

Crk3 formulation

Renato Poli

May 19, 2025

1 Fracture storage

$$\zeta_f = \frac{\delta a_f}{a_f} + c_f \delta p - \beta_f \delta T ,$$

and we need the term

$$(\tilde{\psi}, a_f \dot{\zeta}_f)_{\bar{\Omega}} = (\tilde{\psi}, \delta \dot{a}_f) + (\tilde{\psi}, a_f c_f \delta \dot{p}) - (\tilde{\psi}, a_f \beta_f \delta \dot{T}) , \quad (1)$$

where

$$a_f = [\![\mathbf{u}]\!] \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ = (\mathbf{u}^+ - \mathbf{u}^-) \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ = \sum_{d=1}^2 u_k^d n_k^d = u_k^d n_k^d .$$

where $d = 0$ for the element in the $+$ side of the fracture and $d = 1$ for the element in the $-$ side.

Opening the terms in (1) into coefficients (assuming p to be a step constant):

$$\begin{aligned} & +(\tilde{\psi}, \delta \dot{a}_f) \Delta t : \\ & \mathbf{K}_{pd}^{\beta\gamma} = \tilde{\psi}^\beta (-1)^d \phi_d^\gamma n_k^+ \\ & \mathbf{F}_p^{\beta} = \tilde{\psi}^\beta (-1)^d \phi_d^\gamma n_k^+ \check{u}_k^\gamma , \\ & +(\tilde{\psi}, a_f c_f \delta \dot{p}) \Delta t : \\ & \mathbf{K}_{pd}^{\beta\gamma} = c_f \tilde{\psi}^\beta (-1)^d \phi_d^\gamma n_k^+ \Delta p , \\ & -(\tilde{\psi}, a_f \beta_f \delta \dot{T}) \Delta t : \\ & \mathbf{K}_{pd}^{\beta\gamma} = \beta_f \tilde{\psi}^\beta (-1)^{d+1} \phi_d^\gamma n_k^+ \Delta T . \end{aligned}$$

where \check{u} refers to the (known) solution in the previous timestep, and $c_f = \frac{1}{K_f}$. Δp and ΔT are the delta of p and T from the previous timestep to the current.

2 Derivatives for nonlinear solution

Both pressure and displacements are variables of the system. We need to derive some non-linear term for Newton's method. Let:

$$f = \left(\tilde{\psi}, \quad a_f \ c_f \ \delta \dot{p} \right) \Delta t = \sum_{d=1}^2 \left(\tilde{\psi}, \quad c_f \ n_k^d \ u_k^d \ \delta \dot{p} \right) \Delta t \quad .$$

where $d = 0$ for the element in the + side of the fracture and $d = 1$ for the element in the - side. Then, the partial derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} = \sum_{d=1}^2 \left(\tilde{\psi}, \quad c_f \ n_k^d \ u_k^d \right) \Delta t \quad ,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_k^d} = \left(\tilde{\psi}, \quad c_f \ n_k^d \ \delta \dot{p} \right) \Delta t \quad .$$

3 Discontinuous galerkin for pressure

Reference: (Ruijie Liu, 2004) - PhD dissertation

Our equation:

$$\alpha \nabla \cdot \dot{u} + S_\epsilon \dot{p} - \nabla \cdot (\check{\kappa} \nabla p) = 0$$

Weak formulation:

$$(w, \alpha \nabla \cdot \dot{u}) + (w, S_\epsilon \dot{p}) - (w, \nabla \cdot (\check{\kappa} \nabla p)) = 0 \quad \forall w$$

Lets focus our attention to the last term. Integrate by parts:

$$-(w, \nabla \cdot (\check{\kappa} \nabla p)) = (\nabla w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p) - (w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n})_\Gamma$$

When we sum element by element, the last term in Γ results in the edge skeleton Γ_i and the outside boundary Γ_p .

The full equation becomes a summation of the following:

$$(w, \alpha \nabla \cdot \dot{u})_{\Omega_E} + (w, S_\epsilon \dot{p})_{\Omega_E} + (\nabla w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p)_{\Omega_E} - (w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n})_{\Gamma_i} - (w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n})_{\Gamma_p} = 0 \quad \forall w$$

where Ω_E is the element interiors

We observe the following identity:

$$-(w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n})_{\Gamma_i} = -(\llbracket w \rrbracket, \check{\kappa} \{ \nabla p \})_{\Gamma_i} - (\check{\kappa} \llbracket \nabla p \rrbracket, \{ w \})_{\Gamma_i} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket w \rrbracket &= w^+ \mathbf{n}^+ + w^- \mathbf{n}^- \\ \{ w \} &= 0.5 \times (w^+ + w^-) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \nabla p \rrbracket &= \nabla p^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ + \nabla p^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^- \\ \{ \nabla p \} &= 0.5 \times (\nabla p^+ + \nabla p^-) \end{aligned}$$

Define $d = 0$ for $+$ and $d = 1$ for $-$ and similarly $e = 0$ for $+$ and $e = 1$ for $-$. The above can be written as summations in d :

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket w \rrbracket &= \sum_d w^d n_k^d \\ \{ w \} &= 0.5 \times \sum_d w^d \\ \llbracket \nabla p \rrbracket &= \sum_e p_{,k}^e n_k^e \\ \{ \nabla p \} &= 0.5 \times \sum_e p_{,k}^e \end{aligned}$$

Replace the above in (2) suppressing the summation sign in d and e :

$$-(w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n})_{\Gamma_i} = -0.5 \times \left[\left(w^d n_k^d, \check{\kappa} p_{,k}^e \right)_{\Gamma_i} + \left(\check{\kappa} p_{,k}^e n_k^e, w^d \right)_{\Gamma_i} \right]$$

Finally, we add an interior penalty term:

$$\frac{\delta_p}{|s|} (\llbracket p \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket)_{\Gamma_i}$$

The final expression is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (w, \alpha \nabla \cdot \dot{u})_{\Omega_E} + (w, S_\epsilon \dot{p})_{\Omega_E} + (\nabla w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p)_{\Omega_E} - (w, \check{\kappa} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n})_{\Gamma_p} \\
& - ([w], \check{\kappa} \{\nabla p\})_{\Gamma_i} - (\check{\kappa} [\nabla p], \{w\})_{\Gamma_i} \\
& + \frac{\delta_p}{|s|} ([p], [w])_{\Gamma_i} = 0 \quad \forall w
\end{aligned}$$

4 An identity

$$\begin{aligned}
(w, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n})_{\Gamma_i} &= (w^+, \mathbf{u}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}_+)_{\Gamma_i} + (w^-, \mathbf{u}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^-)_{\Gamma_i} \\
&= ([w], \{\mathbf{u}\}) + ([\mathbf{u}], \{w\}) \\
&= 0.5 \times (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^E \cdot \mathbf{n}^E)_{\Gamma_i} && + 0.5 \times (\mathbf{u}^D, \quad w^E \mathbf{n}^E)_{\Gamma_i} \\
&= 0.5 \times (-1)^E (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^E \cdot \mathbf{n}^+)_{\Gamma_i} && + 0.5 \times (-1)^E (\mathbf{u}^D, \quad w^E \mathbf{n}^+)_{\Gamma_i} \\
&= 0.5 \times (-1)^E (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^E \cdot \mathbf{n}^+)_{\Gamma_i} && + 0.5 \times (-1)^D (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^E \cdot \mathbf{n}^+)_{\Gamma_i} \\
&= 0.5 \times [(-1)^E + (-1)^D] (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^E \cdot \mathbf{n}^+)_{\Gamma_i} \\
&= \delta^{DE} (-1)^D (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^E \cdot \mathbf{n}^+)_{\Gamma_i} \\
&= (-1)^D (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^D \cdot \mathbf{n}^+)_{\Gamma_i} \\
&= (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^D \cdot \mathbf{n}^D)_{\Gamma_i}
\end{aligned}$$

An alternative derivation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&([w], \{\mathbf{u}\}) + ([\mathbf{u}], \{w\}) = \\
&= 0.5 \times (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^E \cdot \mathbf{n}^E)_{\Gamma_i} + 0.5 \times (\mathbf{u}^D, \quad w^E \mathbf{n}^E)_{\Gamma_i} \\
&= \\
&\quad (w^+, \mathbf{u}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+) \quad + \quad (\mathbf{u}^+, w^+ \mathbf{n}^+) \\
&\quad (w^-, \mathbf{u}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+) \quad + \quad (\mathbf{u}^+, w^- \mathbf{n}^-) \\
&\quad (w^+, \mathbf{u}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^-) \quad + \quad (\mathbf{u}^-, w^+ \mathbf{n}^+) \\
&\quad (w^-, \mathbf{u}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^-) \quad + \quad (\mathbf{u}^-, w^- \mathbf{n}^-) \\
&= \\
&\quad (w^+, \mathbf{u}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+) \quad + \quad (\mathbf{u}^+, w^+ \mathbf{n}^+) \\
&\quad (w^-, \mathbf{u}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^-) \quad + \quad (\mathbf{u}^-, w^- \mathbf{n}^-) \\
&= \\
&\quad (w^D, \quad \mathbf{u}^D \cdot \mathbf{n}^D)_{\Gamma_i}
\end{aligned}$$

5 Viscoelasticity (Creep)

We follow the workflow by Kumar et al. (2021) for the formulation of the viscoelastic model for salt. According to (Carter et al., 1993), the strain of a solid is

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^e + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^p + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^s + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^a \quad , \quad (3)$$

where the superscripts stand for elastic, plastic, transient (or primary or decelerating creep), steady-state (or secondary creep) and accelerating (or tertiary creep), respectively.

5.1 Multiaxial creep - development

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_e^2 &= \frac{3}{2} \tau_{ij} \tau_{ij} \\ \tau_{ij} &= \sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

Now we want to develop the equality:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^s = \frac{\partial \sigma_e}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau_{ij}}{\sigma_e} \dot{\varepsilon}^s$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}2\sigma_e \partial \sigma_e &= 3\tau_{ij} \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial \sigma_{kl}} \partial \sigma_{kl} \\ &= 3\tau_{ij} \left[\frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial \sigma_{kl}} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial \sigma_{mm}}{\partial \sigma_{kl}} \right] \partial \sigma_{kl} \\ &= 3\tau_{ij} \left[\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{kl} \delta_{ij} \right] \partial \sigma_{kl} \\ &= 3\tau_{kl} \partial \sigma_{kl} - \tau_{ii} \partial \sigma_{kl} \delta_{kl} \\ &= 3\tau_{kl} \partial \sigma_{kl}\end{aligned}$$

because $\tau_{ii} = 0$. Hence:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_e}{\partial \sigma_{kl}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau_{kl}}{\sigma_e}$$

5.2 Carter model

The starting point is Carter's model (Carter et al., 1993). We write in the normalized way so that the constants have rational units (Dusseault & Fordham, 1993), and dimensionless parameters. The expression for the creep model is the following power-law for steady-state creep is obtained from the lab for uniaxial strain as

$$\dot{\varepsilon}^s = \varepsilon_0 \exp \left(-\frac{Q}{RT} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_e}{\sigma_0} \right)^n \quad (4)$$

where σ_0 is a normalization stress arbitrary in some sense as it is a fitting parameter together with ε_0 . It can be seen as a critical stress for transition of regimes (when the quotient approaches 1, we can continuously change the exponent n). See (Dusseault et al., 1987; Poiate, 2012) . Table 1 describes the variables.

Now we express the creep flow rule in tensor form under multiaxial stress conditions (Hyde et al., 2014; Kraus, 1980; Xu et al., 2018), as:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^s = \frac{\partial \sigma_e}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau_{ij}}{\sigma_e} \dot{\varepsilon}^s \quad (5)$$

where σ_e is an effective stress measure (here assumed Von Mises) and τ_{ij} is the stress deviator tensor.

$$\sigma_e^2 = \frac{3}{2} \tau_{ij} \tau_{ij} \quad (6)$$

$$\tau_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \sigma_{kk} \delta_{ij} \quad (7)$$

Equivalent expressions for σ_e are

$$\sigma_e^2 = 3 J_2 \quad J_2 = 0.5 \times \tau_{ij} \tau_{ij} \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_e^2 = 0.5 \times [(\sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{yy})^2 + (\sigma_{yy} - \sigma_{zz})^2 + (\sigma_{zz} - \sigma_{xx})^2 + 6 (\sigma_{xy}^2 + \sigma_{yz}^2 + \sigma_{xz}^2)] \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma_e^2 = \frac{3}{2} \sigma_{ij} \sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{kk}^2) \quad (10)$$

where J_2 is the second invariant of the stress deviator tensor. We can use Carter's law to write

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^s = \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_0 \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{RT}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma_e}{\sigma_0}\right)^n \left(\frac{\tau_{ij}}{\sigma_e}\right) \quad (11)$$

An alternative writing for this equation, as e.g. in (Kumar et al., 2021), is

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^s = \frac{3}{2} A \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{RT}\right) \sigma_e^{n-1} \tau_{ij} \quad (12)$$

where $A = \varepsilon_0 / \sigma_0^n$. However, the units of A are MPa^{-n} and A and n are mutually dependent. The use of σ_0 is convenient for decoupling and different creep modes can be calibrated using only n , for example. Alternatively, we can use the strategy by (Dusseault et al., 1987), with a critical stress and sharp transitions between modes..

$$A = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\sigma_0^n} \exp\left(\frac{Q}{RT}\right) \quad (13)$$

| Variable | Unit | Description |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| R | $J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ | Universal gas constant ($R = 8.3144$) |
| T | K | Temperature |
| Q | $J \text{ mol}^{-1}$ | Apparent activation energy |
| n | — | Material constant |
| ε_0 | — | Material constant |
| σ_0 | MPa | Material constant |
| σ_e | MPa | Differential stress ($\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$), or von mises, or Tresca. |

Table 1: Symbols in Carter model

5.3 Creep in small strains

Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^s$ be the steady state creep history, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^s(t + \Delta t) &= \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^s(t) + \Delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^s(t + \theta) \quad , \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^s &= \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(t) \Delta t \end{aligned}$$

is an explicit timetapping. We can calculate $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^s$ from the previous section.

The problem statement is:

| Variable | Value |
|----------|--|
| Q | $51.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J mol}^{-1}$ |
| R | $8.3144 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ |
| A | $8.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ MPa}^{-n} \text{ s}^{-1}$ |

Table 2: Values for initial testcase, to compare with results from Carter et al. (1993) and Kumar et al. (2021).

Find $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(w_{i,j}, \sigma_{ij}) - (w_i, \mathcal{f}_i) - (w_i, \mathcal{h}_i)_{\Gamma_h} &= 0 \\
\sigma_{ij} &= \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}^e \\
\varepsilon_{kl}^e &= \varepsilon_{kl} - \varepsilon_{kl}^s \\
\varepsilon_{kl} &= 0.5 \times (u_{k,l} + u_{l,k}) \\
u_i &= g_i && \text{on } \Gamma_g \\
\sigma_{ij} n_j &= h_i && \text{on } \Gamma_h
\end{aligned}$$

where Ω is the domain of the bilinear operators whenever omitted. u_i aggregates the unknown and known (Dirichlet) degrees of freedom, as usual.

The creep term is integrated in time and added to the equilibrium equation as

$$(w_{i,j}, \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} u_{k,l}) - (w_{i,j}, \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}^s) - (w_i, \mathcal{f}_i) - (w_i, \mathcal{h}_i)_{\Gamma_h} = 0$$

5.4 Munson Dawson - transient creep

This section is based on (Cheng, 2024; Munson, 1999; Reedlunn, 2018), that follow previous work at Sandia (Munson & Dawson, 1982; Munson et al., 1989).

Define the total plastic strain rate as

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_p = \dot{\varepsilon}_{ss} + \dot{\varepsilon}_{tr} = F \dot{\varepsilon}_{ss} \quad (14)$$

where the steady-state creep is

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ss} = \varepsilon_0 \exp \frac{-Q}{RT} \bar{\sigma}^n \quad (15)$$

where the normalized stress is

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma_e}{\sigma_0} \quad (16)$$

and σ_e is the deviatoric (or equivalent, or von mises, or Hosford) stress.

The transient creep is

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{tr} = (F - 1) \dot{\varepsilon}_{ss} \quad (17)$$

where

$$F = \begin{cases} \exp(\omega_w \zeta^2) & , \quad \zeta > 0 \ (\varepsilon_{tr} \leq \varepsilon_{tr}^*) \\ \exp(-\omega_r \zeta^2) & , \quad \zeta < 0 \ (\varepsilon_{tr} > \varepsilon_{tr}^*) \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\zeta = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{tr}}{\varepsilon_{tr}^*} \quad (19)$$

$$\omega_w = \alpha_w + \beta_w \log_{10} \bar{\sigma} \quad (20)$$

$$\omega_r = \alpha_r + \beta_r \log_{10} \bar{\sigma} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{tr}^* = K \exp(cT) \bar{\sigma}^m \quad (22)$$

The above expressions are the ones given by MD. Now we want to simplify to ease our implementation and analysis.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ss} &= \varepsilon_0 \exp \frac{-Q}{RT} \bar{\sigma}^n & \varepsilon_{tr}^* &= K \exp(cT) \bar{\sigma}^m \\ \zeta = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{tr}}{\varepsilon_{tr}^*} &\rightarrow \begin{cases} \zeta > 0 \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta) = + (\alpha_w, \beta_w) \\ \zeta \leq 0 \rightarrow (\alpha, \beta) = - (\alpha_r, \beta_r) \end{cases} \\ F = e^{\alpha \zeta^2} \bar{\sigma}^{\beta \zeta^2} &\rightarrow \dot{\varepsilon}_{tr} = (F - 1) \dot{\varepsilon}_{ss}, \quad \dot{\varepsilon}_p = F \dot{\varepsilon}_{ss} \\ \varepsilon_{tr} = \varepsilon_{tr}^n + \Delta t \dot{\varepsilon}_{tr} &\quad \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_p^n + \Delta t \dot{\varepsilon}_p \end{aligned}$$

Note that MD formulation uses \log_{10} operator for the ω parameters. I chose to remove it, because ω is a fitting parameter. The idea is to keep the formulation clearer. Note, however, that this changes the interpretation of the material fitting parameter β .

5.5 Creep integration with large strain elasticity

For small timesteps, we can determine the creep strain variation explicitly:

$$\varepsilon^s(t + \Delta t) = \varepsilon^s(t) + \Delta \varepsilon^s(t + \theta)$$

where θ indicates the time when the increment in creep strain is measured. We start using an explicit measurement, $\theta = 0$ (stable for small Δt), so that

$$\Delta \varepsilon^s = \dot{\varepsilon}(t) \Delta t$$

Before moving to the next timestep, recalculate the stresses using the above creep strain. Check for a threshold and reduce the time increment if necessary.

Rewrite the mechanical equilibrium equation with the creep increments. We know how to solve elastic stresses, so:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= (W_{i,I}, P_{iI}^e)_{\Omega_0} - (W_i, \tilde{T}_i)_{\Gamma_H} = 0 \\ P_{iI}^e &= P_{iI} - P_{iI}^s \end{aligned}$$

We need to move the creep contribution P_{iI}^s to the current configuration:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{iI}^s &= J \sigma_{ij}^s F_{Ij}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{F} &= (W_{i,I}, P_{iI})_{\Omega_0} - (W_{i,I}, J \sigma_{ij}^s F_{Ij}^{-1})_{\Omega_0} - (W_i, \tilde{T}_i)_{\Gamma_H} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now we must calculate σ_{ij}^s :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij}^s &= \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}^s \\ \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} &= J^{-1} F_{iI} F_{jJ} F_{kK} F_{lL} \mathbb{C}_{IJKL} \end{aligned}$$

$$P_{iI}^e = F_{iJ} S_{JI}$$

$$S_{JI}^e = \mathbb{C}_{JIKL} E_{KL}^e$$

$$E_{KL}^e = E_{KL} - E_{KL}^s$$

where E_{KL}^e is the elastic behavior of the Green-Lagrange strain and E_{KL}^s is the GL strain for the secondary creep. We now need to compute E_{KL}^s from ϵ^s :

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^e$$

6 Large Strains formulation for elasticity

6.1 Some definitions

The deformation gradient \mathbf{F} :

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{I} \quad (23)$$

or, equivalently

$$F_{iJ} = u_{i,J} + \delta_{iJ} \quad (24)$$

and

$$J = \det \mathbf{F} \quad . \quad (25)$$

First and second Piola Kirchhoff

$$P_{iI} = F_{iJ} S_{JI} \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{IJKL} = \frac{\partial S_{IJ}}{\partial E_{KL}} \quad (27)$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{ijkl} = J^{-1} F_{iI} F_{jJ} F_{kK} F_{lL} \mathbb{C}_{IJKL} \quad (28)$$

6.2 Elasticity derivation

Articulating things in the initial configuration:

$$\nabla \cdot P = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_0 \quad (29)$$

$$U = \tilde{U} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_G \quad (30)$$

$$T = P \ N = \tilde{T} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_H \quad (31)$$

The weak form is

$$\mathcal{F} = (W_{i,I}, P_{iI})_{\Omega_0} - (W_i, \tilde{T}_i)_{\Gamma_H} = 0 \quad (32)$$

We want to linearize \mathcal{F} .

$$D\mathcal{F}(\varphi) \cdot \Delta U_i = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left(W_{i,I}, P_{iI}(\varphi + \varepsilon \Delta U_i) \right)_{\Omega_0} \Big|_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \quad (33)$$

Let's work on P and derive wrt ε .

$$P = F_{iJ} S_{JI} = \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial X_J} S_{JI}(\varphi_i) \quad (34)$$

$$P(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta U_i) = \frac{\partial(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta U_i)}{\partial X_J} S_{JI}(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta U_i) \quad (35)$$

$$(36)$$

$$\frac{\partial P(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta U_i)}{\partial \varepsilon} = \frac{\partial \Delta U_i}{\partial X_J} S_{JI} + \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial X_J} \frac{\partial S_{JI}}{\partial \varepsilon} \quad (37)$$

$$= \Delta U_{i,J} S_{JI} + F_{iJ} \frac{\partial S_{JI}}{\partial E_{KL}} \frac{\partial E_{KL}(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta U_i)}{\partial \varepsilon} \quad (38)$$

$$= \Delta U_{i,J} S_{JI} + F_{iJ} \mathbb{C}_{JIKL} \frac{\partial E_{KL}(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta U_i)}{\partial \varepsilon} \quad (39)$$

Recall that

$$2E_{KL} = F_{lK} F_{lL} - \delta_{KL} \quad (40)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial X_K} \frac{\partial \varphi_l}{\partial X_L} - \delta_{KL} \quad (41)$$

and

$$2 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_\varepsilon E_{KL}(\varphi_l + \varepsilon \Delta U_l) = \partial_\varepsilon F_{lK} F_{lL} + \partial_\varepsilon F_{lL} F_{lK} \quad (42)$$

$$= \Delta U_{l,K} F_{lL} + \Delta U_{l,L} F_{lK} \quad (43)$$

Observing the $K-L$ symmetry in C_{JIKL} , we can say that

$$C_{JIKL} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_\varepsilon E_{KL}(\varphi_l + \varepsilon \Delta U_l) = C_{JIKL} F_{lK} \Delta U_{l,L} \quad (44)$$

Subs back into the (39) to obtain

$$\partial_\varepsilon P = \Delta U_{i,J} S_{JI} + F_{iJ} F_{lK} \mathbb{C}_{JIKL} \Delta U_{l,L} \quad (45)$$

The inner product becomes:

$$D\mathcal{F}(\varphi_l) \cdot \Delta U_l = (W_{i,I} \quad , \quad U_{i,J} S_{JI} + F_{iJ} F_{lK} \mathbb{C}_{JIKL} \Delta U_{l,L}) \quad (46)$$

And the linearized stiffness matrix:

$$K_{il}^{\beta\gamma} = \int_{\Omega} \left[\phi_{,I}^\beta \phi_{,J}^\gamma S_{JI} \delta_{il} + \phi_{,I}^\beta \phi_{,L}^\gamma F_{iJ} F_{lK} \mathbb{C}_{JIKL} \right] d\Omega \quad (47)$$

6.3 Pressure loading - calculations using F (initial configuration).

In the current configuration, write:

$$h_i = -p n_i \quad \text{on } \Gamma_h \quad (48)$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} w_i h_i d\Gamma = - \int_{\Gamma_h} p \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\Gamma \quad \text{on } \Gamma_h \quad (49)$$

$$(50)$$

where p is the constant pressure (normal force) at the boundary. Note that the coordinate system is not constant, so the force direction changes with time.

Recall cramers rule:

$$\text{cof } \mathbf{F}^T = \mathbf{F}^{-1} \det(\mathbf{F}) \quad (51)$$

$$\text{cof } \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}^{-T} \det(\mathbf{F}) = J \mathbf{F}^{-T} \quad (52)$$

$$[\text{cof } \mathbf{F}]_{iI} = J (F^{-1})_{II} \quad (53)$$

We know that

$$\mathbf{n} da = J \mathbf{F}^{-T} \mathbf{N} dA = \text{cof } (\mathbf{F}) \mathbf{N} dA \quad (54)$$

$$n_i da = J [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{II} N_I dA = [\text{cof } (\mathbf{F})]_{II} N_I dA \quad (55)$$

where

$$\text{cof } (\mathbf{F})_{iI} = (-1)^{i+I} M_{ii} \quad (56)$$

and M_{iI} is the minor of the element iI . We can now write

$$-\int_{\Gamma_h} p \ n_i \ w_i \ d\Gamma = -\int_{\Gamma_H} p \ \mathbf{W} \cdot JF^{-T} \mathbf{N} \ d\Gamma = \quad (57)$$

$$-\int_{\Gamma_H} p \ \mathbf{W} \cdot [\text{cof}(\mathbf{F}) \ \mathbf{N}] \ d\Gamma \quad (58)$$

$$-\int_{\Gamma_H} p \ W_i (J \ F^{-1})_{Ii} \ N_I \ d\Gamma = \quad (59)$$

Now we need to linearize the above in the initial configuration. Preliminary derivation (Jacobi formula):

$$\partial J = \partial \det \mathbf{F} = \frac{d[\det \mathbf{F}]}{dF_{jJ}} \ \partial F_{jJ} \quad (60)$$

$$= \det \mathbf{F} [\mathbf{F}^{-T}]_{jj} \ \partial F_{jJ} \quad (61)$$

$$= J [\mathbf{F}^{-T}]_{jj} \ \partial F_{jJ} \quad (62)$$

$$= J [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Jj} \ \partial F_{jJ} \quad (63)$$

$$= \det \mathbf{F} \text{tr} \{\mathbf{F}^{-1} \ \partial \mathbf{F}\} \quad (64)$$

$$= J [\mathbf{F}^{-1} \ \partial \mathbf{F}]_{kk} \quad (65)$$

$$= [J \ \mathbf{F}^{-1} \ \partial \mathbf{F}]_{kk} \quad (66)$$

$$= [\text{cof} \ \mathbf{F}^T \ \partial \mathbf{F}]_{kk} \quad (67)$$

$$= [\text{adj} \ \mathbf{F} \ \partial \mathbf{F}]_{kk} \quad (68)$$

The derivative of an inverse matrix:

$$\mathbf{F} \ \mathbf{F}^{-1} = \mathbf{I} \quad (69)$$

$$\rightarrow \ \partial [\mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^{-1}] = 0 \quad (70)$$

$$\rightarrow \ \mathbf{F} \ \partial [\mathbf{F}^{-1}] + \partial \mathbf{F} \ \mathbf{F}^{-1} = 0 \quad (71)$$

$$\rightarrow \ \mathbf{F} \ \partial [\mathbf{F}^{-1}] = -\partial \mathbf{F} \ \mathbf{F}^{-1} \quad (72)$$

$$\rightarrow \ \partial [\mathbf{F}^{-1}] = -\mathbf{F}^{-1} \ \partial \mathbf{F} \ \mathbf{F}^{-1} \quad (73)$$

$$\rightarrow \ \partial [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ii} = -[\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ij} [\partial \mathbf{F}]_{jJ} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ji} \quad (74)$$

Now we can derive the derivative of the cofactors:

$$\partial [\text{cof} \ \mathbf{F}] = \partial [\text{cof} \ \mathbf{F}]_{iI} = \partial [J \mathbf{F}^{-T}] \quad (75)$$

$$= [\mathbf{F}^{-T}] \ \partial J + J \ \partial [\mathbf{F}^{-T}] \quad (76)$$

$$= [\mathbf{F}^{-T}]_{ii} \ \partial J + J \ \partial [\mathbf{F}^{-T}]_{ii} \quad (77)$$

$$= [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ii} \ \partial J + J \ \partial [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ii} \quad (78)$$

$$= [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ii} \ J \ [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{jj} \ \partial [\mathbf{F}]_{jJ} - J [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ij} [\partial \mathbf{F}]_{jJ} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ji} \quad (79)$$

$$= J \partial [\mathbf{F}]_{jJ} \left\{ [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ii} \ [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{jj} - [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ij} \ [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ji} \right\} \quad (80)$$

and the directional derivative becomes

$$\partial [\text{cof} \ \mathbf{F}(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta u_i)]_{iI} = \left\{ [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ii} \ [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{jj} - [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ij} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{ji} \right\} J \ \Delta u_{j,J} \quad (81)$$

$$(82)$$

And finally we transport ths restult to the linearization of the pressure loading. Let

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi_i) = - \int_{\Gamma_H} p \mathbf{W} \cdot \left[\text{cof} \left(\mathbf{F}(\varphi) \right) \mathbf{N} \right] d\Gamma \quad (83)$$

$$= - \int_{\Gamma_H} p W_i [\text{cof} (\mathbf{F}(\varphi))]_{iI} N_I d\Gamma \quad (84)$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\mathbf{X}} \quad (85)$$

$$F_{iI} = \frac{dx_i}{dX_I} = \frac{d\varphi_i}{dX_I} \quad (86)$$

$$F_{iI}(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta u_i) = \frac{d(\varphi_i + \varepsilon \Delta u_i)}{dX_I} \quad (87)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_\varepsilon F_{jJ}(\varphi_k + \varepsilon \Delta u_k) = \frac{d\Delta u_k}{dX_K} = \Delta u_{j,J} \quad (88)$$

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_\varepsilon \mathcal{F}(\varphi + \varepsilon \Delta \mathbf{u}) = - \int_{\Gamma_H} p \mathbf{W} \cdot \left\{ \partial_\varepsilon \text{cof} \left(\mathbf{F}(\varphi + \varepsilon \Delta \mathbf{u}) \right) \mathbf{N} \right\} d\Gamma \quad (89)$$

$$= - \int_{\Gamma_H} p J W_i N_I \left\{ [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ii} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Jj} - [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ij} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ji} \right\} \Delta u_{j,J} d\Gamma \quad (90)$$

$$= - \int_{\Gamma_H} p J W_i N_I \left\{ [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ik} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Jl} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ik} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Jl} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \right\} \Delta u_{j,J} d\Gamma \quad (91)$$

$$= - \int_{\Gamma_H} p J W_i N_I [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ik} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Jl} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) \Delta u_{j,J} d\Gamma \quad (92)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_H} p J W_i N_I [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ik} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Jl} (\delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}) \Delta u_{j,J} d\Gamma \quad (93)$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_H} p J W_i N_I [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ik} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Jl} (\delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}) \Delta u_{j,J} d\Gamma \quad (94)$$

$$(95)$$

The element matrix becomes:

$$K_{ij}^{\beta\gamma} = \int_{\Gamma_H} \phi^\beta \phi_{,J}^\gamma p J N_I [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Ik} [\mathbf{F}^{-1}]_{Jl} (\delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}) d\Gamma \quad (96)$$

6.4 Pressure loading - calculating from the isoparametric state

Recall that

$$d\Gamma = \left| \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_2} \right) \right| d\Gamma^\square \quad (97)$$

where Γ^\square is the surface in the reference (isoparametric) configuration. We can calculate the normal vector in the current configuration using

$$n_i = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_2} \right)}{\left| \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_2} \right) \right|} \quad (98)$$

so that

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} w_i h_i d\Gamma = - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p \mathbf{w} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_2} \right) d\Gamma^\square$$

Now we need to compute $\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_i}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_i} &= \frac{\partial \phi^\gamma}{\partial \xi_i} U^\gamma = \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_i} \\ \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_i} &= \frac{\partial \phi^\gamma}{\partial \xi_i} X_i^\gamma\end{aligned}$$

We finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &= \int_{\Gamma_h} w_i h_i d\Gamma = - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p \mathbf{W} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\partial \xi_2} \right) d\Gamma^\square \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p \mathbf{W} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \xi_2} \right) d\Gamma^\square \\ &= - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p \mathbf{W} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \phi^\gamma}{\partial \xi_1} \mathbf{U}^\gamma \times \frac{\partial \phi^\gamma}{\partial \xi_2} \mathbf{U}^\gamma \right) d\Gamma^\square\end{aligned}$$

Now we can linearize (see Belytschko book, pg365)

$$\begin{aligned}
D\mathcal{F} \cdot \Delta U &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_\varepsilon \mathcal{F}(\varphi + \varepsilon \Delta U) \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[- \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_i \partial_\varepsilon \left(\mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_2} \right)_i d\Gamma^\square \right] \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[- \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_i \left(\partial_\varepsilon \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{,\xi_1} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{,\xi_2} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{,\xi_1} \times \partial_\varepsilon \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{,\xi_2} \right)_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. = - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_i \left(\Delta \mathbf{U}_{,\xi_1} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{,\xi_2} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{,\xi_1} \times \Delta \mathbf{U}_{,\xi_2} \right)_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. = - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_i \left(\Delta \mathbf{U}_{,\xi_1} \times \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_2} + \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \Delta \mathbf{U}_{,\xi_2} \right)_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. = - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_i \left(\Delta U_{j,\xi_1} \times F_{jJ} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} + F_{kK} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_K}{\partial \xi_1} \times \Delta U_{k,\xi_2} \right)_i \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D\mathcal{F} \cdot \Delta U &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_\varepsilon \mathcal{F}(\varphi + \varepsilon \Delta U) \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[- \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_i \left(\partial_\varepsilon \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_2} + \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \partial_\varepsilon \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_2} \right) d\Gamma^\square \right] \\
&= - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_i \left(\nabla(\Delta \mathbf{U}) \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_2} + \mathbf{F} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \nabla(\Delta \mathbf{U}) \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{X}}}{\partial \xi_2} \right)_i d\Gamma^\square \\
&= - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_i \left(\Delta U_{j,I} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} F_{kJ} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} + F_{jI} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} \Delta U_{k,J} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} \right) \varepsilon_{jki} d\Gamma^\square \\
&= - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_k \left(\Delta U_{i,I} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} F_{jJ} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} + F_{iI} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} \Delta U_{j,J} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} \right) \varepsilon_{ijk} d\Gamma^\square \\
&= - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_k \left(F_{jJ} \Delta U_{i,I} + F_{iI} \Delta U_{j,J} \right) \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} \varepsilon_{ijk} d\Gamma^\square \\
&= - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_k \Delta U_{i,I} F_{jJ} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} \varepsilon_{ijk} d\Gamma^\square - \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p W_k \Delta U_{j,J} F_{iI} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} \varepsilon_{ijk} d\Gamma^\square \\
&= - W_k^\beta \Delta U_i^\gamma \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p \phi^\beta \phi_I^\gamma F_{jJ} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} \varepsilon_{ijk} d\Gamma^\square \\
&\quad - W_k^\beta \Delta U_j^\gamma \int_{\Gamma_h^\square} p \phi^\beta \phi_J^\gamma F_{iI} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_I}{\partial \xi_1} \frac{\partial \hat{X}_J}{\partial \xi_2} \varepsilon_{ijk} d\Gamma^\square
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (ijk) = (123), (231), (312) - \text{even permutation} \\ -1 & \text{if } (ijk) = (321), (132), (213) - \text{odd permutation} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (99)$$

7 Incremental formulation

Start from the residual weak formulation.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &= (W_{i,I}, P_{iI})_{\Omega_0} - (W_i, \tilde{T})_{\Gamma_H} = 0 \\ &= (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} S_{JI})_{\Omega_0} - (W_i, \tilde{T})_{\Gamma_H} = 0 \\ &= (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} E_{KL})_{\Omega_0} - (W_i, \tilde{T})_{\Gamma_H} = 0\end{aligned}$$

We need to define the timestep of the variables. Define the states 0, 1, 2 as the initial, last solved and next to solve respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}E_{KL} &= {}^2_0 E_{KL} = {}^1_0 E_{KL} + {}^{1 \rightarrow 2}_0 \delta E_{KL} \\ \delta E_{KL} &= {}^{1 \rightarrow 2}_0 \delta E_{KL} = {}^2_0 E_{KL} - {}^1_0 E_{KL}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S_{JI} &= {}^2_0 S_{JI} \\ &= {}^1_0 S_{JI} + {}^2_0 \delta S_{JI}\end{aligned}$$

Calculate E_{KL} from the displacements:

$$\begin{aligned}2\delta E_{KL} &= {}^2_0 \left[U_{K,L} + U_{L,K} + U_{i,K} U_{i,L} \right] - {}^1_0 \left[U_{K,L} + U_{L,K} + U_{i,K} U_{i,L} \right] \\ &= \left(\delta U_{K,L} + \delta U_{L,K} + {}^1_0 U_{i,K} \delta U_{i,L} + {}^1_0 U_{i,L} \delta U_{i,K} \right) + \left(\delta U_{i,L} \delta U_{i,K} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Back to the formulation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &= (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} {}^1_0 E_{KL}) + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \delta E_{KL}) - (W_i, \tilde{T}) \\ &= (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} {}^1_0 E_{KL}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \delta U_{K,L}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \delta U_{L,K}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} {}^1_0 U_{i,K} \delta U_{i,L}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} {}^1_0 U_{i,L} \delta U_{i,K}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \delta U_{i,L} \delta U_{i,K}) \\ &\quad - (W_i, \tilde{T})_{\Gamma_H}\end{aligned}$$

Linearize:

$$\begin{aligned}D\mathcal{F} \cdot \delta U_i &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_\varepsilon \mathcal{F}(\delta U + \varepsilon \Delta U_i) \\ &= (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \Delta U_{K,L}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \Delta U_{L,K}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} {}^1_0 U_{i,K} \Delta U_{i,L}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} {}^1_0 U_{i,L} \Delta U_{i,K}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \delta U_{i,L} \Delta U_{i,K}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \Delta U_{i,L} \delta U_{i,K})\end{aligned}$$

Trying from the deformation gradient perspective:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta E_{KL} &= \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F}^T \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I} \right) - \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F}^T \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I} \right) \\ &= \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F}^T \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F} - \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F}^T \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} \mathbf{F} \\ &= \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} F_{iK} \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} F_{iL} - \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} F_{iK} \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} F_{iL}\end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &= (W_{i,I}, \quad F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} E_{KL}) + (W_{i,I}, \quad F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \delta E_{KL}) - (W_i, \tilde{T}) \\ &= (W_{i,I}, \quad F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} E_{KL}) \\ &\quad + (W_{i,I}, \quad F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} F_{iK} \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} F_{iL}) \\ &\quad - (W_{i,I}, \quad F_{iJ} C_{JIKL} \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} F_{iK} \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \end{smallmatrix} F_{iL}) \\ &\quad - (W_i, \tilde{T})\end{aligned}$$

Did not work out, because the ΔU_i does not show. Need to open the terms, and will get to the same verbosity.

References

- Carter, N., Horseman, S., Russell, J., & Handin, J. (1993). Rheology of rocksalt. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 15(9-10), 1257–1271.
- Cheng, Z. (2024). Implementation and verification of enhanced munson-dawson creep model for rock salt. *ARMA US Rock Mechanics/Geomechanics Symposium*, D041S047R003.
- Dusseault, M. B., & Fordham, C. J. (1993). Time-dependent behavior of rocks. In *Rock testing and site characterization* (pp. 119–149). Elsevier.
- Dusseault, M. B., Rothenburg, L., & Mraz, D. Z. (1987). The design of openings in saltrock using a multiple mechanism viscoplastic law. *ARMA US Rock Mechanics/Geomechanics Symposium*, ARMA-87.
- Hyde, T., Sun, W., & Hyde, C. (2014). *Applied creep mechanics*. McGraw-Hill Education. <https://books.google.com/books?id=ER4IAQAAQBAJ>
- Kraus, H. (1980). *Creep analysis*. Wiley. <https://books.google.com/books?id=IqYeAQAAIAAJ>
- Kumar, R. K., Makhmutov, A., Spiers, C. J., & Hajibeygi, H. (2021). Geomechanical simulation of energy storage in salt formations. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 19640.
- Munson, D. E. (1999). *Correlation of creep behavior of domal salts* (tech. rep.). Sandia National Lab.(SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States); Sandia ...
- Munson, D. E., & Dawson, P. (1982). *Transient creep model for salt during stress loading and unloading* (tech. rep.). Sandia National Labs., Albuquerque, NM (USA); Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY (USA ...
- Munson, D. E., Fossum, A. F., & Senseny, P. E. (1989). *Advances in resolution of discrepancies between predicted and measured in situ wipp room closures*. Sandia National Laboratories Albuquerque, NM.
- Poiate, E. (2012). *Mecânica das rochas e mecânica computacional para projeto de poços de petróleo em zonas de sal* [Tese de Doutorado]. Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro [Orientadora: Profa. Deane de Mesquita Roehl. Co-orientador: Dr. Álvaro Maia da Costa. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia Civil, Departamento de Engenharia Civil, Centro Técnico Científico da PUC-Rio. Defesa em 20 de dezembro de 2012].
- Reedlunn, B. (2018). *Enhancements to the munson-dawson model for rock salt* (tech. rep.). Sandia National Lab.(SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States).
- Xu, T., Zhou, G., Heap, M. J., Yang, S., Konietzky, H., & Baud, P. (2018). The modeling of time-dependent deformation and fracturing of brittle rocks under varying confining and pore pressures. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 51, 3241–3263.