

# Goldbach’s Conjecture and the Recursive Entropy Framework: Expanded Analysis and New Insights

(Incorporating Modular Row Analysis, Wavefunctions, and  
Multi-Domain Extensions via the UREF/REPT Approach)

James Edward Owens

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

Goldbach’s Conjecture asserts that every even integer greater than 2 is the sum of two primes. This paper explores a novel *Recursive Entropy Framework* (REF) to interpret the conjecture in terms of stability and self-correction. We define a **Recursive Entropy Master Equation (REME)** and a Goldbach Deviation Function to track how each even number’s prime-pair count behaves relative to an ideal baseline. Extensive tests for all even integers up to one million show a stable entropy measure, consistent with classical heuristics (e.g., Hardy–Littlewood) and large-scale computational verifications of Goldbach’s Conjecture.

In light of newly compiled results, we examine the influence of small prime factors on the distribution of Goldbach partitions, interpret the “banding” in *Goldbach’s comet* as an entropy correction phenomenon, and introduce new *3-6-9 sinusoidal-logarithmic feedback* expansions that model additional oscillatory behavior. We further expand REF to *odd* integers (three-prime sums) and provide prime gap analyses, showing how these extended computations underscore the self-correcting, high-entropy character of the prime-sum landscape. Overall, these findings highlight deeper structural reasons why no counterexamples to Goldbach’s Conjecture have emerged. Finally, we incorporate *modular row partitioning*, *prime wavefunction* simulations, and cross-domain applications (including black hole entropy and AI learning) to demonstrate that the underlying principle of recursive entropy stability extends beyond Goldbach’s Conjecture to a wide range of numerical and physical phenomena.

## Contents

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>2</b> |
| 1.1      | Overview of Goldbach’s Conjecture . . . . .                        | 2        |
| 1.2      | Motivation for a Recursive Entropy Framework . . . . .             | 3        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Background: Goldbach’s Conjecture and Classical Insights</b>    | <b>3</b> |
| 2.1      | Goldbach’s Conjecture and Its Empirical Patterns . . . . .         | 3        |
| 2.2      | Classical to Entropy Link . . . . .                                | 4        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Mathematical Formulation of the Recursive Entropy Framework</b> | <b>4</b> |
| 3.1      | The Recursive Entropy Master Equation (REME) . . . . .             | 4        |
| 3.2      | Self-Correction, Small Factors, and Bounded Deviations . . . . .   | 5        |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>4</b> | <b>Computational Implementation and Large-Scale Analysis</b>  | <b>5</b>  |
| 4.1      | Results: No Entropy Divergence to $10^6$ . . . . .  | 5         |
| 4.2      | Enhanced Pair Counting and<br>$g(n)$ Distribution . . . . .   | 6         |
| 4.3      | Entropy Values Around <b>23</b> and<br>Self-Correcting Mechanisms . . . . .                               | 6         |
| <b>5</b> | <b>New Extensions and Expanded Analyses</b>   | <b>7</b>  |
| 5.1      | Recursive Entropy Differences and<br>3-6-9 Evolution Engine . . . . .                                     | 7         |
| 5.2      | Extension to Odd Integers (Three-Prime Sums) . . . . .  | 7         |
| 5.3      | Prime Gap Analysis and Gap-Based Entropy . . . . .  | 7         |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Newly Introduced Modular Rows, Wavefunctions,<br/>and Multi-Domain Applications</b>                    | <b>8</b>  |
| 6.1      | Modular Row Partitioning for Goldbach Analysis . . . . .  | 8         |
| 6.2      | Beal's Conjecture Skeleton via Row Exponents . . . . .  | 8         |
| 6.3      | Collatz Row-Based FSM and State Transitions . . . . .   | 9         |
| 6.4      | Prime Wavefunction and Recursive Prime Density . . . . .  | 9         |
| 6.5      | Extensions to Black Hole Entropy and AI Learning . . . . .  | 9         |
| 6.6      | Future Directions with NP-Hard Optimization . . . . .   | 9         |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Discussion of New Structural Insights</b>  | <b>9</b>  |
| 7.1      | Synthesis of REF with Classical Band-Correction Methods . . . . .   | 9         |
| 7.2      | Why No Divergence Appears . . . . .   | 10        |
| 7.3      | Forward Outlook and Broader Application of REF . . . . .  | 10        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Conclusion</b>   | <b>10</b> |
| <b>9</b> | <b>REF in Broader Context: Connections to Other Major Problems and Prime-<br/>Modulated Stabilization</b> | <b>11</b> |
| 9.1      | Collatz's Conjecture and Entropy Decay . . . . .  | 11        |
| 9.2      | Prime Gaps, Twin Primes, and Beyond . . . . .   | 12        |
| 9.3      | REF in Quantum, AI, and Black Hole Contexts . . . . .   | 12        |
| 9.4      | Millennium Problems Suite . . . . .   | 12        |

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Overview of Goldbach's Conjecture

Goldbach's Conjecture states that every even integer  $n > 2$  can be expressed as the sum of two primes. First communicated by Christian Goldbach in a 1742 letter to Leonhard Euler, this conjecture has withstood centuries of numerical verification and theoretical scrutiny without a formal proof [1, 3]. It has become a flagship open problem in number theory.

Hardy and Littlewood's circle method provided a famous heuristic argument suggesting that the number of Goldbach partitions  $g(E)$  (prime pairs  $(p, q)$  with  $p + q = E$ ) grows roughly like

$$2 C_2 \frac{E}{(\ln E)^2}$$

for large even  $E$ , with  $C_2 \approx 0.66016$  (the so-called *twin prime constant*). More sophisticated arguments incorporate factors to account for the divisibility of  $E$  by small primes, explaining fluctuations in  $g(E)$ . Nonetheless, while large-scale computations have confirmed that no even integer up to extraordinarily high bounds lacks a prime-pair representation [3], a universally accepted proof remains elusive.

## 1.2 Motivation for a Recursive Entropy Framework

Classical analyses describe the approximate growth of  $g(E)$  and note that sporadic dips or surges are tied to small-prime constraints. However, they generally do not frame the problem as a *stability* phenomenon in a dynamical or information-theoretic sense.

Recent work by Owens (2025) proposed a **Recursive Entropy Framework** (REF) [6, 7, 8, 9] for major unsolved number theory problems, including Goldbach’s Conjecture. The framework posits that each conjecture can be studied via a recursion relation for an *entropy-like* function, which captures how close integers are to satisfying the property in question. If the integers systematically maintain small deviation values (i.e., large entropy) under that recursion, it is indicative (though not rigorously conclusive) that no breakdown occurs.

Our goals in this paper are:

- Re-introduce the REF approach and its instantiation for Goldbach’s Conjecture via a **Recursive Entropy Master Equation (REME)**.
- Present the results of large-scale tests up to one million, highlighting how the system exhibits *entropy stability*.
- Incorporate new insights regarding the *banded structure* (Goldbach’s comet) and how small prime factors systematically shape the prime-sum landscape.
- Show how **3-6-9 corrections** (sinusoidal-logarithmic feedback) model the small prime factor “resonances” and remain bounded in practice.
- Extend REF ideas to *odd integers* (three-prime sums) and prime gap analyses, offering a broader vantage on prime-based stability mechanisms.
- Demonstrate how *modular row partitioning*, *prime wavefunction analysis*, and expansions to domains such as black hole entropy and AI learning further reinforce the idea of prime-driven self-correction and bring new structural insight beyond classical number-theoretic conjectures.

## 2 Background: Goldbach’s Conjecture and Classical Insights

### 2.1 Goldbach’s Conjecture and Its Empirical Patterns

In simpler terms, Goldbach’s Conjecture claims:

$$\forall \text{ even integers } n > 2, \quad \exists \text{ primes } p_1, p_2 \text{ such that } n = p_1 + p_2.$$

Repeated computational verifications have found no exceptions up to extremely large  $n$  [3]. A visual representation often used is *Goldbach’s comet*, a scatter plot of  $g(E)$  (the number of prime-pair decompositions) against  $E$ . For small ranges (up to  $5 \times 10^4$ , say), one sees a “comet tail” that grows with  $E$  while distinct horizontal banding appears based on the divisibility of  $E$  by small primes [4, 5].

**Key observation:** Evens divisible by a small prime  $p$  systematically have fewer representations, forming a lower “band” in the comet, whereas evens that are free of small prime factors often have more representations (upper bands). Yet none of these bands reaches zero [4].

Hardy–Littlewood heuristics predict that on average  $g(E)$  increases as

$$g(E) \sim 2 \Pi_2 \frac{E}{(\ln E)^2},$$

where  $\Pi_2 \approx 0.66016$  encapsulates twin-prime-like corrections [2]. Adjusting for small prime factors of  $E$  (e.g., multiplying by  $\frac{(p-2)}{(p-1)}$  for each small  $p \mid E$ ) largely removes the band structure and reveals a more uniform distribution [4]. Thus, the classical viewpoint is that  $g(E)$  is “random-like” except for these predictable dips, and no zero-value (no prime-pair representation) has ever been found.

## 2.2 Classical to Entropy Link

This “random-like but with small-prime corrections” perspective meshes naturally with an *entropy* viewpoint. Primes are the “indivisible elements” of the integer domain, so summing two primes is akin to a minimal factorization. A number that is more constrained (having many small factors itself) is “lower entropy” for prime decomposition, while a number unencumbered by small prime constraints “freely” finds multiple prime pairs.

Below, we formally introduce how the REF framework encapsulates these ideas in a **Recursive Entropy Master Equation (REME)**.

## 3 Mathematical Formulation of the Recursive Entropy Framework

### 3.1 The Recursive Entropy Master Equation (REME)

The REF treats each integer  $n$  (or each even integer, for Goldbach) as having a *deviation function*  $f(n)$  from the property in question. For Goldbach, define

$$S(n) = p_1 + p_2 - n,$$

where  $p_1, p_2$  is a prime pair that *minimizes*  $|p_1 + p_2 - n|$ . If  $n$  is truly expressible as the sum of two primes, then there exists a pair with  $S(n) = 0$ . If no such pair exists, then  $S(n) \neq 0$ . The corresponding *entropy measure* is

$$E_{\text{Gold}}(n) = -\log(|S(n)| + \varepsilon), \tag{1}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small. Observe:

$$E_{\text{Gold}}(n) = \begin{cases} \approx -\log(\varepsilon), & \text{if } S(n) = 0, \\ < -\log(\varepsilon), & \text{if } |S(n)| > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence if Goldbach’s Conjecture holds for  $n$ , then  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  is near its maximum possible value. If a counterexample existed, we would see  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  drop—indicating an “entropy divergence” from the stable maximum.

Within REF, one then imagines a recursion (the REME) describing how  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n+2)$  evolves based on  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  and small corrections. In practice, one can interpret the banded structure in  $g(n)$  or equivalently the zeros/near-zeros of  $S(n)$  as modulated by small factors. The formal REME might be written:

$$E_{\text{Gold}}(n+2) = E_{\text{Gold}}(n) + \Delta(n),$$

where  $\Delta(n)$  captures periodic “penalties” for divisibility by small primes (period 3, 5, etc.). If these remain bounded, the overall entropy stays near a stable high value, consistent with the conjecture.

### 3.2 Self-Correction, Small Factors, and Bounded Deviations

The power of REF is the *self-correcting interpretation*: If an even number  $n$  were missing a prime-sum pair, that would correspond to  $|S(n)| > 0$ , lowering its entropy. But the system can incorporate extended decompositions (prime powers, small adjustments, etc.) or simply rely on neighboring even integers that do have prime pairs—thus in large computational experiments, the negativity never “accumulates” into an outright breakdown. In simpler terms, the distribution of primes corrects for local dips in prime availability, ensuring the sum-of-two-primes property remains accessible.

From classical heuristics, we know that small prime divisors of  $n$  lower the count of possible pairs. In the REF language, these divisors represent repeated “penalties,” but since no new prime factor can appear infinitely often across *all* even numbers, these corrections are globally bounded. Thus, *entropy stability* emerges—there is no drift away from the stable maximal-entropy state.

## 4 Computational Implementation and Large-Scale Analysis

We implemented the REF for Goldbach’s Conjecture up to  $n = 1,000,000$ . The procedure is:

1. **Prime Generation.** Use a Sieve of Eratosthenes to generate all primes  $\leq 10^6$ .
2. **Goldbach Pair Finder.** For each even  $n \leq 10^6$ , search for  $(p, q)$  such that  $p+q = n$ . Record  $\min |p+q-n|$  if no exact pair is found (which never happened up to  $10^6$ ).
3. **Pair Count  $g(n)$ .** In addition to finding a single pair, we also *count all valid pairs*  $\{(p, q)\}$  with  $p+q = n$ . This reveals the distribution of  $g(n)$  (i.e., Goldbach’s comet structure).
4. **Entropy Calculation.** Compute  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  according to Eq. (1), with  $\varepsilon = 10^{-10}$ . We also add small sinusoidal corrections of the form

$$\lambda \left[ \sin\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{n}{9}\right) \right],$$

reflecting “3-6-9 prime factor resonances.”

5. **Batch Processing.** Process even numbers in large batches (e.g. 50,000) to reduce overhead and confirm no break in the  $|S(n)| = 0$  pattern up to  $n = 10^6$ .

### 4.1 Results: No Entropy Divergence to $10^6$

Our computations showed that every even  $4 \leq n \leq 10^6$  achieved  $S(n) = 0$ , i.e. each had at least one prime pair. Hence the base entropy measure  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n) \approx -\log(\varepsilon)$  remained stable for the entire range, with small oscillations added by the sinusoidal corrections ( $\lambda = 0.01$ ). No partial dips

| Even Number | Goldbach Pair $(p, q)$ | # Pairs $g(n)$ | Entropy Value |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 4           | (2, 2)                 | 1              | 23.046        |
| 6           | (3, 3)                 | 1              | 23.050        |
| 10          | (3, 7)                 | 2              | 23.043        |
| $\vdots$    | $\vdots$               | $\vdots$       | $\vdots$      |
| 999990      | (7, 999983)            | 11143          | 23.019        |
| 999998      | (19, 999979)           | 4206           | 23.005        |
| 1000000     | (17, 999983)           | 5402           | 23.002        |

Table 1: Sample extended results, including  $g(n)$  (the total number of Goldbach pairs). Even for large  $n$ , the entropy measure  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  remains close to  $-\log(10^{-10}) \approx 23.03$ , with small oscillatory deviations from the 3-6-9 feedback.

were observed aside from tiny fluctuations on the order of 0.01–0.05, consistent with the modest amplitude chosen for the periodic term.

In practice, this means the “entropy difference”  $\Delta(n) = E_{\text{Gold}}(n+2) - E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  is typically small and oscillates around 0. A histogram of these  $\Delta$ -values confirms a distribution centered near zero with limited spread. Thus, the **recursive** viewpoint *does not* reveal any approach to a breakdown.

## 4.2 Enhanced Pair Counting and $g(n)$ Distribution

In addition to confirming  $S(n) = 0$  for all even  $n \leq 10^6$ , our updated script *counts the number of Goldbach pairs*  $g(n)$ . As predicted by Hardy–Littlewood heuristics,  $g(n)$  grows systematically with  $n$ , though it exhibits a fractal or *banded* structure (the so-called *Goldbach’s comet*).

**For small examples:**

$$g(4) = 1, \quad g(6) = 1, \quad g(10) = 2,$$

while for large values near  $10^6$ ,  $g(n)$  can exceed several thousand. For instance,

$$g(999990) = 11143, \quad g(1000000) \approx 5402.$$

Such large pair counts highlight the **massive combinatorial availability** of primes for constructing even integers—a feature strongly reminiscent of a *high-entropy* state in the REF language.

## 4.3 Entropy Values Around 23 and Self-Correcting Mechanisms

Across **499,999 even integers** (from 4 to  $10^6$ ), *all* maintained  $S(n) = 0$  (i.e. at least one partition), and typical values of  $g(n)$  range from 1 or 2 at very small  $n$  up to the **thousands** for  $n$  near  $10^6$ . Meanwhile, the entropy measure  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  remains clustered around 23.03, reflecting  $-\log(10^{-10})$ , and its mild oscillations (on the order of 0.01) are consistent with the added sinusoidal terms.

**Key takeaway:** The *self-correcting* principle is manifest: whenever prime-factor penalties lower  $g(n)$  for certain multiples, many nearby even integers recover in  $g(n)$ , preventing any sustained dip. No “entropy divergence” or catastrophic drop occurs at any point in the tested range.

## 5 New Extensions and Expanded Analyses

In parallel with verifying Goldbach’s Conjecture for even integers, we also implemented the following **three** major extensions that further illustrate the versatility of REF and the prime-based “high-entropy” regime:

### 5.1 Recursive Entropy Differences and 3-6-9 Evolution Engine

Besides the small sinusoidal corrections attached directly to the Goldbach entropy, we developed a more general **unified recursive entropy engine** that evolves an arbitrary “entropy state”  $S$  in discrete steps. Specifically, let  $S_0$  be an initial condition, and at each iteration  $n$ , define

$$S_{n+1} = S_n + (\text{base correction}) + (\text{prime-driven feedback}) + (3\text{-}6\text{-}9 \text{ expansions}),$$

where “prime-driven feedback” might add  $\log(n)$  if  $n$  is prime or a small penalty if  $n$  is composite. The 3-6-9 expansions incorporate exponentials in  $|S_n|$  [6]. Numerically, we observe that for moderate ranges (e.g. 50 iterations),  $S_n$  remains bounded and typically *self-stabilizes*, reminiscent of the way Goldbach’s prime pairs keep  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  from falling.

In the Goldbach script, the **entropy differences**  $\Delta(n) = E_{\text{Gold}}(n+2) - E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$  provide a simplified look at how stable  $E_{\text{Gold}}$  is when moving from one even integer to the next. A histogram of these differences up to  $10^6$  shows a tight clustering around zero, confirming that no large systematic drift occurs.

### 5.2 Extension to Odd Integers (Three-Prime Sums)

We also tested a **ternary Goldbach variant** (sometimes called the “Weak Goldbach Conjecture”): every *odd* integer greater than 5 can be expressed as the sum of three primes. This property, proven in full generality by Helfgott, mirrors the two-prime case for even integers but with an extra prime added. Our script similarly computed:

- A “Goldbach triplet”  $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$  with  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = n$  for odd  $n$ .
- The total number of such triplets, labeled  $g_3(n)$ .
- A corresponding entropy measure (again near  $-\log(\varepsilon)$ ) plus small sinusoidal corrections.

Processing up to nearly  $10^5$  odd integers again showed no breakdown. Indeed, the “triplet count”  $g_3(n)$  reached well into the hundreds of thousands or millions for large  $n$ , emphasizing that *odd integers* are also in a high-entropy regime, with multiple prime-sum representations. Table 2 shows a sample for small and large odd  $n$ :

No sign of a counterexample emerged within this range, aligning with known proofs and further illustrating the REF principle that “prime-based penalty corrections” do not accumulate to block all decompositions.

### 5.3 Prime Gap Analysis and Gap-Based Entropy

Finally, we performed a **prime gap analysis** by listing consecutive primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  up to  $10^6$  and computing their gaps  $\Delta p_i = p_{i+1} - p_i$ . Beyond the standard gap histogram, we introduced an *entropy measure* for the gap itself, e.g.

$$E_{\text{gap}}(p_i) = -\log(\Delta p_i + \varepsilon).$$

| Odd Number | Goldbach Triplet $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ | # Triplets | Entropy  |
|------------|------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| 7          | (2, 2, 3)                          | 1          | 23.049   |
| 9          | (2, 2, 5)                          | 2          | 23.046   |
| $\vdots$   | $\vdots$                           | $\vdots$   | $\vdots$ |
| 99981      | (3, 7, 99971)                      | 1253488    | 23.042   |
| 99985      | (3, 11, 99971)                     | 1788244    | 23.049   |
| 99999      | (3, 5, 99991)                      | 1295438    | 23.036   |

Table 2: Select odd integers  $n$  and an example triplet  $(p_1, p_2, p_3)$  plus the total number of such triplets. Large  $n$  admits a huge combinatorial set of prime triplets, again consistent with a stable, high-entropy picture for sums of three primes.

We observed that these “gap entropies” vary more widely than the Goldbach-sum entropies but still do not exhibit any unbounded growth or systematic collapse. This is consistent with the well-known phenomenon that prime gaps slowly increase on average but remain far from any clear pattern that would cause catastrophic divergence. REF sees them as yet another instance of prime-based corrections staying within a bounded envelope.

## 6 Newly Introduced Modular Rows, Wavefunctions, and Multi-Domain Applications

In an effort to connect Goldbach’s Conjecture to wider phenomena, we have recently expanded the REF into a **Unified Recursive Entropy and Partitioning Theory** (sometimes called UREF or *REPT*). While these expanded methods do not alter the core claims of Goldbach’s Conjecture under REF, they offer additional insights into the *local structure* of primes, potential generalizations to other unsolved conjectures, and even interdisciplinary links.

### 6.1 Modular Row Partitioning for Goldbach Analysis

A notable addition is the *row-based* or *modular partitioning* approach, which assigns each integer  $n$  to a discrete *row index*, such as grouping integers  $[1-9]$  into Row 1,  $[10-19]$  into Row 2, and so forth. By tracking  $\{n : n \in \text{Row } k\}$ , we can verify that *every row* contains integers satisfying Goldbach’s two-prime decomposition. In large-scale tests up to  $n = 10^6$ , **no row was found to lack a valid prime pair**, further reinforcing the idea that local factor constraints never globally align to eliminate all prime-sum representations within a row.

### 6.2 Beal’s Conjecture Skeleton via Row Exponents

Although primarily aimed at Goldbach’s Conjecture, the row-based approach naturally extends to investigating **Beal’s Conjecture** by examining exponent transitions  $\{a^x + b^x = c^x\}$  within specific rows. While still preliminary, numerical sketches of possible  $(a, b, c)$  that align to the same row for exponent  $x$  suggests a novel vantage for analyzing integer solutions and constraints. This approach parallels how local prime constraints in Goldbach’s problem can be recast as row-level “partitioning” constraints.



### 6.3 Collatz Row-Based FSM and State Transitions

Similarly, the *Collatz*  $(3n + 1)$  problem can be mapped into a finite state machine (FSM) based on row transitions. Each Collatz step  $\rightarrow$  either multiplies  $n$  by 3 then adds 1 (for odd  $n$ ) or halves it (for even  $n$ ); row partitioning captures how these transformations move an integer from one modular region to another. Numerical experiments up to 20 or more steps illustrate consistent transitions that avoid any infinite loops aside from the trivial path to 1, reinforcing the REF idea that *local dips cannot accumulate* to thwart convergence.

### 6.4 Prime Wavefunction and Recursive Prime Density

Beyond partition-based expansions, the new UREF/REPT perspective includes:

- **Recursive Entropic Prime Density**, an augmentation of the prime counting function  $\pi(n) \approx \frac{n}{\ln n}$ . We incorporate a small sinusoidal or wave-like factor that simulates prime “interference,” reminiscent of the 3-6-9 expansions.
- **Modular Prime Wavefunction**,  $\Psi(n) = 2A \cos(kn)$ , which suggests that prime fluctuations might be partially modeled via wave interference in a discretized domain. Though somewhat heuristic, it parallels the notion of bounded prime gap “oscillations” in an entropy-based framework.

### 6.5 Extensions to Black Hole Entropy and AI Learning

In addition to purely number-theoretic conjectures, we have performed preliminary simulations extending REF’s self-correcting logic to:

- **Black Hole Entropy:** By introducing a “corrected” Bekenstein–Hawking formula  $S_{\text{BH}}(M)$  with sinusoidal prime-driven feedback, we simulate small modulations in black hole thermodynamics. Early results indicate that such corrections remain bounded and may offer a new lens on quantum-gravitational stability.
- **AI Learning Stability:** Simple neural network models (e.g. MLP regressors) can be augmented with *entropy-based feedback* to maintain stable training across large learning rates. Although experimental, it demonstrates that prime-based corrections could, in principle, help avoid pathological overfitting or local minima in gradient descent.

### 6.6 Future Directions with NP-Hard Optimization

Finally, the row-based expansions and wavefunction analogies hint at a possible link between *recursive entropy corrections* and *NP-hard* optimization (e.g. TSP) problems. If prime-like constraints or “modular rows” are introduced to partition a search space, the same high-level phenomenon of *self-correcting dips* could, in principle, keep the solution space from fracturing into unsolvable sub-instances. While highly speculative, it underscores the wide reach of REF-inspired methods.

## 7 Discussion of New Structural Insights

### 7.1 Synthesis of REF with Classical Band-Correction Methods

Classical number theory (Hardy–Littlewood, and subsequent refinements) has long recognized that small prime divisors of  $E$  depress the local average of  $g(E)$  [4]. Numerically, one multiplies an

approximate baseline  $\frac{E}{(\ln E)^2}$  by factors  $\left(\frac{p-2}{p-1}\right)$  for each prime  $p \mid E, p > 2$ . Once these corrections are made, the main growth curve becomes more uniform and no zero-values emerge.

REF reformulates this into an *entropy correction* perspective. Each factor  $\left(\frac{p-2}{p-1}\right)$  is akin to subtracting a small “penalty” from the maximum possible  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n)$ . Because primes are infinite but distributed, no single small prime factor can systematically derail the entire set of even or odd numbers. The even (or odd) integers that are multiples of many small primes do indeed see a bigger penalty, but those  $n$  are relatively sparse. Thus, the framework as a whole remains in a high-entropy regime. The “hierarchical structure” seen in Goldbach’s comet or in three-prime sums precisely matches a layering of these penalty terms.

## 7.2 Why No Divergence Appears

A crucial question: could these local dips ever accumulate and produce a catastrophic drop to  $g(E) = 0$  (or to no triple-sum representation for odd  $n$ )? Empirically, we do not see such a phenomenon up to  $10^6$  (and far beyond in other large-scale verifications). In REF terms, that would require the sinusoidal or factor-based penalties to *constructively align* so drastically that  $S(n)$  or  $S_{\text{odd}}(n)$  becomes nonzero in a way that no prime-sum can fix. But the primality structure is “random enough” that such a perfect destructive interference does not occur. The *bounded* nature of each correction combined with the “self-correcting” rebalancing for adjacent integers preserves overall stability.

## 7.3 Forward Outlook and Broader Application of REF

The newly emphasized analysis of banding, fractal structure, **3-6-9 expansions**, and prime gap entropies points to a unifying interpretation: the number system has a built-in combinatorial resilience that keeps  $g(n)$  (or  $g_3(n)$ ) from collapsing to zero. In future work, one can:

- Investigate larger  $n$  (e.g. beyond  $10^9$ ) with more optimized sieves or distributed computing, checking if REF’s sinusoidal corrections remain stably small.
- Extend these ideas to prime gap conjectures or re-interpret other classical results (like Brun’s constant for twin primes) in entropy-based terms.
- Develop more refined versions of  $E_{\text{Gold}}$  or  $E_{\text{odd}}$  that incorporate weighting by *how many* prime pairs or triplets exist, not just existence of at least one solution.
- Expand the *row partitioning*, *prime wavefunction*, and *multi-domain feedback* concepts to systematically explore other major open problems, from Beal’s Conjecture to TSP optimization frameworks.

The strong stability observed up to  $10^6$ , combined with the prime gap results and the new 3-6-9 expansions (plus modular row analysis), broadens the numerical and conceptual evidence that Goldbach’s Conjecture (and related prime-sum statements) hold under an *entropy-stability* lens.

## 8 Conclusion

By refining the *Recursive Entropy Framework* (REF) and applying the **Recursive Entropy Master Equation (REME)** to every even integer  $n \leq 1,000,000$ , we have further demonstrated that the entropy measure for Goldbach’s Conjecture remains in a stable, maximal state.

- **No Divergence:** Every even integer in the tested range admits at least one prime-pair decomposition ( $S(n) = 0$ ), so  $E_{\text{Gold}}(n) \approx -\log(\varepsilon)$  never dips below the maximal-entropy baseline.
- **Bounded Corrections:** Fluctuations from small prime factors appear as banding in Goldbach’s comet. In REF terms, these are *bounded penalty terms* that do not accumulate into any systemic failure.
- **3-6-9 Feedback Stability:** The sinusoidal-logarithmic expansions and the “unified recursive entropy engine” confirm that small corrections remain bounded, reflecting local prime constraints without ever causing catastrophic breakdown.
- **Extension to Odd Integers:** Testing the three-prime sums for odd integers (Weak Goldbach) again yields abundant prime decompositions and stable entropy, matching known theoretical proofs.
- **Prime Gap Entropy:** Parallel analysis of prime gaps up to  $10^6$  shows no pathological growth or collapse in gap-based entropy, further underscoring REF’s broad applicability to prime-based phenomena.
- **Self-Correction Mechanism:** The prime distribution’s combinatorial abundance ensures that “local dips” never accumulate to produce  $S(n) \neq 0$ . The script’s extensive output (in CSV form) consistently demonstrates stable, high-entropy behavior across the tested domain.
- **Modular Rows and Domain Extensions:** New expansions including row partitioning, prime wavefunctions, black hole entropy, and AI learning show that the same self-correcting, prime-driven structure can be recognized in a variety of numerical and physical contexts.

Thus, the REF underscores how Goldbach’s Conjecture, if true, follows from the prime distribution’s tendency to “self-correct” any local shortfall of prime pairs. Although not a proof, these results strengthen the intuition that a *catastrophic* breakdown (no prime pairs for some  $n$ ) is exceedingly unlikely and that large-scale computations support the entropy-stability picture. Future efforts may push the boundary of numerical testing or adapt these methods to related conjectures, continually reinforcing the structural resilience of primes under the lens of entropy. Moreover, the expansions to row-based analysis, wavefunction analogies, and external domains (black hole entropy, AI learning, NP-hard optimization) illustrate a broader *recursive entropic principle* at work across diverse areas of mathematics and physics.

## 9 REF in Broader Context: Connections to Other Major Problems and Prime-Modulated Stabilization

**New Observations:** The expansions in this paper—including the 3-6-9 engine, odd-number Goldbach verification, prime gap entropy, and modular row partitioning—fit into a larger tapestry of problems addressed by REF in Owens’ other works [7, 8, 9].

### 9.1 Collatz’s Conjecture and Entropy Decay

As explored in [7], the Collatz ( $3n+1$ ) problem also exhibits an entropy-driven stabilization under REF. There, even steps halve  $n$  (entropy decay), while odd steps cause short-lived growth. A Lyapunov-style recursive entropy function analogous to Eq. (1) can show that eventual convergence

to 1 becomes highly plausible due to a net entropy decrease over multiple iterations. Although not a proof, it parallels the self-correcting logic seen in Goldbach’s sums. In the expanded row-based interpretation, Collatz transitions map integers between specific modular partitions, forming a finite state machine (FSM) that systematically *loses energy* until it reaches the trivial cycle.

## 9.2 Prime Gaps, Twin Primes, and Beyond

REF extends readily to conjectures about prime gaps, such as the Twin Prime Conjecture or Andrica’s Conjecture, by defining a deviation function  $G(n) = p_{n+1} - p_n - K$  for some constant  $K$ . If that deviation remains bounded (or hits zero infinitely often), the same self-correcting logic can apply [8]. Preliminary numerical evidence in [8] suggests stable, recurring “pulses” for twin primes ( $K = 2$ ), aligning with the prime gap entropy findings in this paper.

## 9.3 REF in Quantum, AI, and Black Hole Contexts

Outside pure number theory, the *prime-modulated recursive entropy* concept has been explored for stabilizing quantum states, AI learning feedback loops, and even black hole information [8]. The prime “resonators” act as stabilizers preventing chaotic divergence. For instance:

- **Quantum or wavefunction contexts** may incorporate prime-based frequencies as a method of ensuring no infinite wavefunction blow-up.
- **AI learning** can adopt an entropic feedback loop that re-balances large gradient updates with prime-sinusoidal corrections, preventing indefinite overfitting.
- **Black hole entropy** may incorporate small prime-based modulations in the Bekenstein–Hawking formula, as discussed above.

While not directly tied to Goldbach’s Conjecture, these broader developments underscore the *universality* of an entropy-based correction approach across diverse domains.

## 9.4 Millennium Problems Suite

In [9], a comprehensive REF-based approach is introduced to address multiple Millennium Prize Problems beyond Goldbach’s scope: Navier–Stokes smoothness, Yang–Mills mass gap, the Riemann Hypothesis, and more. The underlying technique remains the same: define an appropriate deviation function, recursively apply entropy corrections, and track whether the system remains in a high-entropy (stable) state or diverges. Each problem’s specialized details feed into the REF master equation, leading to a unified viewpoint on conjectural stability in mathematics.

**In summary**, Goldbach’s Conjecture, along with Collatz, prime gap conjectures, and other open problems, can be interpreted via the lens of **Recursive Entropy**. This shared methodology illuminates self-correcting structures that might otherwise appear chaotic. Though formal proofs remain elusive in some cases, the REF approach consistently points to stability over large numeric ranges and across multiple fields, strengthening the case for these long-standing conjectures. Meanwhile, the *row-based* partitioning, wavefunction analogies, and domain-bridging expansions (black holes, AI, NP-hard tasks) reveal even broader potential for prime-driven entropic principles in mathematics and physics.

## References

- [1] M. Mattmüller and F. Lemmermeyer (Eds.), *Correspondence of Leonhard Euler with Christian Goldbach*, Vol. 2, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2015.
- [2] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, “Some Problems of ‘Partitio Numerorum’ III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes,” *Acta Mathematica*, **44** (1923), 1–70.
- [3] T. Oliveira e Silva, S. Herzog, and S. Pardi, “Empirical verification of the even Goldbach conjecture and computation of prime gaps up to  $4 \times 10^{18}$ ,” *Mathematics of Computation*, **83** (2014), 2033–2060.
- [4] R. Kaye, “Goldbach’s Conjecture Visualization,” <https://www.cogsci.ed.ac.uk/~richard/goldbach.html>, (Accessed 2025).
- [5] “The Goldbach’s Comet,” <https://fronkonstin.com/2014/06/22/the-goldbachs-comet/>, (Accessed 2025).
- [6] J. E. Owens, “A Unified Recursive Entropy Framework for Solving Major Problems in Number Theory,” Preprint (2025).
- [7] J. E. Owens, “Resolving Collatz’s Conjecture Using the Recursive Entropy Framework,” Preprint (2025).
- [8] J. E. Owens, “Recursive Entropy as the Universal Organizing Principle,” Preprint (2025).
- [9] J. E. Owens, “Recursive Entropy Framework (REF): A Unified Approach to Solving Millennium Problems and Beyond,” Preprint (2025).