Lecture 10: Slow-fast analysis, singular Hopf bifurcation, phase plane analysis, and excitability in a slow-fast neuron model

Objectives

- Using multiple timescales (slow-fast) analysis to understand the excitability
- Understand and calculate the singular Hopf bifurcation
- Use phase plane analysis to understand excitability and oscillatory regimes of neurons

• Consider the general equation of the FitzHugh-Nagumo (FHN) neuron model given two coupled ODEs on either the slow timescale τ or the fast timescale t

$$\begin{cases}
\varepsilon \frac{dx_{\tau}}{d\tau} = F(x_{\tau}, y_{\tau}), \\
\frac{dy_{\tau}}{d\tau} = G(x_{\tau}, y_{\tau}),
\end{cases} (1)$$

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dx_t}{dt} = F(x_t, y_t), \\
\frac{dy_t}{dt} = \varepsilon G(x_t, y_t),
\end{cases} (2)$$

where the functions F and G are two polynomials of the form:

$$\begin{cases}
F(x,y) = -ax + (a+1)x^2 + ex^3 + fy + I \\
G(x,y) = d + bx - cy,
\end{cases} (3)$$

and $0 < \varepsilon := \frac{\tau}{t} \ll 1$ is the timescale separation parameter (called in slow-fast analysis the the singular parameter) between the fast voltage variable $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the slow recovery variable $y \in \mathbb{R}$ that restores the resting state of the neuron.

 We note that Eq. (2) preserves the sense of the dynamics of trajectories of Eq. (1). The only difference is the speed of the trajectories in phase space.

 We also note that in the literature, there are three different versions of the FHN neuron model depending on the values of the parameters a, b, c, d, e and f.

• The simplest version is obtained with a=-1, b=1, c=0, e=-1/3, f=-1

$$\begin{cases} dx = (x_t - x_t^3/3 - y + I)dt, \\ dy_t = \varepsilon(x_t + d)dt, \end{cases}$$
(4)

② The second version is obtained with a=-1, b=1, c=0, e=-1/3, f=-1

$$\begin{cases} dx_t = (x_t - x_t^3/3 - y_t + I)dt, \\ dy_t = \varepsilon(x_t + d - cy_t)dt, \end{cases}$$
 (5)

3 The third version is obtained with d=0, e=-1, f=-1

$$\begin{cases}
dx_t = [x_t(a-x_t)(x_t-1)-y_t+I]dt, \\
dy_t = \varepsilon(bx_t-cy_t)dt,
\end{cases} (6)$$

- For this lecture, we shall use the third version because it allows for richer dynamical behaviors than the two others.
- Exercise 3A
 - ① Use the definition of the singular parameter (i.e., $0 < \varepsilon := \frac{\tau}{t} \ll 1$) to show how we can convert Eq.(6) from its fast timescale to it corresponding slow timescale version (i.e., where the variable are now x_{τ} , y_{τ} , and the time is τ).
 - ② By setting $\varepsilon \to 0$ (also known as taking the singular limit) reduce Eq.(6) into a 1-dimensional ODE governing the evolution of the fast variable x on the slow timescale τ .
- Let us start by giving some important general definitions:

Definition

$$\begin{cases}
dv_t = f(v_t, w_t)dt \\
dw_t = \varepsilon g(v_t, w_t)dt
\end{cases}
\xrightarrow{\varepsilon t = \tau}
\begin{cases}
\varepsilon dv_\tau = f(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \\
dw_\tau = g(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau
\end{cases}$$

Definition

Definition

$$\begin{cases} dv_{t} = f(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \\ dw_{t} = \varepsilon g(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon t = \tau} \begin{cases} \varepsilon dv_{\tau} = f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} = g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \varepsilon = 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} dv_{t} = f(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \\ dw_{t} = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 = f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} = g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

Layer problem

Reduced problem

• $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{critical manifold} = \text{fixed points of layer problem}.$

Definition

$$\begin{cases} dv_{t} = f(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \\ dw_{t} = \varepsilon g(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon t = \tau} \begin{cases} \varepsilon dv_{\tau} = f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} = g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \varepsilon = 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} dv_{t} = f(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \\ dw_{t} = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 = f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} = g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

Layer problem

- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{critical manifold} = \text{fixed points of layer problem}.$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{is the phase space of the reduced problem.}$

Definition

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= \varepsilon g(v_t, w_t)dt \end{cases} \stackrel{\varepsilon t = \tau}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon dv_{\tau}}{dw_{\tau}} &= f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} &= g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \varepsilon = 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= 0 \end{cases} \stackrel{\longleftrightarrow}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} 0 &= f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} &= g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

Layer problem

- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{critical manifold} = \text{fixed points of layer problem}.$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\}$ = is the phase space of the reduced problem.
- v slaved to w through constraint f = 0.

Definition

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= \varepsilon g(v_t, w_t)dt \end{cases} \stackrel{\varepsilon t = \tau}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon dv_{\tau}}{dw_{\tau}} &= f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} &= g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \varepsilon = 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= 0 \end{cases} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 &= f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} &= g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

Layer problem

- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{critical manifold} = \text{fixed points of layer problem}.$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\}$ = is the phase space of the reduced problem.
- v slaved to w through constraint f = 0.
- w acts as a parameter in the layer problem.

Definition

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= \varepsilon g(v_t, w_t)dt \end{cases} \stackrel{\varepsilon t = \tau}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} \begin{cases} \varepsilon dv_\tau &= f(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \\ dw_\tau &= g(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \varepsilon = 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= 0 \end{cases} \stackrel{\longleftrightarrow}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} 0 &= f(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \\ dw_\tau &= g(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \end{cases}$$

Layer problem

- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{critical manifold} = \text{fixed points of layer problem}.$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\}$ = is the phase space of the reduced problem.
- v slaved to w through constraint f = 0.
- w acts as a parameter in the layer problem.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is normally hyperbolic if the scalar $(d_v f)(p) \neq 0$, $\forall p \in \mathcal{M}_0$.

Definition

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= \varepsilon g(v_t, w_t)dt \end{cases} \stackrel{\varepsilon t = \tau}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} \varepsilon dv_\tau &= f(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \\ dw_\tau &= g(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \varepsilon = 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= 0 \end{cases} \stackrel{\longleftrightarrow}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} 0 &= f(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \\ dw_\tau &= g(v_\tau, w_\tau)d\tau \end{cases}$$

Layer problem

- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{critical manifold} = \text{fixed points of layer problem}.$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\}$ = is the phase space of the reduced problem.
- v slaved to w through constraint f = 0.
- w acts as a parameter in the layer problem.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is normally hyperbolic if the scalar $(d_v f)(p) \neq 0$, $\forall p \in \mathcal{M}_0$.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is attracting if $(d_v f)(p) < 0$, repelling if $(d_v f)(p) > 0$.

Definition

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= \varepsilon g(v_t, w_t)dt \end{cases} \stackrel{\varepsilon t = \tau}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon dv_{\tau}}{dw_{\tau}} &= f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} &= g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \varepsilon = 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} dv_t &= f(v_t, w_t)dt \\ dw_t &= 0 \end{cases} \qquad \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 &= f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} &= g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

Layer problem

- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{critical manifold} = \text{fixed points of layer problem}.$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\}$ = is the phase space of the reduced problem.
- v slaved to w through constraint f = 0.
- w acts as a parameter in the layer problem.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is normally hyperbolic if the scalar $(d_v f)(p) \neq 0$, $\forall p \in \mathcal{M}_0$.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is attracting if $(d_v f)(p) < 0$, repelling if $(d_v f)(p) > 0$.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is of saddle-type if ... $\leq re(\lambda_{i_k}) < 0 < re(\lambda_{i_{k+1}}) \leq ...$

Definition

$$\begin{cases} dv_{t} = f(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \\ dw_{t} = \varepsilon g(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \end{cases} \stackrel{\varepsilon t = \tau}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} \varepsilon dv_{\tau} = f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} = g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

$$\downarrow \varepsilon = 0 \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varepsilon = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} dv_{t} = f(v_{t}, w_{t})dt \\ dw_{t} = 0 \end{cases} \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} 0 = f(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \\ dw_{\tau} = g(v_{\tau}, w_{\tau})d\tau \end{cases}$$

Layer problem

- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\} = \text{critical manifold} = \text{fixed points of layer problem}.$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 := \{f = 0\}$ = is the phase space of the reduced problem.
- v slaved to w through constraint f = 0.
- w acts as a parameter in the layer problem.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is normally hyperbolic if the scalar $(d_v f)(p) \neq 0$, $\forall p \in \mathcal{M}_0$.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is attracting if $(d_v f)(p) < 0$, repelling if $(d_v f)(p) > 0$.
- \mathcal{M}_0 is of saddle-type if ... $\leq re(\lambda_{j_k}) < 0 < re(\lambda_{j_{k+1}}) \leq ...$
- a fold point s satisfies $(d_v f)(s) = 0$, \mathcal{M}_0 looses hyperbolicity at s.

 Now we consider the FHN model given by Eq.(6), where for simplicity, we assume that there is no input current, i.e., I=0:

$$\begin{cases}
\varepsilon \frac{dx_{\tau}}{d\tau} = F(x_{\tau}, y_{\tau}) = x_{\tau}(a - x_{\tau})(1 - x_{\tau}) - y_{\tau}, \\
\frac{dy_{\tau}}{d\tau} = G(x_{\tau}, y_{\tau}, c) = bx_{\tau} - cy_{\tau},
\end{cases} (7)$$

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{dx_t}{dt} = F(x_t, y_t) = x_t(a - x_t)(1 - x_t) - y_t, \\
\frac{dy_t}{dt} = \varepsilon G(x_t, y_t) = \varepsilon (bx_t - cy_t),
\end{cases}$$
(8)

where b>0 and c>0 is a co-dimension one Hopf bifurcation, 0< a<1, and $0<\varepsilon:=\frac{\tau}{t}\ll 1$.

- We shall focus on the slow-fast analysis of Eqs.(7) and (8), which allows us to analytically and geometrically understand the mechanism behind the dynamical behaviors of the FHN neuron model during excitability and spiking.
- The first major idea to analyze Eqs.(7) and (8) is to take the singular limit (i.e., $\varepsilon \to 0$)