, heads]
  (let [ns "masr.specs"
        trm (keyword ns "term")      ;; like ::term
        art (keyword ns "asr-term")  ;; like ::asr-term
        tkw (keyword ns (str term))  ;; like ::intent
        ,,,]
    `(do ,,,      ;; the entity-key spec
      (s/def ~tkw  ;; like ::intent
        (s/and ~art ;; like ::asr-term
          (term-selector-spec ~tkw)))
      ,,, )))
```

Here are some examples of extra conformance tests for automatically defined term entity-keys for enum-like specs:

```
(s/valid?  ::intent (intent 'Local)) := true
(let [iex (intent 'Local)]
  (s/conform ::asr-term iex)          := iex
  (s/conform ::intent iex)            := iex)
```

### 2.9.3 Term-Head Entity-Key Specs

For terms like `::symbol` and `::stmt` with multiple heads like `::Variable` and `::Assignment` it is convenient to define redundant entity-key specs like the following:

```
(s/def ::Variable      ;; head entity key
  (s/and ::asr-term    ;; top multi-spec
    # (= ::Variable    ;; nested tag
      (-> % ::asr-symbol-head ;; nested multi-spec
        ::symbol-head))) ;; tag fetcher
(s/def ::Assignment   ;; head entity key
  (s/and ::asr-term    ;; top multi-spec
    # (= ::Assignment  ;; nested tag
      (-> % ::asr-stmt-head  ;; nested multi-spec
        ::stmt-head))     ;; tag fetcher
```

We define these with another macro, `def-term-head--entity-key`. The definition of this macro is found in the file `specs.clj`. An example of conformance to `::Variable` is found above, in Section 2.9.

### 3 ASDL Back-Channel

As an intermediate step from MASR to LASR, we will initially produce ASDL from MASR. Eventually, we will produce C++ from MASR and eliminate the ASDL layer. But, for now, it is easiest to reuse the existing ASDL  $\rightarrow$  C++ translator that produces `libasr`.

The first step is to define heads for self-evaluating symbols in MASR. For example, the enum-like `intent` type tests for membership in the set

```
#{'Local' 'In' 'Out' 'InOut' 'ReturnVar' 'Unspecified}
```

This type assists in the validation of bigger types like `Variable`. A conforming instance in MASR heavy sugar is

```
(Variable 2 'x (Integer 4)
  nil [] 'Local
  [] [] 'Default
  'Source 'Public 'Required
  false)
```

But the ASDL output via the `--show-asr` option in LCompilers produces

```
(Variable 2 x (Integer 4)
  [] [] Local
  [] [] Default
  Source Public Required
  false)
```

The constant symbols `Local`, `Default`, etc. can be easily accommodated as follows:

```
(def Local      'Local)
(def Default    'Default)
(def Source     'Source)
(def Public     'Public)
(def Required   'Required)
```

and so on. This works because all heads in ASR, including enum-likes, are unique at top level, despite being categorized under terms. No two terms have any heads that are alike.

TODO: Similar definitions for non-constant symbols like `x` can be made, but collisions with extant definitions must be avoided. This problem of collisions is **unsolved**.

A macro to automate these definitions for any enum-like follows.<sup>17</sup>

```
(defmacro symbolate
  "ASDL Back-Channel: create tickless constants
  such as Local for 'Local.'"
  [a-set-sym]
  (let [a-set (eval a-set-sym)
        cmds (for [e a-set] (list 'def e `('~e)))]
    `(list ~@cmds)))
```

---

<sup>17</sup>It is not necessary to understand the implementation of this macro; it is non-trivial. <https://clojurians.slack.com/archives/C03S1KBA2/p1682375371440109>

## 4 Abstract Interpretation

MASR is a full programming language in its own right. It is, in fact, a Domain-Specific Language (DSL) embedded in Clojure. An interpreter for MASR may be regarded as a reusable abstract interpreter for the surface languages, initially LFortran and LPython.