

PAIN® 145 (2009) 325-331



www.elsevier.com/locate/pain

Pain, perceived injustice and the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms during the course of rehabilitation for whiplash injuries

Michael J.L. Sullivan ^{a,*}, Pascal Thibault ^a, Maureen J. Simmonds ^b, Maria Milioto ^c, André-Philippe Cantin ^d, Ana M. Velly ^a

- ^a Department of Psychology, McGill University, Canada
- b School of Physical and Occupational Therapy, McGill University, Rm D26, 3654 Promenade Sir William Osler, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3G 1Y5
- ^c Clinique d'évaluation et de réadaptation de l'est, 6494 Beaubien est, bureau 102, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H1M 1A9
- ^d Clinique de physiothérapie Ste-Anne, 324, boul. Antonio-Barrette N.D.P., Joliette, Quebec, Canada J6E 1G2

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 March 2009 Received in revised form 1 June 2009 Accepted 25 June 2009

Keywords: Whiplash injury Neck pain Post-traumatic stress symptoms Perceived injustice

ABSTRACT

The present study assessed the role of pain and pain-related psychological variables in the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms following whiplash injury. Individuals (N = 112) with whiplash injuries who had been admitted to a standardized multidisciplinary rehabilitation program were asked to complete measures of pain, post-traumatic stress symptoms, physical function and painrelated psychological variables at three different points during their treatment program. The findings are consistent with previous research showing that indicators of injury severity such as pain, reduced function and disability, and scores on pain-related psychological were associated with more severe post-traumatic stress symptoms in individuals with whiplash injuries. Contrary to expectations, indicators of pain severity did not contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. Univariate analyses revealed that self-reported disability, pain catastrophizing and perceived injustice were significant determinants of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. In multivariate analyses, only perceived injustice emerged as a unique predictor of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. The results suggest that early adequate management of pain symptoms and disability consequent to whiplash injury might reduce the severity of post-traumatic stress symptoms. The development of effective intervention techniques for targeting perceptions of injustice might be important for promoting recovery of post-traumatic stress symptoms consequent to whiplash injury. © 2009 International Association for the Study of Pain. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Research suggests that post-traumatic stress symptoms are prevalent in individuals who have sustained whiplash injuries following motor vehicle accidents [9,10,17,37]. Although prevalence rates vary widely, it has been suggested that as many as 1 in 4 individuals who sustain whiplash injuries in motor vehicles accidents might show evidence of clinically significant post-traumatic stress symptoms [9,10,34,37]. Post-traumatic stress symptoms are characterized by three major symptom clusters including re-experiencing symptoms (e.g., thought intrusion, nightmares), avoidance symptoms (e.g., avoidance of stimuli associated with the traumatic situation, social withdrawal) and arousal symptoms (e.g., hypervigilance, heightened startle reactions) [10]. It has been suggested

E-mail address: michael.sullivan@mcgill.ca (M.J.L. Sullivan).

that post-traumatic stress symptoms add to the burden of disability following whiplash injury and might contribute to the heightened risk of chronicity [4,16,45,48].

Increasingly, attention has been drawn to the role of persistent pain in the maintenance of post-traumatic stress symptoms consequent to whiplash injury [3,47]. 'Mutual maintenance' models have been put forward to explain high rates of co-morbidity of pain and post-traumatic stress symptoms. It has been suggested that ongoing pain might contribute to the persistence of PTSD symptoms by acting as a "trigger" for memories of the traumatic incident [3,38,47]. Clinical and anecdotal evidence supports the view that the symptoms of PTSD can be aggravated by stimuli that resemble aspects of the precipitating traumatic event [60]. Although not specifically addressed within mutual maintenance models of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms, it is also possible that the functional limitations ensuing from injury might also act as triggers for memories of the traumatic incident.

It has been suggested that pain-related psychological variables might also contribute to the maintenance of post-traumatic stress

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Department of Psychology, McGill University, 1205 Docteur Penfield Ave., Montréal, Que., Canada H3A 1B1. Tel.: +1 514 398 5677; fax: +1 514 343 4896.

symptoms [3,38,47]. For example, cognitive and affective variables such as catastrophic thinking, fear of movement/re-injury, and depression have been associated with heightened pain and disability in individuals who have sustained whiplash injuries [13,42]. Recently, it has also been shown that the perceptions of injustice contribute to ongoing pain and disability in individuals with whiplash injuries [52]. These variables might contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms either through their impact on the severity of pain symptoms, or through processes that are independent of pain severity. To date, the role of ongoing pain, functional limitations, or the role of pain-related psychological variables, in the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms consequent to whiplash injury has not been systematically investigated.

The purpose of the present research was to assess the role of pain and pain-related psychological variables in the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms following whiplash injury. Individuals with whiplash injuries who had been admitted to a standardized multidisciplinary rehabilitation program were asked to complete measures of pain, post-traumatic stress symptoms, physical function and pain-related psychological variables at three different points during their treatment program. Analyses addressed the value of pain severity, functional limitations, and pain-related psychological variables, assessed at admission, for the prediction of the course of recovery of post-traumatic stress symptoms.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The study sample consisted of 112 individuals (76 women, 36 men) who had sustained Whiplash injuries in rear-collision motor vehicle accidents. The mean age of the sample was 35.8 years with a range of 20–60 years. The mean number of weeks since injury was 18.3 with a range of 8–48 weeks. The majority of participants (80%) had completed at least 12 years of education. Approximately half the sample (59%) was married or living common law.

At the time of the initial assessment, all participants were work-disabled and were receiving salary indemnity. In the province of Quebec, Canada, all individuals are covered under a state-run no-fault insurance system (Société de l'assurance automobile du Québec) that provides access to required health services and salary indemnity in the case of work disability following injury.

2.2. Procedure

Participants were recruited from one of five rehabilitation clinics in the Montreal region. At the time of recruitment, a network of rehabilitation clinics offered a standardized 7-week multidisciplinary functional restoration rehabilitation program aimed at fostering recovery from whiplash injury. The intervention team consisted of a physiotherapist, occupational therapist and psychologist. Interventions techniques used in the rehabilitation program fell broadly into three domains; exercise, education and instruction in self-management skills. Some interventions (e.g., instruction in self-management) were provided in group format, others (e.g., exercise) were individualized based on clients' needs.

Individuals were considered for referral to one of these clinics if they had received a diagnosis of Whiplash Associated Disorders, Grade 1 or 2, and remained significantly disabled at 8 weeks post-injury. Potential participants were provided with a letter describing the procedures of the study. Individuals were asked to indicate their interest in volunteering to a clinic coordinator.

Participants were asked to complete a brief measure of physical functioning (e.g., cervical range of motion) and questionnaires

assessing cognitive and affective variables related to pain, distress and disability (described in more detail below). Assessments were completed shortly following admission to the 7-week rehabilitation program, mid-way through the program, and during the last week of the program. Participants were invited to sign a consent form as a condition of enrolment in the study. The research was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the *Centre de recherche interdisciplinaire en réadaptation de Montréal métropolitain (CRIR)*.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Pain severity

Participants were asked to complete the McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ) [39] to assess their current pain severity. The Pain Rating Index (PRI) of the MPQ is a weighted sum of all adjectives endorsed, and is considered a reliable and valid index of an individual's pain experience associated with whiplash [54,55]. Participants were also asked to rate the severity of their pain on an 11-point numerical rating scale with the endpoints (0) no pain and (10) excruciating pain. Total number of pain sites (range = 0–4) was computed from the body drawing (neck, back, upper extremity, lower extremity).

2.3.2. Neck range of motion

The maximum active Cervical Range of Motion (CROM; flexion and extension, left and right lateral flexion, and left and right rotation) was assessed with a CROM device [33]. Measurement of active CROM has high intra- and inter-rater reliability and has been shown to predict long-term outcomes in patients with whiplash injuries [31,48].

2.3.3. Self-rated disability

The Neck Disability Index (NDI) was used as a measure of self-rated disability associated with neck pain [56]. The NDI consists of 10 groups of statements describing levels of disability resulting from neck pain in different domains of daily life. Respondents choose the statements that best describe their current level of pain-related disability. Item weightings are summed to produce an overall index of disability where higher scores reflect greater disability. The NDI has been shown to be a reliable and valid index of disability associated with cervical spine disorders [46,56,59].

2.3.4. Post-traumatic stress symptoms

The Impact of Events Scale – Revised was used to assess symptoms of post-traumatic stress. On this measure respondents are asked to rate the degree of distress they experience with different cognitive and emotional aspects of post-traumatic stress on a 5-point rating scale with the endpoints (0) not at all and (4) extremely. The IES-R has been shown to be a reliable and valid index of post-traumatic symptoms [15,58]. Scores on the IES-R have been shown to discriminate between individuals with and without a diagnosis of Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [7]. A cut score of 33 has been recommended as the threshold for clinically significant post-traumatic stress symptoms [19].

2.3.5. Depression

The Beck Depression Inventory II [6] was used as a self-report measure of depressive symptom severity. The BDI consists of 21 statements describing various symptoms of depression and respondents choose the statement that best describes how they have been feeling over the past two weeks. Responses are summed to yield an overall index of severity of depressive symptoms. The BDI-II has been shown to be a reliable and valid index of depressive symptoms in chronic pain patients and primary care medical patients [2].

2.3.6. Catastrophizing

The Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS) [50] was used as a measure of catastrophic thinking related to pain. The PCS instructions ask participants to reflect on past painful experiences, and to indicate the degree to which they experienced each of 13 thoughts or feelings when experiencing pain, on 5-point scale with the endpoints (0) not at all and (4) all the time. The PCS has been shown to have high internal consistency and to be associated with heightened pain, disability as well as employment status [27,51].

2.3.7. Fear of movement/re-Injury

The Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK) [36] is a 17-item questionnaire that assesses fear of (re)injury due to movement. Respondents are asked to choose a number between 1 and 4 to indicate the degree to which they agree or disagree with items reflecting concerns about the dangers of activity. The TSK has been shown to be internally reliable (coefficient alpha = .77) [20]. The TSK has been shown to be associated with various indices of behavioral avoidance and self-reported disability [28,57].

2.3.8. Perceived injustice

The Injustice Experiences Questionnaire (IEQ) was used to assess perceptions of injustice [52]. The IEQ is a 12-item scale that asks respondents to indicate the frequency with which they experience different thoughts concerning the sense of unfairness in relation to their injury on a 5-point scale with the endpoints (0) never and (4) all the time. On this measure, perceived injustice is construed as an appraisal cognition comprising elements of the severity of loss consequent to injury ("Most people don't understand how severe my condition is"), blame ("I am suffering because of someone else's negligence"), a sense of unfairness ("It all seems so unfair"), and irreparability of loss ("My life will never be the same"). Previous research suggests that the IEQ yields two correlated factors that have been labeled severity/irreparability of loss and blame/unfairness [52]. The IEQ has been shown to be internally reliable and to predict prolonged disability following musculoskeletal injury [52].

2.3.9. Demographic and injury-related variables

Patients were asked to respond to questions concerning their age, sex, marital status, education, occupation, and medication use. Crash related characteristics (i.e., speed of collision, use of head rest, use of seat belt) were also assessed.

2.4. Data analytic approach

There were no significant differences on any of the study variables as a function of the specific clinic from which participants were recruited. As such, clinic of recruitment is not addressed further in statistical analyses. T-tests for independent samples and chi-square analyses were used to compare men and women on various study variables. Repeated measures analyses of variance were used to examine changes in study variables over the course of the treatment program. A cut score of 33 on the IES-R was used to classify participants as experiencing post-traumatic stress symptoms above (\geq 33) or below (<33) clinical threshold [19]. Since cut scores on self-report instruments cannot be used as a basis for making mental health diagnoses, the term 'post-traumatic stress symptoms' is used instead of 'post-traumatic stress disorder' to refer to participants who score within the clinical range of the IES-R. Unconditional logistic regression analyses were used to identify the prognostic factors that predicted whether participants who initially obtained high scores in the IES-R, remained high when compared to participants who improved through the course of the 7-week rehabilitation program. In the regression results reported, all tolerance coefficients were greater than .60 such that no problem of multicollinearity was indicated. All analyses were conducted with SPSS Version 16.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

Means and standard deviations on all dependent measures are presented in Table 1. Mean scores on measures of pain severity, self-reported disability, fear of movement and post-traumatic stress symptoms are comparable (e.g., within one standard deviation) to those reported in the previous studies of recovery trajectories of individuals with whiplash injuries [48,49].

In this sample, women (M = 15.0, SD = 6.5) were more educated than men (M = 12.0, SD = 6.0), t (110) = 2.4, p < .01, more likely to be a passenger (women = 22%, men = 3%), χ^2 = 6.9, p < .01, and were injured in accidents where the collision speed was less than that for men (42.1 km/h for women versus 57.1 km/h for men), t (110) = 3.3, p < .01. There were no significant sex differences on any of the measures of cervical range of motion or on self-reported disability. Men obtained significantly higher scores than women on pain severity, t (110) = 2.0, p < .05, fear of movement, t (110) = -3.6, p < .01, and perceived injustice, t (110) = -2.0, p < .05 (see Table 2).

3.2. Correlations among psychological and injury-related variables

Consistent with previous research, scores on the measure of post-traumatic symptoms (IES-R) were significantly correlated with indices of pain severity, self-reported disability (NDI) and 4 of 6 measures of cervical range of motion [48,49] (see Table 3). Also consistent with previous research, pain catastrophizing (PCS), depression (BDI-II) and perceived injustice (IEQ) were significantly correlated with indices of pain severity [52].

Pain catastrophizing emerged as the strongest psychological correlate of range of motion (5 of 6 significant correlations). Perceived injustice (3 of 6 significant correlations), fear of movement (2 of 6 significant correlations) and depression (1 of 6 significant correlations) were also correlated with range of motion measures to varying degrees. All psychological measures except fear of movement (TSK) were significantly correlated with self-reported disability (NDI).

Table 1Means and standard deviations on demographic and injury-related variables at time of admission

	Women (n = 76)	Men (n = 36)	р
Age	36.3 (9.7)	35.0 (9.3)	ns
Time since injury (weeks)	18.4 (6.5)	17.5 (8.9)	ns
Education (years)	15.0 (6.5)	12.0 (6.0)	.01
Driver/passenger	59/17	35/1	.01
Collision speed (km/h)	42.1 (21.7)	57.1 (22.6)	.01
Seat belt (yes/no)	71/5	34/2	ns
Head rest (yes/no)	52/24	29/7	ns
Pain severity (0-10)	5.1 (1.6)	5.1 (2.1)	ns
MPQ-PRI	19.6 (11.9)	25.2 (16.0)	.05
Number of pain sites	2.8 (.70)	2.8 (.70)	ns
Cervical range of motion			
Flexion	41.8 (14.0)	41.5 (11.9)	ns
Extension	49.3 (15.6)	44.4 (16.0)	ns
Right lateral	34.2 (8.4)	33.7 (7.8)	ns
Left lateral	36.3 (7.9)	34.7 (7.5)	ns
Right rotation	53.8 (13.9)	49.9 (12.6)	ns
Left rotation	55.1 (12.8)	50.6 (12.4)	ns
NDI	22.5 (6.2)	22.7 (7.0)	ns

Note: MPQ-PRI, McGill Pain Questionnaire-Pain Rating Index; NDI, Neck Disability Index. Values in parentheses are standard deviations.

Table 2 Means and standard deviations on psychological measures at time of admission.

	Women (n = 76)	Men (n = 36)	р
PCS	21.0 (10.4)	22.7 (7.0)	ns
TSK	40.5 (7.5)	45.8 (6.2)	.01
BDI-II	14.7 (8.4)	15.2 (11.6)	ns
IEQ	21.1 (9.7)	24.8 (9.2)	.05
IES-R	31.9 (21.8)	32.2 (23.1)	ns

Note: PCS, Pain Catastrophizing Scale; TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia; BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II; IEQ, Injustice Experiences Questionnaire; IES-R, Impact of Events Scale –Revised. Numbers in parentheses are standard deviations.

Table 4 shows the correlations among psychological variables assessed at the time of admission to treatment. Post-traumatic symptoms (IES-R) were significantly correlated with pain catastrophizing (PCS), depression (BDI-II), fear of movement (TSK) and perceived injustice (IEQ).

3.3. Treatment-related changes in pain, function, disability and distress

Means and standard deviations for dependent measures at all three assessment points are presented in Table 5. All variables showed significant decreases through the course of treatment. Eta² values are presented in the right-most column of Table 5 to reflect the magnitude of change in each variable. The variables that showed the greatest change included pain catastrophizing, fear of movement, and self-reported disability. The variables that showed the least change included two ranges of motion measures (left rotation, extension), number of pain sites, and perceived injustice (IEQ).

3.4. Determinants of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms

In order to examine the determinants of the persistence of posttraumatic stress symptoms, the sample was divided into three groups: (1) participants who scored below clinical threshold (<33) on the IES-R at admission and discharge (low-low, n = 62), (2) participants who scored above clinical threshold on the IES-R at admission but fell below clinical threshold at discharge (highlow, n = 22), and (3) participants who scored above clinical threshold on the IES-R at admission and at discharge (high-high, n = 28). There were no cases of participants scoring below clinical thresh-

Table 3 Correlations between psychological variables and injury-related variables at time of admission

	PCS	TSK	BDI-II	IEQ	IES-R
Collision speed	.08	.16	03	.08	.05
Time since injury	.10	.16	.04	.11	.08
Pain severity (0-10)	.26**	.01	.26**	.12	.28**
MPQ-PRI	.24**	.12	.39**	.19*	.28**
Number of pain sites	.20**	.18*	.28**	.25**	.24**
Cervical range of motion					
Flexion	22^{*}	06	30 ^{**}	12	21 [*]
Extension	- . 33**	19^{*}	13	- . 25**	19^{*}
Right lateral	17	05	07	12	08
Left lateral	24**	11	07	12	12
Right rotation	36 ^{**}	18	18	- . 25**	28 ^{**}
Left rotation	27 ^{**}	23 ^{**}	12	- . 27**	24**
NDI	.43**	.17	.50**	.31**	.40**

Note: MPQ-PRI, McGill Pain Questionnaire - Pain Rating Index; NDI, Neck Disability Index.

Table 4 Correlations among psychological variables at time of admission.

	1	2	3	4
1. PCS				
2. TSK	.52**			
3. BDI-II	.47**	.23*		
4. IEQ	.67**	.23* .62**	.43** .61**	
5. IES-R	.65**	.45**	.61**	.60**

Note: PCS, Pain Catastrophizing Scale; TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia; BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II; IEQ, Injustice Experiences Questionnaire; IES-R, Impact of Events Scale - Revised.

old on the IES-R at initial assessment and above threshold at post-treatment. Oneway analyses of variance (ANOVA) were computed on all dependent variables (Chi square was computed for sex) to identify univariate determinants of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. Table 6 shows the results of these analyses. Post hoc tests were computed using the Student Newman Keuls procedure.

At the time of admission, the three groups differed significantly on numerical ratings of pain severity, F(2, 109) = 3.9, p < .05, MPQ-PRI scores, F(2, 109) = 4.0, p < .05, number of pain sites, F(2, 109) = 5.8, p < .01, CROM extension, F(2, 109) = 6.9, p < .001, CROM rotation right, F(2, 109) = 4.5, p < .01, CROM rotation left, F(2, 109) = 6.2, p < .001, and self-reported disability (NDI), F(2, 109) = 12.1, p < .001. Significant group differences were also found for pain catastrophizing (PCS), F(2, 109) = 43.7, p < .001, fear of movement (TSK), F(2, 109) = 9.4, p < .001, depression (BDI-II), F(2, 109) = 9.4(2, 109) = 21.2, p < .002, and perceived injustice (IEQ), F(2.109) = 35.0, p < .001.

Results showing significant differences between the high-low and high-high groups point to potential candidate variables for the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. As shown in Table 6, of the injury-related variables, only self-reported disability distinguished between participants whose post-traumatic stress symptoms resolved and those whose symptoms persisted. Of the psychological variables, pain catastrophizing and perceived injustice distinguished between participants whose post-traumatic stress symptoms resolved and those whose symptoms persisted.

Table 5 Repeated measures analyses of variance examining changes in clinical variables through the course of treatment.

Injury-related variables	Pre-treatment	Mid-treatment	Post-treatment	Eta ²
Pain severity (0–10)	5.0 (1.7)	4.7 (1.6)	3.9 (1.8)	.17
MPQ-PRI	21.4 (13.5)	19.2 (12.1)	14.9 (12.7)	.18
Number of pain sites	2.8 (.7)	2.5 (.9)	2.3 (1.0)	.11
NDI	22.6 (6.4)	19.6 (7.2)	15.8 (8.1)	.39
Cervical range of motion				
Flexion	41.7 (13.3)	45.9 (12.0)	48.7 (11.3)	.15
Extension	47.7 (15.8)	50.8 (15.8)	54.7 (15.8)	.12
Right lateral	34.1 (8.2)	37.1 (8.0)	38.6 (9.0)	.15
Left lateral	35.8 (7.8)	38.5 (8.2)	40.3 (8.3)	.16
Right rotation	52.6 (13.5)	57.8 (10.1)	58.9 (13.7)	.14
Left rotation	53.6 (12.8)	55.4 (12.7)	57.4 (13.7)	.07
Psychological variables				
PCS	21.9 (10.1)	15.8 (11.1)	12.9 (10.6)	.40
TSK	42.2 (7.5)	39.1 (7.8)	36.5 (8.0)	.30
BDI-II	14.9 (9.5)	12.3 (8.6)	11.0 (9.1)	.13
IES-R	32.0 (22.1)	26.0 (20.0)	22.2 (19.8)	.18
IEQ	22.3 (9.7)	21.2 (11.0)	18.7 (10.6)	.11

Note: MPQ-PRI, McGill Pain Questionnaire-Pain Rating Index; NDI, Neck Disability Index. PCS, Pain Catastrophizing Scale; TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia; BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II; IES-R, Impact of Events Scale - Revised; IEQ, Injustice Experiences Questionnaire, Numbers in parentheses are standard deviations.

p < .05.

p < .01.

^{*} p < .05.
** p < .01.

Table 6Oneway analyses of variance examining potential determinants of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms.

	Post-traumatic stress symptoms			р
	Low-low (<i>n</i> = 62)	High-low $(n = 22)$	High-high $(n = 28)$	
Sex (F/M)	48/18	15/7	17/11	ns
Age	36.1 (9.2) _a	35.6 (9.3) _a	35.6 (10.7) _a	ns
Time since injury	16.9 (5.9) _a	19.4 (8.9) _a	20.3 (7.8) _a	ns
Education	15.5 (6.5) _a	13.0 (6.0) _a	12.5 (6.0) _a	ns
Collision speed	44.6 (22.0) _a	49.7 (29.7) _a	49.8 (19.2) _a	ns
Pain severity (0-10)	4.7 (1.7) _a	5.1 (1.9) _{a,b}	5.9 (1.7) _b	.05
MPQ-PRI	18.6 (11.6) _a	22.3 (14.1) _{a,b}	27.1 (15.6) _b	.05
Number of pain sites	2.6 (.7) _a	3.1 (.7) _b	3.0 (.7) _b	.01
Range of motion				
Flexion	44.1 (13.0) _a	40.8 (14.1) _a	37.2 (12.5) _a	ns
Extension	50.3 (15.7) _a	52.0 (13.3) _b	38.6 (14.7) _b	.001
Right lateral	34.7 (8.1) _a	$34.9(8.1)_a$	32.1 (8.6) _a	ns
Left lateral	37.0 (7.6) _a	35.6 (8.0) _a	33.2 (7.4) _a	ns
Right rotation	55.4 (12.7) _a	52.5 (11.2) _{a,b}	46.3 (15.2) _b	.01
Left rotation	56.3 (11.3) _a	55.1 (11.5) _{a,b}	46.6 (14.5) _b	.01
NDI	20.4 (5.5) _a	23.0 (6.8) _a	27.0 (5.9) _b	.001
PCS	16.1 (8.2) _a	25.7 (6.7) _b	31.7 (6.6) _c	.001
TSK	39.7 (7.7) _a	43.9 (6.2) _b	46.3 (6.0) _b	.001
BDI-II	10.4 (6.7) _a	19.1 (8.0) _b	21.4 (10.6) _b	.001
IEQ	17.3 (7.9) _a	24.5 (7.8) _b	31.6 (6.7) _c	.001

Note: All dependent variables are from the initial assessment. PCS, Pain Catastrophizing Scale; TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia; BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory II; IEQ, Injustice Experiences Questionnaire. For each variable, means with different subscripts differ significantly at *p* < .05. Values in parentheses are standard deviations.

The results of a logistic regression assessing the unique contribution of each of these variables are presented in Table 7. The overall model was significant (χ^2 = 15.8, p < .001) accounting for 36% of the variance in group classification. Only perceived injustice contributed significant unique variance to the prediction of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. A follow-up logistic regression was conducted to assess the contribution of the two subscales of the IEQ to the prediction of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. This analysis revealed that only the blame/unfairness subscale of the IEQ contributed significant unique variance to the prediction of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms, OR = 1.2, 95% CI = 1.1–1.4, p < .01. The blame/unfairness subscale of the IEQ alone accounted for 30% of the variance in group classification.

4. Discussion

The present study examined the role of injury-related and psychological variables in the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms consequent to whiplash injury. The findings of the present study are consistent with previous research showing that indicators of injury severity such as pain, reduced function and disability are associated with more intense post-traumatic stress symptoms in individuals with whiplash injuries [11]. The results extend previous findings in showing that, at the time of initial assessment, prior to treatment, high levels of perceived injustice

Table 7Logistic regression examining predictors of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. Dependent variable = IES-R (1 = high-low, 2 = high-high).

	Wald	В	OR	95% CI
NDI	1.68	.08	1.0	.95-1.2
PCS	1.35	.07	1.0	.95-1.2
IEQ	4.52	13	1.2*	1.0-1.3

Note: NDI, Neck Disability Index; PCS, Pain Catastrophizing Scale; IEQ, Injustice Experiences Questionnaire; OR, Odds ratio; 95% CI, 95th percentile confidence interval.

contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms consequent to whiplash injury.

In the study sample, 45% of participants scored above clinical threshold on the measure of post-traumatic stress symptoms at the time of admission. In previous research with individuals assessed one month post-injury, prevalence rates of post-traumatic stress disorder have been lower than those reported here, in the range of 20–30% [34,43]. Research suggests that approximately 60–70% of individuals with whiplash injuries will have resumed occupational activities at 2 months post-injury [1,5]. Given that a substantive proportion of individuals with less severe symptoms would have resumed occupational involvement at 8 weeks post-injury, post-traumatic stress symptoms might be over-represented in individuals with whiplash injuries who are still work-disabled at 8 weeks post-injury.

In other domains of trauma research, the severity of trauma (e.g., threat of death, violent assault) has been associated with more intense post-traumatic stress symptoms [14,35]. In two previous investigations [11,21], high scores on a self-report measure of injury severity were associated with greater likelihood of experiencing post-traumatic stress symptoms following motor vehicle accidents. In individuals with whiplash injuries, indicators of trauma severity might include the severity and distribution of pain symptoms, loss of physical function and disability. In the present study, indices of pain severity, range of motion restrictions and self-reported disability distinguished between participants with and without clinically significant post-traumatic stress symptoms.

The correlations between pain-related psychological variables (i.e., pain catastrophizing, fear of movement) and post-traumatic stress symptoms (at admission) are consistent with the view that certain psychological variables might predispose individuals to the development of post-traumatic stress symptoms following injury [3,47]. The significant correlation between BDI-II and IES-R scores at admission is also consistent with research showing high rates of co-morbidity of depression and post-traumatic stress conditions [10,29]. It is important to note, however, that the cross-sectional nature of these analyses precludes strong statements about the causal status of pain catastrophizing and fear of movement in the development of post-traumatic stress symptoms.

p < .05.

Approximately half the participants who scored above clinical threshold for post-traumatic symptoms at the time of admission, also scored above clinical threshold at the time of the discharge evaluation. There was no evidence that pain symptoms (VAS, MPQ–PRI, number of pain sites) were associated with the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. However, univariate analyses revealed that high scores on measures of self-reported disability, pain catastrophizing and perceived injustice distinguished between participants whose post-traumatic stress scores remained above clinical threshold, and participants whose scores fell below clinical threshold.

The relation between self-reported disability and the persistence of post-traumatic symptoms has not been previously reported. As suggested by 'mutual maintenance' models, it is possible that high levels of disability might act as a reminder or 'trigger' of the losses that have been sustained as a result of whiplash injury. It is also possible that the stimulus impoverished context of inactivity might provide a cognitive environment that fosters a high frequency of thought intrusions or other re-experiencing phenomena that might contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms.

Although the relation between pain catastrophizing and the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms has not been reported in previous research, this finding is consistent with theoretical models that propose that alarmist appraisals, and rumination might be predisposing factors for chronic post-traumatic stress symptoms [23–25,44]. Research suggests that efforts to suppress trauma-related thoughts or memories actually contribute to increased thought intrusions and heightened anxiety in individuals experiencing post-traumatic stress symptoms [24,30]. Interestingly there is also research to suggest that catastrophizing is associated with spontaneous (and unsuccessful) efforts to suppress pain-related cognitions [53]. Alarmist thinking, rumination and the use of suppression strategies might represent three of the processes by which pain catastrophizing might contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms.

A novel finding of the present study was the relation between high levels of perceived injustice and the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. Perceived injustice was operationally defined as a multidimensional construct comprising elements of the severity of loss, irreparability of loss, blame, and sense of unfairness. There is a growing literature highlighting the negative impact of perceptions of injustice on pain outcomes [52]. Previous research has shown that high scores on a measure of perceived injustice were related to less rehabilitation progress and lower probability of return to work following musculoskeletal injury [52]. Perceptions of injustice have also been shown to be significantly associated with pain catastrophizing and self-reported disability raising the possibility of shared mechanisms of influence on the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms.

Logistic regression revealed that only perceived injustice contributed a significant unique variance to the prediction of the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. It is possible that processes specific to perceptions of injustice, possibility unrelated to disability and pain catastrophizing, might contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. For example, the blame/unfairness subscale of the IEQ accounted for the bulk of model variance in group classification. In other domains of research, perceptions of injustice have been discussed in terms of blame attributions and revenge motives [18,41]. Anger reactions have also been discussed as central to the experience of perceived injustice [8,41]. Emotional reactions to negative events persist for longer periods of time when the events are appraised as unjust [40]. These features of perceived injustice, which appear to be independent of disability and pain catastrophizing, are candidates

for processes that might augment the probability that post-traumatic stress symptoms will persist.

Although previous research has not specifically addressed the relation between perceived injustice and post-traumatic stress symptoms, there are findings consistent with the view that the perceptions of injustice might contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. For example, cognitive content concerning the irreparability of loss has been associated with the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms [22,23]. Blame attributions and anger have also been associated with the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms following motor vehicle accidents [24,32]. There is research to suggest that the pursuit of litigation, which can be construed as a proxy for perceived injustice, might contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms [12]. Perceived injustice might be the overarching construct that links these different variables to ongoing post-traumatic stress symptoms.

The results of the present study suggest that the perceptions of injustice might need to be specifically targeted in order to maximize the probability of resolution of post-traumatic stress symptoms. Cognitive-behavioral interventions are currently considered the treatment of choice for post-traumatic stress conditions [26]. However, perceptions of injustice have not been systematically addressed in intervention approaches for post-traumatic symptoms associated with whiplash injuries. Given that post-traumatic stress symptoms have been shown to contribute to chronicity of whiplash symptoms, the development of more effective intervention approaches to the management of post-traumatic stress symptoms might also impact positively on timely recovery from whiplash injuries [16].

Some degree of caution must be brought to bear in the interpretation of the findings of this study. First, post-traumatic stress symptoms were assessed by self-report questionnaire as opposed to structured diagnostic interview. As such, it is unclear whether participants who scored within the clinical range on the IES-R met diagnostic criteria for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Confidence in the pattern of results reported here must await replication in a sample of individuals with whiplash for whom a diagnosis of PTSD has been confirmed. Another issue that must be considered is that the sample was recruited from a region that operated under a no-fault system. It is unclear whether the same pattern of findings would emerge in a system where individuals with whiplash injuries can take legal action against the driver of the vehicle responsible for the motor vehicle accident. Finally, the data were collected within the context of a standardized multidisciplinary intervention and might not characterize the recovery trajectories typical of whiplash-related post-traumatic stress symptoms.

In spite of these limitations, the results of the present study suggest that pain and pain-related psychological variables play a role in the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms following whiplash injury. The results showed that pain symptoms do not appear to contribute to the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms. The findings suggest that the perceptions of injustice might represent a risk factor for the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms consequent to whiplash injuries. The development of effective intervention techniques for targeting the perceptions of injustice might be important for promoting recovery of post-traumatic stress symptoms, and in turn, foster more timely resolution of whiplash symptoms.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no financial interests related to the content of this paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Nicole Davidson for her assistance data entry and database management. The authors also thank Élyse Marois, Julie Piché and Isabelle Cournoyer for their collaboration. This research was supported by grants from the *Fonds de la recherche en santé du Québec* (FRSQ) and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR).

References

- Adams H, Ellis T, Stanish WD, Sullivan MJ. Psychosocial factors related to return to work following rehabilitation of whiplash injuries. J Occup Rehabil 2007;17:305–15.
- [2] Arnau RC, Meagher MW, Norris MP, Bramson R. Psychometric evaluation of the Beck Depression Inventory-II with primary care medical patients. Health Psychol 2001;20:112–9.
- [3] Asmundson GJ, Coons MJ, Taylor S, Katz J. PTSD and the experience of pain: research and clinical implications of shared vulnerability and mutual maintenance models. Can J Psychiatry 2002;47:930–7.
- [4] Asmundson GJ, Katz J. Understanding pain and posttraumatic stress disorder comorbidity: do pathological responses to trauma alter the perception of pain? Pain 2008;138:247–9.
- [5] Athanasou JA. Return to work following whiplash and back injury: a review and evaluation. Med Leg J 2005;73:29–33.
- [6] Beck A, Steer R, Brown GK. Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II. San Antonio TX: Psychological Corporation; 1996.
- [7] Beck JG, Grant DM, Read JP, Clapp JD, Coffey SF, Miller LM, Palyo SA. The impact of event scale-revised: psychometric properties in a sample of motor vehicle accident survivors. J Anxiety Disord 2008;22:187–98.
- [8] Beugre CD. Reacting aggressively to injustice at work: a cognitive stage model. J Bus Psychol 2006;20:291–301.
- [9] Blanchard EB, Hickling EJ, Barton KA, Taylor AE, Loos WR, Jones-Alexander J. One-year prospective follow-up of motor vehicle accident victims. Behav Res Ther 1996;34:775–86.
- [10] Blanchard EB, Hickling EJ, Freidenberg BM, Malta LS, Kuhn E, Sykes MA. Two studies of psychiatric morbidity among motor vehicle accident survivors 1 year after the crash. Behav Res Ther 2004;42:569–83.
- [11] Blanchard EB, Hickling EJ, Mitnick N, Taylor AE, Loos WR, Buckley TC. The impact of severity of physical injury and perception of life threat in the development of post-traumatic stress disorder in motor vehicle accident victims. Behav Res Ther 1995;33:529–34.
- [12] Blanchard EB, Hickling EJ, Taylor AE, Loos WR, Forneris CA, Jaccard J. Who develops PTSD from motor vehicle accidents? Behav Res Ther 1996;34:1–10.
- [13] Borsbo B, Peolsson M, Gerdle B. Catastrophizing, depression, and pain: correlation with and influence on quality of life and health a study of chronic whiplash-associated disorders. J Rehabil Med 2008;40:562–9.
- [14] Brewin CR, Andrews B, Valentine JD. Meta-analysis of risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults. J Consult Clin Psychol 2000;68:748-66.
- [15] Brunet A, St-Hilaire A, Jehel L, King S. Validation of the French version of the Impact of Event Scale – Revised. Can J Psychiat 2002;20:174–82.
- [16] Buitenhuis J, de Jong PJ, Jaspers JP, Groothoff JW. Relationship between posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms and the course of whiplash complaints. J Psychosom Res 2006;61:681–9.
- [17] Chibnall JT, Duckro PN. Post-traumatic stress disorder in chronic post-traumatic headache patients. Headache 1994;34:357-61.
- [18] Clayton SD. The experience of injustice: some characteristics and correlates. Social Justice Res 2005;5:71–91.
- [19] Creamer M, Bell R, Failla S. Psychometric properties of the Impact of Event Scale Revised. Behav Res Ther 2003:41:1489–96.
- [20] Crombez G, Vlaeyen JW, Heuts PH, Lysens R. Pain-related fear is more disabling than pain itself: evidence on the role of pain-related fear in chronic back pain disability. Pain 1999;80:329–39.
- [21] Delahanty DL, Raimonde AJ, Spoonster E, Cullado M. Injury severity, prior trauma history, urinary cortisol levels, and acute PTSD in motor vehicle accident victims. J Anxiety Disord 2003;17:149–64.
- [22] Dunmore E, Clark DM, Ehlers A. A prospective investigation of the role of cognitive factors in persistent posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) after physical or sexual assault. Behav Res Ther 2001;39:1063–84.
- [23] Ehlers A, Clark DM. A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. Behav Res Ther 2000;38:319–45.
- [24] Ehlers A, Mayou RA, Bryant B. Psychological predictors of chronic posttraumatic stress disorder after motor vehicle accidents. J Abnorm Psychol 1998;107:508–19.
- [25] Engelhard IM, Arntz A. The fallacy of ex-consequentia reasoning and the persistence of PTSD. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry 2005;36:35–42.
- [26] Foa EB, Keane TM, Friedman MJ, Cohen JA, editors. Effective treatments for PTSD. Practice guidelines from the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies. New York: Guilford Press; 2008.
- [27] French D, Noel M, Vigneau F, French J, Cyr C, Evans R. L'Echelle de dramatisation face a la douleur PCS-CF: adaptation canadienne en langue

- francaise de l'echelle "Pain Catastrophizing Scale". Can J Behav Sci 2005;37:181–92.
- [28] French D, Roach P, Mayes S. Peur du mouvement chez des accidentes du travail: l'Echelle de Kinesiophobie de Tampa (EKT). Can J Behav Sci 2002;34:40-5.
- [29] Geisser ME, Roth RS, Bachman JE, Eckert TA. The relationship between symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder and pain, affective disturbance and disability among patients with accident and non-accident related pain. Pain 1996;66:207–14.
- [30] Harvey AG, Bryant RA. The effect of attempted thought suppression in acute stress disorder. Behav Res Ther 1998;36:583–90.
- [31] Hendriks EJ, Scholten-Peeters GG, van der Windt DA, Neeleman-van der Steen CW, Oostendorp RA, Verhagen AP. Prognostic factors for poor recovery in acute whiplash patients. Pain 2005;114:408–16.
- [32] Hickling EJ, Blanchard EB, Buckley TC, Taylor AE. Effects of attribution of responsibility for motor vehicle accidents on severity of PTSD symptoms, ways of coping, and recovery over six months. J Trauma Stress 1999;12:345–53.
- [33] Hole D, Cook J, Bolton J. Reliability and concurrent validity of two instruments for measuring cervical range of motion: effects of age and gender. Manual Ther 1995;1:36–42.
- [34] Jaspers JP. Whiplash and post-traumatic stress disorder. Disabil Rehabil 1998;20:397–404.
- [35] Johnson DM, Zlotnick C, Perez S. The relative contribution of abuse severity and PTSD severity on the psychiatric and social morbidity of battered women in shelters, Behav Ther 2008;39:232–41.
- [36] Kori S, Miller R, Todd D. Kinesiophobia: a new view of chronic pain behavior. Pain Manag 1990:35–43.
- [37] Kuch K, Swinson RP, Kirby M. Post-traumatic stress disorder after car accidents. Can J Psychiatry 1985;30:426–7.
- [38] Mayou RA, Ehlers A, Bryant B. Posttraumatic stress disorder after motor vehicle accidents: 3-year follow-up of a prospective longitudinal study. Behav Res Ther 2002;40:665–75.
- [39] Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: major properties and scoring methods. Pain 1975;1:277–99.
- [40] Mikula G, Scherer KR, Athenstaedt U. The role of injustice in the elicitation of differential emotional reactions. Pers Soc Psychol Bull 1998;24:769–83.
- [41] Miller DT. Disrespect and the experience of injustice. Ann Rev Psychol 2001;52:527–53.
- [42] Nieto R, Miro J, Huguet A. The fear-avoidance model in whiplash injuries. Eur J Pain 2009;13:518–23.
- [43] Norman SB, Stein MB, Dimsdale JE, Hoyt DB. Pain in the aftermath of trauma is a risk factor for post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychol Med 2008;38:533-42.
- [44] Paunovic N. Cognitive factors in the maintenance of PTSD. Cogn Behav Ther 1998;27:167–78.
- [45] Richter M, Ferrari R, Otte D, Kuensebeck HW, Blauth M, Krettek C. Correlation of clinical findings, collision parameters, and psychological factors in the outcome of whiplash associated disorders. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2004;75:758-64.
- [46] Riddle D, Stratford P. Use of generic versus region-specific functional status measures on patients with cervical spine disorders. Phys Ther 1998;78:951–63.
- [47] Sharp TJ, Harvey AG. Chronic pain and posttraumatic stress disorder: mutual maintenance? Clin Psychol Rev 2001;21:857–77.
- [48] Sterling M, Jull G, Vicenzino B, Kenardy J, R D. Physical and psychological factors predict outcome following whiplash injury. Pain 2005;114:141–8.
- [49] Sterling M, Kenardy J, Jull G, Vicenzino B. The development of psychological changes following whiplash injury. Pain 2003;106:481–9.
- [50] Sullivan M, Bishop S, Pivik J. The Pain Catastrophizing Scale: development and validation. Psychol Assess 1995:7:524–32.
- [51] Sullivan MJ, Stanish WD. Psychologically based occupational rehabilitation: the pain-disability prevention program. Clin J Pain 2003;19:97–104.
- [52] Sullivan MJL, Adams A, Horan S, Mahar D, Boland D, Gross R. The role of perceived injustice in the experience of chronic pain and disability: scale development and validation. J Occ Rehab 2008;18:249–61.
- [53] Sullivan MJL, Rouse D, Bishop SR, Johnston S. Thought suppression, catastrophizing and pain. Cog Ther Res 1997;21:555–68.
- [54] Turk DC, Rudy T, Salovey P. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: confirming the factor analysis and examining appropriate uses. Pain 1985;21:385–97.
- [55] Veilleux S, Sicard D, Bohuon A. Traduction de McGill Pain Questionnaire. In: Melzack R, Wall P, editors. Le defi de la douleur. Troisieme edition, ed. St-Hyacinthe, QC: Edisem; 1989.
- [56] Vernon H, Mior S. The neck disability index: a study of reliability and validity. J Manipulative Physiol Ther 1991;14:409–15.
- [57] Vlaeyen JW, Kole-Snijders AM, Boeren RG, van Eek H. Fear of movement/ (re)injury in chronic low back pain and its relation to behavioral performance. Pain 1995;62:363–72.
- [58] Weiss D, Marmar C. The Impact of Events Scale Revised. In: Wilson J, Keane T, editors. Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD. New York: Guilford; 1997. p. 399–411.
- [59] Wlodyla-Demaille S, Poiraudeau S, Catanzarini J-F, Rannou F, Fermanian J, Revel M. Translation and validation of 3 functional disability scales for neck pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2002;83:376–82.
- [60] Yehuda R. Post-traumatic stress disorder. N Engl J Med 2002;346:108–14.