

## Lecture 16: Synchronization in neural networks (Continuation of Lecture 15)

# A neural complete synchronization problem

- Furthermore, it appears that the stability of the synchronized states in Eq. (8) (see the slides of Lecture 15) depends on the coupling strength  $G^e$ . To determine the stability of the synchronized state of the two coupled neurons with respect to  $G^e$  (or any other parameter of the neuron model), we can use the Krasovskii-Lyapunov stability theory.

# A neural complete synchronization problem

## Definition

The Lyapunov function of a given dynamical system  $de_i/dt = f_i(e_i)$  with a fixed point at  $e_i^*$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,n$ ) is a real-valued function  $V$  which is defined over a region  $\Omega$  of the phase space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ) that contains the fixed point  $e_i^*$  and satisfies the following requirements:

- 1  $V$  is continuously differentiable and positive definite (i.e.,  $V \in C^1$ ,  $V(e_i) > 0 \forall e_i \neq e_i^*$ ,  $V(e_i^*) = 0$ )
- 2  $V(e_i)$  has a unique minimum with respect to the neighbourhood of  $\Omega$  (i.e.,  $\exists! e_i^* \in \Omega$  such that  $V(e_i^*) \leq V(e_i) \forall e_i \in \Omega$ )
- 3 Along any trajectory of error dynamical  $de_i/dt = f_i(e_i)$ , contained in  $\Omega$ , the value of  $V(e_i)$  never increases (i.e.,  $dV(e_i)/dt = \nabla V(e_i) \cdot f_i(e_i) < 0, \forall e_i \in \Omega \setminus \{e_i^*\}$ ).

If  $\frac{dV}{dt} \leq 0, \forall e_i \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{e_i^*\}$ , then  $e_i^*$  is stable.

If  $\frac{dV}{dt} < 0, \forall e_i \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{e_i^*\}$ , then  $e_i^*$  is locally asymptotically stable.

If  $\frac{dV}{dt} < 0, \forall e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{e_i^*\}$ , then  $e_i^*$  is globally asymptotically stable.

# A neural complete synchronization problem

- Note that the Lyapunov function of a dynamical system is not unique, however, the challenge of the Lyapunov approach to stability is that constructing a proper Lyapunov function is generally not easy. But once it is successfully constructed, stability analysis becomes relatively easier.
- Following the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory which has been widely used in identifying the stability of synchronized states we define a continuous, positive-definite Lyapunov function with a continuous first partial derivative of the form:

$$\mathbb{V}(e_v, e_w) = e_v(t)^2 + e_w(t)^2, \quad (9)$$

which has a unique minimum at the fixed point  $(e_v^*, e_w^*) = (0, 0)$ . The derivative of the function  $V$  along a trajectory of the error dynamical system in Eq. (8) is given by:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{V}}{dt} = 2e_v \frac{de_v}{dt} + 2e_w \frac{de_w}{dt}. \quad (10)$$

Substituting Eq. (8) (see slides of Lecture 15) in Eq. (10) yields:

$$\frac{d\mathbb{V}}{dt} = -[6v^2 - 4(1+a)v + 2a + 4G_e]e_v^2 - 2e_v e_w + 2\varepsilon(be_v e_w - ce_w^2). \quad (11)$$

# A neural complete synchronization problem

- Thus, the sufficient condition for a
  - 1 stable,
  - 2 locally asymptotically stable,
  - 3 globally asymptotically stable,

synchronized states, with respect to the coupling strength  $G^e$  provided that it is fulfilled at all points of the attractor of Eq. (4) (see slides in Lecture 15), is that the time derivative of the Lyapunov function  $d\mathbb{V}/dt$  satisfies

- 1  $\frac{d\mathbb{V}}{dt} \leq 0, \forall (e_v, e_w) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\},$
- 2  $\frac{d\mathbb{V}}{dt} < 0, \forall (e_v, e_w) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\},$
- 3  $\frac{d\mathbb{V}}{dt} < 0, \forall (e_v, e_w) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$

respectively, where  $\Omega$  is some neighborhood containing the fixed point  $(e_v^*, e_w^*) = (0, 0)$  of the synchronized error dynamical system given in Eq. (8).

Otherwise, i.e., if  $d\mathbb{V}/dt > 0$ , then the synchronized state is unstable.

- Thus, to evaluate the expression of  $d\mathbb{V}/dt$  and determine its sign, it suffices to solve two equations **simultaneously**, i.e., Eq. (4) for  $v$ , and Eq. (8) for  $e_v$  and  $e_w$ , and use the current values of  $v$ ,  $e_v$ , and  $e_w$  calculated at each time step  $t$  to evaluate the the expression  $d\mathbb{V}/dt$  given in Eq. (11) at time  $t$ .

# A neural complete synchronization problem

- This can be done for a range of values of  $G_e$  and determine the stability property of the synchronized state for each value of  $G_e$ . Note that Eq. (4), Eq. (8), and Eq. (11) have to be solved and evaluated simultaneously as time changes.
- Another common and important type of synchronization is known as **phase synchronization**. This involves sub-system properties called phases and is characterized by the  $2\pi$  phase locking of two or more oscillators, even if their amplitudes are uncorrelated.
- It's important to note the difference between complete synchronization and **phase synchronization**. In complete synchronization, all values of the spike trains are used to compute synchronization. In contrast, phase synchronization only considers the timing of the spikes in the spike trains.
- It has been shown that synchronization of oscillatory phases between different brain regions supports both working memory and long-term memory and facilitates neural communication by promoting neural plasticity.

# A neural complete synchronization problem

- How can one quantify the complete synchronization of  $N$  (and not only 2) neurons?

Answer: **Complete synchronization (CS):** The ability of the  $N$  neurons in the network to completely synchronize the actual value of their membrane potential variables  $v_k(t)$  can be quantified by the **statistical index of complete synchronization**  $\Theta$ , given by the standard deviation of  $v_k(t)$  for these  $N$  neurons as:

$$\Theta = \langle \rho(t) \rangle_t \text{ with } \rho(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( v_k(t) \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N v_k(t) \right)^2}{N-1}}, \quad (12)$$

and where the angle brackets  $\langle \cdot \rangle_t$  represents the average over time  $t$ , i.e., the total number of simulation time steps.  $\rho(t)$  measures the degree of CS at a given time  $t$ . The value of  $\Theta$  is an excellent indicator of the degree of CS and reveals different synchronization levels and related transitions. Smaller values of  $\Theta$  indicate higher degrees of CS, and  $\Theta = 0$  indicates the highest degree of CS.

# A neural complete synchronization problem

- How can one quantify the phase synchronization of  $N$  neurons?

Answer: **Phase synchronization (PS):** The time-averaged **Kuramoto order parameter**,  $\bar{R}$ , can be used to measure the degree of PS among the  $N$ -coupled neurons. It is given by:

$$\bar{R} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \exp(i\phi_k(t)) \right| dt, \quad (13)$$

where  $\phi_k(t) = 2\pi n + 2\pi \frac{t - t_k^{(n)}}{t_k^{(n+1)} - t_k^{(n)}}$  and  $t_k^{(n)} \leq t < t_k^{(n+1)}$ . In the argument of the exponential function,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and the quantity  $\phi_k(t)$  approximates the phase of the  $k$ th neuron in the network and linearly increases over  $2\pi$  from one spike to the next. The norm of this complex exponential function is represented by  $|\cdot|$ . The time at which the  $k$ th neuron exhibits its  $n$ th spike ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) is represented by  $t_k^{(n)}$ . We determine the spike time occurrences from the instant  $v_k(t)$  crosses the corresponding threshold  $v_{th}$  value from below. The time-averaged Kuramoto order parameter  $\bar{R}$  ranges from 0 corresponding to no PS to 1 corresponding to full PS (i.e., all neurons fire at precisely the same times).