

- [2] Craig KD. The social communication model of pain. *Can Psychol* 2009;50:22–32.
- [3] Craig KD, McMahon RS, Morison JD, Zaskow C. Developmental changes in infant pain expression during immunization. *Soc Sci Med* 1984;19:1331–7.
- [4] Craig KD, Korol CT. Developmental issues in understanding, assessing, and managing pediatric pain. In: Walco G, Goldschneider K, editors. *Pain in Children: a practical guide for primary care*. Totowa, NJ, The Humana Press, Inc., 2008. pp. 9–20.
- [5] Decety J, Jackson PL. The functional architecture of human empathy. *Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev* 2004;3:71–100.
- [6] Eisenberg N. Distinctions among various modes of empathy-related reactions: a matter of importance in humans. *Behav Brain Sci* 2002;25:33–4.
- [7] Goubert L, Craig KD, Buysse A. Perceiving pain in others: experimental and clinical evidence on the role of empathy. In: Ickes W, Decety J, editors. *The social neuroscience of empathy*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2009. p. 153–65.
- [8] Goubert L, Vervoort T, Crombez G. Pain demands attention from others: the approach/avoidance paradox. *Pain* 2009;143:5–6.
- [9] Goubert L, Vervoort T, Sullivan MJL, Verhoeven K, Crombez G. Parental emotional responses to their child's pain: the role of dispositional empathy and parental catastrophizing about their child's pain. *Pain* 2008;9:272–9.
- [10] Goubert L, Craig KD, Vervoort T, Morley S, Sullivan MJL, Williams ACdeC, Cano A, Crombez G. Facing others in pain: the effects of empathy. *Pain* 2005;118:285–8.
- [11] Greenspan I. Intelligence and adaptation: An integration of psychoanalytic and Piagetian developmental psychology. *Psychological Issues Monograph Series*, nos. 47–48. New York: International Universities Press; 1979.
- [12] Greenspan I. The development of the ego: Implications for personality theory, psychopathology, and the psychotherapeutic process. New York: International Universities Press; 1989.
- [13] Greenspan I, Shanker SG. The first idea: how symbols, language, and intelligence evolved from our primate ancestors to modern humans. Cambridge, MA: Da Capo Press; 2004.
- [14] Hermann C. Modeling, social learning of pain. In: Schmidt RF, Willis WD, editors. *The encyclopedia of pain*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2007. p. 13.
- [15] Leonard MT, Cano A. Pain affects spouses too: personal experience with pain and catastrophizing as correlates of spouse distress. *Pain* 2006;126:139–46.
- [16] Sullivan MD. Finding pain between minds and bodies. *Clin J Pain* 2001;17: 146–56.
- [17] Williams ACdeC. Facial expression of pain: an evolutionary account. *Behav Brain Sci* 2002;25:439–88.
- [18] Winnicott DW. *The child, the family and the outside world*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley; 1987.
- [19] Yamada M, Decety J. Unconscious affective processing and empathy: an investigation of subliminal priming on the detection of painful facial expressions. *Pain* 2009;143:71–5.

Simon van Rysewyk*

*School of Philosophy, University of Tasmania,
Private Bag 41, Tasmania, Hobart 7001, Australia*
* Tel.: +61 3 6226 7848; fax: +61 3 6226 7847.
E-mail address: spvan@postoffice.utas.edu.au

0304-3959/\$36.00 © 2009 International Association for the Study of Pain. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.pain.2009.08.006

Empathy, sympathy and the perception of pain

Pain serves evolved protective functions not only by warning the suffering person, but also by impelling expressive behaviors that attract the attention of others [2].

The idea, put forward by van Rysewyk, that empathy necessitates the capacity to separate perceptions from aversive self-oriented responses makes a lot of sense. In most definitions of empathy, there is at least a modicum of self-other awareness to distinguish oneself from others, as well as the capacity to regulate one's own emotional arousal [1,3,4,8]. Unfortunately, many recent neuroimaging experiments ignore, or at best overlook the complexity of the empathy construct and equate somato-sensory resonance with empathy and sympathy. There is a problem with equating empathy with vicarious emotion because the latter does not convey insight into another's internal state and does not account for any other-oriented motivational state that characterizes empathic concern.

The evolutionary more recent cognitive aspects of empathy and sympathy are closely related to processes involved in theory of mind, self-regulation, and language. These cognitive abilities that are unique to our species are layered on top of phylogenetically older social capacities and emotions. I have argued elsewhere that the capacity for two people to resonate with each other emotionally, prior to any cognitive understanding, is the basis for developing shared emotional meanings, but is not enough for mature empathic understanding and sympathetic concern [3,5]. Such an understanding requires forming an explicit representation of the feelings of another person, which necessitates additional computational mechanisms beyond the emotion sharing level, as well as self-regulation to modulate negative arousal in the observer [4,6].

I concur with van Rysewyk that the regulation of internal emotional states and processes is particularly relevant to the modulation of vicarious emotion and the experience of empathy and sympathy. Support for this notion comes from work in developmental psychology, which indicates that sympathy is strongly related to effortful control, with children high in effortful control showing greater empathic concern [11]. A number of studies conducted on children found that individual differences in the tendency to experience sympathy versus personal distress vary as a function of dispositional differences in individuals' abilities to regulate their emotions [7]. Well-regulated children who have control over their ability to focus and shift attention are hypothesized to be relatively prone to sympathy regardless of their emotional reactivity. This is because they can modulate their negative vicarious emotion to maintain an optimal level of emotional arousal. In contrast, children who are unable to regulate their emotions, especially if they are dispositionally prone to intense negative emotions, are found to be low in dispositional sympathy and prone to personal distress [7]. Cognitive neuroscience documents that the understanding of emotions and feelings of others, and the regulation of our own feelings are associated with activation of brain regions in the medial, lateral and orbitofrontal cortices [6,9,10]. These regions are connected with, but do not overlap with those involved in emotion sharing and somato-sensory resonance.

The study conducted with Makiko Yamada shows that the early (and unconscious) processing of pain perception of others cannot be seen as the unifying source of all empathic feelings [12]. Future research is warranted to determine how interpersonal, dispositional, and motivational factors influence the primitive aspect of resonance. This is crucial to understanding the conditions in which empathy and caring will be expressed.

References

- [1] Batson CD. These things called empathy: eight related but distinct phenomena. In: Decety J, Ickes W, editors. *The social neuroscience of empathy*. Cambridge: MIT Press; 2009. p. 3–15.
- [2] Craig KD. Social communication of pain enhances protective functions. *Pain* 2004;107:5–6.
- [3] Decety J. A social cognitive neuroscience model of human empathy. In: Harmon-Jones E, Winkelman P, editors. *Social neuroscience: integrating biological and psychological explanations of social behavior*. New York: Guilford Publications; 2007. p. 246–70.
- [4] Decety J, Jackson PL. The functional architecture of human empathy. *Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev* 2004;3:71–100.
- [5] Decety J, Meyer M. From emotion resonance to empathic understanding: a social developmental neuroscience account. *Dev Psychopathol* 2008;20:1053–80.
- [6] Decety J, Michalska KJ, Akitsuki Y. Who caused the pain? A functional MRI investigation of empathy and intentionality in children. *Neuropsychologia* 2008;46:2607–14.
- [7] Eisenberg N, Fabes RA, Murphy B, Karbon M, Maszk P, Smith M, O'Boyle C, Suh K. The relations of emotionality and regulation to dispositional and situational empathy-related responding. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1994;66:776–97.
- [8] Goubert L, Craig KD, Vervoort T, Morley S, Sullivan MJL, de C Williams AC, Cano A, Crombez G. Facing others in pain: the effects of empathy. *Pain* 2008;118:285–8.

- [9] Lamm C, Batson CD, Decety J. The neural substrate of human empathy: effects of perspective-taking and cognitive appraisal. *J Cogn Neurosci* 2007;19:42–58.
- [10] Lamm C, Meltzoff AN, Decety J. How do we empathize with someone who is not like us? *J Cogn Neurosci* 2009 [Epub ahead of print].
- [11] Rothbart MK, Ahadi SA, Hershey KL. Temperament and social behavior in childhood. *Merrill-Palmer Quart* 1994;40:21–39.
- [12] Yamada M, Decety J. Unconscious affective processing and empathy: an investigation of subliminal priming on the detection of painful facial expressions. *Pain* 2009;143:71–5.

Jean Decety
The University of Chicago, 5848 S. University Avenue Chicago,
IL 60637, USA
Tel.: +1 773 834 3711
E-mail address: decety@uchicago.edu

0304-3959/\$36.00 © 2009 International Association for the Study of Pain. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.pain.2009.08.006