

Adaptive Learning for High-dimensional Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations

Dec 2022

- Put on arxiv on 2019/11/11.
- Last revise on 2021/2/8.
- The authors are: Tenavi Nakamura-Zimmerer, Qi Gong, Wei Kang.
- Accepted by SISC on 2021.

Problem Formulation

We consider fixed final time optimal control problems (OCP) of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{u}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}}{\text{minimize}} & J[\mathbf{u}(\cdot)] = F(\mathbf{x}(t_f)) + \int_0^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) dt \\ \text{subject to} & \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \\ & \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0. \end{array} \right.$$

Here

- $\mathbf{x}(t) : [0, t_f] \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state;
- $\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) : [0, t_f] \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is the control;
- $J[\mathbf{u}(\cdot)]$ is the cost functional, $F(\mathbf{x}(t_f)) : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the terminal cost, $\mathcal{L}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) : [0, t_f] \times \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the running cost.

Open-loop and closed-loop

- For a given initial condition $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$, many numerical methods exist to compute the optimal open-loop solution,

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^*(t; \mathbf{x}_0)$$

- Due to various sources of disturbance and real-time application requirements, for practical implementation one typically desires an optimal control in closed-loop feedback form,

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}),$$

which can be evaluated online given any $t \in [0, t_f]$ and a measurement of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$.

Value function and HJB equation

- Define the value function $V(t, \mathbf{x}) : [0, t_f] \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the optimal cost-to-go starting at (t, \mathbf{x}) . That is,

$$V(t, \mathbf{x}) := J[\mathbf{u}^*(\cdot)] = \begin{cases} \inf_{\mathbf{u}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{U}} & F(\mathbf{y}(t_f)) + \int_t^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(\tau, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}) d\tau, \\ \text{s.t.} & \dot{\mathbf{y}}(\tau) = \mathbf{f}(\tau, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}), \\ & \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{x}. \end{cases}$$

- It can be shown that the value function is the unique viscosity solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) PDE,

$$\begin{cases} -V_t(t, \mathbf{x}) - \min_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \mathcal{L}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + [V_x(t, \mathbf{x})]^T \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \right\} = 0 \\ V(t_f, \mathbf{x}) = F(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$

- Defining the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{u}) := \mathcal{L}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(t) : [0, t_f] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the costate.
- The optimal control satisfies the Hamiltonian minimization condition,

$$\mathbf{u}^*(t) = \mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}} \mathcal{H}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{u}).$$

- The optimal feedback control is computed by substituting

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}(t) = V_{\mathbf{x}}(t, \mathbf{x})$$

to get

$$\mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}; V_{\mathbf{x}}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}} \mathcal{H}(t, \mathbf{x}, V_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{u})$$

- Pontryagin's Minimum Principle

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathcal{H}_{\lambda} = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}; \lambda)), & \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \dot{\lambda}(t) = -\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x}}(t, \mathbf{x}, \lambda, \mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}; \lambda)), & \lambda(t_f) = F_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}(t_f)) \\ \dot{v}(t) = -\mathcal{L}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}; \lambda)), & v(t_f) = F(\mathbf{x}(t_f)) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- If we further assume that the solution is optimal, then along the characteristic $\mathbf{x}(t; \mathbf{x}_0)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{u}^*(t; \mathbf{x}_0), \\ V(t, \mathbf{x}) &= v(t; \mathbf{x}_0), \\ V_{\mathbf{x}}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= \lambda(t; \mathbf{x}_0) \end{aligned}$$

Neural network approximation of the value function

- 1 Initial data generation;
- 2 Model training;
- 3 Adaptive data generation;
- 4 Model refinement and validation;
- 5 Feedback control.

Initial data generation

Specifically, by solving the BVP (1) from a set of randomly sampled initial conditions, we get a data set

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \left(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right), V^{(i)} \right\}_{i=1}^{N_d},$$

where $(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$ are the inputs, $V^{(i)} := V(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$ are the outputs to be modeled, and $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_d$ are the indices of the data points.

Train the Network

The NN is then trained by solving the nonlinear regression problem,

$$\underset{\theta}{\text{minimize}} \quad \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \left[V^{(i)} - V^{\text{NN}} \left(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta \right) \right]^2$$

Obtain costate data $\lambda(t)$ is for each trajectory as a natural product of solving the BVP. Hence we have the augmented data set,

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \left(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right), \left(V^{(i)}, \lambda^{(i)} \right) \right\}_{i=1}^{N_d},$$

where $\lambda^{(i)} := \lambda(t^{(i)}; \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$.

Physical-informed Learning

We now define the physics-informed learning problem,

$$\text{minimize}_{\theta} \text{loss}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) := \text{loss}_V(\theta; \mathcal{D}) + \mu \cdot \text{loss}_{\lambda}(\theta; \mathcal{D})$$

Here $\mu \geq 0$ is a scalar weight, the loss with respect to data is

$$\text{loss}_V(\theta; \mathcal{D}) := \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \left[V^{(i)} - V^{\text{NN}} \left(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta \right) \right]^2$$

and the gradient regularization is defined as

$$\text{loss}_{\lambda}(\theta; \mathcal{D}) := \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \left\| \lambda^{(i)} - V_{\mathbf{x}}^{\text{NN}} \left(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta \right) \right\|^2$$

"In this paper, we concentrate samples where $\|V_x^{\text{NN}}(\cdot)\|$ is large. Regions of the **value function with large gradients tend to be steep or complicated**, and thus may benefit from having more data to learn from."

Well.....

Algorithm 4.1 Adaptive sampling and model refinement

```
1: Generate  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}^1$  using time-marching
2: for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  do
3:   Solve (3.3) for  $\theta$ 
4:   if (4.8) is satisfied then
5:     return optimized parameters  $\theta$  and NN validation accuracy
6:   else
7:     while (4.9) is not satisfied do
8:       Sample candidate initial conditions  $x_0^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N_c$ 
9:       In parallel, predict  $\|V_{\mathbf{x}}^{\text{NN}}(0, x_0^{(i)})\|$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N_c$ 
10:      Choose the initial condition(s) with largest predicted gradient norm and
        use NN warm start to solve the corresponding BVP(s) (2.11)
11:      Add the resulting trajectory(s) to  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}^{r+1}$ 
12:    end while
13:  end if
14: end for
```

- Suppose that the system dynamics can be written in the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{u},$$

where $\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}) : [0, t_f] \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{x}) : [0, t_f] \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, and the control is unconstrained.

- Further, suppose that the running cost is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = h(t, \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{u},$$

for some convex function $h(t, \mathbf{x}) : [0, t_f] \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and some positive definite weight matrix $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$.

- Then the Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{u}) = h(t, \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{u} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}.$$

- Now we apply PMP, which for unconstrained control requires

$$\mathbf{0}_{m \times 1} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{u}}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{u}^*) = 2\mathbf{W}\mathbf{u}^* + \mathbf{g}^T(t, \mathbf{x})\boldsymbol{\lambda}.$$

- Letting $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = V_{\mathbf{x}}(t, \mathbf{x})$ and solving for \mathbf{u}^* yields the optimal feedback control law in explicit form:

$$\mathbf{u}^*(t, \mathbf{x}; V_{\mathbf{x}}) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{g}^T(t, \mathbf{x})V_{\mathbf{x}}(t, \mathbf{x})$$

- The relative mean absolute error (RMAE)

$$\text{RMAE}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathcal{D}_{\text{val}}) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_d} |V^{(i)} - V^{\text{NN}}(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta})|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_d} |V^{(i)}|}$$

- Relative mean L^2 error

$$\text{RM } L^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathcal{D}_{\text{val}}) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \left\| \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(i)} - V_{\mathbf{x}}^{\text{NN}}(t^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right\|_2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_d} \left\| \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{(i)} \right\|_2}$$